# Rvmmin - an R implementation of the Fletcher (1970) variable metric method with bounds and masks

John Nash

2017-07-18

# Rymmin description, examples and tests

**Rvmmin** is an all-R version of the Fletcher-Nash variable metric nonlinear parameter optimization code of Fletcher (1970) as modified by Nash (1979).

This vignette is intended to show various features of the package, so it is rather detailed and "busy". However, it is also hopefully helpful in showing how to use the method for more difficult problems. Note that as of 2023-6-21, the function nvm() is being developed to work under the optimr() function as a more compact alternative.

## Algorithm implementation

Fletcher's variable metric method attempts to mimic Newton's iteration for function minimization approximately.

Newton's method starts with an original set of parameters  $x_0$ . At a given iteraion, which could be the first, we want to solve

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - H^{-1}g$$

where H is the Hessian and g is the gradient at  $x_k$ .

Newton's method is unattractive in general function minimization situations because

- evaluating the Hessian is generally time consuming and error prone;
- solving the equation

$$Hdelta = -g$$

(which is much less computational effort than inverting H), is still a lot of work which needs to be carried out every iteration.

While the base Newton algorithm is as given, generally we carry out some sort of line search along the search direction delta from the current iterate  $x_k$ . Indeed, many otherwise highly educated workers try to implement it without paying attention to safeguarding the iterations and ensuring appropriate progress towards a minimum.

