

Does maternal schooling lead to improvements in child health? Evidence from Ethiopia

Natalia Cantet^{*}

May 15, 2020

Abstract

This paper examines the role of women's education on child health by analyzing the second-generation impact of a nationwide reform that eliminated primary school fees in Ethiopia in 1995. I exploit the timing of the implementation of Universal Primary Education (UPE) policy in Ethiopia, as well as regional differences in implementation, as a natural experiment and a Two Stage Least Square analysis of the effect of schooling on their children's health. Analysis of key health outcomes among children whose mothers were educated at the time of the reforms' implementation shows better long-run health outcomes among the children of women who received more schooling. The children of women with more schooling are 4 percentage points less likely to be chronically malnourished, and they display 0.190 and 0.177 standard deviations better weight-for-age and height-for-age Z-scores, respectively.¹

Keywords: Education; Health; Developing Countries.

JEL Classification: I28, I25, J13

^{*}Departamento de Economía y Finanzas, Universidad EAFIT, Medellín, Colombia. E-mail: mncantet@eafit.edu.co

¹Acknowledgements: This paper also benefited from the helpful comments from Rebecca Thornton and all the seminar participants at the University of Illinois and conference participants at the Midwest Economic Association Conference and the MWIEDC.

1 Introduction

Maternal education has a strong impact on the health of young children, with consequences that remain evident through adulthood (Glewwe, 1999; Currie and Moretti, 2003; Breierova and Duflo, 2004; Chou, Liu, Grossman, and Joyce, 2010; and Keats, 2018). For this reason, increasing women’s schooling has been a central concern of many developing countries and governments.² While the literature in developing countries has mostly examined the effects of education on fertility decisions (Osili and Long, 2008 and Chicoine, 2020), fewer studies have considered the effects on the health outcomes of their children.³

This paper studies the effect of female education on children’s health outcomes by examining the results of a nationwide reform in Ethiopia that eliminated primary school fees. The policy, implemented in 1995, provides a natural experimental setting in which to analyze the effects of female education by comparing child health outcomes across cohorts and regions who had varying pre-reform enrollment levels of girls. Using data from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), I show the reform led to an increase in school enrollment and an increase in child wellbeing. From a broader perspective, the elimination of the school fees was a major policy intervention with effects that transcended the targeted generation.

To establish the causal relationship between maternal education and child health outcomes, I use a two-stage least-squares approach. The increase in schooling is identified by exploiting two sources of variation: the cohort of birth and geographic location of women (Chicoine, 2020). First, although the policy eliminated school fees for children in the first 10 grades (first through tenth grades) all at once, children who had already begun primary school, received less years of tuition free schooling. Therefore, most benefits accrued to younger children who were eligible for more years of free schooling. Second, though the elimination of school fees was uniform across the country, its impact depended on the pre-existing conditions. Greater effects were observed in areas where pre-reform schooling levels were low, compared to those areas where most of the eligible population was already attending school.

Strategies based on pre-intervention and cohort differences have been utilized by a number of papers to study the impact of policies that were implemented at a national level. Lucas (2010, 2013) and Bleakley (2010) examine the effects of nation wide malaria eradication campaigns in several countries (US, Colombia, Bolivia, Sri Lanka and Bolivia) on a variety of outcomes.⁴ They find a decrease in fertility and an increase

²Free universal primary school policies were implemented in Kenya and Nigeria in the mid-1970s; in Zimbabwe and Tanzania in the 1980s; and in Ethiopia, Malawi and Uganda in the 1990s.

³Keats (2018), for example, finds a positive relationship between maternal health and chronic child outcomes in Uganda. More generally, Breierova and Duflo (2004), Chou et al. (2010), and Currie and Moretti (2003) examine find a positive association between parental education and child health in Indonesia, Taiwan and the United States, respectively. Other studies, such as those of McCrary and Royer (2011), do not find any significant effects in the United States.

⁴The list includes school attainment, child health, and fertility outcomes among others.

in education and health. Osili and Long (2008) used a difference in difference strategy based in cohorts and regions to estimate the effects of a UPE policy in Nigeria. Lucas and Mbiti (2012) analyze a free primary schooling reform in Kenya using a regression discontinuity design and find that it does not find increase learning. Chicoine (2019) consider the impact of the Ethiopian UPE reform and the inclusion of mother tongue instruction. They find significant effects on literacy, knowledge of family planning material from newspapers and magazines, knowledge of HIV, and the likelihood of knowing a location for HIV testing. Finally, I follow the approach created by Chicoine (2020) where the author exploits cohort and geographic differences to identify the impact of the Ethiopian reform on fertility and labor force participation.

The relationship between women’s education and improved early child health outcomes could arise in several ways. The first channel is health care utilization, which is positively correlated with maternal education in developing countries (Mekonnen and Mekonnen, 2003; Glei and Rodriguez, 2003; Tann et al., 2007; and Jewell, 2009).⁵ A second possible pathway is through an income effect that changes women’s roles in the household, via increases in female wages or marriages to wealthier men (Behrman and Rosenzweig, 2002; Currie and Moretti, 2003; Black, Devereux, and Salvanes, 2005; Chicoine, 2020). Alternatively, the rise in literacy and numeracy provided by the additional years of primary schooling may be directly responsible for the acquisition of the skills necessary to implement better family planning and health care, suggesting improved children’s outcomes are the result of having more knowledgeable parents (Glewwe, 1999; Grossman, 2006).

The outcomes I study describe the short and long run health status of the children of the women affected by the reform. Acute conditions, such as reports on the prevalence of fever, diarrhea, coughing and wasting, provide evidence of the overall wellbeing of the child that reflect daily health care provisions. These conditions approximate the current health status of the child and may have an impact on the child’s daily routine. In contrast, the anthropometric measures (height-for-age, weight-for-age z-scores, and body mass index) relate to the long-run health status. These conditions are chronic and have the potential to impact the child’s adult life, not only directly through their effect on health but also through their education attainment (Alderman, Hoddinott, and Kinsey, 2006) and the children’ labor market outcomes (Hoddinott, Maluccio, Behrman, Flores, and Martorell, 2008; Carba, Tan, and Adair, 2009).

The selected outcomes should be studied with-in the literature of the impact of female education on fertility. A growing experimental and quasi-experimental number of papers have found that education decreases the number of children in several devel-

⁵A vast number of studies have considered the relationship between female education and health care utilization in developing countries. For example, in Ethiopia, Mekonnen and Mekonnen (2003) look at the factors that influence the use of pre- and post-natal care and find that more educated women are more likely to use them. Also, Tann et al. (2007) in Uganda; Glei and Rodriguez (2003) in rural Guatemala; Jewell (2009) in South America.

oping countries, including Nigeria (Osili & Long, 2008), Malawi (Baird, Chirwa, McIntosh, & Özler, 2010), Kenya (Ferré, 2009; Ozier, 2015 and Duflo, Dupas, and Kremer, 2015), Uganda (Keats, 2018), Turkey (Kirdar, Dayıoğlu, & Koç, 2018) and Indonesia (Breierova & Duflo, 2004).⁶ Likewise, the two papers that study the Ethiopian reform, Behrman (2015) and Chicoine (2020), find that women have a smaller number of children. The evidence, therefore, hints to the potential existence of selection bias in the sample of women. Since the sampled women were between 15 and 50 years of age, later surveys are more likely to have interviewed women who became mothers at younger ages. The fact leads the estimates to be biased towards zero.

I find that maternal education increases children’s height-for-age and weight-for-age z-scores estimates by 0.19 and 0.177 standard deviations, respectively. Children of more educated mothers are also approximately five percentage points less likely to be stunted. In contrast, the effects on outcomes associated with the short term are mixed. Maternal education has a negative four percentage point effect on the likelihood of reporting a coughing episode. The children of treated women are also five percentage points less likely to report wasting. Conversely, I do not find statistically significant link for reports on diarrhea and the prevalence of fever. Overall, the results are consistent with the notion that the children of women who received more schooling present better health outcomes in the long-run.

This paper contributes to the literature on the effects of maternal education on child health in two ways. First, I add to the small number of studies have considered the link between maternal education and child health in developing countries (Glewwe, 1999; Breierova and Duflo, 2004; Chou et al., 2010; and Güneş, 2015). Second, by studying anthropometric measures of the children, I provide evidence that female education has impacts perceived in the long term.

This paper is organized as follows: section 2 provides the background of Ethiopia’s reforms. Section 3 outlines the empirical methodology, and describes the instrument. Section 4 details a and the summary statistics. Section 6 analyzes the results and Section 7 the robustness checks. Section 8 presents the threats to validity and section 9 presents conclusions.

