

EXTENDS *FiniteSets*, *Integers*, *TLC*

CONSTANTS

$P$  the set of processes  
 ,  $B$  the set of malicious processes  
 ,  $tAdv$  the time it takes for a malicious process to produce a message  
 ,  $tWB$  the time it takes for a well-behaved process to produce a message

ASSUME  $B \subseteq P$  malicious processes are a subset of all processes

$W \triangleq P \setminus B$  the set of well-behaved processes

$Tick \triangleq Nat$  a tick is a real-time clock tick

$Round \triangleq Nat$  a round is just a tag on a message

Processes build a *DAG* of messages. The message-production rate of well-behaved processes is of 1 message per  $tWB$  ticks, and that of malicious processes is of 1 message per  $tAdv$  ticks. We require that, collectively, well-behaved processes produce messages at a rate strictly higher than that of malicious processes.

ASSUME  $Cardinality(W) * tAdv > Cardinality(B) * tWB$

*TODO: I think we're going to need  $Cardinality(W) * tAdv > 2 * Cardinality(B) * tWB$*

A message consists of a unique *ID*, a round number, and a coffer containing the *IDs* of a set of predecessor messages:

$MessageID \triangleq P \times Nat$

$Message \triangleq [id : MessageID, round : Round, coffer : SUBSET MessageID]$

$sender(m) \triangleq m.id[1]$

We will need the intersection of a set of sets:

RECURSIVE  $Intersection(-)$

$Intersection(Ss) \triangleq$

CASE

$Ss = \{\} \rightarrow \{\}$

$\square \exists S \in Ss : Ss = \{S\} \rightarrow \text{CHOOSE } S \in Ss : Ss = \{S\}$

$\square \text{OTHER} \rightarrow$

LET  $S \triangleq (\text{CHOOSE } S \in Ss : \text{TRUE})$

IN  $S \cap Intersection(Ss \setminus \{S\})$

A set of messages is consistent when the intersection of the coffers of each message is a strict majority of the coffer of each message.

$ConsistentSet(M) \triangleq$

LET  $I \triangleq Intersection(\{m.coffer : m \in M\})$

IN  $\forall m \in M : 2 * Cardinality(I) > Cardinality(m.coffer)$

A consistent chain is a subset of the messages in the *DAG* that potentially has some dangling pointers (*i.e.* messages that have predecessors not in the chain) and that satisfies the following recursive predicate:

\* Any set of messages which all have a round of 0 is a consistent chain.

\* A set of messages  $C$  with some non-zero rounds and maximal round  $r$  is a consistent chain when, with  $Tip$  being the set of messages in the chain that have round  $r$  and  $Pred$  being the set of messages in the chain with round  $r - 1$ ,  $Pred$  is a strict majority of the set of predecessors of each message in  $Tip$  and  $C \setminus Tip$  is a consistent chain. (Note that this implies that  $Tip$  is a consistent set)

$$\begin{aligned}
&Max(X, Leq(-, -)) \triangleq \\
&\quad \text{CHOOSE } m \in X : \forall x \in X : Leq(x, m) \\
\\
&Maximal(X, Leq(-, -)) \triangleq \\
&\quad \text{CHOOSE } m \in X : \forall x \in X : \neg(Leq(m, x) \wedge \neg Leq(x, m)) \\
\\
&MaximalElements(X, Leq(-, -)) \triangleq \\
&\quad \{m \in X : \forall x \in X : \neg(Leq(m, x) \wedge \neg Leq(x, m))\} \\
\\
&\text{RECURSIVE } ConsistentChain(-) \\
&ConsistentChain(M) \triangleq \\
&\quad \wedge M \neq \{\} \\
&\quad \wedge \text{LET } r \triangleq Max(\{m.round : m \in M\}, \leq) \text{IN} \\
&\quad \quad \vee r = 0 \\
&\quad \vee \text{LET } Tip \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r\} \\
&\quad \quad \quad Pred \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r - 1\} \\
&\quad \text{IN } \quad \wedge Tip \neq \{\} \\
&\quad \quad \wedge \exists Maj \in \text{SUBSET } Pred : \\
&\quad \quad \quad \wedge Maj \neq \{\} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \wedge \forall m \in Tip : \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge \forall m2 \in Maj : m2.id \in m.coffer \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge 2 * Cardinality(Maj) > Cardinality(m.coffer) \\
&\quad \quad \wedge ConsistentChain(M \setminus Tip) \\
\\
&\text{RECURSIVE } StronglyConsistentChain(-) \\
&StronglyConsistentChain(M) \triangleq \\
&\quad \wedge M \neq \{\} \\
&\quad \wedge \text{LET } r \triangleq Max(\{m.round : m \in M\}, \leq) \text{IN} \\
&\quad \quad \vee r = 0 \\
&\quad \vee \text{LET } Tip \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r\} \\
&\quad \quad \quad Pred \triangleq \{m \in M : m.round = r - 1\} \\
&\quad \text{IN } \quad \wedge Tip \neq \{\} \\
&\quad \quad \wedge \forall m \in Tip : \\
&\quad \quad \quad \wedge \forall m2 \in Pred : m2.id \in m.coffer \\
&\quad \quad \quad \wedge 2 * Cardinality(Pred) > Cardinality(m.coffer) \\
&\quad \quad \wedge StronglyConsistentChain(M \setminus Tip) \\
\\
&ConsistentChains(M) \triangleq \\
&\quad \text{LET } r \triangleq Max(\{m.round : m \in M\}, \leq) \\
&\quad \text{IN } \{C \in \text{SUBSET } M : (\exists m \in C : m.round = r) \wedge ConsistentChain(C)\} \\
\\
&StronglyConsistentChains(M) \triangleq
\end{aligned}$$

