EXTENDS FiniteSets, Integers, Utils

CONSTANTS

- P the set of processes
- , B the set of malicious processes
- , tAdv the time it takes for a malicious process to produce a message
- , tWB the time it takes for a well-behaved process to produce a message

ASSUME $B\subseteq P$ malicious processes are a subset of all processes $W\triangleq P\setminus B$ the set of well-behaved processes

 $Tick \triangleq Nat$ a tick is a real-time clock tick $Round \triangleq Nat$ a round is just a tag on a message

Processes build a DAG of messages. The message-production rate of well-behaved processes is of 1 message per tWB ticks, and that of malicious processes is of 1 message per tAdv ticks. We require that, collectively, well-behaved processes produce messages at a rate strictly higher than that of malicious processes.

Assume Cardinality(W) * tAdv > Cardinality(B) * tWB

A message consists of a unique ID, a round number, and a coffer containing the IDs of a set of predecessor messages: $MessageID \stackrel{\triangle}{=} P \times Nat$

```
Message \triangleq [id : MessageID, round : Round, coffer : SUBSET MessageID]
sender(m) \triangleq m.id[1]
```

A strongly consistent chain is a subset of the messages in the DAG that potentially has some dangling pointers (i.e. messages that have predecessors not in the chain) and that satisfies the following recursive predicate:

- * Any set of messages which all have a round of 0 is a strongly consistent chain.
- * A set of messages C with some non-zero rounds and maximal round r is a strongly consistent chain when, with Tip being the set of messages in the chain that have round r and Pred being the set of messages in the chain with round r-1, Pred is a strict majority of the set of predecessors of each message in Tip and $C \setminus Tip$ is a consistent chain.

The max round of a set of messages is the maximal round of its messages: $MaxRound(M) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} MaxInteger(\{m.round: m \in M\})$

 $StronglyConsistentChain(M) \triangleq \\ \land M \neq \{\}$

```
\land 2 * Cardinality(Pred) > Cardinality(m.coffer)
```

```
A weaker version of the above:
ConsistentChain(M) \triangleq
     \land M \neq \{\}
     \land \lor MaxRound(M) = 0
          \forall r \in 1 ... MaxRound(M):
             LET Tip \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r\}

Pred \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r - 1\}
                     \land Tip \neq \{\}
             IN
                     \land \forall m \in Tip : \exists Maj \in SUBSET Pred :
                         \land \{m2.id : m2 \in Maj\} \subseteq m.coffer
                          \land 2 * Cardinality(Maj) > Cardinality(m.coffer)
RECURSIVE Chains(_, _)
Chains(M, r) \triangleq
    LET MM \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r\}IN
          IF r = 0
           THEN \{M1: M1 \in (\text{SUBSET } MM) \setminus \{\}\}
           ELSE \{M1 \cup M2 : M1 \in (\text{SUBSET } MM) \setminus \{\}, M2 \in Chains(M \setminus MM, r-1)\}
 The set of all consistent chains that can be found in M:
ConsistentChains(M, r) \stackrel{\Delta}{=}
     \{C \in Chains(M, r) : ConsistentChain(C)\}\
 The set of all strongly consistent chains that can be found in M:
StronglyConsistentChains(M, r) \stackrel{\Delta}{=}
     \{C \in Chains(M, r) : StronglyConsistentChain(C)\}\
We can rank the chains by wheight, i.e. just their cardinality, or we can consider the maximal or
minimal one in the subset order:
HeaviestConsistentChains(M, r) \triangleq MaxCardinalitySets(ConsistentChains(M, r))
HeaviestStronglyConsistentChains(M, r) \triangleq MaxCardinalitySets(StronglyConsistentChains(M, r)) \\ MinimalStronglyConsistentChains(M, r) \triangleq MinimalSets(StronglyConsistentChains(M, r)) \\
MaximalStronglyConsistentChains(M, r) \triangleq MaximalSets(StronglyConsistentChains(M, r))
Two chains are disjoint when there is a round smaller than their max round in which they have
```

no messages in common.

```
DisjointChains(C1, C2) \triangleq
    LET rmax \stackrel{\triangle}{=} MaxRound(C1 \cup C2)
    IN \exists r \in 0 ... (rmax - 1):
             \{m \in C1 : m.round = r\} \cap \{m \in C2 : m.round = r\} = \{\}
```

