## PS7 Cook

## natcook97

## March 2021

- 1. 25 percent of logwage values are missing. I would guess that the observations are most likely MNAR.
- 2. Each of the estimates from the models are less than the true value of 0.093. Model 1 with the list-wise deletion gives the largest and closest estimate followed by model 3 which used the predicted value from the complete cases regression. I can't get Model 4 to run but it is probably the most legit method. Model 1 is not ideal because the observations are almost certainly not MCAR. Model 2 will cause the variance to drop which we see in the relatively lower F stat. Models 3 and 4 are probably the best for imputing the missing values.
- 3. Progress on the project is not so bueno. I haven't landed on a data set yet but I'd like to find one/a topic that's fairly straightforward and just gives me a good opportunity to apply the techniques we've been learning. I don't feel like I need to cure cancer necessarily with this research meaning if I just confirm returns to education or something like that I will be happy.

	Unique $(\#)$	Missing $(\%)$	Mean	SD	Min	Median	Max
logwage	670	25	1.6	0.4	0.0	1.7	2.3
hgc	16	0	13.1	2.5	0.0	12.0	18.0
tenure	259	0	6.0	5.5	0.0	3.8	25.9
age	13	0	39.2	3.1	34.0	39.0	46.0

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
(Intercept)	0.534	0.708	0.563
,	(0.146)	(0.116)	(0.112)
hgc	0.062	0.050	0.059
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)
as.factor(college)not college grad	0.145	0.168	0.177
	(0.034)	(0.026)	(0.025)
poly(tenure, 2, raw = T)1	0.050	0.038	0.047
	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)
poly(tenure, 2, raw = T)2	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
age	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.002)
as.factor(married)single	-0.022	-0.027	-0.028
	(0.018)	(0.014)	(0.013)
Num.Obs.	1669	2229	2229
R2	0.208	0.147	0.223
R2 Adj.	0.206	0.145	0.221
AIC	1179.9	1091.2	956.8
BIC	1223.2	1136.8	1002.4
Log.Lik.	-581.936	-537.580	-470.382
F	72.917	63.973	106.573