1. (10 points) Consider the unconstrained optimization problem $\min f(x, y) \equiv -\cos x \cos(y/10).$

Note: Hessian's and Derivatives computed using Wolfram Alpha

(a) Find and classify all stationary points in the region $-\pi/2 \le x \le \pi/2, -10\pi/2 \le y \le \pi/2$ $10\pi/2$

Solution: The function given is $f(x,y) = -\cos(x)\cos(y/10)$. To find the stationary points, we first compute the gradient of f(x, y):

 $\nabla f(x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right).$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \sin(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{16}\right)$$

matrix:

the region $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le x \le \frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{10\pi}{2} \le y \le \frac{10\pi}{2}$.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{10}\cos(x)\sin\left(\frac{y}{10}\right).$$
 To find the stationary points, we set each component of the gradient to zero within

$$\sin(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) = 0,$$

This results in the only solution being x = 0 and y = 0, as all other potential solu-

$$H(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) & -\frac{1}{10}\sin(x)\sin\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{10}\sin(x)\sin\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) & \frac{1}{100}\cos(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

At the point (0,0), the Hessian matrix simplifies to: $H(f)_{(0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{100} \end{bmatrix}.$

positive, it indicates that the stationary point at
$$(0,0)$$
 is a local minimum.

(b) There is a portion of the problem region within which the Hessian matrix of $f(x,y)$ is positive definite. Give expressions for this portion. You should be able to do this

Solution: Using 1a), the Hessian matrix H(f) is

or both negative. Given the specified domain $-\pi/2 \le x \le \pi/2, -10\pi/2 \le y \le \pi/2$

Solution: Refer to HW4.py for full code

grad0_norm = np.linalg.norm(grad_f(x))

func = lambda alpha: f(x + alpha * p)

result = minimize_scalar(func)

[-1.08852028e-53 [-4.41544254e-59

6.25287206e-01],

method's convergence speed.

point within the region.

[-0.77134949 [-0.76439994

[-0.75065032

[-0.73709978 [-0.73039905

for i in range(max_iter): $grad = grad_f(x)$

break

def exact_line_search(f, x, p):

return result.x

return x

$$10\pi/2$$
, $\cos(x)$ is positive for $-\pi/2 < x < \pi/2$, and similarly, $\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right)$ is positive for $-10\pi/2 < y < 10\pi/2$. Therefore, within the given domain, the Hessian matrix can be positive definite where $\cos(x)$ and $\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right)$ maintain their positive values and

 $0.005\cos(2x) + 0.005\cos\left(\frac{y}{5}\right) > 0.$

This condition is met within the domain where $\cos(x)$ and $\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right)$ are both positive

the function at the current point. For f(x,y), the gradient is $\nabla f(x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right).$

 $d_k = -\nabla f(x_k, y_k) = -\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_k, y_k), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_k, y_k)\right).$

that you will not be able to find the value of the optimal step length analytically; instead, determine it numerically. Suggestion: use a built-in one-dimensional minimization function such as FindMinimum or FindRoot in MATHEMATICA or fzero in MATLAB.

 $x = x - step_size * grad$ grad_norm_reduction = np.linalg.norm(grad) / grad0_norm

steepest_descent_no_line_search(x0, max_iter=100, tol=1e-6, step_size=0.01):

 $print(f"Iter {i+1}: x = {x}, f(x) = {f(x)}, Grad Norm Reduction = {grad_norm_reduction}")$ if np.linalg.norm(grad) < tol:</pre> print("Convergence achieved.")

analytically but I recommend using Matlab/python.

