

QUASISYMMETRIC VARIETIES AND TEMPERLEY-LIEB ALGEBRAS

NANTEL BERGERON, LUCAS GAGNON

ABSTRACT.

1. QUASISYMMETRIC VANISHING POLYNOMIALS

In this section we define polynomials in n variables that vanishes on the set QSV_n and such that the homogeneous top degree is quasisymmetric. More precisely, let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ be any fixed composition of $d > 0$. Let

$$M_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}$$

be the quasisymmetric monomial indexed by α . We will define a polynomial $P_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ such that $P_\alpha = M_\alpha +$ lower degree terms, and $P_\alpha(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in QSV_n$.

$1 = f_1 < f_2 < \dots < f_\ell < f_{\ell+1} = n + 1$ and $\beta_i = \alpha_{f_i} + \alpha_{f_i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{f_{i+1}-1}$. When α refine β , we write $\alpha \leq \beta$.

Definition 1.1. `labeldef:vanishP` For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$, the polynomial $P_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is defined as

$$P_\alpha = \sum_{\ell=1}^k (-1)^{k-\ell} \sum_{\substack{1=f_1 < f_2 < \dots < f_{\ell+1}=k+1 \\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left((x_{i_j}^{\alpha_{f_j}} - i_j^{\alpha_{f_j}}) i_j^{\alpha_{f_j+1} + \dots + \alpha_{f_{j+1}-1}} \right)$$

The top degree of P_α is in the sum when $\ell = k$, we must have $f_i = i$ in this case. Choosing the variable x_{i_j} in all binomials $(x_{i_j}^{\alpha_{f_j}} - i_j^{\alpha_{f_j}})$, we get M_α .

For a fix σ , we will partition the sums in P_α according to the cycle type of σ and the non-crossing structure of these cycle will play a major role in showing the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For any α and any $\sigma \in QSV_n$ we have $P_\alpha(\sigma) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = C_1 C_2 \dots C_r$ the decomposition of σ into disjoint cycle. We include the fix points a 1-cycles. Given a set on indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$ we say that the cycle

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05, ???

Key words and phrases. Quasisymmetric Polynomials,

This work is supported in part by York Research Chair and NSERC. This paper originated in a working session at the Algebraic Combinatorics Seminar at Fields Institute.

support of $S = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\}$ is $C(S) = \{j : S \cap C_j \neq \emptyset\}$. We have $P_\alpha = \sum_{T \subseteq [r]} P_{\alpha, T}$, where

$$(1.1) \quad P_{\alpha, T} = \sum_{\ell=|T|}^k (-1)^{k-\ell} \sum_{\substack{1=f_1 < f_2 < \dots < f_{\ell+1}=k+1 \\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\ell \leq n \\ C(\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\})=T}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left((x_{i_j}^{\alpha_{f_j}} - i_j^{\alpha_{f_j}}) i_j^{\alpha_{f_j+1} + \dots + \alpha_{f_{j+1}-1}} \right).$$

We show that $P_{\alpha, T}(\sigma) = 0$ for all T . If $T = \emptyset$ there is nothing to show as $P_{\alpha, \emptyset} = 0$. We first consider the case when $|T| = 1$, and then use the non-crossing structure of the cycles to reduce the case $|T| > 1$ to $|T'| = 1$.

Case $|T| = 1$: Let $T = \{t\}$ and $C_t = (a_m \dots a_2 a_1)$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m$. Remark that on such cycle, the variable $x_{a_i} = a_{i-1}$ with the convention that $a_0 = a_m$. Expanding all the product in the definition of $P_{\alpha, T}$ and evaluating at σ , we obtain

$$(1.2) \quad P_{\alpha, \{t\}}(\sigma) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k \leq m} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k \\ \epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\} \\ \epsilon_i = 1 \text{ if } j_{i-1} = j_i}} (-1)^{\sum \epsilon_i} z_{j_1, \epsilon_1}^{\alpha_1} z_{j_2, \epsilon_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots z_{j_k, \epsilon_k}^{\alpha_k},$$

where $z_{j_i, \epsilon_i} = a_{j_i-1}$ if $\epsilon_i = 0$, otherwise $z_{j_i, \epsilon_i} = a_{j_i}$. To show that $P_{\alpha, \{t\}}(\sigma) = 0$, we construct a sign reversing involution on the evaluation of the terms in Equation (1.2).