Acceptance rule

```
AcceptedMessages(M, r) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \{m \in M :
     \land m.round = r - 1
```

```
\wedge LET CCs \stackrel{\triangle}{=} MaximalStronglyConsistentChains(M, r-1)IN
                                                                                        This looks promising!
         \land \exists C \in CCs : m \in C
         \land \quad \forall C1, C2 \in CCs:
                  \land m \in C1
                  \land m \notin C2
                  \land DisjointChains(C1, C2)
                  \Rightarrow Cardinality(C2) \leq Cardinality(C1)
 {\cal M} does not have dangling edges:
Closed(M) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall m \in M : \forall i \in m.coffer : \exists m2 \in M : m2.id = i
Now we specify the algorithm
  --algorithm Algo{
    variables
         messages = \{\};
         tick = 0;
         phase = "start"; each tick has two phases: "start" and "end"
         donePhase = [p \in P \mapsto "end"];
         pendingMessage = [p \in P \mapsto \langle \rangle]; message we're computing the VDF on
         messageCount = [p \in P \mapsto 0]; used to generate unique message IDs
         currentRound \stackrel{\triangle}{=} tick \div tWB round of well-behaved processes
         wellBehavedMessages \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{m \in messages : sender(m) \in P \setminus B\}
           possible sets of messages received by a well-behaved process:
         receivedMsgsSets \triangleq
               ignore messages from future rounds:
              LET msgs \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{m \in messages : m.round < currentRound\}IN
              \{M \in \text{SUBSET } msgs:
                    don't use a set of messages that has dangling edges (messages in coffers that are missing):
                    \land Closed(M)
                    \land wellBehavedMessages \subseteq M
     }
    macro sendMessage(m) {
         messages := messages \cup \{m\}
     }
    process ( clock \in \{ \text{"clock"} \}  ) {
tick: while ( TRUE ) { await \forall p \in P : donePhase[p] = phase;
             if ( phase = "start" )
                  phase := "end"
             else {
                  phase := "start";
                  tick := tick + 1
              }
```

```
}
    }
   process ( proc \in P \setminus B ) a well-behaved process
l1:
       while (TRUE) {
           await phase = "start";
           if ( tick\%tWB = 0 ) {
                 Start the VDF computation for the next message:
                with ( msgs \in receivedMsgsSets )
                with ( predMsgs = AcceptedMessages(msgs, currentRound) ) {
                    pendingMessage[self] := [
                        id \mapsto \langle self, messageCount[self] + 1 \rangle,
                        round \mapsto currentRound,
                        coffer \mapsto \{m.id : m \in predMsgs\}\};
                    messageCount[self] := messageCount[self] + 1
                 }
            } ;
           donePhase[self] := "start";
l2:
           await phase = "end";
           if ( tick\%tWB = tWB - 1 )
                 it's the end of the tWB period, the VDF has been computed
                sendMessage(pendingMessage[self]);
           donePhase[self] := "end";
        }
    }
   process ( byz \in B ) a malicious process
lb1:
       while (TRUE) {
           await phase = "start";
           if ( tick\%tAdv = 0 ) {
                 Start the VDF computation for the next message:
                with ( maxRound = Max(\{m.round : m \in messages\} \cup \{0\}, \leq) )
                with ( rnd \in \{maxRound, maxRound + 1\} )
                with ( predMsgs \in SUBSET \{ m \in messages : m.round = rnd - 1 \} ) {
                    when rnd > 0 \Rightarrow predMsgs \neq \{\};
                    pendingMessage[self] := [
                        id \mapsto \langle self, messageCount[self] + 1 \rangle,
                        round \mapsto rnd,
                        coffer \mapsto \{m.id : m \in predMsqs\}\};
                    messageCount[self] := messageCount[self] + 1
                 }
           donePhase[self] := "start";
lb2:
           await phase = "end";
           if ( tick\%tAdv = tAdv - 1 )
```

```
sendMessage(pendingMessage[self]);
               donePhase[self] := "end";
           };
      }
 }
 Invariant describing the type of the variables:
TypeOK \triangleq
     \land messages \in \text{SUBSET } Message
     \land pendingMessage \in [P \rightarrow Message \cup \{\langle \rangle \}]
     \land \ tick \in \mathit{Tick}
     \land \quad phase \in \{\text{``start''}, \text{``end''}\}
     \land \quad donePhase \in [P \rightarrow \{\text{"start"}, \text{ "end"}\}]
     \land messageCount \in [P \rightarrow Nat]
The main property we want to establish is that, each round, for each message m of a well-behaved
process, the messages of well-behaved processes from the previous round are all in m's coffer and
consist of a strict majority of m's coffer.
Safety \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall p \in P \setminus B : \text{LET } m \stackrel{\triangle}{=} pendingMessage[p]IN
     \land m \neq \langle \rangle
     \land m.round > 0
     \Rightarrow
     \land \ \forall \ m2 \in wellBehavedMessages: m2.round = m.round - 1 \Rightarrow m2.id \in m.coffer
     \land LET M \triangleq \{m2 \in wellBehavedMessages : <math>m2.round = m.round - 1\}
          IN 2 * Cardinality(M) > Cardinality(m.coffer)
 helper definition:
messageWithID(id) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} CHOOSE \ m \in messages : m.id = id
 Basic well-formedness properties:
Inv1 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \forall m \in messages:
     \land \forall m2 \in messages : m \neq m2 \Rightarrow m.id \neq m2.id
```

 $\land \forall id \in m.coffer:$

 $\land \ \exists \ m2 \in \mathit{messages} : m2.\mathit{id} = \mathit{id}$

 $\land messageWithID(id).round = m.round - 1$