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \sin(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right),$ $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{10}\cos(x)\sin\left(\frac{y}{10}\right).$ Hence, the search direction for steepest descent at (x_k, y_k) is

For the function $f(x,y) = -\cos(x)\cos(y/10)$, the partial derivatives are

 $grad = grad_f(x)$ alpha = exact_line_search(f, x, -grad) x = x - alpha * gradgrad_norm_reduction = np.linalg.norm(grad) / grad0_norm $print(f"Iter {i+1}: x = {x}, f(x) = {f(x)}, Grad Norm Reduction = {grad_norm_reduction}")$

```
print("Convergence achieved.")
  def armijo_line_search(f, grad_f, x, p, alpha=1.0, beta=0.5, sigma=0.1):
               while f(x + alpha * p) > f(x) + sigma * alpha * np.dot(grad_f(x), p):
                          alpha *= beta
               return alpha
  def steepest_descent_armijo(x0, max_iter=100, tol=1e-6):
               grad0_norm = np.linalg.norm(grad_f(x))
               for i in range(max_iter):
                          grad = grad_f(x)
                          p = -grad
                          alpha = armijo_line_search(f, grad_f, x, p)
                          x = x + alpha * p
                          grad_norm_reduction = np.linalg.norm(grad) / grad0_norm
                          print(f"Iter {i+1}: x = {x}, f(x) = {f(x)}, Grad Norm Reduction = {grad_norm_reduction}")
                           if np.linalg.norm(grad) < tol:</pre>
                                       print("Convergence achieved.")
                     (e) Run your program for various initial guesses within the region. At every iteration print
                                 out the iteration, the current point x_k, the value of the objective function f(x_k), and
                                 the reduction in the norm of the gradient \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2/\|\nabla f(x_0)\|_2.
Steepest Descent with Exact Line Search:

Iter 1: x = [6.14500817e-05 7.79216462e-01], f(x) = -0.99696564243836, Grad Norm Reduction = 1.0

Iter 2: x = [-0.00603374 0.00474875], f(x) = -0.9999816842796219, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.011042677518328242

Iter 3: x = [3.70243097e-07 4.70126081e-03], f(x) = -0.9999998894906676, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.008559333360528238

Iter 4: x = [-3.64283336e-05 2.86627036e-05], f(x) = -0.9999999993323806, Grad Norm Reduction = 6.669145573192538e-05

Convergence achieved.
Steepest Descent with Armijo Line Search:

Iter 1: x = [-0.88047116 0.77985027], f(x) = -0.9937344872607144, Grad Norm Reduction = 1.0

Iter 2: x = [-3.31133232e-04 7.72084878e-01], f(x) = -0.997020850389113, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.11421448527304574

Iter 3: x = [-9.86483372e-07 7.64371698e-01], f(x) = -0.9970801016101538, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010951492874988264

Iter 4: x = [-2.88043121e-09 7.56735422e-01], f(x) = -0.997184094261387, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010832383227705617

Iter 5: x = [-8.24343809e-12 7.49175288e-01], f(x) = -0.997194994261387, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010724372453222261

Iter 6: x = [-2.31228912e-14 7.41690542e-01], f(x) = -0.9973653763689009, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010617432592369141

Iter 7: x = [-6.35709237e-17 7.34280434e-01], f(x) = -0.9973653722583706, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010617432592369141

Iter 8: x = [-1.71299975e-19 7.26944227e-01], f(x) = -0.9973589238236013, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010406723704656604

Iter 9: x = [-4.52416282e-22 7.19681185e-01], f(x) = -0.9974114125274229, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010302934098937304

Iter 10: x = [-2.97129385e-27 7.05371705e-01], f(x) = -0.9974628594100822, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.010200174157830295

Iter 13: x = [-7.38876071e-30 6.98323836e-01], f(x) = -0.99756132850974737, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.0109097703151285476

Iter 13: x = [-4.8085540e-32 6.99346272e-01], f(x) = -0.99756132850974737, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.0099997703151285476

Iter 14: x = [-4.30196736e-35 6.84438315e-01], f(x) = -0.99776513850974737, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.009997703151285476

Iter 15: x = [-1.00724749e-37 6.77599274e-01], f(x) = -0.9977507895117249, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.0099979231377592987

Iter 16: x = [-2.31145738e-40 6.79828466e-01], f(x) = -0.9977507895117249, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.009964680999448752

Iter 17: x = [-5.19895418e-43 6.64125211e-01], f(x) = -0.9977507895117249, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.0099604680999448752

Iter 18: x = [-1.00724749e-37 6.77599274e-01], f(x) = -0.99779507895117249, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.0099604680999448752

Iter 18: x
```