2 Background: the Ethiopian schooling system

Between 1950 and 2000, budgetary problems and great inequalities of access characterized the Ethiopian schooling system. The central Government was the primary entity responsible for the financing of the public education, but it relied heavily on community contributions and school fees. Between 20 percent and 30 percent of the financing was

⁶There is also evidence in more developed countries like the US and Norway (Black, Devereux, and Salvanes, 2008 and Monstad, Propper, and Salvanes, 2008).

covered by school fees (Birger & Craissati, 2009).⁷ As a result, by the beginning of the 1970 school year, there were large disparities in opportunities, which was reflected by the fact that 76 percent of the population between the ages of 7 and 18 years of age were outside the schooling system. Participation rates differed greatly between regions. For example, Addis Ababa's enrollment rates reached 67 percent while in Afar it was only around four percent (Birger & Craissati, 2009).

Ethiopia faced a military coup in 1975, which was followed by a 17-year-long rule by a communist government. Authorities reshaped schooling system and the lack of resources problem was deepened. Though no official policy was enacted regarding school fees, each school was allowed to charge students supplemental fees to cover expenses.⁸ These fees created a significant burden for households.⁹

The communist government rule ended in 1991, when a transitional government was established by the Ethiopian Democratic People's Revolutionary Democratic Front. To tackle disparities in the education system, the government established its Education and Training Policy (ETP) prior to the 1995 school year. The policy eliminated student fees for the first 10 grades.¹⁰ However, implementation of the elimination of fees did not take place all at once. Because the details of the policy had been previously known, five regions had previously decided to eliminate the fees when the authority over public primary schools was delegated to their local education bureaus in 1993. The policy that change authorities is known as Proclamation 41.

The elimination of school fees led to exponential growth of school enrollment in all grades and across the country. Figure 1 shows the decrease in enrollment that took place before the end of the conflict in 1991, and the subsequent increase in the wake of the implementation of policies that eliminated school tuition fees between 1993 and 1996. Following the 1995 roll-out of the policy eliminating tuition fees, primary school enrollment soared, with a staggering 336,929 new primary school entrants, representing a 28.62 per cent increase (Ministry of Education, 2000). It is also important to note that the intake of pupils was greater in the first four primary grades, as school enrollment increased from 54 percent to 83 percent across all grades in less than a year (Birger & Craissati, 2009). In terms of individual gains in schooling, Chicoine (2020) found that these reforms generally increased schooling by more than one full year in total.¹¹

⁷Until the 1950s, education was free of charge to every household at all facilities in Ethiopia. Learning materials, such as books and exercise books, were also free of charge. In the 1960s enrollment increased significantly, with no greater budgetary allocation; hence, resources per student became more limited.

⁸Fees usually did not include any text books, which had to be rented elsewhere.

⁹Primary schools include the first six years of schooling, secondary schools include the next four years of schooling. Children are encouraged to start first grade at age seven, but they could start anytime between the ages of six and 12. Public primary schools do not offer any kindergarten classes.

¹⁰The policy encouraged community support for schools, but it prohibited per pupil fees.

¹¹These types of universalization policies were common in Sub-Saharan Africa and Asia as part of the United Nations Millennium Development Goals. Therefore, it is worth considering the benefits and the problems associated with such an abrupt change in the educational system. Pupil-teacher ratios and pupil-section ratios (PTR and PSR), used as proxies for the relationship between supply and demand of education, show that in the aftermath of the Education and Training Policy, the PTR increased from 33:1 in 1994/95 to

3 Identification strategy

To identify the causal impact of maternal education on child health, I use a Two Stage Least Square model where female schooling is instrumented using the measure of the girls' exposure to the reform created by Chicoine (2020). As shown in Figure 2, to determine how much the sampled women were actually exposed to the nation wide reform, I exploit two sources of variation: cohort and geographical variations.

First, the cohort variation is rooted in the fact that even though ten years of schooling were made available for everyone, older students had already completed a number of them. In other words, younger girls received more years of education than older cohorts. For example, a girl enrolled in grade one could gain up to nine years of free years of education. However, a girl enrolled in grade seven could gain up to three years of free years of education.

Second, although the policy eliminated school fees uniformly across all the regions in the country; the magnitude of the reform's impact will differ according to each region's pre-reform levels of education. The concept is that in regions where enrollment levels had been high prior to the reforms, eliminating school fees did not have the same level of impact as in regions where the probability of attending primary school was low. For example: if a girl lived in Addis Ababa, the average number of years she would have complete before the reform was six but in a poorer region (like Oromia) she would have completed three years of education.

The strategy requires the assumption that the entry probability remains the same after the reform. That is, the relative age distribution within each region is constant over time. Specifically, even though all ages are more likely to enter school in the post-reform period, if a seven-year-old is twice as likely to enter school relative to a six-year-old in the census data, then a seven-year-old remains twice as likely to enter school in both the pre- and the post-reform states of the world. This assumption is also made across several papers in the literature, like Chicoine (2020) and Lucas and Mbiti (2012).¹²

3.1 Instrumental variable

Following Chicoine (2019), I first create the maximum potential magnitude of the reform for each region-cohort combination ($Magnitude_{ry}$). To avoid endogeneity, the magnitude is calculated using census data from women born between 1965 and 1969, a significant time before the reform. The maximum potential magnitude for each region ($Magnitude_r^{Max}$) is then calculated as the product of the number of potential additional years of schooling and the fraction of the population that dropped out after

66:1 in 2004/05, and the PSR grew from 48:1 to 69:1 in the same period (Birger & Craissati, 2009). School infrastructure also grew, but it struggled to accommodate the new enrollment. The government targeted building 2,426 new schools, but only 1,387 were built between 1994, the year of the reform and 2000.

¹²In Chicoine (2020), the author presents a thorough justification for this assumption using census data.

grade g , F_{rg} .¹³ The equation can be summarized as follows:

$$Magnitude_r^{Max} = \sum_{g=0}^9 (10 - g) F_{rg} \quad (1)$$

In this equation, I identify for each region r the number of free years of schooling that were made available by the reform beyond what was being completed before the implementation. Therefore, $Magnitude_r^{Max}$ is defined for the cohorts born after 1987, who faced the one time decision to begin schooling after the reform. The cohorts born prior to 1987 benefited from less years of free primary schooling. For example, the 1986 cohort received one less year of free schooling and the 1985 cohort two less years. Finally, individuals born in 1977 or earlier completed all ten grades before 1995 so they could gain zero years.¹⁴ For the cohorts, the magnitude is equal to:

$$Magnitude_{yr} = \begin{cases} \sum_{g=0}^9 (10 - g) F_{rg} = Magnitude_r^{Max} & \text{for } y \geq 1987 \\ \sum_{g=0}^9 (10 - g) F_{rg} & \text{for } 1977 \leq y \leq 1986 \\ 0 & \text{for } y \leq 1976 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Second, the main advantages of the instrument created by Chicoine (2020) is that it does not explicitly specify the age at which the person entered the first grade. Although the official first-grade entrance age is seven, late entry is common in Ethiopia and it is allowed until the age of 12. Since I have assumed that the relative age distribution remains constant over time in each region, the magnitude can be modified to account for the possibilities of starting school between the ages of six (a year early) and 12 (five years late). The starting school probabilities, $S_{r,a}$, are assumed to sum to 1:

$$\sum_{a=6}^{12} S_{r,a} = 1 \quad (3)$$

Next, the specific magnitudes are combined with the starting age probabilities to construct a reform intensity for each region (r) and cohort (y) combination. The geographic variation is captured in the magnitude measure described in equation 1, and the temporal variation is defined by the year of birth. In the particular case of the 1986 cohort, for example, a portion of girls ($S_{r,7}$) began their schooling on time in 1993. Thus, the assigned magnitude is equal to $S_{r,7} \cdot Magnitude_{r,1986} = \sum_{g=2}^9 (10 - g) F_{r,g}$. Another group will likely begin school at the age of six ($S_{r,6}$). For those, the magnitude is equal to $S_{r,6} \cdot Magnitude_{r,1985} = \sum_{g=3}^9 (10 - g) F_{r,g}$. In summary:

¹³For example, F_{r0} is the group of women who never attended school in region r , and F_{r1} is the fraction of women who left after the first grade.