#### Termination nuances

#### Termination variation with control tolerances

Let us use the Chebyquad test problem in n=4 parameters with different controls **eps** and **acctol** and tabulate the results to explore how our results change with different values of these program control inputs.

```
cyq.f <- function (x) {</pre>
  rv<-cyq.res(x)
  f<-sum(rv*rv)</pre>
}
cyq.res <- function (x) {</pre>
# Fletcher's chebyquad function m = n -- residuals
  n<-length(x)
   res<-rep(0,n) # initialize
   for (i in 1:n) { #loop over resids
     rr<-0.0
     for (k in 1:n) {
  z7<-1.0
  z2 < -2.0 * x[k] -1.0
        z8<-z2
        j<-1
        while (j<i) {
            z6<-z7
            z7<-z8
            z8<-2*z2*z7-z6 # recurrence to compute Chebyshev polynomial
        } # end recurrence loop
        rr<-rr+z8
      } \# end loop on k
      rr<-rr/n
      if (2*trunc(i/2) == i) { rr <- rr + 1.0/(i*i - 1) }
      res[i]<-rr
    } # end loop on i
    res
}
cyq.jac<- function (x) {</pre>
# Chebyquad Jacobian matrix
   n<-length(x)
   cj<-matrix(0.0, n, n)</pre>
   for (i in 1:n) { # loop over rows
     for (k in 1:n) { # loop over columns (parameters)
       z5 < -0.0
       cj[i,k] < -2.0
       z8<-2.0*x[k]-1.0
       z2<-z8
       z7 < -1.0
       j<- 1
       while (j<i) { # recurrence loop</pre>
         z4<-z5
         z5<-cj[i,k]
         cj[i,k]<-4.0*z8+2.0*z2*z5-z4
         z6<-z7
         z7<-z8
         z8<-2.0*z2*z7-z6
         j<- j+1
       } # end recurrence loop
       cj[i,k] \leftarrow cj[i,k]/n
```

```
} # end loop on k
   } # end loop on i
   сj
}
cyq.g <- function (x) {</pre>
   cj<-cyq.jac(x)</pre>
   rv<-cyq.res(x)
   gg<- as.vector(2.0* rv %*% cj)
}
require(optimx)
## Loading required package: optimx
nn <- 4
xx0 <- 1:nn
xx0 <- xx0 / (nn+1.0) # Initial value suggested by Fletcher
# cat("aed \ ")
\# aed <- Rumminu(xx0, cyq.f, cyq.g, control=list(trace=2, checkgrad=FALSE))
# print(aed)
# Now build a table of results for different values of eps and acc
veps \leftarrow c(1e-3, 1e-5, 1e-7, 1e-9, 1e-11)
vacc <- c(.1, .01, .001, .0001, .00001, .000001)
resdf <- data.frame(eps=NA, acctol=NA, nf=NA, ng=NA, fval=NA, gnorm=NA)
for (eps in veps) {
  for (acctol in vacc) {
    ans <- Rvmminu(xx0, cyq.f, cyq.g,
          control=list(eps=eps, acctol=acctol, trace=0))
    gn <- as.numeric(crossprod(cyq.g(ans$par)))</pre>
    resdf <- rbind(resdf,</pre>
              c(eps, acctol, ans$counts[1], ans$counts[2], ans$value, gn))
  }
}
resdf <- resdf[-1,]
# Display the function value found for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = fval ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
## acctol
                                                          1e-05
                               1e-09
                                             1e-07
                                                                       0.001
                  1e-11
     1e-06 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 7.049696e-24 7.486504e-15
##
     1e-05 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 7.049696e-24 7.486504e-15
##
##
     1e-04 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 7.049696e-24 7.486504e-15
     0.001 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 7.049696e-24 7.486504e-15
##
     0.01 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 7.049696e-24 7.486504e-15
##
           3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 3.964816e-29 7.049696e-24 7.486504e-15
# Display the gradient norm found for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = gnorm ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
## acctol
                  1e-11
                               1e-09
                                            1e-07
                                                          1e-05
                                                                       0.001
```

```
##
     1e-06 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 3.645064e-22 1.089927e-13
##
     1e-05 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 3.645064e-22 1.089927e-13
     1e-04 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 3.645064e-22 1.089927e-13
##
     0.001 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 3.645064e-22 1.089927e-13
##
##
           2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 3.645064e-22 1.089927e-13
##
           2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 2.130888e-27 3.645064e-22 1.089927e-13
# Display the number of function evaluations used for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = nf ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
##
  acctol
           1e-11 1e-09 1e-07 1e-05 0.001
##
     1e-06
               20
                     20
                           20
                                  17
                                        12
##
     1e-05
               20
                     20
                           20
                                  17
                                        12
     1e-04
                           20
                                  17
                                        12
##
               20
                     20
     0.001
                     20
                           20
                                  17
                                        12
##
               20
                                        12
##
     0.01
               20
                     20
                           20
                                  17
##
     0.1
               20
                     20
                           20
                                  17
                                        12
# Display the number of gradient evaluations used for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = ng ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
## acctol
           1e-11 1e-09 1e-07 1e-05 0.001
##
     1e-06
               15
                     15
                           15
                                  12
                                  12
                                         9
##
     1e-05
               15
                     15
                           15
                                         9
##
     1e-04
               15
                     15
                           15
                                  12
     0.001
               15
                                  12
                                         9
##
                     15
                           15
##
     0.01
               15
                     15
                           15
                                  12
                                         9
##
     0.1
               15
                     15
                           15
                                  12
                                         9
```

Here – and we caution that this is but a single instance of a single test problem – the differences in results and level of effort to obtain them are regulated by the values of eps only. This control is used to judge the size of the gradient norm and the gradient projection on the search vector.