-0.9978822721935482, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.009320041335490513 = -0.9979243707984506, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.00922704029344725 = -0.997965633380125, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.00913496334863671 = -0.9980060765070146, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.00904380143530593

0.9929272507450424 0.9858557244468117

0.9787867946655945

Grad Norm Reduction = 0.971721805011597 Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9646620691332755

= -0.9980457164205407, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.008953545573175287 = -0.9980845690415044, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.008864186866736696

Solution: The approach utilizing exact line search exhibited the quickest convergence to the

(f) Verify that the Steepest descent method converges to the minimum x^* for any starting

Solution: Each approach—without line search, with exact line search, and with Armijo line

in finding the minimum x^* within the specified region.

f(x) = -0.7243174431734963,

0.78511697], f(x) = -0.7289928596702338, 0.78505961], f(x) = -0.7336004799122335,

0.78528682], f(x) = -0.7147610358828453, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.78523057], f(x) = -0.7195736811385084, Grad Norm Reduction =

function's minimum, demonstrating substantial efficacy in optimization. Next was the Armijo line search, which also effectively adjusted step sizes adaptively but at a marginally slower rate. The version without a line search showed the slowest convergence, highlighting the role of line search in enhancing the steepest descent

search—converged towards the function's minimum. This convergence behavior across different starting points confirms the robustness of the steepest descent method

Solution: The observed convergence rates for the steepest descent method showcased exact

(h) (5 points) Use your Matlab implementation of the Steepest descent method (with and without line search) to minimize Rosenbrock's function, which in two dimensions is

 $f(x) = (1 - x_1)^2 + 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2.$

], f(x) = 3.9903904256

0.9984032], f(x) = 3.987182292617209

0.99520209, f(x) = 3.980761421272774

0.99200044], f(x) = 3.9743343096275850.99039943, f(x) = 3.9711184059210636

0.98879829, f(x) = 3.967900932791649

0.98719703, f(x) = 3.964681887102114

0.98559564, f(x) = 3.96146126570556770.98399413], f(x) = 3.958239065445426

0.98239249, f(x) = 3.95501528315536270.98079073], f(x) = 3.9517899156592815

0.97918884, f(x) = 3.9485629597712713

0.97758683, f(x) = 3.9453344122955710.9759847], f(x) = 3.942104270026532

0.96636929, f(x) = 3.922689744980001

0.96476629, f(x) = 3.9194483485605724

0.96316317, f(x) = 3.91620533134194160.96155993], f(x) = 3.912960690027704

[0.95995657], f(x) = 3.9097144213111727

0.95835308, f(x) = 3.9064665218753385

0.94872963], f(x) = 3.8869446930917437

0.9471253], f(x) = 3.8836852848689993

0.94391628, f(x) = 3.87716149211626250.94231159, f(x) = 3.873897100752055

0.94070679, f(x) = 3.8706310414885476

0.92465225], f(x) = 3.8378779512880860.92304615], f(x) = 3.834593315413618

0.92143994, f(x) = 3.831306969584884

0.94552085, f(x) = 3.88042421901442

0.99680272, f(x) = 3.9839726354377745

0.99360133], f(x) = 3.9775486470388164

was markedly slower.

Start with initial point (-1,1).

x = [-0.99438401]

x = [-0.99115778]

given by

Without line search:

Iter 1: x = [-0.996]

Iter 3:

7:

Iter 2: x = [-0.99518883]

Iter 4: x = [-0.99357853]Iter 5: x = [-0.99277233]

Iter 6: x = [-0.99196542]

Iter 8: x = [-0.99034942]

Iter 9: x = [-0.98954034]Iter 10: x = [-0.98873054]

Iter 11: x = [-0.98792001]Iter 12: x = [-0.98710875]

Iter 13: x = [-0.98629676]Iter 14: x = [-0.98548404]