¹⁴Following, Chicoine (2019), entrance is assumed to be a one time occurrence, and progression continuous until drop-out.

$$\sum_{p=6}^7 S_{r,a} Magnitude_{r,1986+a-7} \quad (4)$$

Then, because women entering school at the age of eight in the 1986 cohort get all 10 years of available free primary education, I multiply the entry probability times the maximum potential magnitude (equation 2). I also proceed in the same manner as Chicoine (2019) and account for the marginal entrant. Those in this group would have entered school between the ages of 6 and 8 if it was for free but did not because it was not free. At each age a , the group is identified by $(S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre})$ where $S_{r,a,pre}$ is a set of starting ages scaled to equal the fraction of students who entered school in the pre-reform environment, $\sum_{a=6}^{12} S_{r,a,pre} = (1 - F_{r0})$. The magnitude for post-reform entrants and the probable entrants in the 1986 cohort is equal to:

$$Magnitude_r^{Max} \sum_{p=8}^{12} S_{r,a} + [10F_{r,0}] \frac{1}{e^{8-7}} \sum_{a=6}^7 (S_{ra} - S_{ra,pre}) \quad (5)$$

In this equation, I assume that individuals make the decision to enter one time. Also, following Chicoine (2020), I account for the fact that the marginal children are likely to face a greater opportunity cost. They, could for example, have more responsibilities at home with the term $\frac{1}{e^{a-7}}$ where a is the age considered (in the 1986 cohort example is equal to eight). Note that this number is greater for older cohorts, which reflects the fact that it is harder to begin school at older ages.

Combining the magnitudes for the entry at ages six and seven with Equation 5:

$$\begin{aligned} Intensity_{r,1986} = & \sum_{p=6}^7 S_{r,a} Magnitude_{r,1987-a+7} + Magnitude_r^{Max} \cdot \sum_{p=8}^{12} S_{r,a} \\ & + [(10)F_{r,0}] \frac{1}{e^{8-7}} \sum_{a=6}^7 (S_{ra} - S_{ra,pre}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

All the equations for the cohorts between 1972 and 1987 are presented in Appendix Section A. Finally, the intensity variable when all children are considering entering school after the reform (the 1988 cohort onward) is defined by:

$$Intensity_{r,1988} = \sum_{p=6}^{12} S_{r,a} Magnitude_r = Magnitude_r \sum_{p=6}^{12} S_{r,a} = Magnitude_r^{Max} \quad (7)$$

The last important fact that needs to be considered to calculate the intensity of the reform relates to the timing of implementation across each region of the country. Several regions were early adopters, which lead them to eliminate fees in 1993; the rest

of the regions adopted the reforms in 1995. Therefore, the intensity measure is shifted two years to account for correct reform probabilities. Consequently, cohorts affected partially by the policy are born between 1977 and 1987 for fast-implementing regions, and between 1979 and 1989 for later-implementing regions.

Figure 3 presents the evolution of the measure of intensity for the regions with most and least potential impact, according to their pre-trend education levels. The measure of the impact of the reform is very close zero through the decade of the 1970s due to the low probability and the small number of years women are likely to have been affected by the reform. As the following decade progresses, the intensity expands until it reaches the maximum of 10 in the areas in which schooling enrollment was low. On the other hand, higher-educated regions are less likely to be affected by the policy. Across regions and within cohorts, there is geographical and temporal variation. Therefore, there are no discontinuous jumps in the measure of the intensity of the reforms, but rather, a steady increase in the impact of the reforms on women's educational attainments.

3.2 Estimation Strategy

The central econometric model is a two stage least squares model. The first stage in this equation is represented by:

$$YrsSchl_{mry} = \theta_0 + \theta_1 Intensity_{ry} + \gamma_r + \tau_y + \nu_y Trend_y + \theta_2 x_{mry} + \epsilon_{mry} \quad (8)$$

Where $YrsSchl_{mry}$ is the completed years of schooling for women m , from region r , and born in year y . $Intensity_{ry}$ is the region and cohort specific instrument that captures the exposure to the reform (described in Section 3.1). Year and region of birth fixed effects (τ_y and γ_r) are added to capture any cohort and region characteristics.¹⁵ $Trend_y$ is a set of region-specific linear trends that captures secular changes over time within each region of Ethiopia.¹⁶ Finally, x_{mry} is a vector of household-specific variables that account for survey round, ethnicity, religion and number of siblings.

Next, to estimate the second-stage effects, I use the predicted levels of schooling of the women estimated in Equation 8, $\widehat{YrsSchl_{mry}}$, in the right hand side of the following equation:

$$Health_{mry} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \widehat{YrsSchl_{mry}} + \gamma_r + \tau_y + \nu_y Trend_y + \beta_2 x_{mry} + \xi_{mry} \quad (9)$$

Where $Health_{mry}$ is the health outcome of a child whose mother is denoted by m

¹⁵Women's year of birth fixed effects are added in the same way as Güneş (2015), who considers the impact on child health outcomes in the wake of a reform regarding compulsory years of schooling reform in Turkey.

¹⁶Time trends are also used by Black et al. (2005), Bleakley (2010), Lucas and Mbiti (2012), and Lundborg, Nilsson, and Rooth (2014) among many others.

(and was born in year y) and the remaining variables follow the same definition as in the first stage.

3.3 Threats to validity

The casual estimation of women’s schooling on their children’s health is dependent on the assumption that the removal of school fees in Ethiopia only had an impact over women’s decisions on health care of their children through its effect on their level of schooling, both in the short and long run. A woman’s year of birth and the region where she attended school must be orthogonal to her exposure to the reform. Thus, it is important to consider violations to the exclusion restriction.

An important threat to the identification would arise if geographic distribution of teaching quality, or the number of schools changed relatively after the reform. It is possible that the ETP or Proclamation 41 caused poorer local governments to allocate more resources to education than took place in other districts with better pre-reform enrollment levels. However, Birger and Craissati (2009) showed that although the enrollment disparities between regions diminished after both policies were enacted, investment across local governments did not reverse the schooling expenditure distribution and teacher quality. Evidence of this is provided by the fact that out of the targeted number of new schools, only around half were constructed.

Second, the measure of intensity for women in each cohort and region is assigned using current location of the individual, not necessarily the place of birth. Therefore, if women chose to move to a different location after finishing her schooling, then the instrument would not precisely capture the effects of the school fee elimination.¹⁷ Luckily, migration is unlikely to be problematic in the current setting. Women in this study report that they had resided at their current location for the most part.¹⁸ Nevertheless, in section 6, I discuss this issue further and limit analysis to women who did not move.

Third, the strategy relies on the assumption that pre-reform trends are similar across regions with different levels. Thus, a challenge would arise if areas with higher levels of reform intensity, had higher increases in enrollment prior to the implementation of the reform. To test the assumption, I present the pre-trends of women’s education in Section 6. No significant differences were found between groups.

Fourth, health access benefited greatly in the mid 1990’s from an important budgetary increase. Therefore, the geographic distribution of the access to health services changes across regions. In areas where accessibility to health posts, stations, and centers was greater, women are likely to have had more access to child care. Thus, if women in some regions had more access to health care, then improvements in child

¹⁷Alternatively, migration might have changed the distribution of the students across regions. In this case, the instrument would capture the re-configuration of the schooling system. For example, if higher-ability students sorted into areas with higher predicted intensities of the reform, the estimates would be biased.

¹⁸More than 80 percent of the surveyed women reported living in the same district where they were born and less than 7 percent report having moved five years prior to the interview.

health would likely be affected by factors other than maternal education. More specifically, these estimates would still capture the effect of the reform, but not explicitly the effect of the removal of school fees, and the resulting increase in women’s education. To deal with this possibility I conduct a robustness check in Section 6.

4 Data

4.1 Data Sources

In this paper, I use two sources of data to analyze pre-and post-reform women’s characteristics and their children’s outcomes. The first source of post-reform information on women’s characteristics and their children’s health outcomes are the 2000, 2005, 2011, and 2016 rounds of the Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (DHS). Each of these datasets contains detailed demographic information about a representative sample of women between the ages of 15 and 49, and about their children. The surveys provide the required information on the women’s year of birth, region and district of residence, education level, health, employment, and household wealth. Additionally, DHS databases detail acute and chronic child health outcomes for children born in the five years preceding the survey.

I combine four rounds of the DHS surveys to create a repeated cross section containing mother’s and child’s household characteristics, year of birth of both, mothers and their children, and the health outcomes of the children. This enables me to consider households containing women born between 1970 and 2000, and their respective children. The final sample is comprised of 18,557 women and 25,271 children.

Second, the instrument rely on data from the Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency in 1994.¹⁹ As I describe in section 3.1, the instrument accounts for the set of pre-intervention enrollment probabilities.

4.2 Child health outcomes

The child health outcomes are selected because the combination provides a description of the overall health status. Following Keats (2018), I classify the outcomes into acute conditions and chronic conditions. Each group of outcomes has differing consequences for the child’s well-being and productivity. First, short-term wellbeing, measured by acute conditions, approximates daily health care provisions and the daily routine of the household. The set has also been directly linked to income disparities (Victora, Vaughan, Barros, Silva, & Tomasi, 2000). The set includes reports on diarrhea, coughing and fever in the two weeks prior to the survey, and the prevalence of wasting.

