

Рис. 3: Example of method interpretation by the ostis-systems collective

Proceedings of the Conference. In 2 volumes, Moscow, December 21-23, 2022. Volume 2./ National Research University "MPEI 2022, pp. 275–291.

- [2] L. Cao, "Decentralized ai: Edge intelligence and smart blockchain, metaverse, web3, and desci, ," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, . vol. 37, no. 3, p. 6–19, May 2022. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2022.3181504
 [3] D. Ye, M. Zhang, and A. V. Vasilakos, "A survey of self-
- [3] D. Ye, M. Zhang, and A. V. Vasilakos, "A survey of self-organization mechanisms in multiagent systems, " *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems.* vol. 47, no. 3, p. 441–461, Mar. 2017. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.2015.2504350
- [4] V. Golenkov, Ed., Tehnologija kompleksnoj podderzhki zhiznennogo cikla semanticheski sovmestimyh intellektual'nyh komp'juternyh sistem novogo pokolenija [Technology of complex life cycle support of semantically compatible intelligent computer systems of new generation]. Bestprint, 2023.
- [5] A. Kolesnikov, Gibridnye intellektual'nye sistemy: Teoriya i tekhnologiya razrabotki [Hybrid intelligent systems: theory and technology of development] A. M. Yashin, Ed. SPb.: Izdvo SPbGTU, 2001.
- [6] S. Y. Mikhnevich and A. A. Tsezhar, "Evolution of the concept of interoperability of open information systems," *Digital Transformation*, vol. 29, no. 2, p. 60–66, Jun. 2023. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.35596/1729-7648-2023- 29-2-60-66
- [7] D. Shunkevich, "Principles of problem solving in distributed teams of intelligent computer systems of a new generation," Open semantic technologies for intelligent systems, no. 7, pp. 115–120, 2023.
 [8] A. Zagorskiy, "Principles for implementing the ecosystem
- [8] A. Zagorskiy, "Principles for implementing the ecosystem of next-generation intelligent computer systems," Open semantic technologies for intelligent systems, no. 6, pp. 347–356, 2022.
- [9] V. Golenkov, N. Guliakina, and D. Shunkevich, Otkrytaja tehnologija ontologicheskogo proektirovanija, proizvodstva i jekspluatacii semanticheski sovmestimyh gibridnyh intellektual'nyh komp'juternyh sistem [Open technology of ontological design, production and operation of semantically compatible hybrid intelligent computer systems], V.

- Golenkov, Ed. Minsk: Bestprint [Bestprint], 2021
- [10] V. Tarasov, Ot mnogoagentnykh sistem k intellektual'nym organizatsiyam [From multi-agent systems to intelligent organizations]. M.: Editorial URSS, 2002, (in Russian).
- [11] D. Shunkevich, "Hybrid problem solvers of intelligent computer systems of a new generation," Open semantic technologies for intelligent systems, no. 6, pp. 119–144, 2022.
- [12] G. Di Marzo Serugendo, M.-P. Gleizes, and A. Karageorgos, "Self-organization in multi-agent systems," The Knowledge Engineering Review, vol. 20, no. 2, p. 165–189, Jun. 2005. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0269888905000494
- [13] —, "Selforganisation and emergence in multiagent systems: An overview," *Informatica*, vol. 30, no. 1, p. 45–54, 2006.
 [14] Q.-N. N. Tran and G. Low, "MOBMAS: A methodology
- [14] Q.-N. N. Tran and G. Low, "MOBMAS: A methodology for ontology-based multi-agent systems development," Information and Software Technology, vol. 50, no. 7–8, p. 697–722, Jun. 2008. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2007. 07.005
- [15] A. Fayans and V. Kneller, "About the ontology of task types and methods of their solution," Ontology of designing, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 273–295, Oct. 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.18287/2223-9537-2020-10-3-273-295
- [16] V. Golenkov, N. Gulyakina, I. Davydenko, and D. Shunkevich, "Semanticheskie tekhnologii proektirovaniya intellektual'nyh sistem i semanticheskie associativnye komp'yutery [Semantic technologies of intelligent systems design and semantic associative computers]," Otkrytye semanticheskie tehnologii proektirovanija intellektual'nyh sistem [Open semantic technologies for intelligent systems], pp. 42–50, 2019.
- [17] V. Gorodetskii, "Samoorganizacija i mnogoagentnye sistemy. i. modeli mnogoagentnoj samoorganizacii [self-organization and multi-agent systems. i. models of multi-agent selforganization]," Izvestiya RAN. Teoriya i sistemy upravleniya [Proceedings of the RAS. Theory and control systems], no. 2, pp. 92–120, 2012, (in Russian).
- [18] P. S. Sapaty, Spatial Grasp as a Model for Space-based Control

