

# COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS

## EXERCISES FOR CHAPTER 3

---

### Exercise 3.1: Plotting experimental data

In the on-line resources you will find a file called `sunspots.txt`, which contains the observed number of sunspots on the Sun for each month since January 1749. The file contains two columns of numbers, the first being the month and the second being the sunspot number.

- Write a program that reads in the data and makes a graph of sunspots as a function of time.
- Modify your program to display only the first 1000 data points on the graph.
- Modify your program further to calculate and plot the running average of the data, defined by

$$Y_k = \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{m=-r}^r y_{k+m},$$

need  $k-r > 0 \Rightarrow k > r$   
 $k+r < n \Rightarrow k < n-r$   
 $r < k < n-r$

where  $r = 5$  in this case (and the  $y_k$  are the sunspot numbers). Have the program plot both the original data and the running average on the same graph, again over the range covered by the first 1000 data points.

### Exercise 3.2: Curve plotting

Although the `plot` function is designed primarily for plotting standard  $xy$  graphs, it can be adapted for other kinds of plotting as well.

- Make a plot of the so-called *deltoid* curve, which is defined parametrically by the equations

$$x = 2 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta, \quad y = 2 \sin \theta - \sin 2\theta,$$

where  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ . Take a set of values of  $\theta$  between zero and  $2\pi$  and calculate  $x$  and  $y$  for each from the equations above, then plot  $y$  as a function of  $x$ .

- Taking this approach a step further, one can make a polar plot  $r = f(\theta)$  for some function  $f$  by calculating  $r$  for a range of values of  $\theta$  and then converting  $r$  and  $\theta$  to Cartesian coordinates using the standard equations  $x = r \cos \theta$ ,  $y = r \sin \theta$ . Use this method to make a plot of the Galilean spiral  $r = \theta^2$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 10\pi$ .
- Using the same method, make a polar plot of “Fey’s function”


$$r = e^{\cos \theta} - 2 \cos 4\theta + \sin^5 \frac{\theta}{12}$$

in the range  $0 \leq \theta \leq 24\pi$ .

**Exercise 3.3:** There is a file in the on-line resources called `stm.txt`, which contains a grid of values from scanning tunneling microscope measurements of the (111) surface of silicon. A scanning tunneling microscope (STM) is a device that measures the shape of a surface at the atomic level by tracking a sharp tip over the surface and measuring quantum tunneling current as a function of position. The end result is a grid of values that represent the height of the surface and the file `stm.txt` contains just such a grid of values. Write a program that reads the data contained in the file and makes a density plot of the values. Use the various options and variants you have learned about to make a picture that shows the structure of the silicon surface clearly.

**Exercise 3.4:** Using the program from Example 3.2 as a starting point, or starting from scratch if you prefer, do the following:

- A sodium chloride crystal has sodium and chlorine atoms arranged on a cubic lattice but the atoms alternate between sodium and chlorine, so that each sodium is surrounded by six chlorines and each chlorine is surrounded by six sodiums. Create a visualization of the sodium chloride lattice using two different colors to represent the two types of atoms.
- The face-centered cubic (fcc) lattice, which is the most common lattice in naturally occurring crystals, consists of a cubic lattice with atoms positioned not only at the corners of each cube but also at the center of each face:



Create a visualization of an fcc lattice with a single species of atom (such as occurs in metallic iron, for instance).

### Exercise 3.5: Visualization of the solar system

The innermost six planets of our solar system revolve around the Sun in roughly circular orbits that all lie approximately in the same (ecliptic) plane. Here are some basic parameters:

Object	Radius of object (km)	Radius of orbit (millions of km)	Period of orbit (days)
Mercury	2440	57.9	88.0
Venus	6052	108.2	224.7
Earth	6371	149.6	365.3
Mars	3386	227.9	687.0
Jupiter	69173	778.5	4331.6
Saturn	57316	1433.4	10759.2
Sun	695500	—	—

Using the facilities provided by the `visual` package, create an animation of the solar system that shows the following:

- a) The Sun and planets as spheres in their appropriate positions and with sizes proportional to their actual sizes. Because the radii of the planets are tiny compared to the distances between them, represent the planets by spheres with radii  $c_1$  times larger than their correct proportionate values, so that you can see them clearly. Find a good value for  $c_1$  that makes the planets visible. You'll also need to find a good radius for the Sun. Choose any value that gives a clear visualization. (It doesn't work to scale the radius of the Sun by the same factor you use for the planets, because it'll come out looking much too large. So just use whatever works.) For added realism, you may also want to make your spheres different colors. For instance, Earth could be blue and the Sun could be yellow.
- b) The motion of the planets as they move around the Sun (by making the spheres of the planets move). In the interests of alleviating boredom, construct your program so that time in your animation runs a factor of  $c_2$  faster than actual time. Find a good value of  $c_2$  that makes the motion of the orbits easily visible but not unreasonably fast. Make use of the `rate` function to make your animation run smoothly.

Hint: You may find it useful to store the sphere variables representing the planets in an array of the kind described on page 115.

### Exercise 3.6: Deterministic chaos and the Feigenbaum plot

One of the most famous examples of the phenomenon of chaos is the *logistic map*, defined by the equation

$$x' = rx(1 - x). \quad (1)$$

For a given value of the constant  $r$  you take a value of  $x$ —say  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ —and you feed it into the right-hand side of this equation, which gives you a value of  $x'$ . Then you take that value and feed it back in on the right-hand side again, which gives you another value, and so forth. This is a *iterative map*. You keep doing the same operation over and over on your value of  $x$ , and one of three things happens:

1. The value settles down to a fixed number and stays there. This is called a *fixed point*. For instance,  $x = 0$  is always a fixed point of the logistic map. (You put  $x = 0$  on the right-hand side and you get  $x' = 0$  on the left.)
2. It doesn't settle down to a single value, but it settles down into a periodic pattern, rotating around a set of values, such as say four values, repeating them in sequence over and over. This is called a *limit cycle*.
3. It goes crazy. It generates a seemingly random sequence of numbers that appear to have no rhyme or reason to them at all. This is *deterministic chaos*. “Chaos” because it really does look chaotic, and “deterministic” because even though the values look random, they're not. They're clearly entirely predictable, because they are given to you by one simple equation. The behavior is *determined*, although it may not look like it.

Write a program that calculates and displays the behavior of the logistic map. Here's what you need to do. For a given value of  $r$ , start with  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ , and iterate the logistic map equation a thousand times. That will give it a chance to settle down to a fixed point or limit cycle if it's going to. Then run for another thousand iterations and plot the points  $(r, x)$  on a graph where the horizontal axis is  $r$  and the vertical axis is  $x$ . You can either use the `plot` function with the options "ko" or "k." to draw a graph with dots, one for each point, or you can use the `scatter` function to draw a scatter plot (which always uses dots). Repeat the whole calculation for values of  $r$  from 1 to 4 in steps of 0.01, plotting the dots for all values of  $r$  on the same figure and then finally using the function `show` once to display the complete figure.

Your program should generate a distinctive plot that looks like a tree bent over onto its side. This famous picture is called the *Feigenbaum plot*, after its discoverer Mitchell Feigenbaum, or sometimes the *figtree plot*, a play on the fact that it looks like a tree and Feigenbaum means "figtree" in German.

Give answers to the following questions:

- a) For a given value of  $r$  what would a fixed point look like on the Feigenbaum plot? How about a limit cycle? And what would chaos look like?
- b) Based on your plot, at what value of  $r$  does the system move from orderly behavior (fixed points or limit cycles) to chaotic behavior? This point is sometimes called the "edge of chaos."