Iter 15: x = [-0.98467058]

Iter 16: x = [-0.98385639]

Iter 22: x = [-0.97895572]

Iter 23: x = [-0.97813634]

Iter 25: x = [-0.97649532]Iter 26: x = [-0.97567368]

Iter 27: x = [-0.97485129]

Iter 33: x = [-0.96990101]Iter 34: x = [-0.96907329]

Iter 35: x = [-0.96824481]

Iter 36: x = [-0.96741555]

Iter 37: x = [-0.96658552]Iter 38: x = [-0.96575471]

Iter 48: x = [-0.95740366]

Iter 49: x = [-0.95656422]Iter 50: x = [-0.95572398]

With Armijo line search:

Iter 1: x = [1. 1.], f(x) = 0.0Convergence after 2 iterations.

tentative title.

References:

Iter 24: x = [-0.9773162]

line search exhibited the most rapid convergence. The Armijo line search also had efficient convergence by adaptively adjusting step sizes, though at a slightly slower pace compared to the exact line search. Without any line search, the convergence

0.97438244, f(x) = 3.938872529748575Iter 17: x = [-0.98304147]Iter 18: x = [-0.9822258]0.97278005], f(x) = 3.935639188236157Iter 19: x = [-0.98140939]0.97117755], f(x) = 3.9324042422537290.96957492], f(x) = 3.929167688555698Iter 20: x = [-0.98059225]Iter 21: x = [-0.97977436]0.96797217, f(x) = 3.925929523886389

0.93910186], f(x) = 3.8673633108816734Iter 39: x = [-0.96492313]0.93749682], f(x) = 3.8640939054765076Iter 40: x = [-0.96409077]0.93589166], f(x) = 3.8608228218072216Iter 41: x = [-0.96325763]0.93428638, f(x) = 3.8575500563970433Iter 42: x = [-0.96242371]Iter 43: x = [-0.961589]0.93268098, f(x) = 3.854275605758213

ii. (If applicable) Meet with your group initially to start discussing a topic. Submit a

- Deep Learning iii. Give a short description of why you are interested on this topic. Description: My interest in edge promoting stems from enhancing computer vision tasks by
 - B. "Fast Global Image Smoothing Based on Weighted Least Squares"

The partial derivatives are: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \sin(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right),$

 $\frac{1}{10}\cos(x)\sin\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) = 0.$

The determinant of this Hessian matrix is positive, as $\det(H(f)_{(0,0)}) = 1 \times \frac{1}{100} - 0 =$ $\frac{1}{100}$. Since both diagonal elements (which are also the eigenvalues in this case) are

 $H(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) & -\frac{1}{10}\sin(x)\sin\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{10}\sin(x)\sin\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) & \frac{1}{100}\cos(x)\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right) \end{bmatrix}.$ The determinant of H(f) is: $\det(H(f)) = 0.005\cos(2x) + 0.005\cos\left(\frac{y}{5}\right).$ For the Hessian matrix to be positive definite, the determinant must be greater than

can be positive definite where $\cos(x)$ and $\cos\left(\frac{y}{10}\right)$ maintain their positive values and satisfy the determinant condition. (c) Derive expressions for the search directions associated with the steepest descent method. Solution: The steepest descent method moves from the current point in the direction of the most rapid decrease of the function. This direction is opposite to the gradient of

The search direction at any point (x_k, y_k) is therefore

 $d_k = -\left(\sin(x_k)\cos\left(\frac{y_k}{10}\right), -\frac{1}{10}\cos(x_k)\sin\left(\frac{y_k}{10}\right)\right).$ This expression will be used to update the current point to the next point in steepest descent. (d) Write a program that performs the steepest descent iterations, without a line search,

def steepest_descent_exact_line_search(x0, max_iter=100, tol=1e-6): grad0_norm = np.linalg.norm(grad_f(x)) for i in range(max_iter): if np.linalg.norm(grad) < tol:</pre>

