University at Buffalo

Summer 2025, CSE 473LEC Comp Vision & Image Proc Section S
Instructor: Devulapally, Naresh Kumar (Primary)

There were: 6 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	RR	Top Two	Avg	SD	473LECSummer 25	CSESummr 25	DivSummr 25	SchSummr 25	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
1	Academic integrity violations did not occur	5	83%	100% (4)	4.5	0.6	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)
											V Poor	**Poor**	**Fair**	**Good**	**Excel**	
2	Overall course rating	5	83%	80% (4)	4.2	0.8	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	40% (2)	
											Str Disagree	**Disagree**	**Neutral**	**Agree**	**Str Agree**	
3	Course was well organized	5	83%	80% (4)	4	0.7	4	4.4	4.3	4.3	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)	
4	Course was intellectually challenging	5	83%	80% (4)	4	0.7	4	4.4	4.3	4.4	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)	
5	Work load was reasonable	5	83%	80% (4)	4.2	1.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	
											Str Disagree	**Disagree**	**Neutral**	**Agree**	**Str Agree**	**N/A**
6	Fair methods of evaluation	5	83%	100% (5)	4.4	0.5	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	40% (2)	0% (0)
											Str Disagree	**Disagree**	**Neutral**	**Agree**	**Str Agree**	
7	Course content helped learning	5	83%	80% (4)	4.2	1.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	
	Follow up --										**Str Disagree**	**Disagree**	**Neutral**	**Agree**	**Str Agree**	**N/A**
10	Online components easy to navigate	5	83%	100% (5)	4.6	0.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)
11	Technology requirements were clear	5	83%	100% (5)	4.6	0.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)
12	Required resources were accessible	5	83%	100% (5)	4.6	0.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)
13	Required software was accessible	5	83%	100% (4)	4.5	0.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)

#	Question										V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excel	N/A
14	Technical support was adequate	5	83%	100% (5)	4.6	0.5	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)
15	Technology was easy to use	5	83%	100% (5)	4.6	0.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)
16	Technology was reliable	5	83%	100% (5)	4.6	0.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)
Follow up --											**V Poor**	**Poor**	**Fair**	**Good**	**Excel**	**N/A**
17	Overall instructor rating	5	83%	80% (4)	4	0.7	4	4.6	4.5	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)	0% (0)
Follow up --											**Str Disagree**	**Disagree**	**Neutral**	**Agree**	**Str Agree**	**N/A**
18	Instructor clearly presented learning expectations	5	83%	80% (4)	4.4	0.9	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)	0% (0)
19	Instructor enthusiastic about course	5	83%	100% (5)	5	0	5	4.7	4.5	4.6	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (5)	0% (0)
20	Instructor welcomed students to seek help	5	83%	100% (5)	4.4	0.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	40% (2)	0% (0)
21	Instructor presented material clearly	5	83%	80% (4)	4.2	0.8	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	40% (2)	0% (0)
23	Instructor used variety of teaching methods	5	83%	80% (4)	4.6	0.9	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	80% (4)	0% (0)
24	Opportunities provided for instructor/student interaction	5	83%	60% (3)	4.2	1.1	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.4	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	0% (0)	60% (3)	0% (0)

Instructor	Text Responses
	Question: Comments on most effective elements
	(CSE 473LEC) Accommodations for remote students were very helpful
	(CSE 473LEC) Interactive course website that allowed us to experiment with real models we learned in the course.
	Question: Suggestions to improve course
	(CSE 473LEC) No improvements
	(CSE 473LEC) Briefly introduce graphic rendering in the course syllabus since its a very interesting niche in computer vision.
	Question: Comments on teaching effectiveness
Devulapally, Naresh Kumar	(CSE 473LEC) Hes very passionate about teaching these topics. It makes the classes exciting.

Devulapally, Naresh Kumar	(CSE 473LEC) Naresh was an amazing instructor. He was extremely enthusiastic to teach every single lecture and it was very evident that he is truly passionate about his field of profession and loves to pass on the knowledge. He broke down complex concepts into simple easy to understand modules and spent a lot of a time and effort to build live coding examples of all the concepts we learned in the course. Having access to practical models of the concepts we learned helped significantly in understanding the course material.

Question Averages

Legend: School Avg, Div Avg, CSE Avg, Avg

Question	School Avg	Div Avg	CSE Avg	Avg
Academic integrity violations did not occur	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.5
Course content helped learning	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.2
Course was intellectually challenging	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0
Course was well organized	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0
Fair methods of evaluation	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.4
Online components easy to navigate	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.6
Overall course rating	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.2
Required resources were accessible	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6
Required software was accessible	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5
Technical support was adequate	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.6
Technology requirements were clear	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6
Work load was reasonable	4.1	3.9	3.8	4.2

Mean of Responses

Distribution of Scores

Question	1 pt.	2 pts.	3 pts.	4 pts.	5 pts.
Academic integrity violations did not occur				50%	50%
Course content helped learning		20%		20%	60%
Course was intellectually challenging			20%	60%	20%
Course was well organized			20%	60%	20%
Fair methods of evaluation				60%	40%
Online components easy to navigate				40%	60%
Overall course rating			20%	40%	40%
Required resources were accessible				40%	60%
Required software was accessible				50%	50%
Technical support was adequate				40%	60%
Technology requirements were clear				40%	60%
Work load was reasonable		20%		20%	60%

Legend: 1 pt. | 2 pts. | 3 pts. | 4 pts. | 5 pts.