¹⁹These data were later made available in the Integrated Public Use Microdata Series (IPUMS) International by the Minnesota Population Center.

In contrast, the second set of conditions deals with malnutrition and anthropometric measures (stunting, body mass index, and height-for-age and weight-for-age z-scores). The conditions have been linked to long-term consequences for welfare and educational attainment. Malnourished children begin school later and perform relatively poorer on tests of cognitive achievement (Glewwe, Jacoby, and King, 2001; Alderman et al., 2006 and Handa, Peterman, Seidenfeld, and Tembo, 2016).²⁰ Additionally, poor anthropometric measurement in early life have also been linked to worse labor market outcomes (Hoddinott et al., 2008 and Carba et al., 2009).²¹

4.3 Descriptive statistics

Table 1 contains the summary statistics of the women sampled in the DHS database. The first two columns in this table provide information about the women in the pre-reform cohorts, who were born between 1966 and 1970. The following set of columns display characteristics of those women who were partially impacted by the reforms – that is, those women who were born between 1973 and 1987. The last two columns present the data of those women born after 1988 – the group of women who obtained the full extent of the policy’s benefit. The Table is also divided into a top panel containing mothers’ characteristics and a bottom panel with children’s health data.

Women in the youngest cohorts have higher levels of education and fewer children. Across the three groups of women, 90 per cent report being married, and most of them live in rural areas. Additionally, three out of the four rounds of data (2000, 2005, and 2016) contain information regarding the number of years spent in the current residence. Most of these women report that they in the same residence for more than 16 years, which means that they very likely attended primary school at the same location. In the sample, women fully exposed to the reform have children at a younger age.

The lower panel describes the children of the mothers in each of these groupings. Because the DHS surveys contains health outcomes of the children born five years prior to the survey, children are reported to have the same age on average. Interestingly, the sampled children are mostly male. The children that belong to the younger mother’s group have smaller stunting, diarrhea and wasting rates. They also display slightly smaller height-for-age and weight-for-age z-scores.

²⁰Alderman et al. (2006), for example, finds that improvements in preschool nutritional status, as measured by height for a given age, are associated with beginning school at an earlier age, a greater number of grades of schooling completed, and increased height as a young adult in Zimbabwe. Similarly, Handa et al. (2016) test whether the effects of malnutrition on children persist over time, or, alternatively, children undergo a “catching-up” experience. The authors used data from Nicaragua, South Africa and China and found that catch-up highly dependent on household behaviors.

²¹Hoddinott et al. (2008) find that a reduction in the incidence of malnutrition in early childhood (between zero and seven years of age) caused an increase in men’s wages. Likewise, an increase in the height-for-age Z-score at the age of two decreases in the likelihood of being employed in the informal sector substantially for Philippine women (Carba et al., 2009).

5 Results

5.1 First-stage coefficients

The first-stage coefficients are presented in Table 2. The coefficient of interest is estimated by regressing the completed number of years of education of the mother on the region and year of birth measure of intensity. The first-stage estimate shows that each free year of schooling made available by the program led to an additional 0.165 years of schooling. Moreover, the F-statistic for the instrument is 49.35. In column 2, I limit my analysis to elder children. The coefficient is equal to 0.191 additional years of women schooling (the F-statistic is 57.12). To further show the effects of the policy, Figure 4 graphically demonstrates the average yearly coefficient for the first-stage estimates for mothers by year of birth and region.

5.2 Effect of women’s schooling on child health

The second stage coefficients estimation are presented in Table 3. Each row displays a coefficient for a separate regression in which I present the coefficients for chronic conditions (top panel) and acute diseases (bottom panel).²²

As shown in Table 3, the children of more educated mothers are 4.8 percentage points (0.20 SE) less likely to be stunted.²³ On the other hand, mother’s education cannot be statistically linked to the increases in BMI z-score, since the estimate for the intensity of the reform does not seem to have any significant effect. The coefficients for both, height-for-age and weight-for-age, Z-scores are positive, equal to 0.190 (0.082 SE, $p < 0.05$) and 0.177 (0.06 SE, $p < 0.01$) standard deviations, respectively.

Next, I examine the regression coefficients of the four following acute conditions: diarrhea, fever, coughing reports, and q measures of child wasting. The rise in maternal schooling does not significantly impact the likelihood of reporting fever or diarrhea in the two weeks prior to the survey. The coefficient for coughing is -0.37 (0.18 SE, $p < 0.1$). Children of more educated mothers are 3.7 points (0.18 SE, $p < 0.05$) less likely to have reported any of the symptoms. Finally, the indicator for wasting is causally linked to a decrease in this condition by 5 percentage points (0.019 SE, $p < 0.01$).

In the appendix section, I present a set of additional estimation tables. First, Table C.2 presents the OLS relationship between women’s education and child health.²⁴ Next, Table C.3 includes the reduced form estimation coefficients where the main independent

²²Table C.2 documents the general Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimates for the relationship between years of schooling and the outcomes.

²³A child is defined as stunted if his height-for-age Z-score is less than -2 standard deviations from the median.

²⁴Across all estimates, the OLS model finds a negative and statistically significant relationship between women’s years of schooling and the likelihood of being stunted and anthropometric height and weight for age and body mass index all present positive and significant coefficients. Nevertheless, since unobserved characteristics that impact a woman’s schooling may also affect the next generation’s health, these estimates are not likely to describe a causal relationship.

variable is the measure of the intensity of the reform. Finally, Table C.4 contains the two stage least square estimation for the first born children.

6 Robustness checks

6.1 Pre-Trends

As I mention in Section 3.3, the identification strategy used in this paper relies on the assumption that pre-reform trends are similar across different levels of reform intensity. In other words, it is important to test the difference-in-differences assumption. If regions with poorer levels of pre-reform schooling (and thus, are assigned a higher intensity level) also experienced rapid increases in schooling prior to the reform; then the exclusion restriction would be violated. To examine this possibility, I divide the regions into the by their intensity level, and examine the trends in enrollment.

The trends are examined graphically in Figure 5, for the 1960 and 1969 cohorts. Although levels are substantially different, the patterns are similar over this time period. Since the trends are consistent, there is no evidence of divergent pre-reform trends.

6.2 Migration

As I describe in section 3.3, the measure of intensity, $Intensity_{ry}$, is assigned to each women using current location of the individual, not necessarily the place of birth. Therefore, if women chose to move to a different location after finishing her schooling, the instrument does not capture the effects of the school fee elimination. To account for the possibility, I estimate the two stage least square analysis on the sample of women who either live in the same region of birth or moved before the starting school age, which is seven.²⁵

This estimation is presented in Table 4. As shown, the effects of maternal education remain similar in magnitude to those presented in Table 3. Only two coefficients are statistically significant: the height for age Z-score and wasting.

6.3 Differential access to health services

Another important challenge to the causal interpretation of the impact of maternal education on child health relates to the fact that the cohorts that were directly affected by the UPE reform, also benefited from an increase in health care access. As shown in Figure 6, the national health expenditure rose significantly in 1995.²⁶ Therefore, child health improvements may be related to increases in maternal education or might be a consequence of health access.

²⁵Approximately 80 percent the women report living in the same region of birth.

²⁶Local governments also gained autonomy over their budgetary allocations, including the educational expenditure. Construction of health station, for example, grew steadily after the reform in two regions.

To account for the increase in access to health care, I use reports from the Ministry of Health (2000, 2003, 2005, 2008) to proxy access levels at the time of birth of the children in each region. I calculate the number of publicly provided health facilities (hospitals, health stations, health posts and health centers) per thousand inhabitants.²⁷ I then re-estimate the results presented in Table 3, accounting for the increase in health care. Results are presented in Table 5.

Column 1 includes the estimates the effect of maternal education and in Column 2, I present the coefficients for the new control for the number of facilities. As shown in the table, the effects of maternal education remain similar in magnitude and statistical significance. Even after the inclusion of health care variables, the effects of maternal education on child health persist.

6.4 Direct effects of the reform

In this section I examine whether the introduction of universal primary education caused improved the health for children of women in the fully treated women, relative to the control group. This approach has been used in many recent studies, including Duflo (2001) and Osili and Long (2008). Specifically, I implement a difference-in-differences empirical strategy exploiting cohorts and geographical differences.

The first difference I use is the years of exposure to the UPE reform. As described in Section 3.1, women born after 1985 receive most of the free primary education years. Therefore, I compare the outcomes of children of women born five years prior to the reform to those born in the following five year period. The second difference used is state of residence. Because the introduction of the UPE in Ethiopia had varying effects on the states within the country (Section 3.1), high-intensity states had extremely low enrollment levels prior to the nationwide UPE program whereas low intensity did not benefit in the same manner.

$$Health_{ir} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 Post \cdot High + \theta_r + \mu_y + \rho_i + \beta_2 x_{mry} + \xi_{iry} \quad (10)$$

Where the *post* indicates that the woman was born after 1987. The variable *High* is a dummy variable equal to one if the woman was educated in a high-intensity state.