#### Problems of function scale

One of the more difficult aspects of termination decisions is that we need to decide when we have a "nearly" zero gradient. However, this "zero gradient" is relative to the overall scale of the function. Let us see what happens when we consider solving a problem where the function scale is adjustable. Note that we multiply the constant sequence yy by pi/4 to avoid integer values which may give results that are fortuitously better than may be normally found.

```
sq<-function(x, exfs=1){
    nn<-length(x)
    yy<-(1:nn)*pi/4
    f<-(10^exfs)*sum((yy-x)^2)
    f
}
sq.g <- function(x, exfs=1){
    nn<-length(x)
    yy<-(1:nn)*pi/4
    gg<- 2*(x - yy)*(10^exfs)
}
require(optimx)
nn <- 4
xx0 <- rep(pi, nn) # crude start</pre>
```

```
# Now build a table of results for different values of eps and acc
veps <- c(1e-3, 1e-5, 1e-7, 1e-9, 1e-11)
exfsi <- 1:6
resdf <- data.frame(eps=NA, exfs=NA, nf=NA, ng=NA, fval=NA, gnorm=NA)
for (eps in veps) {
  for (exfs in exfsi) {
    ans <- Rvmminu(xx0, sq, sq.g,
                   control=list(eps=eps, trace=0), exfs=exfs)
    gn <- as.numeric(crossprod(sq.g(ans$par)))</pre>
    resdf <- rbind(resdf,</pre>
                   c(eps, exfs, ans$counts[1], ans$counts[2], ans$value, gn))
 }
}
resdf <- resdf[-1,]
# Display the function value found for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = fval ~ exfs + eps, data=resdf)
##
       eps
                                                       1e-05
                             1e-09
                                          1e-07
                                                                     0.001
## exfs
               1e-11
##
      1 6.125998e-29 6.125998e-29 6.125998e-29 2.001735e-28 2.001735e-28
##
      2 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 1.772768e-25 1.772768e-25
      3 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 1.210198e-22
##
      4 1.232595e-28 1.232595e-28 1.232595e-28 1.232595e-28 5.241000e-20
##
      5 4.930381e-27 4.930381e-27 4.930381e-27 4.930381e-27 4.930381e-27
##
##
      6 1.232595e-26 1.232595e-26 1.232595e-26 1.232595e-26 1.232595e-26
# Display the gradient norm found for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = gnorm ~ exfs + eps, data=resdf)
##
       eps
                             1e-09
                                          1e-07
## exfs
               1e-11
                                                       1e-05
      1 2.450399e-27 2.450399e-27 2.450399e-27 8.006938e-27 8.006938e-27
##
      2 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 7.091071e-25 7.091071e-25
##
##
      3 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 4.840792e-23
##
      4 4.930381e-30 4.930381e-30 4.930381e-30 4.930381e-30 2.096400e-21
      5 1.972152e-29 1.972152e-29 1.972152e-29 1.972152e-29 1.972152e-29
##
      6 4.930381e-30 4.930381e-30 4.930381e-30 4.930381e-30 4.930381e-30
# Display the number of function evaluations used for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = nf ~ exfs + eps, data=resdf)
##
       eps
## exfs 1e-11 1e-09 1e-07 1e-05 0.001
##
      1
            9
                  9
                        9
                               5
                                     5
      2
##
           16
                 16
                       16
                               6
                                     6
##
      3
           22
                 22
                       22
                              22
                                     8
##
      4
           26
                 26
                       26
                              26
                                     9
##
      5
           30
                 30
                       30
                              30
                                    30
##
           35
                 35
                       35
                              35
                                    35
# Display the number of gradient evaluations used for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = ng ~ exfs + eps, data=resdf)
       eps
## exfs 1e-11 1e-09 1e-07 1e-05 0.001
     1
           4
                  4
```

```
##
       3
               7
                       7
                               7
                                       7
                                               3
##
                                       7
                                               3
##
       4
               7
                               7
               7
                                       7
                                               7
##
       5
##
                                               7
```

The general tendency here is for the amount of work in terms of function evaluations to rise with the function scale and with tighter (smaller) test tolerances, while the quality of the solution is poorer with larger scale and also larger (looser) tolerances. However, some exceptions can be seen, though the overall quality of solutions (function and gradient norm) is very good. Moreover, the number of gradient evaluations does not climb notably with the scale or inverse tolerance.

