```
import tensorflow as tf
from tensorflow.keras.datasets import mnist
from tensorflow.keras.utils import to_categorical
import numpy as np
#-Load-MNIST data
(x_train, y_train), (x_test, y_test) = mnist.load_data()
#-Normalize images to the range [0, 1]
x_train = x_train.astype('float32') / 255.0
x_test = x_test.astype('float32') / 255.0
x_train = np.expand_dims(x_train, axis=-1)
x_test = np.expand_dims(x_test, axis=-1)
# • One-hot • encode labels
y_train = to_categorical(y_train, 10)
y_test = to_categorical(y_test, 10)
    Downloading data from <a href="https://storage.googleapis.com/tensorflow/tf-keras-datasets/mnist.npz">https://storage.googleapis.com/tensorflow/tf-keras-datasets/mnist.npz</a>
     11490434/11490434
                                             0s Ous/step
from tensorflow.keras import layers, models
# Parameters
image_size = 28  # MNIST images are 28x28
patch_size = 7  # Size of each patch
num_patches = (image_size // patch_size) ** 2
projection_dim = 64
num\ heads = 4
transformer units = [
    projection_dim * 2,
    projection_dim,
transformer_layers = 8
mlp_head_units = [2048, 1024]
class Patches(layers.Layer):
    def __init__(self, patch_size):
        super().__init__()
        self.patch_size = patch_size
    def call(self, images):
        batch_size = tf.shape(images)[0]
        patches = tf.image.extract_patches(
            images=images,
            sizes=[1, self.patch_size, self.patch_size, 1],
            strides=[1, self.patch_size, self.patch_size, 1],
            rates=[1, 1, 1, 1],
            padding='VALID',
        patch_dims = patches.shape[-1]
        patches = tf.reshape(patches, [batch_size, -1, patch_dims])
        return patches
class PatchEncoder(layers.Layer):
    def __init__(self, num_patches, projection_dim):
        super().__init__()
        self.num_patches = num_patches
        self.projection = layers.Dense(units=projection_dim)
        self.position_embedding = layers.Embedding(
            input_dim=num_patches, output_dim=projection_dim
    def call(self, patch):
        positions = tf.range(start=0, limit=self.num_patches, delta=1)
        encoded = self.projection(patch) + self.position_embedding(positions)
        return encoded
def create_vit_classifier():
    inputs = layers.Input(shape=(image_size, image_size, 1))
    patches = Patches(patch_size)(inputs)
    encoded_patches = PatchEncoder(num_patches, projection_dim)(patches)
    for _ in range(transformer_layers):
        x1 = layers.LayerNormalization(epsilon=1e-6)(encoded_patches)
        attention_output = layers.MultiHeadAttention(
            num_heads=num_heads, key_dim=projection_dim, dropout=0.1
        x2 = layers.Add()([attention output, encoded patches])
```

```
x3 = layers.LayerNormalization(epsilon=1e-6)(x2)
        x3 = layers.Dense(units=transformer_units[0], activation='relu')(x3)
        x3 = layers.Dense(units=transformer_units[1], activation='relu')(x3)
        x3 = layers.Dropout(0.1)(x3)
        encoded patches = layers.Add()([x3, x2])
    representation = layers.LayerNormalization(epsilon=1e-6)(encoded_patches)
    representation = layers.Flatten()(representation)
    representation = layers.Dropout(0.5)(representation)
    features = layers.Dense(units=mlp_head_units[0], activation='relu')(representation)
    features = layers.Dropout(0.5)(features)
    features = layers.Dense(units=mlp_head_units[1], activation='relu')(features)
    features = layers.Dropout(0.5)(features)
    logits = layers.Dense(10)(features)
    model = models.Model(inputs=inputs, outputs=logits)
    return model
vit_classifier = create_vit_classifier()
vit_classifier.compile(
    optimizer=tf.keras.optimizers.Adam(learning_rate=1e-4),
    loss=tf.keras.losses.CategoricalCrossentropy(from logits=True),
)
# Train the model
history = vit_classifier.fit(
    x_train, y_train,
    batch_size=64,
    epochs=20,
    validation_data=(x_test, y_test),
\overline{z}
   Epoch 1/20
    938/938 -
                                 - 92s 38ms/step - accuracy: 0.5289 - loss: 1.4660 - val_accuracy: 0.9272 - val_loss: 0.2320
    Epoch 2/20
     938/938
                                – 40s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.8824 - loss: 0.3717 - val_accuracy: 0.9548 - val_loss: 0.1473
    Epoch 3/20
    938/938 -
                                - 14s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9215 - loss: 0.2474 - val_accuracy: 0.9620 - val_loss: 0.1131
    Epoch 4/20