The results are presented in Table 6. No chronic conditions coefficient is statistically significantly different from zero. Among the acute conditions, the results are mixed. The coefficients for diarrhea and cough are equal to -0.056 (0.021 SE, $p < 0.01$) and -0.046 (0.019 SE, $p < 0.1$) but the coefficients for fever and wasting are small in size and not statistically significant. These results strongly suggest that the main results found in this paper are indeed being driven by changes in women's schooling rather than other related factors.

²⁷The growth of private health facilities failed to keep the pace with the population growth of around 3 percent in the same period.

6.5 Regression Discontinuity Design

As an alternative identification strategy to assess the effects of maternal education on child health, I propose a fuzzy regression discontinuity design. This strategy was used by Keats (2018) in Uganda and by Behrman (2015) in Ethiopia to study the effects of female education on child health and desired fertility, respectively. The regression discontinuity empirical strategy is such that the reform treated as a random event for girls just below primary school exit age (age 11–13) but not girls who were slightly older (age 14–16) at the time of policy implementation.

Econometrically, I exploit a discontinuity in the probability of exposure to UPE conditional on birth cohort such that the discontinuity is used as an instrumental variable for treatment status. Given the Ethiopian setting, I follow Behrman (2015) and deal with potential noncompliance using a fuzzy regression discontinuity design.²⁸ The running variable is the year of birth of the mother, the treatment is each additional year of schooling and the cutoff point is the the 1985 year of birth (which I will define as c). I then conduct a two-stage least squares specification:

$$\begin{aligned} YrsSchl_{mry} &= \alpha_0 + \theta_1 Z_m + g(\text{Year Birth} - c) + \theta_2 x_{mry} + \epsilon_{mry} \\ Health_{ir} &= \alpha_0 + \beta_{FRD} \widehat{YrsSchl_{mry}} + g(\text{Year Birth} - c) + \beta_2 x_{mry} + \xi_{iry} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where Z is equal to 1 if the mother was born after 1986 and zero otherwise.²⁹

The results described in Table 7 are consistent with the findings in Table 3. The estimates for the BMI Z-score and weight for age Z-score are 0.354 (0.336 SE, $p < 0.05$) and 0.340 (0.249 SE, $p < 0.05$) standard deviations, respectively. Though not significant, more educated mothers have children that are 3.7 percentage points (0.082 SE) less likely to be stunted and the height for age Z-score is equal to 0.340 (2.446 SE). In contrast, with the exception of the coefficient for wasting that is equal to 0.085 (0.019 SE, $p < 0.1$), none of the coefficients for the acute conditions are statistically significant. The coefficients for diarrhea is equal to 0.059 (0.060 SE) but the coefficients for reporting a fever and a cough in the past week are -0.054 (0.070 SE) and -0.023 (0.073 SE), respectively.

²⁸The classic deterministic model where exposure to UPE is a deterministic and discontinuous function of birth cohort (Handa et al., 2016) will not work in the Ethiopian setting because grade repetition, long-term absenteeism, and late entry into school were frequent.

²⁹In this model, the treatment effect is a local average treatment effect. The main coefficient captures the effect of schooling on the health outcome for those that would get an additional year of schooling if school fees were eliminated only if the fees school are eliminated (the compliers) measured at the cutoff. There are three important assumptions that need to be satisfied for the fuzzy regression discontinuity design to provide valid estimates. First, the outcomes at the cutoff change solely as a result of the mothers' additional schooling. Next, individuals must not be manipulate their treatment status. Third, we need to assume that the covariates that affect both the schooling decision and outcomes of interest vary smoothly across the cutoff.

7 Conclusions

This paper provides evidence that a universalization of primary school in Ethiopia not only led to an increase in women’s education, but also had spillover effects that improved the long-term health of their children. Mothers who benefited from the reforms and, thus, attended more school as a result of the elimination of school fees, gave birth to children who were less likely to be stunted and have better height-for-age and weight-for-age Z-scores on average. The evidence associated with conditions that affect short-run health of the child is mixed. Maternal education had an impact the likelihood of reporting having had an episode of coughing or being wasted, but not on the diarrhea or fever incidence.

The identification strategy proposed by Chicoine (2019) and Chicoine (2020) exploits the temporal and regional variations in pre-existing levels of education to estimate the potential impact of the reform without relying on the supply or quality of schooling. The results are consistent with the neoclassical model of trade-off between the quantity and quality of children. It is also consistent idea that education has a such a great impact that its effects are visible, even when teachers confront greater numbers of students in their classrooms, and when school construction fails to keep up with increasing enrollment.

This paper’s main contribution is to add empirical evidence to the literature concerning the strong relation between female education and child outcomes in a developing-country setting. Policymakers have viewed maternal education as a tool to obtain greater levels of development. The implementation of this type of policy has been common in Sub-Saharan Africa since the mid-70’s and aimed to promote primary school enrollment drastically. Therefore, it is particularly important to assess not only short-run effects, but also possible spillovers into the next generation.

References


- Alderman, H., Hoddinott, J., & Kinsey, B. (2006). Long term consequences of early childhood malnutrition. *Oxford economic papers*, 58(3), 450–474.
- Baird, S., Chirwa, E., McIntosh, C., & Özler, B. (2010). The short-term impacts of a schooling conditional cash transfer program on the sexual behavior of young women. *Health economics*, 19(S1), 55–68.
- Behrman, J. R. & Rosenzweig, M. R. (2002). Does increasing women’s schooling raise the schooling of the next generation? *American economic review*, 92(1), 323–334.
- Behrman, J. A. (2015). Does schooling affect women’s desired fertility? evidence from malawi, uganda, and ethiopia. *Demography*, 52(3), 787–809.
- Birger, F. & Craissati, D. (2009). *Abolishing school fees in africa: Lessons learned in ethiopia, ghana, kenya and mozambique*. The World Bank.
- Black, S. E., Devereux, P. J., & Salvanes, K. G. (2005). Why the apple doesn’t fall far: Understanding intergenerational transmission of human capital. *American economic review*, 95(1), 437–449.
- Black, S. E., Devereux, P. J., & Salvanes, K. G. (2008). Staying in the classroom and out of the maternity ward? the effect of compulsory schooling laws on teenage births. *The economic journal*, 118(530), 1025–1054.
- Bleakley, H. (2010). Malaria eradication in the americas: A retrospective analysis of childhood exposure. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2(2), 1–45.
- Breierova, L. & Duflo, E. (2004). *The impact of education on fertility and child mortality: Do fathers really matter less than mothers?* National bureau of economic research.
- Carba, D. B., Tan, V. L., & Adair, L. S. (2009). Early childhood length-for-age is associated with the work status of filipino young adults. *Economics & Human Biology*, 7(1), 7–17.
- Chicoine, L. (2019). Schooling with learning: The effect of free primary education and mother tongue instruction reforms in ethiopia. *Economics of Education Review*, 69, 94–107.
- Chicoine, L. (2020). Free primary education, fertility, and women’s access to the labor market: Evidence from ethiopia. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (9105).
- Chou, S.-Y., Liu, J.-T., Grossman, M., & Joyce, T. (2010). Parental education and child health: Evidence from a natural experiment in taiwan. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2(1), 33–61.
- Currie, J. & Moretti, E. (2003). Mother’s education and the intergenerational transmission of human capital: Evidence from college openings. *The Quarterly journal of economics*, 118(4), 1495–1532.
- Duflo, E. (2001). Schooling and labor market consequences of school construction in indonesia: Evidence from an unusual policy experiment. *American economic review*, 91(4), 795–813.
- Duflo, E., Dupas, P., & Kremer, M. (2015). Education, hiv, and early fertility: Experimental evidence from kenya. *American Economic Review*, 105(9), 2757–97.

