

<b>PM – Provider Information Management</b>					
<b>Terminate Provider</b>					
<b>Capability Question</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Level 4</b>	<b>Level 5</b>
<b>Business Capability Descriptions</b>					
<b>Is the process primarily manual or automatic?</b>	The process consists primarily of manual paper-based activity to accomplish tasks.	SMA uses a mix of manual and automatic processes to accomplish tasks.	SMA automates process to the full extent possible and stores the provider termination information within the state. SMA automates process to the full extent possible within the intrastate. SMA shares Provider Network information with Health Insurance Exchange (HIX). SMA produces audit trail of termination decision 100% of the time.	SMA automates process to the full extent possible and stores the provider termination information for the region. SMA automates process to the full extent possible across the interstate. SMA shares Provider Network information with Health Insurance Exchange (HIX).	SMA automates process to the full extent possible This process uses and stores the provider termination information in a federal repository. SMA automates process to the full extent possible across the nation. SMA shares Provider Network information with Health Insurance Exchange (HIX).
<b>Does the State Medicaid Agency use standards in the process?</b>	SMA focuses on meeting compliance thresholds for state and federal regulations using	SMA applies a mix of HIPAA and state-specific standards.	SMA automates process to the full extent possible and stores the provider background and	SMA automates process to the full extent possible and stores the provider background and	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards, and national standards for consistent

<b>PM – Provider Information Management</b>					
<b>Terminate Provider</b>					
<b>Capability Question</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Level 4</b>	<b>Level 5</b>
	state-specific standards.		screening termination information within the state. SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards, and other nationally recognized standards for intrastate exchange of information.	screening termination information for the region standard messages and other nationally recognized standards for clinical and interstate information exchange.	validation at a federal level and stored in a federal repository standard messages and other nationally recognized standards for national exchange of information.
<b>How does the State Medicaid Agency collaborate with other agencies or entities in performing the process?</b>	Very little collaboration occurs with other agencies to standardize information exchange or business tasks.	SMA collaborates with other agencies and entities to adopt HIPAA standards and Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) transactions.	SMA collaborates with other intrastate agencies and entities to adopt national standards, and to develop and share reusable business services.	SMA collaborates with other regional agencies and entities to adopt national standards, and to develop and share reusable processes including clinical information.	SMA collaborates with federal agencies and entities for national (and international) interoperability improvements that maximize automation of routine operations.
<b>Business Capability Quality: Timeliness of Process</b>					
<b>How timely is the end-to-end</b>	Process meets threshold or	Process timeliness improves through	Timeliness improves via state and federal	Information is available in near real	Information is available in real

<b>PM – Provider Information Management</b>					
<b>Terminate Provider</b>					
<b>Capability Question</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Level 4</b>	<b>Level 5</b>
<b>process?</b>	mandated requirements for timeliness (i.e., the process achieves results within the time specified by law or regulation).	use of automation. Timeliness exceeds legal requirements.	collaboration, use of information sharing, standards, and regional information exchange hubs. Timeliness exceeds Level 2.	time. Processes that use clinical information result in immediate action, response, and results. SMA has regional interoperability, which further improves timeliness over Level 3.	time. Processes improve further through connectivity with other States and with federal agencies. Most processes execute at the point of service. Results are almost immediate.
<b>Business Capability Quality: Data Access and Accuracy</b>					
<b>How accurate is the information in the process?</b>	Use of direct data entry for information collection is manually intensive and susceptible to inconsistent or incorrect information. Stakeholders are unable to rely on information for decision-making.	HIPAA standard transactions improve accuracy of information but the decision-making process may be erroneous or misleading. Accuracy is higher than at Level 1.	Automation of information collection increases the reliability of SMA's internal information. External sources of information use MITA Framework and industry standards for information exchange. Decision-making is automatic	Automation of information collection increases the reliability of SMA's internal and external sources of information. SMA adopts MITA Framework and industry standards for information exchange by regional agencies. Decision-making is	SMA adopts MITA Framework and industry standards for information exchange with national agencies. Decision-making is automatic using national standardized business rules definitions. Accuracy rating is at 99% or higher.

<b>PM – Provider Information Management</b>					
<b>Terminate Provider</b>					
<b>Capability Question</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Level 4</b>	<b>Level 5</b>
			using standardized business rules definitions. Accuracy rating is at 99% or higher.	automatic using regional standardized business rules definitions. Accuracy rating is at 99% or higher.	
<b>How accessible is the information in the process?</b>	SMA stores information in disparate systems including paper storage and obtains information manually.	SMA stores information in disparate systems, but automation and HIPAA standards increase accessibility over Level 1.	SMA obtains information easily and exchanges with intrastate agencies and entities based on MITA Framework and industry standards. Accessibility is greater than Level 2.	SMA obtains information easily and exchanges with regional agencies and entities. Accessibility is greater than Level 3.	SMA obtains information easily and exchanges with national agencies and entities. Accessibility is greater than Level 4.
<b>Business Capability Quality: Cost-Effectiveness</b>					
<b>What is the cost of the process compared to the benefits of its results?</b>	High relative cost due to low number of automatic, standardized tasks.	Automation improves process and allows focus on exception resolution, improving cost effectiveness ratio over Level 1.	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards, and other nationally recognized standards further improving cost effectiveness ratio	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards, and other nationally recognized standards for regional information	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards, and other nationally recognized standards for national (and

<b>PM – Provider Information Management</b>					
<b>Terminate Provider</b>					
<b>Capability Question</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Level 4</b>	<b>Level 5</b>
			over Level 2.	exchange improving cost effectiveness ratio over Level 3.	international) information exchange. SMA increases cost effectiveness ratio over level 4.
<b>Business Capability Quality: Effort to Perform; Efficiency</b>					
<b>How efficient is the process?</b>	Process is labor intensive. There is wasted effort or expense to accomplish tasks. Process meets minimum state process guidelines and SMA performance standards. Efficiency is low.	Automation and state standards increase productivity. Efficiency is higher than Level 1.	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information exchange with intrastate agencies and entities improving efficiency to 95% or higher.	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information exchange with regional agencies and entities improving efficiency to 98% or higher.	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information exchange with national agencies and entities improving efficiency to 98% or higher.
<b>Business Capability Quality: Accuracy of Process Results</b>					
<b>How accurate are the results of the process?</b>	Manual processes result in greater opportunity for human error.	Automation and standardized business rules definitions reduce	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information

<b>PM – Provider Information Management</b>					
<b>Terminate Provider</b>					
<b>Capability Question</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Level 4</b>	<b>Level 5</b>
	Accuracy is low.	error and improve accuracy above Level 1.	exchange with intrastate agencies and entities improving accuracy to 90% or higher.	exchange with regional agencies and entities improving accuracy to 98% or higher.	exchange with national agencies and entities improving accuracy to 98% or higher.
<b>Business Capability Quality: Utility or Value to Stakeholders</b>					
<b>Does the business process satisfy stakeholders?</b>	Stakeholders lack confidence in information negatively affecting stakeholder satisfaction with the process.	Automation and standardization provides clear and useful information. Stakeholder satisfaction is greater than Level 1.	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information exchange with intrastate agencies and entities improving stakeholder satisfaction to 90% or higher. SMA uses survey or questionnaire for information collection.	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information exchange with regional agencies and entities improving stakeholder satisfaction to 95% or higher.	SMA adopts MITA Framework, industry standards and information exchange with national agencies and entities improving stakeholder satisfaction to 98% or higher.