

# Algorithm Task

## Non-Recursive Wiggle-Sort Algorithm

Algorithm Logic (C code):

### Non-Recursive Wiggle-Sort Code

```
void wiggleSort1(int a[], int size) {
    for(int i = 0; i < size - 1; i++) {
        if ((i % 2 == 1 && a[i] <= a[i+1]) ||
            (i % 2 == 0 && a[i] >= a[i+1])) {
            swap(&a[i], &a[i+1]);
        }
    }
}
```

## Pseudocode

### Non-Recursive Wiggle-Sort Pseudocode

- For  $i$  from 0 to  $n - 2$ :
  - If ( $i$  is odd and  $a[i] \leq a[i + 1]$ ) OR ( $i$  is even and  $a[i] \geq a[i + 1]$ ):
    - \* Swap  $a[i]$  and  $a[i + 1]$

## Analysis

- **Algorithm Explanation:**
  - Greedy, single-pass algorithm to enforce **wiggle sort**:
    - \* Even  $i$ :  $a[i] < a[i + 1]$
    - \* Odd  $i$ :  $a[i] > a[i + 1]$
  - Uses sequencing, if-then-else, and a for loop.
- **Time Complexity:**  $O(n)$  (one pass, each step  $O(1)$ )
- **Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$  (no extra space)

- **Constraints:**
  - $1 \leq n \leq 50,000$
  - $0 \leq a[i] \leq 5,000$

## Recursive Wiggle-Sort Algorithm

Algorithm Logic (C code):

### Recursive Wiggle-Sort Code

```
// Assumes mergeSort function is defined
void wiggleSort(int* nums, int numsSize) {
    mergeSort(nums, 0, numsSize-1);
    int half = (numsSize + 1) / 2;
    int* small = ...; // first half reversed
    int* large = ...; // second half reversed
    int i = 0, s = 0, l = 0;
    while (i < numsSize) {
        if (i % 2 == 0) nums[i++] = small[s++];
        else nums[i++] = large[l++];
    }
}
```

## Pseudocode

### Recursive Wiggle-Sort Pseudocode

- Sort(nums)
- Split nums into small (first half) and large (second half)
- Reverse small
- Reverse large
- For  $i$  from 0 to  $n - 1$ :
  - If  $i$  is even:  $\text{nums}[i] = \text{next from small}$
  - Else:  $\text{nums}[i] = \text{next from large}$

## Analysis

- **Algorithm Explanation:**

- Sorts array, splits into halves, reverses each, and interleaves.
- Uses while loop and if-then-else for alternating insertion.
- **Time Complexity:**  $O(n \log n)$  (sorting dominates)
- **Space Complexity:**  $O(n)$  (temporary arrays for halves)
- **Constraints:**
  - $1 \leq n \leq 50,000$
  - $0 \leq a[i] \leq 5,000$

## Comparison of Approaches

### Summary Comparison

- The **non-recursive** method is faster ( $O(n)$ ), simpler, and uses constant space, making it well-suited for most practical cases.
- The **recursive (sort-based)** method is more general and works well with duplicate values, but is slower ( $O(n \log n)$ ) and requires extra space.