LET  $r \triangleq \text{Max}(\{m.\text{round} : m \in M\}, \leq)$   
 IN  $\{C \in \text{SUBSET } M : (\exists m \in C : m.\text{round} = r) \wedge \text{StronglyConsistentChain}(C)\}$

Given a message *DAG*, the heaviest consistent chain is a consistent chain in the *DAG* that has a maximal number of messages.

$\text{HeaviestConsistentChain}(M) \triangleq$   
 LET  $CCs \triangleq \text{ConsistentChains}(M)$   
 IN  
 IF  $CCs = \{\}$  THEN  $\{\}$   
 ELSE  $\text{Max}(CCs, \text{LAMBDA } C1, C2 : \text{Cardinality}(C1) \leq \text{Cardinality}(C2))$

$\text{HeaviestConsistentChains}(M) \triangleq$   
 LET  $CCs \triangleq \text{ConsistentChains}(M)$   
 IN  $\text{MaximalElements}(CCs, \text{LAMBDA } C1, C2 : \text{Cardinality}(C1) \leq \text{Cardinality}(C2))$

$\text{HeaviestStronglyConsistentChains}(M) \triangleq$   
 LET  $SCCs \triangleq \text{StronglyConsistentChains}(M)$   
 IN  $\text{MaximalElements}(SCCs, \text{LAMBDA } C1, C2 : \text{Cardinality}(C1) \leq \text{Cardinality}(C2))$

Two chains are disjoint when there is a round smaller than their max round in which they have no messages in common. We assume *C1* and *C2* have the same max round.

$\text{DisjointChains}(C1, C2) \triangleq$   
 LET  $rmax \triangleq \text{Max}(\{m.\text{round} : m \in C1 \cup C2\}, \leq)$   
 IN  $\exists r \in 0 \dots (rmax - 1) : \{m \in C1 : m.\text{round} = r\} \cap \{m \in C2 : m.\text{round} = r\} = \{\}$

RECURSIVE  $\text{ComponentOf}(-, -)$   
 The connected component of chain *C* amongs chains *Cs*  
 $\text{ComponentOf}(C, Cs) \triangleq$   
 IF  $\exists C2 \in Cs : \neg \text{DisjointChains}(C, C2)$   
 THEN  
 LET  $C2 \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } C2 \in Cs : \neg \text{DisjointChains}(C, C2)$   
 IN  $\text{ComponentOf}(C \cup C2, Cs \setminus \{C2\})$   
 ELSE *C*

RECURSIVE  $\text{Components}(-)$   
 All the components in *Cs*:  
 $\text{Components}(Cs) \triangleq$   
 IF  $Cs = \{\}$  THEN  $\{\}$   
 ELSE  
 LET  $C \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } C \in Cs : \text{TRUE}$   
 $\text{Comp} \triangleq \text{ComponentOf}(C, Cs)$   
 IN  $\{\text{Comp}\} \cup \text{Components}(\{C2 \in Cs : \text{DisjointChains}(C2, \text{Comp})\})$

$\text{HeaviestComponent}(M) \triangleq$   
 LET  $\text{Comps} \triangleq \text{Components}(\text{StronglyConsistentChains}(M))$   
 IN

IF  $Comps = \{\}$  THEN  $\{\}$   
 ELSE  $Max(Comps, \text{LAMBDA } C1, C2 : Cardinality(C1) \leq Cardinality(C2))$   
 $HeaviestComponents(M) \triangleq$   
 LET  $Comps \triangleq Components(HeaviestStronglyConsistentChains(M))$   
 IN  
 IF  $Comps = \{\}$  THEN  $\{\}$   
 ELSE  $MaximalElements(Comps, \text{LAMBDA } C1, C2 : Cardinality(C1) \leq Cardinality(C2))$   
 $Accepted(M) \triangleq \{m \in M : \forall C1, C2 \in StronglyConsistentChains(M) : \\ m \in C1 \wedge m \notin C2 \wedge DisjointChains(C1, C2) \Rightarrow Cardinality(C1) \geq Cardinality(C2)\}$   
 $Accepted2(M) \triangleq \{m \in M : \forall C1 \in HeaviestConsistentChains(M) : \\ \forall C2 \in ConsistentChains(M) : \\ m \in C1 \wedge m \notin C2 \wedge DisjointChains(C1, C2) \Rightarrow Cardinality(C1) > Cardinality(C2)\}$

$M$  does not having dangling pointers

$Complete(M) \triangleq \forall m \in M : \forall i \in m.coffer : \exists m2 \in M : m2.id = i$

