

CPSC 5011: Object-Oriented Concepts

Lecture 9: More Polymorphism,
Heterogeneous Collections



Polymorphism

- General Definition
 - Same name
 - Meaning depends on type
 - Choice of function resolved by
 - Type(s) of passed parameters
 - Type of `this` pointer (object through which function invoked)
- Benefits
 - Uniform interface
 - Flexibility
 - Code reuse
 - “automatic” type resolution
 - ⇒ Extensibility
 - ⇒ Maintainability

Three Types of Polymorphism

- *Ad hoc Polymorphism* (*Overloading*)
 - Operator or procedure works on arguments of different types
 - Several different functions, all with same name
 - Function signature used to resolve call
 - Function to invoke determined at *compile-time*
- *Parametric Polymorphism* (*Generics*)
 - Parametric overloading
 - Methods or procedures used in same context
 - share a name
 - disambiguated by the number and type of arguments supplied
 - Explicit parameter used to denote (generic) type
 - type placeholder
 - Different versions of definition created when actual type provided

Three Types of Polymorphism

Inclusion – Inheritance of Interface (*Subtyping*)

- Uniform interface
- Different behavior through polymorphic object
 - Handle can contain reference to different subtypes
 - Dynamic binding
- Operator or method applied to different objects from different subclasses in an inheritance hierarchy
 - Parent-child relationship required
=> substitutability
 - Sibling relationships not relevant
- **Procedure invoked determined at run-time**
 - Flexible
 - Overhead

More on Polymorphism

- Dynamic Binding
 - Postponement of function call resolution until run-time
- Requires *virtual functions*
 - Polymorphic methods
 - Overridden in derived class(es)
 - Same signature
 - Type of `this` pointer not known until run-time
 - Function to invoke determined at run-time
 - Compiler uses hidden virtual function table (vtab) to retrieve function address at run-time

Once Virtual Always Virtual

- Address of each virtual function placed in class vtab
 - “vtab” = virtual function table = jump table
- When defined, descendant class inherits copy of parent’s vtab
 - Virtual functions have same offset in all hierarchy vtabs
 - Every virtual function remains virtual for descendants.
- Each overridden function will cause an address update to the corresponding entry in the descendant vtab.

Java versus C++ Polymorphism

- Java: dynamic binding is default
- C++: static (compile-time) binding is default
 - Dynamic (run-time) binding must be explicitly chosen
 - Requires
 - declaration of virtual function in base class AND
 - use of base class pointers in application code

C++ : Dynamic (run-time) Binding

```
// C++ dynamic (run-time) binding: 3 requirements
//  pointer/reference typed to BASE class of inheritance
//  hierarchy virtual functions declared in BASE class
//  => late (dynamic) binding call to virtual member
//  function through BASE class pointer
class Base {
public:
    virtual int corners() { return 4; }
    virtual void show() { cout << "Base"; }
};
class First: public Base {
public:
    virtual void show() { cout << "First"; Base::show(); }
};
class Second: public Base {
public:
    virtual void show() { cout << "Second";
        Base::show(); }
};
class Third: public Base {
public:
    virtual void show() { cout << "Third"; Base::show(); }
};
class Home: public Base {
public:
    virtual int corners() { return 5; }
    virtual void show() { cout << "Home"; Base::show(); }
};
```

```
int main() {
    First    F;
    Second   S;
    Third    T;
    Home     H;
    Base *   Bptr;

    Bptr = &F;
    Bptr->show();
    Bptr->corners();
    Bptr = &S;
    Bptr->show();
    Bptr->corners();
    Bptr = &T;
    Bptr->show();
    Bptr->corners();
    Bptr = &H;
    Bptr->show();
    Bptr->corners();

    // what if show() were not
    // virtual in Base class?
    // what if corners() were not
    // virtual in Base class?

    return 0;
}
```


C++ : Utility of Polymorphism

```
// Utility of polymorphism
//  uniform manipulation of
//  heterogeneous objects
//  handle a variety of objects
//  without knowledge of subtype
class BaseStack {
...
public:
    BaseStack()      {    ...    }
    void push(Base * item) {    ...    }
    Base * pop()      {    ...    }

};

// ANY CONTAINER (including ARRAY)
// that holds base class pointers
// (references)
// EASILY supports polymorphic behavior
```

```
// significant benefit of polymorphism:
// support of heterogeneous collections
int main() {
    First    F;
    Second   S;
    Third    T;
    Home     H;
    BaseStack BStack;

    BStack.push(&F);
    BStack.push(&S);
    BStack.push(&T);
    BStack.push(&H);

    Base * temp;

    // static type of object popped off
    // stack is Base * value printed
    // dependent on actual (dynamic) type
    while (temp = BStack.pop())
        temp->show();

    return 0;
}
```

Heterogeneous Collections

- Tied to interface of base class in class hierarchy
 - Available functionality defined by public functions of base class
- Contains assortment of objects
 - Each object some (sub)type of class hierarchy
 - No required order or frequency of (sub)types
- Elements of collection are actually address holders
 - Pointer in C++
- Dynamic binding
 - Postpones function resolution until run-time so actual subtype used
 - Great flexibility and extensibility!!
- Class hierarchy uses virtual functions
 - Variant behavior (based on subtype)
- Traversal of heterogeneous collection
 - Yields streamline execution of varying functionality
 - Masks subtype construction and evaluation
- Type extensibility supported