#### Problems of parameter scale

There are similar issues of parameter scaling. Let us look at very simple sum of squares function where we scale the parameters in a nasty way.

```
ssq.f<-function(x){</pre>
   nn < -length(x)
   yy <- 1:nn
   f < -sum((yy-x/10^yy)^2)
   f
}
ssq.g <- function(x){</pre>
   nn<-length(x)
   yy < -1:nn
   gg < -2*(x/10^yy - yy)*(1/10^yy)
}
xy \leftarrow c(1, 1/10, 1/100, 1/1000)
# note: gradient was checked using numDeriv
veps <- c(1e-3, 1e-5, 1e-7, 1e-9, 1e-11)
vacc <- c(.1, .01, .001, .0001, .00001, .000001)</pre>
resdf <- data.frame(eps=NA, acctol=NA, nf=NA, ng=NA, fval=NA, gnorm=NA)
for (eps in veps) {
  for (acctol in vacc) {
    ans <- Rvmminu(xy, ssq.f, ssq.g,
          control=list(eps=eps, acctol=acctol, trace=0))
    gn <- as.numeric(crossprod(ssq.g(ans$par)))</pre>
    resdf <- rbind(resdf,</pre>
               c(eps, acctol, ans$counts[1], ans$counts[2], ans$value, gn))
  }
}
resdf <- resdf[-1,]
# Display the function value found for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = fval ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
                                                                           0.001
## acctol
                                 1e-09
                                               1e-07
                                                             1e - 05
                   1e-11
##
     1e-06 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 1.475416e-29 5.767419e-19 8.977439e-11
##
     1e-05 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 1.475416e-29 5.767419e-19 8.977439e-11
##
     1e-04 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 1.475416e-29 5.767419e-19 8.977439e-11
     0.001 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 1.475416e-29 5.767419e-19 8.977439e-11
##
##
           0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 1.475416e-29 5.767419e-19 8.977439e-11
           \tt 0.000000e+00\ 0.000000e+00\ 1.475416e-29\ 5.767419e-19\ 8.977439e-11
##
     0.1
```

```
# Display the gradient norm found for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = gnorm ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
## acctol
                   1e-11
                                 1e-09
                                               1e-07
                                                             1e-05
                                                                           0.001
     1e-06 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 7.783028e-33 3.430257e-23 3.473135e-14
##
##
     1e-05 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 7.783028e-33 3.430257e-23 3.473135e-14
     1e-04 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 7.783028e-33 3.430257e-23 3.473135e-14
##
##
     0.001 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 7.783028e-33 3.430257e-23 3.473135e-14
           0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 7.783028e-33 3.430257e-23 3.473135e-14
##
     0.01
           0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 7.783028e-33 3.430257e-23 3.473135e-14
##
# Display the number of function evaluations used for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = nf ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
##
           1e-11 1e-09 1e-07 1e-05 0.001
   acctol
     1e-06
                                  53
##
               56
                     56
                           55
     1e-05
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
##
               56
                     56
##
     1e-04
               56
                     56
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
##
     0.001
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
               56
                     56
     0.01
##
               56
                     56
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
     0.1
               56
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
##
                     56
# Display the number of gradient evaluations used for different tolerances
xtabs(formula = ng ~ acctol + eps, data=resdf)
##
          eps
           1e-11 1e-09 1e-07 1e-05 0.001
## acctol
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
##
     1e-06
               56
                     56
##
     1e-05
               56
                                  53
                                        51
                     56
                           55
##
     1e-04
               56
                     56
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
##
     0.001
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
               56
                     56
##
     0.01
               56
                     56
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
##
     0.1
               56
                     56
                           55
                                  53
                                        51
```

The results above suggest that parameter scaling is not much of a problem. Actually, these are the very best results I have found with any method for this problem, which is actually rather nasty. I suggest trying this problem on your favourite optimizer. Alternatively, use the package optimr and run the function opm() with method="ALL".

### Weeds problem with random starts

This notorious problem (see Nash (1979), page 120, Nash (2014), page 205, for details under the heading **Hobbs Weeds problem**) is small but generally difficult due to the possibility of bad scaling of both function and parameters and a near-singular Hessian in the original parameterization.

The Fletcher variable metric method can solve this problem quite well, though default termination settings should be overridden. It is important to ensure there are enough iterations to allow the method to "grind" at the problem. If one uses default settings for maxit in optim:BFGS, then the success rate drops to less than 2/3 of cases tried below.