- Ferré, C. (2009). *Age at first child: Does education delay fertility timing? the case of kenya*. The World Bank.
- Glei, N., Dana A; Goldman & Rodriguez, G. (2003). Utilization of care during pregnancy in rural guatemala: Does obstetrical need matter? *Social science & medicine*, 57(12), 2447–2463.
- Glewwe, P. (1999). Why does mother’s schooling raise child health in developing countries? evidence from morocco. *Journal of human resources*, 124–159.
- Glewwe, P., Jacoby, H. G., & King, E. M. (2001). Early childhood nutrition and academic achievement: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of public economics*, 81(3), 345–368.
- Grossman, M. (2006). Education and nonmarket outcomes. *Handbook of the Economics of Education*, 1, 577–633.
- Güneş, P. M. (2015). The role of maternal education in child health: Evidence from a compulsory schooling law. *Economics of Education Review*, 47, 1–16.
- Handa, S., Peterman, A., Seidenfeld, D., & Tembo, G. (2016). Income transfers and maternal health: Evidence from a national randomized social cash transfer program in zambia. *Health economics*, 25(2), 225–236.
- Hoddinott, J., Maluccio, J. A., Behrman, J. R., Flores, R., & Martorell, R. (2008). Effect of a nutrition intervention during early childhood on economic productivity in guatemalan adults. *The lancet*, 371(9610), 411–416.
- Jewell, T. R. (2009). Demand for prenatal health care in south america. *Applied Economics*, 41(4), 469–479.
- Keats, A. (2018). Women’s schooling, fertility, and child health outcomes: Evidence from uganda’s free primary education program. *Journal of Development Economics*, 135, 142–159.
- Kırdar, M. G., Dayıoğlu, M., & Koç, İ. (2018). The effects of compulsory-schooling laws on teenage marriage and births in turkey. *Journal of Human Capital*, 12(4), 640–668.
- Lucas, A. M. (2010). Malaria eradication and educational attainment: Evidence from paraguay and sri lanka. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2(2), 46–71.
- Lucas, A. M. (2013). The impact of malaria eradication on fertility. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 61(3), 607–631.
- Lucas, A. M. & Mbiti, I. M. (2012). Access, sorting, and achievement: The short-run effects of free primary education in kenya. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 4(4), 226–53.
- Lundborg, P., Nilsson, A., & Rooth, D.-O. (2014). Parental education and offspring outcomes: Evidence from the swedish compulsory school reform. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 6(1), 253–78.
- McCrary, J. & Royer, H. (2011). The effect of female education on fertility and infant health: Evidence from school entry policies using exact date of birth. *American economic review*, 101(1), 158–95.
- Mekonnen, Y. & Mekonnen, A. (2003). Factors influencing the use of maternal healthcare services in ethiopia. *Journal of health, population and nutrition*, 374–382.

- Ministry of Education. (2000). Education Statistics Annual Abstract 1992 EC (1999-00). Technical report. Online; accessed 30 June 2017.
- Monstad, K., Propper, C., & Salvanes, K. G. (2008). Education and fertility: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 110(4), 827–852.
- of Ethiopia Ministry of Health, F. D. R. (2000, 2003, 2005, 2008). Health and health related indicators. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia Federal Ministry of Health.
- Osili, U. O. & Long, B. T. (2008). Does female schooling reduce fertility? evidence from nigeria. *Journal of development Economics*, 87(1), 57–75.
- Ozier, O. (2015). *The impact of secondary schooling in kenya: A regression discontinuity analysis*. The World Bank.
- Tann, C. J., Kizza, M., Morison, L., Mabey, D., Muwanga, M., Grosskurth, H., & Elliott, A. M. (2007). Use of antenatal services and delivery care in entebbe, uganda: A community survey. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, 7(1), 23.
- Victora, C. G., Vaughan, J. P., Barros, F. C., Silva, A. C., & Tomasi, E. (2000). Explaining trends in inequities: Evidence from brazilian child health studies. *The Lancet*, 356(9235), 1093–1098.


A Graphs and Tables

Figure 1: Primary school enrollment - National level




Source: World Development Indicators. Observations: 40

Figure 2: Identification strategy - Cohort and region variations



Notes: In Figure 2, I present the fraction of years girls are exposed to a hypothetical free primary education reform. The number of years gained are calculated as a function of the year of birth minus the start year of the reform. Whether the girls live in a high or low exposure region depends on the pre-trends in education levels of women in each region. The patterns are reflected in the data, as shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3: Intensity measure for highest and lowest regions, by birth year



Source: 1994 Ethiopian census data. Observations: 2,509,888


Notes: Intensity measure is calculated for every region, by year of birth of the mother

Figure 4: First stage estimates by year of birth of the mother




Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2010 and 2016. Observations: 25,271

Figure 5: Pre-treatment trends of maternal schooling



Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2010 and 2016. Observations: 50,199

Figure 6: Health Expenditure - National level



Source: World Bank Historical data - Retrieved from <https://tradingeconomics.com/> on April 7th, 2020

Table 1: Summary statistics – By level of intensity - Mother and children data

	No impact cohorts 1965-1972		Partial impact cohorts 1973-1987		Full impact cohorts 1988-2000	
	Mean (1)	SD (2)	Mean (3)	SD (4)	Mean (5)	SD (6)
Mother level variables						
Mother's age	34.791	5.410	28.710	5.562	22.305	3.000
Age at first birth	19.517	4.174	19.043	3.655	18.047	2.634
Married	0.896	0.306	0.892	0.311	0.899	0.301
Number of Children	5.724	2.520	3.849	2.295	2.036	1.251
Years in district	24.973	11.762	21.139	11.058	16.986	8.981
Rural Household	0.832	0.375	0.803	0.398	0.807	0.395
Years of schooling	1.066	2.778	1.692	3.421	3.152	3.977
Literacy	0.136	0.343	0.158	0.364	0.207	0.406
N	671		12841		3856	
All children sample						
Age of the child	2.647	1.394	2.407	1.438	2.069	1.409
Male	0.804	0.397	0.833	0.373	0.800	0.400
Stunting	0.501	0.500	0.416	0.493	0.340	0.474
BMI	-0.507	1.378	-0.388	1.436	-0.442	1.401
Height-for-age Z-score	-1.578	1.398	-1.279	1.406	-1.074	1.355
Weight-for-age Z-score	-1.856	2.051	-1.531	2.020	-1.158	1.978
Child has diah	0.192	0.394	0.170	0.376	0.136	0.343
Wasting	0.387	0.487	0.293	0.455	0.235	0.424
N	950		18943		5378	

*Notes:*Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2011 & 2016. Note: the table considers mothers with at least one child. The cohorts were selected such that the intensity of the reform is null in the first set of columns, greater than zero and less than the maximum possible in the next set or the greatest possible in the last set. The top panel includes mother characteristics and the bottom panel displays children data.

Table 2: First stage estimates: Effect of the Reform on Years of schooling

	Years of Schooling	
	Intensity of the Reform	
	Full Sample (1)	Older siblings (2)
$Intensity_{ry}$	0.165*** (0.0235)	0.191*** (0.0253)
Observations	25,271	17,368
Adjusted R ²	0.003	0.004
F- statistic	49.35	57.12

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2011 and 2016. The dependent variable is the years of schooling of the mother. In columns 1 and 2, the intensity measure for each regression is the estimated intensity of the reform in region r for women born in year y. The sample includes women in birth cohorts from 1970 to 2000. All regressions include birth year and region fixed effects. The regression also contains region-specific linear trends and household controls.

Table 3: Effect of mother's schooling on child health outcomes

Two Stage Least Squares Estimation					
	Coeff	S.E.	R-Sq	N	Mean dep. var. Before intervention
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Chronic conditions					
Stunting	-0.048**	(0.020)	0.074	25,271	0.483
BMI	0.062	(0.061)	0.040	25,271	-0.427
Height-for-age Z-score	0.190**	(0.082)	0.148	25,271	-1.817
Weight-for-age Z-score	0.177***	(0.060)	0.105	25,271	-1.492
Acute conditions					
Diarrhea	-0.003	(0.015)	0.050	25,271	0.198
Fever	-0.013	(0.017)	0.043	25,271	0.243
Cough	-0.037**	(0.018)	0.009	25,271	0.251
Wasting	-0.050***	(0.019)	0.021	25,271	0.359
First stage F-statistic		49.35			
Region and year of birth Fixed effect		Yes			
Controls		Yes			

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2011 and 2016. The dependent variable for each regression is the health outcome of the child. $\widehat{YrsSchl_{mry}}$ is the predicted level of schooling, instrumented using $Intensity_{ry}$, which measures the exposure of the woman born in year y in region r to the reform. Columns 1 and 2 present the two stage least square coefficients and standard errors. All regressions include birth year and region fixed effects, and household controls. They also include squared linear trends.