C++: Polymorphic Object Creation

```
// Function that evaluates environment, possibly file input
// generates an object of some type from class hierarchy
// => can return address of any object from class hierarchy
// => subtype of object allocated determined at run-time
// BASE pointer can hold address of ANY class hierarchy object
// at compile-time:
// => return pointer holding address generated at run-time
// => cannot 'guess' what (sub)type of object allocated
FirstGen * GetObjAddr() {
    if (condA)          return new FirstGen;          // base
    else if (condB)     return new SecondGen;         // derived
    else if (condC)     return new ThirdGen;          // derived
    ...
} // ownership of object passed back
```

```
// initialization of heterogeneous collection: subtype hidden
// at compile-time, do NOT know type of object generated
FirstGen * bigPtrArray[100];
for (int k = 0; k < 100; k++)    bigPtrArray[k] = GetObjAddr();

// dynamic behavior
for (int k = 0; k < 100; k++)    bigPtrArray[k]-> simple();
...
// MEMORY MANAGEMENT: release heap memory before leaving scope
// deallocate dynamically allocated objects
for (int k = 0; k < 100; k++)    delete    bigPtrArray[k];
```

C++: Parameter Passing

```
// Polymorphism and Parameter Passing
// => pass by value slices
// => pass by reference (pointer) retains dynamic type
class Base {
public:
    virtual void msg() { cout << "Base" << endl; }
};

class Derived: public Base {
public:
    virtual void msg() { cout << "Derived" << endl; }
};
```

```
void passByValue(Base x) { x.msg(); }
void passByRef(Base& x) { x.msg(); }

int main() {
    Derived d;
    passByValue(d);    // d sliced to Base object
                      // => Base::msg() invoked
    passByRef(d);      // reference holds address of d
                      // => Derived::msg() invoked
    return 0;
}
```

C++: Internal Dynamic Memory

```
class Base {
public:
    Base() {
        ptrB = new int[10];
        cout << "Base allocates 10 integers" << endl;
    }
    ~Base() {
        delete [] ptrB;
        cout << "Base deallocates 10 integers" << endl;
    }
private:
    int * ptrB;
};

class Child: public Base {
public:
    Child() {
        ptrD = new int[100];
        cout << "Child allocates 100 integers" << endl;
    }
    ~Child() {
        delete [] ptrD;
        cout << "Child deallocates 100 integers" << endl;
    }
private:
    int * ptrD;
};
```

```
int main() {
    Base*      ptr = new Child;

    delete      ptr;
    // destructor invoked

    // Base class destructor
    // statically resolved
    // destructor non-virtual
    // => Derived destructor
    //      not invoked!!
    // => MEMORY LEAK

    return 0;
}
```

Destructors

- Fire from Derived to Base
 - Reverse order of constructors
- Object goes out of scope
 - If object of type Base, *only* Base destructor invoked
- `delete` operator invoked thru handle
 - If handle of Base type, *only* Base destructor invoked
 - If handle of Derived type, *both* Derived and Base destructor invoked

Destructors

- Derived class allocates heap memory
 - Base class pointer holds address of derived object
 - Heterogeneous collections
 - Destructors statically resolved
 - Derived destructor not invoked through base handle
 - **Application programmer FOLLOWS the RULES**
- ⇒ Dynamic resolution of destructor invocation
- ⇒ base class pointer holds address of derived object
 - ⇒ type examined at run-time
 - ⇒ Derived destructor invoked first then Base destructor invoked
 - ⇒ Requires VIRTUAL DESTRUCTOR

C++: Internal Dynamic Memory (revisited)

```
// Polymorphism and Internal Dynamic Memory
// Problem when pointer holds address of derived class object AND
// derived class object has allocated heap memory => memory leak
// Solution: make Base destructor virtual
// => call to destructor is dynamically bound
class Base {
public:
    Base() {
        ptrB = new int[10];
        cout << "Base allocates 10 integers" << endl;
    }
    virtual ~Base() { // ONLY CHANGE
        delete [] ptrB;
        cout << "Base deallocates 10 integers" << endl;
    }
private:
    int* ptrB;
};

// Child class definition unchanged - ONCE virtual ALWAYS virtual
```

```
int main() { // application code the same
    Base * ptr = new Child;
    delete ptr; // no memory leak
    return 0;
}
```


Polymorphism: Advantages

- Flexibility
 - Function call resolution postponed until run-time
- Language Support => Stable software
 - Application code need not perform “manual” type checks
 - Base class need not check subtype
 - Compiler’s responsibility to generate code to resolve call correctly
- Support of Heterogeneous collections
 - Uniform interface
- Substitutability
 - Possible to substitute child objects for parent objects
- Extensibility
 - Additional child classes may be added to inheritance hierarchy
 - ⇒ Additional overridden version of base virtual function
 - ⇒ Minimal change in application code
 - For example, consider adding a “`class Four`”
 - ⇒ Another version of `whoami()`
 - ⇒ Other than object construction, no change to application code

Polymorphism: Disadvantages

- Overhead
 - Run-time type check to resolve function call
 - Extra compiler-generated instructions (3-4)
 - Extra space to store function pointers
 - Virtual function table
- Performance impact
 - Efficient implementation of run-time resolution
 - **Function calls cannot be in-lined**

Java

- Cannot choose efficiency of static binding
- All calls dynamically bound
- Slow
 - typically, 2 to 3 times slower than C++

C++

- Provision of both static & dynamic binding
 - **Code complexity, both types of calls present**
 - Confusion
- **Must anticipate need for dynamic binding**
 - Function MUST be declared virtual in base class
- OR must use RTTI (run-time type identification)
 - Ugly, non-extensible fix (dynamic_cast)