

Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

<https://github.com/naqeebsalati>

July 19, 2025

Protocol Audit Report

Abdullahi Naqeeb Salati

July 17, 2025

Prepared by: Abdullahi Naqeeb Salati

Lead Security Researcher: - Abdullahi Naqeeb Salati

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
 - * [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password
- Informational
 - [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Disclaimer

The Abdullahi Naqeeb Salati team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

**The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash: Commit Hash:

2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990

Scope

```
src/  
--- PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

- Owner: Is the only one who should be able to set and access the password.

For this contract, only the owner should be able to interact with the contract.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	1
Info	1
Gas Optimizations	0
Total	0

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

Description: All data stored on chain is public and visible to anyone. and can be read directly from the blockchain. The `PasswordStore::s_password` variable is intended to be hidden and only accessible by the owner through the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below.

Impact: Anyone is able to read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept: [Proof of code]

The below test case shows how anyone could read the password directly from the blockchain. We use foundry's cast tool to read directly from the storage of the contract, without being the owner.

- ## 1. Create a locally running chain

make anvil

- ## 2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
make deploy
```

- ### 3. Run the storage tool

We use 1 because that's the storage slot of `s_password` in the contract.

```
cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get an output that looks like this:

[illegible]

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

```
cast parse-bytes32-string 0x6d7950617373776f726400000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
```

And get an output of:

myPassword

Recommended Mitigation:

1. Do not store passwords in plaintext on-chain. Instead:

Store the hash of the password using `keccak256(abi.encodePacked(password))`.

When authentication is needed, compare `keccak256(abi.encodePacked(input))` against the stored hash.

2. Additionally, ensure:

Use bytes32 type for hashes.

Use constant-time comparisons (e.g., avoid exposing timing through branch logic if comparing off-chain).

Example fix:

```
bytes32 private s_passwordHash;

function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external onlyOwner {
    s_passwordHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(newPassword));
}

function checkPassword(string memory input) external view returns (bool) {
    return keccak256(abi.encodePacked(input)) == s_passwordHash;
}
```

This approach avoids storing sensitive data and enforces privacy through secure hashing.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however the purpose of the smart contract and function's natspec indicate that This function allows only the owner to set a new password.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
>>    // @Audit - There are no Access Controls.
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNewPassword();
}
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the stored password, severely breaking the contract's intended functionality

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file:

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address >randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.startPrank(randomAddress);
```

```
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

    vm.startPrank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.>getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control conditional to PasswordStore::setPassword.

Code

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

Description:

```
/*
 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
 @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
 */
function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {}
```

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

Impact: The natspec is incorrect

Proof of Concept:

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line

```
-      * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```