The logistic map is a very simple mathematical system, but deterministic chaos is seen in many more complex physical systems also, including especially fluid dynamics and the weather. Because of its apparently random nature, the behavior of chaotic systems is difficult to predict and strongly affected by small perturbations in outside conditions. You've probably heard of the classic exemplar of chaos in weather systems, the *butterfly effect*, which was popularized by physicist Edward Lorenz in 1972 when he gave a lecture to the American Association for the Advancement of Science entitled, "Does the flap of a butterfly's wings in Brazil set off a tornado in Texas?" (Although arguably the first person to suggest the butterfly effect was not a physicist at all, but the science fiction writer Ray Bradbury in his famous 1952 short story *A Sound of Thunder*, in which a time traveler's careless destruction of a butterfly during a tourist trip to the Jurassic era changes the course of history.)

**Comment:** There is another approach for computing the Feigenbaum plot, which is neater and faster, making use of Python's ability to perform arithmetic with entire arrays. You could create an array `r` with one element containing each distinct value of  $r$  you want to investigate: `[1.0, 1.01, 1.02, ...]`. Then create another array `x` of the same size to hold the corresponding values of  $x$ , which should all be initially set to 0.5. Then an iteration of the logistic map can be performed for all values of  $r$  at once with a statement of the form `x = r*x*(1-x)`. Because of the speed with which Python can perform calculations on arrays, this method should be significantly faster than the more basic method above.

### Exercise 3.7: The Mandelbrot set

The Mandelbrot set, named after its discoverer, the French mathematician Benoît Mandelbrot, is a *fractal*, an infinitely ramified mathematical object that contains structure within structure

within structure, as deep as we care to look. The definition of the Mandelbrot set is in terms of complex numbers as follows.

Consider the equation

$$z' = z^2 + c,$$

where  $z$  is a complex number and  $c$  is a complex constant. For any given value of  $c$  this equation turns an input number  $z$  into an output number  $z'$ . The definition of the Mandelbrot set involves the repeated iteration of this equation: we take an initial starting value of  $z$  and feed it into the equation to get a new value  $z'$ . Then we take that value and feed it in again to get another value, and so forth. The Mandelbrot set is the set of points in the complex plane that satisfies the following definition:

*For a given complex value of  $c$ , start with  $z = 0$  and iterate repeatedly. If the magnitude  $|z|$  of the resulting value is ever greater than 2, then the point in the complex plane at position  $c$  is not in the Mandelbrot set, otherwise it is in the set.*

In order to use this definition one would, in principle, have to iterate infinitely many times to prove that a point is in the Mandelbrot set, since a point is in the set only if the iteration never passes  $|z| = 2$  ever. In practice, however, one usually just performs some large number of iterations, say 100, and if  $|z|$  hasn't exceeded 2 by that point then we call that good enough.


Write a program to make an image of the Mandelbrot set by performing the iteration for all values of  $c = x + iy$  on an  $N \times N$  grid spanning the region where  $-2 \leq x \leq 2$  and  $-2 \leq y \leq 2$ . Make a density plot in which grid points inside the Mandelbrot set are colored black and those outside are colored white. The Mandelbrot set has a very distinctive shape that looks something like a beetle with a long snout—you'll know it when you see it.

Hint: You will probably find it useful to start off with quite a coarse grid, i.e., with a small value of  $N$ —perhaps  $N = 100$ —so that your program runs quickly while you are testing it. Once you are sure it is working correctly, increase the value of  $N$  to produce a final high-quality image of the shape of the set.

If you are feeling enthusiastic, here is another variant of the same exercise that can produce amazing looking pictures. Instead of coloring points just black or white, color points according to the number of iterations of the equation before  $|z|$  becomes greater than 2 (or the maximum number of iterations if  $|z|$  never becomes greater than 2). If you use one of the more colorful color schemes Python provides for density plots, such as the “hot” or “jet” schemes, you can make some spectacular images this way. Another interesting variant is to color according to the logarithm of the number of iterations, which helps reveal some of the finer structure outside the set.

### Exercise 3.8: Least-squares fitting and the photoelectric effect

It's a common situation in physics that an experiment produces data that lies roughly on a straight line, like the dots in this figure:



The solid line here represents the underlying straight-line form, which we usually don't know, and the points representing the measured data lie roughly along the line but don't fall exactly on it, typically because of measurement error.