Table 4: Effect of mother's schooling on child health outcomes

Two Stage Least Squares Estimation - Women who did not migrate

	Coeff	S.E.	R-Sq	N	Mean dep. var. Before intervention
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Chronic conditions					
Stunting	-0.036	(0.023)	0.093	19,794	0.483
BMI-for-age Z-score	0.086	(0.071)	0.037	19,794	-0.427
Height-for-age Z-score	0.151	(0.094)	0.163	19,794	-1.817
Weight-for-age Z-score	0.170**	(0.070)	0.117	19,794	-1.492
Acute conditions					
Diarrhea	-0.000	(0.018)	0.048	19,794	0.198
Fever	-0.018	(0.020)	0.039	19,794	0.243
Cough	-0.033	(0.021)	0.021	19,794	0.251
Wasting	-0.056**	(0.023)	0.019	19,794	0.359
First stage F-statistic		41.08			
Region and year of birth Fixed effect		Yes			
Controls		Yes			

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2005 and 2011. The dependent variable for each regression is the health outcome of the child. $\overline{YrsSchl}_{mry}$ is the predicted level of schooling, instrumented using $Intensity_{ry}$, which measures the exposure of the woman born in year y in region r to the reform. The sample is comprised of women who did not migrate. Columns 1 and 2 present the two stage least square coefficients and standard errors. All regressions include birth year and region fixed effects, and household controls. They also include squared linear trends.

Table 5: Effect of mother's schooling on child health outcomes

Two Stage Least Squares Estimation - Health facilities controls							
	Coeff $\widehat{YrsSchl_{mry}}$	S.E.	Facilities per 1000 hab.	S.E.	R-sq	N	Mean dep. var.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Chronic conditions							
Stunting	-0.043**	(0.020)	-0.784***	(0.156)	0.083	25,271	0.483
BMI	0.067	(0.061)	-0.950**	(0.477)	0.038	25,271	-0.427
Height-for-age	0.165**	(0.082)	4.611***	(0.622)	0.159	25,271	-1.817
Weight-for-age	0.166***	(0.060)	2.146***	(0.456)	0.116	25,271	-1.492
Acute conditions							
Diarrhea	-0.003	(0.015)	0.045	(0.125)	0.050	25,271	0.198
Fever	-0.013	(0.017)	0.083	(0.132)	0.042	25,271	0.243
Cough	-0.037**	(0.018)	0.095	(0.137)	0.007	25,271	0.251
Wasting	-0.047**	(0.019)	-0.578***	(0.146)	0.029	25,271	0.359
First stage F-statistic			48.83				
Region and year of birth Fixed effect			Yes				
Controls			Yes				

Note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2011 and 2016. The dependent variable for each regression is the health outcome of the child. $\widehat{YrsSchl_{mry}}$ is the predicted level of schooling, instrumented with with the intensity measure. Columns 1 and 2 present the two stage least square coefficients and standard errors. In all regressions, "Facilities per 1000. hab." is added as a control in the which measures the number of health access facilities per 1000 inhabitants in each specific region and for each year of birth of the child. All regressions include birth year and region fixed effects, and household controls. They also include squared linear trends.

Table 6: Effect of mother's schooling on child health outcomes

Differences-in-Differences					
	Coeff	S.E.	R-Sq	N	Mean dep. var. Before intervention
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Chronic conditions					
Stunting	-0.046	(0.029)	0.055	11,122	0.483
BMI	-0.035	(0.089)	0.039	11,122	-0.427
Height-for-age Z-score	0.022	(0.116)	0.066	11,122	-1.817
Weight-for-age Z-score	0.011	(0.086)	0.071	11,122	-1.492
Acute conditions					
Diarrhea	-0.056***	(0.021)	0.021	11,122	0.198
Fever	0.007	(0.024)	0.024	11,122	0.243
Cough	-0.046*	(0.024)	0.031	11,122	0.251
Wasting	0.006	(0.025)	0.043	11,122	0.359
Region and year of birth	Fixed effect	Yes			
Controls		Yes			

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2011 and 2016. The dependent variable for each regression is the health outcome of the child. Columns 1 and 2 present the simple difference in differences coefficients and standard errors. All regressions include birth year and region fixed effects, and household controls. They also include squared linear trends.

Table 7: Effects of mother's schooling on child health outcomes

Regression Discontinuity Design				
	Coefficient	S.E.	N	Mean dep. var. Before intervention
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Chronic conditions				
Stunted	-0.037	(0.082)	5,796	0.377
BMI	0.354**	(0.336)	5,796	-0.460
Height for Age	0.345	(2.466)	5,796	0.843
Weight for Age	0.340**	(0.249)	5,796	-1.179
Acute conditions				
Diarrhea	0.059	(0.060)	5,796	0.149
Fever	-0.054	(0.070)	5,796	0.184
Cough	-0.023	(0.073)	5,796	0.199
Wasting	-0.085*	(0.080)	5,796	0.267
Region and year of birth Fixed effect	Yes			
Controls	Yes			

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. The dependent variable is an indicator variable that is equal to 1 if the child is dead. $\widehat{YrsSchl_{mry}}$ is the independent variable is the estimated effect of the instrument on the years of schooling of the mother. All regressions include, regional squared trends, regional and mother's birth year region fixed effects, and household and survey characteristic controls. Additionally, standard errors are clustered at the region level.

Appendix A Reform Intensity Equations

In this section, I present the equations used to calculate measure of the intensity of the reform for each cohort y in region r (Section 3.1). As a reminder, the main variables are the magnitude (which I will shorten to M_{ry} in this section for simplicity) and the starting school probabilities at age a (S_{ra}). Women born in the 1972 cohort or earlier will not benefit from any free schooling because even if they enter school at the age of 12 would still complete all ten years of schooling early. Therefore, the measure of intensity for the 1972 cohort is equal to zero:

$$Intensity_{r,1972} = 0$$

Those born in 1973 who enter school at the age 12 could potentially receive one year if they are enrolled until grade 10. Next, those born in 1974 and starting at 12 could potentially have up to two free years of schooling, only if they have completed the first eight grades, and if begin school at age 11. The following equations iterate the equations between 1973 and 1981:

$$Intensity_{r,1973} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1978}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1974} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1979} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1978}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1975} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1980} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1979} + S_{r,10} \cdot M_{r,1978}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1976} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1981} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1980} + S_{r,10} \cdot M_{r,1979} + S_{r,9} \cdot M_{r,1978}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1977} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1982} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1981} + S_{r,10} \cdot M_{r,1980} + S_{r,9} \cdot M_{r,1979} + S_{r,8} \cdot M_{r,1978}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1978} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1983} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1982} + S_{r,10} \cdot M_{r,1981} + S_{r,9} \cdot M_{r,1980} + S_{r,8} \cdot M_{r,1979} + S_{r,7} \cdot M_{r,1978}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1979} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1984} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1983} + S_{r,10} \cdot M_{r,1982} + S_{r,9} \cdot M_{r,1981} + S_{r,8} \cdot M_{r,1980} + S_{r,7} \cdot M_{r,1979} + S_{r,6} \cdot M_{r,1978}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1980} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1985} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1984} + S_{r,10} \cdot M_{r,1983} + S_{r,9} \cdot M_{r,1982} + S_{r,8} \cdot M_{r,1981} + S_{r,7} \cdot M_{r,1980} + S_{r,6} \cdot M_{r,1979}$$

$$Intensity_{r,1981} = S_{r,12} \cdot M_{r,1986} + S_{r,11} \cdot M_{r,1985} + S_{r,10} \cdot M_{r,1984} + S_{r,9} \cdot M_{r,1983} + S_{r,8} \cdot M_{r,1982} + S_{r,7} \cdot M_{r,1981} + S_{r,6} \cdot M_{r,1980}$$

The first cohort for which the post-reform entry probabilities must be considered is the 1982. A correction is added to account for the stock of students that would have entered if schooling was free but did not ($S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre}$). For the small group of “late entrants” in the 1982 cohort, they may have other responsibilities that keep them away from entering school.

$$Intensity_{r,1982} = S_{r6} \cdot M_{r,1981} + S_{r7} \cdot M_{r,1982} + S_{r8} \cdot M_{r,1983} + S_{r9} \cdot M_{r,1984} + S_{r10} \cdot M_{r,1985}$$

$$+ S_{r11} \cdot M_{r,1986} + S_{r11} \cdot M_r^{Max} + [(10)F_{r,0}] \frac{1}{e^{12-7}} \sum_{a=6}^{11} (S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre})$$

$$Intensity_{r,1983} = S_{r6} \cdot M_{r,1982} + S_{r7} \cdot M_{r,1983} + S_{r8} \cdot M_{r,1984} + S_{r9} \cdot M_{r,1985} +$$

$$S_{r10} \cdot M_{r,1986} + M_r^{Max} \sum_{a=11}^{12} S_{r,a} + [(10)F_{r,0}] \frac{1}{e^{11-7}} \sum_{a=6}^{10} (S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre})$$

$$Intensity_{r,1984} = S_{r6} \cdot M_{r,1983} + S_{r7} \cdot M_{r,1984} + S_{r8} \cdot M_{r,1985} + S_{r9} \cdot M_{r,1986} + M_r^{Max} \sum_{a=10}^{12} S_{r,a}$$

$$+ [(10)F_{r,0}] \frac{1}{e^{10-7}} \sum_{a=6}^9 (S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre})$$

$$Intensity_{r,1985} = S_{r6} \cdot M_{r,1984} + S_{r7} \cdot M_{r,1985} + S_{r8} \cdot M_{r,1986} + M_r^{Max} \sum_{a=9}^{12} S_{r,a}$$

$$+ [(10)F_{r,0}] \frac{1}{e^{9-7}} \sum_{a=6}^8 (S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre})$$

$$Intensity_{r,1986} = S_{r6} \cdot M_{r,1985} + S_{r7} \cdot M_{r,1986} + M_r^{Max} \sum_{a=8}^{12} S_{r,a} + [(10)F_{r,0}] \frac{1}{e^{8-7}} \sum_{a=6}^7 (S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre})$$

$$Intensity_{r,1987} = S_{r6} \cdot M_{r,1986} + M_r^{Max} \sum_{a=7}^{12} S_{r,a} + [(10)F_{r,0}] (S_{r,a} - S_{r,a,pre})$$

$$Intensity_{r,1988} = M_r^{Max}$$

Appendix B Timing of the Reform

In the following table, I provide an additional representation of cohort variation exploited in the identification strategy. The table is a variation from the one presented by Chicoine (2020). The goal is to show how many years of free primary school were made available by the reform for a girl born in each year between 1977 and 1988.

