

EXTENDS *FiniteSets*, *Integers*, *TLC*

CONSTANTS

$P$  the set of processes  
 ,  $B$  the set of malicious processes  
 ,  $tAdv$  the time it takes for a malicious process to produce a message  
 ,  $tWB$  the time it takes for a well-behaved process to produce a message

ASSUME  $B \subseteq P$  malicious processes are a subset of all processes

$W \triangleq P \setminus B$  the set of well-behaved processes

$Tick \triangleq Nat$  a tick is a real-time clock tick

$Round \triangleq Nat$  a round is just a tag on a message

Processes build a *DAG* of messages. The message-production rate of well-behaved processes is of 1 message per  $tWB$  ticks, and that of malicious processes is of 1 message per  $tAdv$  ticks. We require that, collectively, well-behaved processes produce messages at a rate strictly higher than that of malicious processes.

ASSUME  $Cardinality(W) * tAdv > Cardinality(B) * tWB$

*TODO*: I think we're going to need  $Cardinality(W) * tAdv > 2 * Cardinality(B) * tWB$

$MessageID \triangleq Nat$

A message consists of a unique *ID*, a round number, and a coffer containing the *IDs* of a set of predecessor messages:

$Message \triangleq [sender : P, id : MessageID, round : Round, coffer : SUBSET MessageID]$

We will need the intersection of a set of sets:

RECURSIVE  $Intersection(-)$

$Intersection(Ss) \triangleq$

CASE

$Ss = \{\} \rightarrow \{\}$

□  $\exists S \in Ss : Ss = \{S\} \rightarrow \text{CHOOSE } S \in Ss : Ss = \{S\}$

□ OTHER  $\rightarrow$

LET  $S \triangleq (\text{CHOOSE } S \in Ss : \text{TRUE})$

IN  $S \cap Intersection(Ss \setminus \{S\})$

A set of messages is consistent when the intersection of the coffers of each message is a strict majority of the coffer of each message.

$ConsistentSet(M) \triangleq$

LET  $I \triangleq Intersection(\{m.coffer : m \in M\})$

IN  $\forall m \in M : 2 * Cardinality(I) > Cardinality(m.coffer)$

A consistent chain is a subset of the messages in the *DAG* that potentially has some dangling pointers (*i.e.* messages that have predecessors not in the chain) and that satisfies the following recursive predicate:

\* Any set of messages which all have a round of 0 is a consistent chain.

\* A set of messages  $C$  with some non-zero rounds and maximal round  $r$  is a consistent chain when, with  $Tip$  being the set of messages in the chain that have round  $r$  and  $Pred$  being the set of messages in the chain with round  $r - 1$ ,  $Pred$  is a strict majority of the set of predecessors of each message in  $Tip$  and  $C \setminus Tip$  is a consistent chain. (Note that this implies that  $Tip$  is a consistent set)

$$Max(X, Leq(-, -)) \triangleq \\ \text{CHOOSE } m \in X : \forall x \in X : Leq(x, m)$$

*TODO* this might be too restrictive: maybe we should only require that a subset of  $Pred$  be a strict majority of the set of predecessors of each message in  $Tip$

RECURSIVE  $ConsistentChain(-)$

$$ConsistentChain(M) \triangleq \\ \wedge M \neq \{\} \\ \wedge \text{LET } r \triangleq Max(\{m.round : m \in M\}, \leq) \text{IN} \\ \vee r = 0 \\ \vee \text{LET } Tip \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r\} \\ \quad Pred \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r - 1\} \\ \text{IN } \wedge Tip \neq \{\} \\ \wedge \exists Maj \in \text{SUBSET } Pred : \\ \wedge Maj \neq \{\} \\ \wedge \forall m \in Tip : \\ \wedge \forall m2 \in Maj : m2.id \in m.coffer \\ \wedge 2 * Cardinality(Maj) > Cardinality(m.coffer) \\ \wedge ConsistentChain(M \setminus Tip)$$

Given a message DAG, the heaviest consistent chain is a consistent chain in the DAG that has a maximal number of messages.

$$HeaviestConsistentChain(M) \triangleq \\ \text{LET } r \triangleq Max(\{m.round : m \in M\}, \leq) \\ Cs \triangleq \{C \in \text{SUBSET } M : (\exists m \in C : m.round = r) \wedge ConsistentChain(C)\} \\ \text{IN} \\ \text{IF } Cs = \{\} \text{ THEN } \{\} \\ \text{ELSE } Max(Cs, \text{LAMBDA } C1, C2 : Cardinality(C1) \leq Cardinality(C2))$$

Two chains are disjoint when there is a round in which they have no messages in common:

$$DisjointChains(C1, C2) \triangleq \\ \text{LET } r1 \triangleq Max(\{m.round : m \in C1\}, \leq) \\ \quad r2 \triangleq Max(\{m.round : m \in C2\}, \leq) \\ \text{IN } \exists r \in Round : \\ \wedge r \leq r1 \\ \wedge r \leq r2 \\ \wedge \{m \in C1 : m.round = r\} \cap \{m \in C2 : m.round = r\} = \{\}$$