Below we use 100 "random" starting points for both Rymmin and the optim:BFGS minimizers (which should be, but are not quite, the same).

```
## hobbstarts.R -- starting points for Hobbs problem
hobbs.f<- function(x){ # # Hobbs weeds problem -- function
   if (abs(12*x[3]) > 500) { # check computability
```

```
fbad<-.Machine$double.xmax
       return(fbad)
    }
    res<-hobbs.res(x)
    f<-sum(res*res)
      cat("fval =",f,"\n")
##
      f
}
hobbs.res<-function(x){ # Hobbs weeds problem -- residual
# This variant uses looping
    if(length(x) != 3) stop("hobbs.res -- parameter vector n!=3")
    y<-c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
         38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972)
    t<-1:12
    if(abs(12*x[3])>50) {
       res<-rep(Inf,12)
    } else {
       res < -x[1]/(1+x[2]*exp(-x[3]*t)) - y
    }
}
hobbs.jac<-function(x){ # Jacobian of Hobbs weeds problem
   jj<-matrix(0.0, 12, 3)
   t<-1:12
   yy < -exp(-x[3]*t)
    zz<-1.0/(1+x[2]*yy)
     jj[t,1] <- zz
     jj[t,2] \leftarrow -x[1]*zz*zz*yy
     jj[t,3] <- x[1]*zz*zz*yy*x[2]*t
   return(jj)
hobbs.g<-function(x){ # gradient of Hobbs weeds problem
    # NOT EFFICIENT TO CALL AGAIN
    jj<-hobbs.jac(x)</pre>
    res<-hobbs.res(x)
    gg<-as.vector(2.*t(jj) %*% res)
    return(gg)
}
require(optimx)
set.seed(12345)
sstart<-matrix(runif(3*nrun, 0, 5), nrow=nrun, ncol=3)</pre>
ustart<-sstart %*% diag(c(100, 10, 0.1))
nsuccR <- 0
nsucc0 <- 0
vRvm <- rep(NA, nrun)
voptim <- vRvm
fRvm <- vRvm
gRvm <- vRvm
foptim <- vRvm
goptim <- vRvm
```

```
for (irun in 1:nrun) {
 us <- ustart[irun,]</pre>
# print(us)
# ans <- Rvmminu(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.q, control=list(trace=1))
# ans <- optim(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, method="BFGS")</pre>
  ans <- Rvmminu(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, control=list(trace=0))</pre>
  ao <- optim(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, method="BFGS",</pre>
               control=list(maxit=3000))
# ensure does not max function out
\# cat(irun," Rvmminu value =",ans$value," optim:BFGS value=",ao$value,"\n")
  if (ans$value < 2.5879) nsuccR <- nsuccR + 1
  if (ao$value < 2.5879) nsucc0 <- nsucc0 + 1
# tmp <- readline()</pre>
  vRvm[irun] <- ans$value</pre>
  voptim[irun] <- ao$value</pre>
  fRvm[irun] <- ans$counts[1]</pre>
  gRvm[irun] <- ans$counts[2]</pre>
  foptim[irun] <- ao$counts[1]</pre>
  goptim[irun] <- ao$counts[2]</pre>
cat("Rvmminu: number of successes=",nsuccR," propn=",nsuccR/nrun,"\n")
## Rvmminu: number of successes= 100
cat("optim:BFGS no. of successes=",nsucc0," propn=",nsucc0/nrun,"\n")
## optim:BFGS no. of successes= 99
                                     propn= 0.99
fgc <- data.frame(fRvm, foptim, gRvm, goptim)</pre>
summary(fgc)
                                                           goptim
##
         fRvm
                         foptim
                                            gRvm
## Min. : 36.00 Min. : 58.0 Min.
                                             :20.00
                                                       Min. : 16.0
## 1st Qu.: 58.50
                     1st Qu.: 140.5
                                     1st Qu.:31.75
                                                       1st Qu.: 53.0
## Median : 75.50
                     Median: 184.0 Median: 40.00
                                                       Median: 68.5
                     Mean : 323.7
## Mean : 88.22
                                       Mean
                                             :41.30
                                                       Mean
                                                             :131.2
## 3rd Qu.: 93.00
                     3rd Qu.: 457.2
                                       3rd Qu.:48.00
                                                       3rd Qu.:178.8
## Max.
           :881.00
                            :1427.0
                                              :99.00
                                                              :610.0
                     Max.
                                       Max.
                                                       Max.
```

From this summary, it appears that Rvmmin, on average, uses fewer gradient and function evaluations to achieve the desired result.

