Project 1

 $\mathrm{CS325} - \mathrm{Spring}\ 2015$

by Group 2 Vedanth Narayanan Jonathan Merrill Tracie Lee

1 Theoretical Run-time Analysis

1.1 Algorithm 1

```
maxSubarray(a[1,...,n])
  max = a[0]
  for i = [0...n]
  for j = [i,n]
  sum = 0
  for each pair(i,j) with 1<=i<=j<=n
    compute a[i]+a[j+1]+...+a[j-1]+a[j]
  keep max sum found so far
  return max sum found</pre>
```

Asymptotic Analysis

We have $O(n^2)$ pairs * O(n) time to compute each sum = $O(n^3)$.

1.2 Algorithm 2

```
maxSubarray(a[1,...,n])
  for i = 1, ...., n
      sum = 0
      for = i, ...., n
      sum = sum + a[j]
      keep max sum found so far
  return max sum found
```

Asymptotic Analysis

We have O(n) i-iterations (outer loop) * O(n) j-iterations (inner loop) * O(n) for the time to update = $O(n^2)$.

1.3 Algorithm 3

%% ENTER PSEUDO-CODE HERE %%

Asymptotic Analysis

We have $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + \Theta(n)$. This falls within Case 2 of the Master Method, and therefore yields a solution of $\Theta(nlgn)$.

1.4 Algorithm 4

```
maybeStart = 0
start = 0
end = 0
i = testArray[0]
sum = testArray[0]
small = Alg4Helper(0,i)
(helper function to determine the minimum between a pair of values)
for j in range(1,len(testArray)):
    i = i + testArray[j]
    if (i - small) > sum:
        start = maybeStart
        end = j+1
        sum = (i - small)
    if i < small:</pre>
        maybeStart = j+1
        small = i
return (sum, testArray, testArray[start:end])
```

Asymptotic Analysis

We have O(n) things to compute, therefore this takes O(n) time.

2 Proof of Correctness: Algorithm 3

Base Case

We pass in either an empty array or an array consisting of 1 element. In the first case, an empty array is returned and in the second case the algorithm returns the same array that had been passed in since it is the max subarray within that array.

Inductive Hypothesis

Assume that algorithm 3 correctly returns a maximum contiguous sum of elements S from an array A of n > 1 elements. If we split A into 2 separate arrays, let L represent the new array from the left side and let R represent the new array from the right side. Then let $s_{i...j}$ represent any sequence of numbers with the largest sum that lies with in S. If the sum of $s_{i...j} = x$, then $max(first, last, center) \le x$. There are then 3 possibilities:

- 1. $s_{i...j}$ lies completely within L. In this case, it would follow that the max subarray of L is equal to x which means that $max(first, last, center) \ge first = x$. Because of this, we know that the answer returned is exactly x.
- 2. $s_{i...j}$ lies completely within R. In this case, it would follow that the max subarray of R is equal to x which means that $max(first, last, center) \ge last = x$. Because of this, we know that the answer returned is exactly x.
- 3. If $s_{i...j}$ does not lie completely within L or R, then it must start in L and end in R.

Inductive Step

Termination

- 3 Testing
- 4 Experimental Analysis
- 5 Extrapolation and interpretation