- and Management Systems. CRC Press, Apr. 2022. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/9781003230090
- [19] —, The Spatial Grasp Model: Applications and Investigations of Distributed Dynamic Worlds Emerald Publishing Limited, Jan. 2023. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/9781804555743
- [20] A. A. Letichevsky, O. A. Letychevskyi, and V. S. Peschanenko, *Insertion Modeling System*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, p. 262–273.
- [21] A. Letichevsky, Yu. Kapitonova, V. Volkov, V. Vyshemirsky, and A. Letichevsky (j.), "Insercionnoe programmirovanie [Insertion programming]," Kibernetika i sistemnyj analiz [Cybernetics and system analysis], no. 1, pp. 19–32, 2003.
- [22] I. Davydenko, "Semantic models, method and tools of knowledge bases coordinated development based on reusable components," in Otkrytye semanticheskie tehnologii proektirovanija intellektual'nyh sistem [Open semantic technologies for intelligent systems], V. Golenkov, Ed., BSUIR. Minsk, BSUIR, 2018, pp. 99–118.
 [23] M. Kovalev, "Convergence and integration of artificial
- [23] M. Kovalev, "Convergence and integration of artificial neural networks with knowledge bases in next-generation intelligent computer systems," *Open semantic technologies for intelligent systems*, no. 6, pp. 173–186, 2022.
- for intelligent systems, no. 6, pp. 173–186, 2022.
 [24] M. Orlov, "Control tools for reusable components of intelligent computer systems of a new generation," Open semantic technologies for intelligent systems, no. 7, pp. 191–206, 2023.
- [25] D. Pospelov, Situacionnoe upravlenie. Teorija i praktika [Situational management. Theory and practice]. M.: Nauka, 1986
- [26] L. Cao, "In-depth behavior understanding and use: The behavior informatics approach," *Information Sciences*, vol. 180, no. 17, pp. 3067–3085, Sep. 2010. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2010.03.025
- [27] [27] L. Cao, T. Joachims, C. Wang, E. Gaussier, J. Li, Y. Ou, D. Luo, R. Zafarani, H. Liu, G. Xu, Z. Wu, G. Pasi, Y. Zhang, X. Yang, H. Zha, E. Serra, and V. Subrahmanian, "Behavior informatics: A new perspective," *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 62–80, Jul. 2014. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1109/mis.2014.60
- [28] M. Pavel, H. B. Jimison, I. Korhonen, C. M. Gordon, and N. Saranummi, "Behavioral informatics and computational modeling in support of proactive health management and care," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 2763–2775, Dec. 2015. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1109/tbme.2015.2484286
- [29] G. S. Al'tshuller, Najti ideju: Vvedenie v TRIZ teoriju reshenija izobretatel'skih zadach, 3-e izd. [Find an idea: An introduction to TRIZ the theory of inventive problem solving, 3rd ed.]. M.: Al'pina Pablisher, 2010.
- [30] G. P. Shhedrovickij, Shema mysledejatel'nosti sistemnostrukturnoe stroenie, smysl i soderzhanie [Scheme of mental activity – system-structural structure, meaning and content]. M.: Shk. kul't. pol., 1995.

ПРИНЦИПЫ ДЕЦЕНТРАЛИЗОВАННОГО РЕШЕНИЯ ЗАДАЧ В РАМКАХ ЭКОСИСТЕМЫ ИНТЕЛЛЕКТУАЛЬНЫХ КОМПЬЮТЕРНЫХ СИСТЕМ НОВОГО ПОКОЛЕНИЯ

Шункевич Д.В.