For comparison, we now re-run the example with default settings for maxit in optim:BFGS.

```
nsuccR <- 0
nsucc0 <- 0
for (irun in 1:nrun) {
   us <- ustart[irun,]
# print(us)
# ans <- Rvmminu(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, control=list(trace=1))
# ans <- optim(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, method="BFGS")
   ans <- Rvmminu(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, control=list(trace=0))
   ao <- optim(us, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, method="BFGS")
# ensure does not max function out</pre>
```

```
\# cat(irun," Rvmminu value =",ans$value," optim:BFGS value=",ao$value,"\n")
  if (ans$value < 2.5879) nsuccR <- nsuccR + 1</pre>
  if (ao\$value < 2.5879) nsucc0 <- nsucc0 + 1
 tmp <- readline()</pre>
  vRvm[irun] <- ans$value</pre>
  voptim[irun] <- ao$value</pre>
  fRvm[irun] <- ans$counts[1]</pre>
  gRvm[irun] <- ans$counts[2]</pre>
  foptim[irun] <- ao$counts[1]</pre>
  goptim[irun] <- ao$counts[2]</pre>
cat("Rvmminu: number of successes=",nsuccR," propn=",nsuccR/nrun,"\n")
## Rvmminu: number of successes= 100
                                        propn= 1
cat("optim:BFGS no. of successes=",nsucc0," propn=",nsucc0/nrun,"\n")
## optim:BFGS no. of successes= 64
                                     propn= 0.64
fgc <- data.frame(fRvm, foptim, gRvm, goptim)</pre>
summary(fgc)
##
         fRvm
                         foptim
                                           gRvm
                                                           goptim
         : 36.00
  Min.
                     Min. : 58.0
                                      Min.
                                             :20.00
                                                       Min. : 16.00
## 1st Qu.: 58.50
                     1st Qu.:140.5
                                      1st Qu.:31.75
                                                       1st Qu.: 53.00
## Median : 75.50
                     Median :184.0
                                      Median :40.00
                                                       Median : 68.50
## Mean
                            :184.2
                                            :41.30
                                                       Mean : 71.73
          : 88.22
                     Mean
                                      Mean
                     3rd Qu.:236.0
## 3rd Qu.: 93.00
                                      3rd Qu.:48.00
                                                       3rd Qu.:100.00
## Max.
          :881.00
                     Max.
                            :425.0
                                      Max.
                                            :99.00
                                                       Max. :100.00
```

#### Bounds and masks

Let us make sure that Rymminb is doing the right thing with bounds and masks. (This is actually a test in the package.)

#### **Bounds**

```
bt.f<-function(x){
    sum(x*x)
}

bt.g<-function(x){
    gg<-2.0*x
}

lower <- c(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)
    upper <- c(2, 3, 4, 5, 6)
    bdmsk <- rep(1,5)
    xx <- rep(0,5) # out of bounds
ans <- Rvmmin(xx, bt.f, bt.g, lower=lower, upper=upper, bdmsk=bdmsk)

## Warning in Rvmmin(xx, bt.f, bt.g, lower = lower, upper = upper, bdmsk = bdmsk):</pre>
```

## Parameter out of bounds has been moved to nearest bound

```
ans
## $par
## [1] 0 1 2 3 4
## attr(,"status")
## [1] "L" "L" "L" "L" "L"
##
## $value
## [1] 30
##
## $counts
## function gradient
##
          1
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rvmminb appears to have converged"
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 -3 -3 -3 -3
Masks
Here we fix one or more paramters and minimize over the rest.
sq.f<-function(x){</pre>
   nn<-length(x)
  yy < -1:nn
   f<-sum((yy-x)^2)
}
sq.g <- function(x){</pre>
  nn<-length(x)
   yy < -1:nn
   gg < -2*(x - yy)
}
xx0 <- rep(pi,3)
bdmsk <- c(1, 0, 1) # Middle parameter fixed at pi
cat("Check final function value (pi-2)^2 = ", (pi-2)^2, "\n")
## Check final function value (pi-2)^2 = 1.303234
require(optimx)
ans <- Rvmmin(xx0, sq.f, sq.g, lower=-Inf, upper=Inf, bdmsk=bdmsk,
          control=list(trace=2))
## Bounds: nolower = TRUE noupper = TRUE bounds = TRUE
## Initial parameters:[1] 3.141593 3.141593 3.141593
## admissible = TRUE
```