Given $1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k \leq m$ and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k$, then the term

$$z_{j_1, \epsilon_1}^{\alpha_1} z_{j_2, \epsilon_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots z_{j_k, \epsilon_k}^{\alpha_k} = a_{s_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{s_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots a_{s_k}^{\alpha_k},$$

where $s_i \in \{j_i, j_i - 1\}$ depending on ϵ_i and $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq m$. First find the largest, rightmost $s_i \notin \{0, m\}$.

If $\epsilon_i = 1$, then let $\epsilon'_i = 0$ and $j'_i = j_i + 1$, fixing all other values $\epsilon'_p = \epsilon_p$ and $j'_p = j_p$ for $p \neq i$.

In this case remark that $z_{j_i, \epsilon_i} = a_{j_i} = z_{j'_i, \epsilon'_i}$ and $z_{j_p, \epsilon_p} = z_{j'_p, \epsilon'_p}$ for $p \neq i$. Hence

$$(-1)^{\sum \epsilon'_i} z_{j'_1, \epsilon'_1}^{\alpha_1} z_{j'_2, \epsilon'_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots z_{j'_k, \epsilon'_k}^{\alpha_k} = -(-1)^{\sum \epsilon_i} z_{j_1, \epsilon_1}^{\alpha_1} z_{j_2, \epsilon_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots z_{j_k, \epsilon_k}^{\alpha_k}$$

To show that $z_{j'_1, \epsilon'_1}^{\alpha_1} z_{j'_2, \epsilon'_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots z_{j'_k, \epsilon'_k}^{\alpha_k}$ is a term of the sum Equation (1.2) we need to show that $j'_1 \leq \dots \leq j'_i \leq j'_{i+1} \leq \dots \leq j'_k$ and since $\epsilon'_i = 0$, we need $j'_{i-1} < j'_i$. For the last inequality, we have $j'_{i-1} = j_{i-1} \leq j_i < j_i + 1 = j'_i$. For the other inequality among the j 's, we only need to show that $j'_i = j_i + 1 \leq j_{i+1} = j'_{i+1}$. Here we recall that i is chosen so that s_i is the rightmost values such that $0 < s_i < m$. This implies that either $i = k$ and there is no j_{i+1} or $s_{i+1} = m \in \{j_{i+1}, j_{i+1} - 1\}$ and $j_{i+1} \geq m > s_i = j_i$. The last equality follows from $\epsilon_i = 1$. We thus have that all such terms cancelled each other in Equation (1.2).

The case where $\epsilon_i = 0$ is very similar and is the reverse of the operation above. The choice of the rightmost $s_i \notin \{0, n\}$ will be the same in both cases, showing that we indeed have a sign reversing involution. All terms such that the evaluation $a_{s_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{s_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots a_{s_k}^{\alpha_k}$ contains some $0 < s_i < m$ will cancel. The only two terms that survive the cancellation are

$$(-1)^{n-1} a_0^{\alpha_1} a_m^{\alpha_2} \dots a_m^{\alpha_k} + (-1)^n a_m^{\alpha_1} a_m^{\alpha_2} \dots a_m^{\alpha_k}$$

that can only be obtained when $j_1 = 1$ and $j_2 = \dots = j_k = m$ with $\epsilon_1 = 0$ and $\epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_k = 1$, for the first term; and when $j'_1 = \dots = j'_k = m$ with $\epsilon'_1 = \dots = \epsilon'_k = 1$ for the second term. Since $a_0 = a_m$:

$$P_{\alpha, \{t\}}(\sigma) = (-1)^{n-1} a_0^{\alpha_1} a_m^{\alpha_2} \dots a_m^{\alpha_k} + (-1)^n a_m^{\alpha_1} a_m^{\alpha_2} \dots a_m^{\alpha_k} = 0.$$

Case $|\mathbf{T}| > 1$: When we have more than one cycle involved, let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\}$ and we assume (without loss of generality) that $C = C_{t_1}$ is a cycle that do not contain (in the non-crossing sense) any nested cycles among C_{t_j} for $j > 1$. The fact that the cycles of σ are non-crossing guaranties the existence of such C for any given T . We now partition the terms of Equation (1.1) according to the intersection of $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\ell$ with the C_{t_j} for $j > 1$ and the corresponding possible choices of f_j 's. We show that the portion of the terms intersecting C is a vanishing polynomials as in the case $|T| = 1$. Let $c = \min(C)$ and $d = \max(C)$. Assume we have $C(\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\}) = T$ and let

$$CQ_j(\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\}) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\} \cap C_{t_j} \neq \emptyset.$$