The straight line can be represented in the familiar form  $y = mx + c$  and a frequent question is what the appropriate values of the slope  $m$  and intercept  $c$  are that correspond to the measured data. Since the data don't fall perfectly on a straight line, there is no perfect answer to such a question, but we can find the straight line that gives the best compromise fit to the data. The standard technique for doing this is the *method of least squares*.

Suppose we make some guess about the parameters  $m$  and  $c$  for the straight line. We then calculate the vertical distances between the data points and that line, as represented by the short vertical lines in the figure, then we calculate the sum of the squares of those distances, which we denote  $\chi^2$ . If we have  $N$  data points with coordinates  $(x_i, y_i)$ , then  $\chi^2$  is given by

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (mx_i + c - y_i)^2.$$

The least-squares fit of the straight line to the data is the straight line that minimizes this total squared distance from data to line. We find the minimum by differentiating with respect to both  $m$  and  $c$  and setting the derivatives to zero, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} m \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^N x_i - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i &= 0, \\ m \sum_{i=1}^N x_i + cN - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, let us define the following quantities:

$$E_x = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i, \quad E_y = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i, \quad E_{xx} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2, \quad E_{xy} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i,$$

in terms of which our equations can be written

$$\begin{aligned}mE_{xx} + cE_x &= E_{xy}, \\ mE_x + c &= E_y.\end{aligned}$$

Solving these equations simultaneously for  $m$  and  $c$  now gives

$$m = \frac{E_{xy} - E_x E_y}{E_{xx} - E_x^2}, \quad c = \frac{E_{xx} E_y - E_x E_{xy}}{E_{xx} - E_x^2}.$$

These are the equations for the least-squares fit of a straight line to  $N$  data points. They tell you the values of  $m$  and  $c$  for the line that best fits the given data.

- In the on-line resources you will find a file called `millikan.txt`. The file contains two columns of numbers, giving the  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates of a set of data points. Write a program to read these data points and make a graph with one dot or circle for each point.
- Add code to your program, before the part that makes the graph, to calculate the quantities  $E_x$ ,  $E_y$ ,  $E_{xx}$ , and  $E_{xy}$  defined above, and from them calculate and print out the slope  $m$  and intercept  $c$  of the best-fit line.
- Now write code that goes through each of the data points in turn and evaluates the quantity  $mx_i + c$  using the values of  $m$  and  $c$  that you calculated. Store these values in a new array or list, and then graph this new array, as a solid line, on the same plot as the original data. You should end up with a plot of the data points plus a straight line that runs through them.
- The data in the file `millikan.txt` are taken from a historic experiment by Robert Millikan that measured the *photoelectric effect*. When light of an appropriate wavelength is shone on the surface of a metal, the photons in the light can strike conduction electrons in the metal and, sometimes, eject them from the surface into the free space above. The energy of an ejected electron is equal to the energy of the photon that struck it minus a small amount  $\phi$  called the *work function* of the surface, which represents the energy needed to remove an electron from the surface. The energy of a photon is  $h\nu$ , where  $h$  is Planck's constant and  $\nu$  is the frequency of the light, and we can measure the energy of an ejected electron by measuring the voltage  $V$  that is just sufficient to stop the electron moving. Then the voltage, frequency, and work function are related by the equation

$$V = \frac{h}{e}\nu - \phi,$$

where  $e$  is the charge on the electron. This equation was first given by Albert Einstein in 1905.

The data in the file `millikan.txt` represent frequencies  $\nu$  in hertz (first column) and voltages  $V$  in volts (second column) from photoelectric measurements of this kind. Using the equation above and the program you wrote, and given that the charge on the electron is  $1.602 \times 10^{-19}$  C, calculate from Millikan's experimental data a value for Planck's constant. Compare your value with the accepted value of the constant, which you can find in books or on-line. You should get a result within a couple of percent of the accepted value.

This calculation is essentially the same as the one that Millikan himself used to determine of the value of Planck's constant, although, lacking a computer, he fitted his straight line to the data by eye. In part for this work, Millikan was awarded the Nobel prize in physics in 1923.