Now we specify the algorithm

*TODO*: let me be a function from  $ID$  to message; will help reading counter-examples

```

--algorithm Algo{
  variables
    messages = {};
    tick = 0;
    phase = "start";  each tick has two phases: "start" and "end"
    donePhase = [p ∈ P ↦ "end"];
    pendingMessage = [p ∈ P ↦ ⟨⟩];
    messageCount = 0;  used to generate unique message IDs
  define {
    currentRound ≜ tick ÷ tWB  round of well-behaved processes
    wellBehavedMessages ≜ {m ∈ messages : m.sender ∈ P \ B}
    possible sets of messages received by a well-behaved process:
    receivedMsgsSets ≜
      ignore messages from future rounds:
      LET msgs ≜ {m ∈ messages : m.round < currentRound} IN
      {wellBehavedMessages ∪ byzMsgs :
        byzMsgs ∈ SUBSET (msgs \ wellBehavedMessages)}
  }
  macro sendMessage( m ) {
    messages := messages ∪ {m}
  }
  process ( clock ∈ {"clock"} ) {
    tick: while ( TRUE ) {
      await ∀ p ∈ P : donePhase[p] = phase;
      if ( phase = "start" )
        phase := "end"
      else {
        phase := "start";
        tick := tick + 1
      }
    }
  }
  process ( proc ∈ P \ B )  a well-behaved process
  {
l1: while ( TRUE ) {
    await phase = "start";
    if ( tick % tWB = 0 ) {
      Start the VDF computation for the next message:
      with ( msgs ∈ receivedMsgsSets )
      with ( hCC = HeaviestConsistentChain(msgs) )
      with ( predMsgs = {m ∈ hCC : m.round = currentRound - 1} ) {
        pendingMessage[self] := [
          sender ↦ self,
          id ↦ messageCount + 1,
          round ↦ currentRound,

```

```

        coffer  $\mapsto$   $\{m.id : m \in predMsgs\}$ ;
        messageCount := messageCount + 1;
    }
} ;
donePhase[self] := "start" ;
l2: await phase = "end" ;
if ( tick%tWB = tWB - 1 )
    it's the end of the tWB period, the VDF has been computed
    sendMessage(pendingMessage[self]);
donePhase[self] := "end" ;
}
}
process ( byz  $\in$  B )  a malicious process
{
l1: while ( TRUE ) {
    await phase = "start" ;
    if ( tick%tAdv = 0 ) {
        Start the VDF computation for the next message:
        with ( maxRound = Max( $\{m.round : m \in messages\} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\leq$ ) )
        with ( rnd  $\in$  {maxRound, maxRound + 1} )
        with ( predMsgs  $\in$  SUBSET  $\{m \in messages : m.round = rnd - 1\}$  ) {
            when rnd > 0  $\Rightarrow$  predMsgs  $\neq$  {} ;
            pendingMessage[self] := [
                sender  $\mapsto$  self,
                id  $\mapsto$  messageCount + 1,
                round  $\mapsto$  rnd,
                coffer  $\mapsto$   $\{m.id : m \in predMsgs\}$ ;
                messageCount := messageCount + 1;
            ]
        }
    } ;
    donePhase[self] := "start" ;
l2: await phase = "end" ;
    if ( tick%tAdv = tAdv - 1 )
        sendMessage(pendingMessage[self]);
    donePhase[self] := "end" ;
} ;
}
}
TypeOK  $\triangleq$ 
 $\wedge$  messages  $\in$  SUBSET Message
 $\wedge$  pendingMessage  $\in$   $[P \rightarrow Message \cup \{\langle \rangle\}]$ 
 $\wedge$  tick  $\in$  Tick
 $\wedge$  phase  $\in$  {"start", "end"}
 $\wedge$  donePhase  $\in$   $[P \rightarrow \{"start", "end"\}]$ 
 $\wedge$  messageCount  $\in$  Nat

```

$$messageWithID(id) \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } m \in messages : m.id = id$$

The main property we want to establish is that, each round, for each message  $m$  of a well-behaved process, the messages of well-behaved processes from the previous round are all in  $m$ 's coffer and consist of a strict majority of  $m$ 's coffer.

$$\begin{aligned} Safety &\triangleq \forall m \in messages : m.round > 0 \wedge m.sender \notin B \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \wedge \forall m2 \in wellBehavedMessages : m2.round = m.round - 1 \Rightarrow m2.id \in m.coffer \\ &\quad \wedge \text{LET } M \triangleq \{m2 \in wellBehavedMessages : m2.round = m.round - 1\} \\ &\quad \text{IN } 2 * Cardinality(M) > Cardinality(m.coffer) \end{aligned}$$

A basic well-formedness property:

$$\begin{aligned} Inv1 &\triangleq \forall m \in messages : \forall id \in m.coffer : \\ &\quad \wedge \exists m2 \in messages : m2.id = id \\ &\quad \wedge messageWithID(id).round = m.round - 1 \end{aligned}$$

---