From our choice of $C = C_{t_1}$, we have $CQ_j(\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\}) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell\} \cap \{i : c \leq i \leq d\}$. Outside the range $[c, d] = \{i : c \leq i \leq d\}$, we fix all the other parameters involved in the terms $P_{\alpha, T}$ in Equation (1.1). Fix $Q = (Q_2, \dots, Q_r)$ where $\emptyset \neq Q_j \subset C_{t_j}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{j=2}^r Q_j = \{\underline{i}_1, \underline{i}_2, \dots, \underline{i}_p\} \cup \{\bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2, \dots, \bar{i}_q\}$$

where $p + q < k$ and

$$\underline{i}_1 < \underline{i}_2 < \dots < \underline{i}_p < c \leq d < \bar{i}_1 < \bar{i}_2 < \dots < \bar{i}_q.$$

We also fix $F = \{\underline{f}_1, \underline{f}_2, \dots, \underline{f}_p, \underline{f}_{p+1}, \bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2, \dots, \bar{f}_q, \bar{f}_{q+1}\}$. where

$$1 = \underline{f}_1 < \underline{f}_2 < \dots < \underline{f}_p < \underline{f}_{p+1} < \bar{f}_1 < \bar{f}_2 < \dots < \bar{f}_q < \bar{f}_{q+1} = k + 1.$$

For any term of the sum in Equation (1.1), we have a unique corresponding Q and F . In particular, we have $P_{\alpha, T} = \sum_Q P_{\alpha, T, Q, F}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha, T, Q, F} = & \prod_{j=1}^p \left((x_{\underline{i}_j}^{\alpha_{\underline{f}_j}} - \underline{i}_j^{\alpha_{\underline{f}_j}}) \underline{i}_j^{\alpha_{\underline{f}_j+1} + \dots + \alpha_{\underline{f}_{j+1}-1}} \right) \times \\ & \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-p-q} (-1)^{k-p-q-\ell} \sum_{\substack{\underline{f}_{p+1}=f_1 < f_2 < \dots < f_{\ell-p-q+1}=\bar{f}_1 \\ c \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{\ell-p-q} \leq d \\ C(\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\ell-p-q}\}) = \{t_1\}}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left((x_{i_j}^{\alpha_{f_j}} - i_j^{\alpha_{f_j}}) i_j^{\alpha_{f_j+1} + \dots + \alpha_{f_{j+1}-1}} \right) \times \\ & \prod_{j=1}^q \left((x_{\bar{i}_j}^{\alpha_{\bar{f}_j}} - \bar{i}_j^{\alpha_{\bar{f}_j}}) \bar{i}_j^{\alpha_{\bar{f}_j+1} + \dots + \alpha_{\bar{f}_{j+1}-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

When we evaluate $P_{\alpha,T,Q,F}(\sigma)$, then centred term above is $P_{(\alpha_{\underline{f}_{p+1}}, \alpha_{\underline{f}_{p+1}+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\underline{f}_1}), \{t_1\}}(C_{t_1}) = 0$ using the result for $|\{t_1\}| = 1$ in first part of the proof. This complete the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Aval, N. Bergeron, *Catalan paths and quasi-symmetric functions*. Proc. of the Am. Math. Soc., 2003, 131(4), pp. 1053–1062. [10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06634-0](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06634-0).
- [2] J. C. Aval, F. Bergeron, N. Bergeron, *Ideals of quasi-symmetric functions and super-covariant polynomials for S_n* . Adv. in Math., 2004, 181 (2), pp. 353–367. [10.1016/S0001-8708\(03\)00068-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(03)00068-9).
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O’Shea, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms: an introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra*. Springer Science & Business Media; 2013 Mar 9.
- [4] S. X. Li, *Ideals and quotients of diagonally quasi-symmetric functions*. Elec. J. Comb., Vol 24, Issue #3, P3.3. [10.37236/6658](https://doi.org/10.37236/6658).
- [5] I. G. Macdonald, *Notes on Schubert polynomials*. Publications LACIM, vol. 6, Université du Québec à Montréal, (1991) [ISBN 978-2-89276-086-6].
- [6] R. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999).

DEPT. OF MATH. AND STAT., YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ONTARIO M3J 1P3, CANADA
 Email address: bergeron@yorku.ca