Now we specify the algorithm

```

--algorithm Algo{
  variables
    messages = {};
    tick = 0;
    phase = "start";  each tick has two phases: "start" and "end"
    donePhase = [p ∈ P ↦ "end"];
    pendingMessage = [p ∈ P ↦ ⟨⟩];
    messageCount = [p ∈ P ↦ 0];
  define {
    currentRound  $\triangleq tick \div tWB$   round of well-behaved processes
    wellBehavedMessages  $\triangleq \{m \in messages : sender(m) \in P \setminus B\}$ 
    possible sets of messages received by a well-behaved process:
    receivedMsgsSets  $\triangleq$ 
      ignore messages from future rounds:
      LET  $msgs \triangleq \{m \in messages : m.round < currentRound\}$  IN
      {M ∈ SUBSET msgs :
        ∧ Complete(M)
        ∧ wellBehavedMessages ⊆ M}
  }
  macro sendMessage( m ) {
    messages := messages ∪ {m}
  }
  process ( clock ∈ {"clock"} ) {
    tick:  while ( TRUE ) {
      await ∀ p ∈ P : donePhase[p] = phase ;
    }
  }
}

```

```

    if ( phase = "start" )
      phase := "end"
    else {
      phase := "start" ;
      tick := tick + 1
    }
  }
}
process ( proc ∈ P \ B )  a well-behaved process
{
l1:  while ( TRUE ) {
      await phase = "start" ;
      if ( tick % tWB = 0 ) {
        Start the VDF computation for the next message:
        with ( msgs ∈ receivedMsgsSets )
        with ( C = Accepted(msgs) )
        with ( predMsgs = {m ∈ C : m.round = currentRound - 1} ) {
          pendingMessage[self] := [
            id ↦ ⟨self, messageCount[self] + 1⟩,
            round ↦ currentRound,
            coffer ↦ {m.id : m ∈ predMsgs} ] ;
          messageCount[self] := messageCount[self] + 1
        }
      } ;
      donePhase[self] := "start" ;
l2:  await phase = "end" ;
      if ( tick % tWB = tWB - 1 )
        it's the end of the tWB period, the VDF has been computed
        sendMessage(pendingMessage[self]) ;
        donePhase[self] := "end" ;
    }
}
process ( byz ∈ B )  a malicious process
{
lb1:  while ( TRUE ) {
      await phase = "start" ;
      if ( tick % tAdv = 0 ) {
        Start the VDF computation for the next message:
        with ( maxRound = Max({m.round : m ∈ messages} ∪ {0}, ≤) )
        with ( rnd ∈ {maxRound, maxRound + 1} )
        with ( predMsgs ∈ SUBSET {m ∈ messages : m.round = rnd - 1} ) {
          when rnd > 0 ⇒ predMsgs ≠ {} ;
          pendingMessage[self] := [
            id ↦ ⟨self, messageCount[self] + 1⟩,
            round ↦ rnd,

```

```

    coffer  $\mapsto$   $\{m.id : m \in predMsgs\}$ ;
    messageCount[self] := messageCount[self] + 1
  }
} ;
donePhase[self] := "start" ;
lb2: await phase = "end" ;
if ( tick%tAdv = tAdv - 1 )
  sendMessage(pendingMessage[self]);
donePhase[self] := "end" ;
} ;
}
}
TypeOK  $\triangleq$ 
 $\wedge$  messages  $\in$  SUBSET Message
 $\wedge$  pendingMessage  $\in$   $[P \rightarrow Message \cup \{\langle \rangle\}]$ 
 $\wedge$  tick  $\in$  Tick
 $\wedge$  phase  $\in$  {"start", "end"}
 $\wedge$  donePhase  $\in$   $[P \rightarrow \{"start", "end"\}]$ 
 $\wedge$  messageCount  $\in$   $[P \rightarrow Nat]$ 

```

$messageWithID(id) \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } m \in messages : m.id = id$

The main property we want to establish is that, each round, for each message  $m$  of a well-behaved process, the messages of well-behaved processes from the previous round are all in  $m$ 's coffer and consist of a strict majority of  $m$ 's coffer.

$Safety \triangleq \forall p \in P \setminus B : \text{LET } m \triangleq pendingMessage[p] \text{ IN}$   
 $\wedge m \neq \langle \rangle$   
 $\wedge m.round > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow$   
 $\wedge \forall m2 \in wellBehavedMessages : m2.round = m.round - 1 \Rightarrow m2.id \in m.coffer$   
 $\wedge \text{LET } M \triangleq \{m2 \in wellBehavedMessages : m2.round = m.round - 1\}$   
 $\text{IN } 2 * Cardinality(M) > Cardinality(m.coffer)$

Basic well-formedness properties:

$Inv1 \triangleq \forall m \in messages :$   
 $\wedge \forall m2 \in messages : m \neq m2 \Rightarrow m.id \neq m2.id$   
 $\wedge \forall id \in m.coffer :$   
 $\wedge \exists m2 \in messages : m2.id = id$   
 $\wedge messageWithID(id).round = m.round - 1$