## lower:[1] -1.797693e+308 -1.797693e+308 -1.797693e+308 ## upper:[1] 1.797693e+308 1.797693e+308

## maskadded = FALSE

## parchanged = FALSE

```
## Bounds: nolower = FALSE noupper = FALSE bounds = TRUE
## Rvmminb -- J C Nash 2009-2015 - an R implementation of Alg 21
## Problem of size n= 3 Dot arguments:
## list()
## Initial fn= 5.909701
## ig= 1 gnorm= 4.861975
                            Reset Inv. Hessian approx at ilast = 1
## 1 1 5.909701
## Gradproj = -18.42587
## reset steplength= 1
## *reset steplength= 0.2
## Parameter 1 is free
## Parameter 3 is free
                           3 2 2.961562
## ig= 2 gnorm= 2.575522
## Gradproj = -15.04576
## reset steplength= 1
## *reset steplength= 0.2
## Parameter 1 is free
## Parameter 3 is free
## ig= 3 gnorm= 0.23879
                           5 3 1.317489
## Gradproj = -0.02851034
## reset steplength= 1
## Parameter 1 is free
## Parameter 3 is free
## ig= 4 gnorm= 0
                     Small gradient norm
## Seem to be done Rvmminb
ans
## $par
## [1] 1.000000 3.141593 3.000000
##
## $value
## [1] 1.303234
## $counts
## function gradient
##
         6
##
## $convergence
## [1] 2
##
## $message
## [1] "Rvmminb appears to have converged"
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 0 1
ansnog <- Rvmmin(xx0, sq.f, lower=-Inf, upper=Inf, bdmsk=bdmsk,</pre>
              control=list(trace=2))
## Bounds: nolower = TRUE noupper = TRUE bounds = TRUE
## WARNING: forward gradient approximation being used
## Initial parameters:[1] 3.141593 3.141593 3.141593
## admissible = TRUE
## maskadded = FALSE
## lower:[1] -1.797693e+308 -1.797693e+308 -1.797693e+308
```

```
## upper:[1] 1.797693e+308 1.797693e+308 1.797693e+308
## parchanged = FALSE
                            noupper = FALSE bounds = TRUE
## Bounds: nolower = FALSE
## Rvmminb -- J C Nash 2009-2015 - an R implementation of Alg 21
## Problem of size n= 3 Dot arguments:
## list()
## WARNING: using gradient approximation ' grfwd '
## Initial fn= 5.909701
## ig= 1 gnorm= 4.86198 Reset Inv. Hessian approx at ilast = 1
            5.909701
   1 1
## Gradproj = -18.4259
## reset steplength= 1
## *reset steplength= 0.2
## Parameter 1 is free
## Parameter 3 is free
## ig= 2
         gnorm= 2.575523
                              3 2
                                      2.96156
## Gradproj = -15.04577
## reset steplength= 1
## *reset steplength= 0.2
## Parameter 1 is free
## Parameter 3 is free
## ig= 3 gnorm= 0.2387889
                                       1.317489
## Gradproj = -0.02851005
## reset steplength= 1
## Parameter 1 is free
## Parameter 3 is free
## ig= 4 gnorm= 0
                     Small gradient norm
## Seem to be done Rvmminb
ansnog
## [1] 0.9999995 3.1415927 2.9999985
##
## $value
## [1] 1.303234
##
## $counts
## function gradient
##
         6
##
## $convergence
## [1] 2
##
## $message
## [1] "Rvmminb appears to have converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 0 1
```

#### References

Fletcher, R. 1970. "A New Approach to Variable Metric Algorithms." Computer Journal 13 (3): 317–22. Nash, John C. 1979. Compact Numerical Methods for Computers: Linear Algebra and Function Minimisation. Bristol: Adam Hilger.