                                 - 20s 14ms/step - accuracy: 0.9418 - loss: 0.1872 - val accuracy: 0.9702 - val loss: 0.0906
    938/938 -
    Epoch 5/20
    938/938 -
                                 - 14s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9508 - loss: 0.1571 - val_accuracy: 0.9726 - val_loss: 0.0817
    Epoch 6/20
    938/938 -
                                 - 13s 14ms/step - accuracy: 0.9605 - loss: 0.1294 - val_accuracy: 0.9760 - val_loss: 0.0704
     Epoch 7/20
                                 - 14s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9629 - loss: 0.1170 - val_accuracy: 0.9795 - val_loss: 0.0600
    938/938 -
    Epoch 8/20
    938/938
                                 - 20s 14ms/step - accuracy: 0.9658 - loss: 0.1086 - val_accuracy: 0.9808 - val_loss: 0.0570
    Epoch 9/20
    938/938
                                 - 20s 14ms/step - accuracy: 0.9728 - loss: 0.0922 - val accuracy: 0.9820 - val loss: 0.0507
    Epoch 10/20
    938/938
                                 - 20s 14ms/step - accuracy: 0.9723 - loss: 0.0871 - val_accuracy: 0.9832 - val_loss: 0.0507
    Epoch 11/20
    938/938
                                – 14s 15ms/step – accuracy: 0.9760 - loss: 0.0765 - val_accuracy: 0.9840 - val_loss: 0.0456
     Epoch 12/20
    938/938
                                - 21s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9785 - loss: 0.0677 - val_accuracy: 0.9847 - val_loss: 0.0494
    Epoch 13/20
                                 - 14s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9800 - loss: 0.0646 - val_accuracy: 0.9845 - val_loss: 0.0463
    938/938 -
    Epoch 14/20
    938/938 -
                                – 13s 14ms/step – accuracy: 0.9818 - loss: 0.0591 - val_accuracy: 0.9846 - val_loss: 0.0484
    Epoch 15/20
    938/938 -
                                 - 21s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9817 - loss: 0.0559 - val accuracy: 0.9847 - val loss: 0.0451
    Epoch 16/20
    938/938 -
                                - 21s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9834 - loss: 0.0512 - val_accuracy: 0.9858 - val_loss: 0.0484
    Epoch 17/20
     938/938
                                 - 20s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9834 - loss: 0.0515 - val_accuracy: 0.9871 - val_loss: 0.0437
    Epoch 18/20
     938/938
                                 13s 14ms/step - accuracy: 0.9858 - loss: 0.0441 - val_accuracy: 0.9862 - val_loss: 0.0443
    Epoch 19/20
    938/938
                                - 14s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9861 - loss: 0.0432 - val accuracy: 0.9873 - val loss: 0.0421
    Epoch 20/20
    938/938
                                 - 14s 15ms/step - accuracy: 0.9858 - loss: 0.0416 - val_accuracy: 0.9862 - val_loss: 0.0465
# Evaluate the model
test_loss, test_accuracy = vit_classifier.evaluate(x_test, y_test)
print(f'Test accuracy: {test_accuracy * 100:.2f}%')
\rightarrow
    313/313 -
                                - 6s 9ms/step - accuracy: 0.9819 - loss: 0.0637
     Test accuracy: 98.62%
```

Comments on Extending Vision Transformer to Quantum Vision Transformer

The classical Vision Transformer (ViT) implemented above effectively learns spatial dependencies in MNIST images through self-attention mechanisms. To extend this approach to a Quantum Vision Transformer (QViT), we can leverage quantum data encoding, quantum self-attention, and hybrid quantum-classical training.

In a QViT, image patches would be encoded into quantum states using quantum feature maps, allowing for quantum parallelism in processing multiple patches simultaneously. The self-attention mechanism could be replaced by variational quantum circuits (VQCs), where entanglement enables efficient representation of relationships between patches. The model would then be trained using a hybrid approach, where classical optimizers update quantum gate parameters.

One challenge in this transition is efficient quantum data encoding, as current quantum devices have limited qubit capacity. Additionally, quantum circuits must be shallow to remain feasible on near-term quantum hardware. However, if implemented effectively, QViTs could potentially achieve superior feature extraction and computational efficiency compared to classical transformers, especially for high-dimensional datasets.

This approach could be further explored in future work, focusing on optimizing quantum attention mechanisms and evaluating performance on larger datasets beyond MNIST.