Table B.1: Timing of the reform by cohort and on time entry

Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Reform
1977	Born			1978	Born			1979	Born			1980	Born			1981	Born			
1978	0			1979	0			1980	0			1981	0			1982	0			
1979	1			1980	1			1981	1			1982	1			1983	1			
1980	2			1981	2			1982	2			1983	2			1984	2			
1981	3			1982	3			1983	3			1984	3			1985	3			
1982	4			1983	4			1984	4			1985	4			1986	4			
1983	5			1984	5			1985	5			1986	5			1987	5			
1984	6			1985	6			1986	6			1987	6			1988	6			
1985	7	G1		1986	7	G1		1987	7	G1		1988	7	G1		1989	7	G1		
1986	8	G2		1987	8	G2		1988	8	G2		1989	8	G2		1990	8	G2		
1987	9	G3		1988	9	G3		1989	9	G3		1990	9	G3		1991	9	G3		
1988	10	G4		1989	10	G4		1990	10	G4		1991	10	G4		1992	10	G4		
1989	11	G5		1990	11	G5		1991	11	G5		1992	11	G5		1993	11	G5		
1990	12	G6		1991	12	G6		1992	12	G6		1993	12	G6		1994	12	G6		
1991	13	G7		1992	13	G7		1993	13	G7		1994	13	G7		1995	13	G7		
1992	14	G8		1993	14	G8		1994	14	G8		1995	14	G8	UPE	1996	14	G8	UPE	
1993	15	G9		1994	15	G9	UPE	1995	15	G9	UPE	1996	15	G9	UPE	1997	15	G9	UPE	
1994	16	G10		1995	16	G10	UPE	1996	16	G10	UPE	1997	16	G10	UPE	1998	16	G10	UPE	
Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Year	Age	Grad	Status	Reform
1983	Born			1984	Born			1985	Born			1986	Born			1987	Born			
1984	0			1985	0			1986	0			1987	0			1988	0			
1985	1			1986	1			1987	1			1988	1			1989	1			
1986	2			1987	2			1988	2			1989	2			1990	2			
1987	3			1988	3			1989	3			1990	3			1991	3			
1988	4			1989	4			1990	4			1991	4			1992	4			
1989	5			1990	5			1991	5			1992	5			1993	5			
1990	6			1991	6			1992	6			1993	6			1994	6			
1991	7	G1		1992	7	G1		1993	7	G1		1994	7	G1		1995	7	G1		
1992	8	G2		1993	8	G2		1994	8	G2		1995	8	G2		1996	8	G2		
1993	9	G3		1994	9	G3		1995	9	G3	UPE	1996	9	G3	UPE	1997	9	G3	UPE	
1994	10	G4		1995	10	G4	UPE	1996	10	G4	UPE	1997	10	G4	UPE	1998	10	G4	UPE	
1995	11	G5	UPE	1996	11	G5	UPE	1997	11	G5	UPE	1998	11	G5	UPE	1999	11	G5	UPE	
1996	12	G6	UPE	1997	12	G6	UPE	1998	12	G6	UPE	1999	12	G6	UPE	2000	12	G6	UPE	
1997	13	G7	UPE	1998	13	G7	UPE	1999	13	G7	UPE	2000	13	G7	UPE	2001	13	G7	UPE	
1998	14	G8	UPE	1999	14	G8	UPE	2000	14	G8	UPE	2001	14	G8	UPE	2002	14	G8	UPE	
1999	15	G9	UPE	2000	15	G9	UPE	2001	15	G9	UPE	2002	15	G9	UPE	2003	15	G9	UPE	
2000	16	G10	UPE	2001	16	G10	UPE	2002	16	G10	UPE	2003	16	G10	UPE	2004	16	G10	UPE	

Appendix C Appendix Tables

Table C.2: Effect of Maternal Education on Chronic Child Health Outcomes

Ordinary Least Squares Estimation				
	(1) Coefficient	(2) S.E.	(3) N	(4) R-Squared
Chronic conditions				
Stunting	-0.013***	(0.001)	25,271	0.108
Anemi	-0.005***	(0.001)	20,415	0.062
BMI	0.025***	(0.003)	25,271	0.044
Height-for-age Z-score	0.056***	(0.004)	25,271	0.177
Weight-for-age Z-score	0.053***	(0.003)	25,271	0.158
Acute conditions				
Diarrhea	-0.003***	(0.001)	25,271	0.050
Fever	-0.002	(0.001)	25,271	0.048
Cough	-0.002**	(0.001)	25,271	0.056
Wasting	-0.011***	(0.001)	25,271	0.072

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2011 and 2016. The dependent value is the child health outcome. The set of independent variables include the years of education of the mother and all regressions include birth year and region fixed effects, and squared regional trends. Every regression also contains household controls not dependent on the reform. Additionally, every regression is weighted using weights provided by the DHS, and standard errors are clustered at the region level.

Table C.3: Reduced form Estimation - Child Health Outcomes

	Coeff	S.E.	R-Sq	N	Mean dep. var. Before intervention
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Chronic conditions					
Stunting	-0.008**	(0.003)	0.104	25,271	0.483
BMI	0.010	(0.010)	0.042	25,271	-0.427
Height-for-age Z-score	0.031**	(0.013)	0.172	25,271	-1.817
Weight-for-age Z-score	0.029***	(0.010)	0.149	25,271	-1.492
Acute conditions					
Diarrhea	-0.000	(0.002)	0.050	25,271	0.198
Fever	-0.002	(0.003)	0.048	25,271	0.243
Cough	-0.006**	(0.003)	0.056	25,271	0.251
Wasting	-0.008***	(0.003)	0.069	25,271	0.359
Region and year of birth	Fixed effect	Yes			
Controls		Yes			

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2011 and 2016. The dependent variable for each regression is the health outcome of the child. The main independent variable is $Intensity_{ry}$, which is defined as the reform's intensity measure. Columns 1 and 2 present the two-stage least-squares coefficients and standard errors. All regressions include birth year and region fixed effects, and household controls. They also include squared linear trends.

Table C.4: Effect of Years of mother's Schooling on Health Outcomes of the First-born Child

Two Stage Least Squares Estimation						
	Effect of Schooling				N	Mean dep. Var. Before intervention (5)
	2SLS		OLS			
	Coeff (1)	S.E. (2)	Coeff	S.E. (3)		
Chronic conditions						
Stunting	-0.049**	0.019	-0.013***	0.001	17,368	0.483
BMI	0.111*	0.064	0.027***	0.004	17,368	-0.427
Height-for-age Z-score	0.223***	0.084	0.057***	0.005	17,368	-1.817
Weight-for-age Z-score	0.233***	0.061	0.055***	0.003	17,368	-1.492
Acute conditions						
Diarrhea	-0.012	0.016	-0.003***	0.001	17,368	0.198
Fever	-0.019	0.017	-0.001	0.001	17,368	0.243
Cough	-0.045**	0.018	-0.002**	0.001	17,368	0.251
Wasting	-0.063***	0.019	-0.011***	0.001	17,368	0.359

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Source: Ethiopia DHS 2000, 2005, 2016. The dependent variable for each regression is the health outcome of the first-born child. $\widehat{YrsSchl}_{mry}$ is the predicted level of schooling, instrumented with the reform intensity measure. Columns 1 and 2 presents the two stage least squares coefficients and standard errors of the estimated Years of education of the mother. All regressions include birth year and region fixed effects, and child controls for ethnicity. They also include squared linear trends.