В работе рассмотрены принципы децентрализованного решения задач в рамках экосистемы интеллектуальных компьютерных систем нового поколения, в частности рассмотрена архитектура такой экосистемы с точки зрения организации процесса решения задач, выделены роли систем, участвующих в процессе решения задач. Уточнены принципы формирования коллектива систем, участвующих в решении задач, этапы решения конкретной задачи полученным коллективом.

Received 13.03.2024

Towards the Theory of Semantic Space

Valerian Ivashenko
Department of Intelligent Information Technologies
Belarusion State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics
Minsk, Republic of Belarus
ivashenko@bsuir.by

Abstract—The paper considers models for investigating the structure, topology and metric features of a semantic space using unified knowledge representation.

The classes of finite structures corresponding to ontological structures and sets of classical and non-classical kinds are considered, and the enumerability properties of these classes are investigated.

The notion of operational-information space as a

The notion of operational-information space as a model for investigating the interrelation of operational semantics of ontological structures of large and small step is proposed.

Quantitative features and invariants of ontological structures oriented to the solution of knowledge management problems are considered.

Keywords—Semantic Space, Neg-entropy, Operationalinformation space, Enumerable sets, Natural numbers, Ackermann coding, Generalized formal language, Enumerable self-founded Hereditarily finite sets, Countable nonidentically-equal Hereditarily finite sets, Multigraph, Hypergraph, Metagraph, Orgraph, Unoriented graph, Quasimetric, Orgraph invariant, Homomorphism, Isomorphism, Homeomorhpism, Oriented sets, Graph wavefront, Dynamic graph system, Receptor, Effector, Transmitter, Resonator, Graph dimension, Fullyconnected orgraph period, Rado graph, Universal model, Stable structure, Operational semantics, Generalized Kleene closure

I. Introduction

There are different approaches to the study of topological, metrical and other properties of signs in texts leading to the consideration of corresponding semantic (or meaning (sense)) spaces [56].

Space is convenient because it is connected with some ordinal or metric scale which allows to reduce the cost of solving such cognitive tasks as searching (synthesis) or checking (analysis) the presence of an element (including for the purpose of eliminating redundancy) in a set organized as a space.

Knowledge integration based on unification is necessary both to eliminate redundancy and to compute semantic metrics. For this purpose, the developed model of unified knowledge representation [1], [5] can be adopted.

II. Approaches to the construction of a meaning space

The history of the development of the concept of "meaning space" and the corresponding models are described in the works [2], [11], [32], [56]. As stated in [56], the main approaches

to the construction and research of the organization of meaning space include:

- exterior studying the physical nature [30], [33], [48] of processes including thinking processes [29],
- (quantitative) interior using quantitative and soft models, including probabilistic description of processes [11], [34], [35], [42], based on the practice of using words of language [20], [53],
- (qualitative) interior investigating the structure of represented knowledge and its dynamics [12], using formal semiotic models [51].

In some cases, it is possible to combine these elements of these approaches.

The following models and methods are used to construct and investigate the semantic space:

- mathematical models of spaces [37]-[41], [43], [44],
- formal and generalized formal languages [45], [56],
- methods of probability theory [11], [36], [54],
- methods of formal concepts analysis [58], [59],
- other models [3], [4], [45], [46], [49].

Further in the paper we consider the main classes of structures, their attributes and corresponding types of subspaces of the semantic space using unified knowledge representation [5], [12].

III. Unified representation and classification of fully representable finite knowledge structures At the level of syntax, using syntactic links, it is possible to represent only finite knowledge structures in a unified (explicit) way.

Let us consider the principles of unified representation of knowledge [5], [12] with a structure that is one of finite structures of different kinds. Let us compare a certain class of structures to each kind of finite knowledge structures.

Note that finite structures can be divided into two main types: oriented finite structures and unoriented finite structures [21].

The simplest unoriented finite structures are hereditarily finite sets [63]. The class of hereditarily finite sets can be expressed as follows:

$$\emptyset^{(+1)} = H \aleph_0$$