0.78505961], f(x) = -0.7336004799122335, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9646620691332755 0.7850019], f(x) = -0.738140864133974, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9576088707328713 0.78494384], f(x) = -0.7426145828825158, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9505634636081087 0.78488543], f(x) = -0.7470222163911996, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9435270717190128 0.78482668], f(x) = -0.7513643539657264, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9365008892788087 0.78476759], f(x) = -0.75536415933828987, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9294860808678659 0.78470816], f(x) = -0.7559845403022542, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9224837815696675 0.78464841], f(x) = -0.7640038076908072, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9154950971277833 0.78458834], f(x) = -0.7680900152610703, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9085211041228451 0.78452796], f(x) = -0.776136757602465417, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.9015628501685319 0.78446726], f(x) = -0.7769757602465417, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.8846213541255853 0.784346473, f(x) = -0.778975659451969, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.8876976063328924 0.78434494], f(x) = -0.78838168473634707, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.8807925688546948 0.78428334], f(x) = -0.78759724998545, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.87997175742985 [-0.72374792 = [-0.71714633 = [-0.71059419 12: 13: = [-0.70409143 = [-0.69763793 [-0.6912336 [-0.68487833 = [-0.678572 = [-0.67231449 = [-0.66610565 17: x 18: x Iter Convergence achieved.

Iter 1: x = [-6.14500817e-05 -7.79216462e-01], f(x) = -0.99696564243836, Grad Norm Reduction = 1.0

Iter 2: x = [0.00603374 -0.00474875], f(x) = -0.999816842796219, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.011042677518328242

Iter 3: x = [-3.70243097e-07 -4.70126081e-03], f(x) = -0.9999998894906676, Grad Norm Reduction = 0.008559333360528238

Iter 4: x = [3.64283336e-05 -2.86627036e-05], f(x) = -0.9999999993323806, Grad Norm Reduction = 6.669145573192538e-05

Convergence achieved. Users/ronald/Desktop/MATH140/HW4/HW4.py:54: RuntimeWarning: invalid value encountered in scalar divide/ grad_norm_reduction = np.linalg.norm(grad) / grad0_norm ter 1: x = [0. 0.], f(x) = -1.0, Grad Norm Reduction = nan onvergence achieved. (g) What do you observe about the convergence rate in these cases?

0.95674947, f(x) = 3.903216988392831Iter 28: x = [-0.97402815]Iter 29: x = [-0.97320424]0.95514575, f(x) = 3.8999658175258762Iter 30: x = [-0.97237958]0.9535419], f(x) = 3.8967130059262582Iter 31: x = [-0.97155416]0.95193793, f(x) = 3.8934585502352754Iter 32: x = [-0.97072797]0.95033384, f(x) = 3.8902024470837016

Iter 44: x = [-0.96075351]0.93107547, f(x) = 3.8509994663919380.92946984, f(x) = 3.847721634788356Iter 45: x = [-0.95991724]0.92786409, f(x) = 3.8444421074264823Iter 46: x = [-0.95908017]Iter 47: x = [-0.95824231]0.92625823, f(x) = 3.841160880774175

- preserving edges in image processing. iv. Include 2-3 references for the topic you chose. A. "Total Variation Optimization Layers for Computer Vision"

Tentative Title: Edge-Aware Image Smoothing via Weighted Least Squares Optimization and

- C. "Deep Edge-Aware Filters" Paper v. You can meet with me to discuss the project plan and help further ideas - come
- prepared to this meeting with some topics you would be interested in. Send an email to set up an appointment. and proposed methodology
- Solution: The output indicates that Steepest Descent method without line search is showing very slow convergence towards the minimum of the function. In contrast, the Steepest Descent with Armijo line search shows rapid convergence, achieving the desired tolerance after just two iterations. (i) (5 points) Tentative Project Plan: i. If you decide to work with your peers, please submit the names of your team members. It's perfectly acceptable to work alone on the project as well. Team Members: Ronald Nap, Cristian Espinosa
 - Paper
 - Preparation: We intend to prepare a detailed project outline, including a literature review