Synthetic categorical data generation via variational inference

Natalie Doss

May 4, 2020

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1
2	Var	riational algorithm	3
3	Imp	plementation and comparison to other methods	5
4	Alt	ernatives	6
	4.1	LLM-NP with Laplace method	6
	4.2	NLLM-NP with Laplace method	7
	4.3	LLM-NP with sampling	8
		4.3.1 Objective (ELBO)	8
		4.3.2 Variational algorithm	9
	4.4	LLM-NP with linear approximation and β prior	9
		4.4.1 Objective (ELBO)	10
		4.4.2 Variational algorithm	11
		4.4.3 MGF calculation	11

1 Introduction

This sheet summarizes an algorithm we are proposing for generating high-dimensional, categorical data that has a desired covariance structure.

Suppose we are given a dataset, and we wish to generate an infinite amount of synthetic data that "look like" the given data in the sense that the synthetic data have the same covariance structure as the original. In particular, we would like to do this for high-dimensional, categorical data. The problem discussed here is really only interesting in a high dimensional setting, and there are well known difficulties with high dimensional density estimation. Moreover, our chosen setting is one in which our covariates are categorical. So for instance, it is not possible to assume the data are Gaussian and estimate the covariance

and generate normal data. We need to find a way to estimate the covariance for categorical data.

Suppose we had data on self-driving cars, in which accidents are rare. We might wish to ensure that any model we train is sufficiently trained on accidents, but since accidents are extremely rare, they might occur just once or twice in a finite dataset. If we could generate a larger dataset, we could ensure that enough accidents are in the training set so that our model would seek to perform well on accidents.

For example, say we took a survey of U users, asking them about I categorical items (favorite food, favorite music, favorite car type, and so on). They can only select one out of K choices per item. We expect there to be correlation within and between items (if your favorite food is caviar, maybe your favorite music type is classical, and so on).

Throughout, let $\phi_{\mu,\Sigma}$ indicate the density of the $N(\mu,\Sigma)$ distribution. I will use the indices:

$$u, v \in [U]$$
 (user/sample)
 $i, j \in [I]$ (covariate/item)
 $k, l \in [K]$ (category)

We use X to indicate the full dataset and x_u to indicate a single draw. Let $z_u \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We propose the following latent embedding model. Let the latent variable z_u represents an embedding of user u, and let β_{ik} represent an embedding of category k of item i. We want to allow for correlation both within and between the covariates. For instance, we might have several z_u vectors that represent "healthy people." These probably have a large inner product with the β_{ik} 's associated with favorite food being vegetables and favorite activity being exercise. The model parameters are $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\beta_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Let $\eta : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^p$. The model is

$$z_{u} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma).$$

$$X_{ui} \sim_{i.i.d.} Multinom\left(\pi_{ui1}, \dots, \pi_{uiK}\right), \text{ where } \pi_{uik} = \frac{\exp(\eta(z_{u})'\beta_{ik})}{\sum_{l \in [K]} \exp(\eta(z_{u})'\beta_{il})}.$$

$$(1)$$

That is, $\mathbb{P}\{X_{ui} = k | z_u, \beta_{ik}\} = \pi_{uik}$. In general, the function η may depend on many parameters θ , and may have a complex form. In the simplest form of Model (3), we will let η be the identity function.

For each $u \in [U]$, let $x_{ui} \in \mathbb{R}^K$ be the binary encoding of the categorical variable. I will write the observed data matrix as $X \in \mathbb{R}^{U \times IK}$. Let $B_i = (\beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{iK}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}$, and let B be collection of matrices B_1, \dots, B_I . Let $x_{1:U}$ indicate the vectors x_1, \dots, x_U , each in \mathbb{R}^{IK} , and let $z_{1:U}$ indicate the vectors z_1, \dots, z_U , each in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $b_i = \sum_{l \in [K]} \exp(\eta(z)'\beta_{il})$. In summary, the model parameters are $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) = ((\mu, \Sigma), B)$ when the function η is linear. If we allow η to have a more complex form, we would have additional parameters. For a single user u, the joint likelihood is:

$$p(x_u, z_u, | B, \mu, \Sigma) = \left(\prod_{i,k} \pi_{uik}^{x_{uik}}\right) \phi_{\mu, \Sigma}(z_u)$$

The joint across U independent users is

$$p(x_{1:U}, z_{1:U}|B, \mu, \Sigma) = \left(\prod_{u,i,k} \pi_{uik}^{x_{uik}}\right) \left(\prod_{u} \phi_{\mu,\Sigma}(z_u)\right)$$

Now let $b_{ui} = \sum_{l \leq K} \exp(\eta(z_u)'\beta_{il})$. Let $f_{\theta}(z) = \log p_{\theta}(x_{1:U}, z_{1:U})$. Then

$$f_{\theta}(z) \stackrel{c}{=} \sum_{u,i,k} x_{uik} \eta(z_u)' \beta_{ik} - \sum_{u,i} \log b_{ui} + \sum_{u} \log \phi_{\mu,\Sigma}(z_u). \tag{2}$$

In the second term, we used the fact that $\sum_{u,i,k} x_{uik} \log b_{ui} = \sum_{u,i} \log b_{ui}$.

2 Variational algorithm

We seek to estimate the parameters θ . In particular, we are interested in Σ . Then our estimate, we can generate data from the model (3). For likelihoods that are intractable, the Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm is a good alternative. Since in our case, we cannot compute the E-step in closed form, we propose to use a variational EM algorithm. See my tutorial on variational inference for more information. We will assume that q is the density for the $N(\lambda, V)$ density, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is diagonal. This is the mean-field assumption; every $q(z) = \prod_{u \in U} q_u(z_u)$, where $q_u(z_u)$ is the $N(\lambda_u, v_u)$ density. Our objective is thus Here, as usual, the objective is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_q \log p(x, \theta) - \mathbb{E}_q \log q$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{q(z)} f_{\theta}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \log |V|,$$

where $f_{\theta}(z)$ is as in (2). We cannot directly calculate $\mathbb{E}_{q(z)}b_{ui}$; this is where we have an issue with non-conjugacy. We introduce a new set of variational parameters, ζ_u . For any $x, \zeta > 0$,

$$\log x = \log(x/\zeta) + \log \zeta \le (x/\zeta) - 1 + \log \zeta$$

Using this and Jensen,

$$\mathbb{E}_{q} \log b_{ui} \leq \log(\mathbb{E}_{q} b_{ui}) \leq \zeta_{u}^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{q} b_{ui} - 1 + \log \zeta_{u}$$

$$= \left(\zeta_{u}^{-1} \sum_{l \leq K} \mathbb{E}_{q(z_{u})} \exp(z'_{u} \beta_{il}) \right) - 1 + \log \zeta_{u}$$

$$= \left(\zeta_{u}^{-1} \sum_{l \in [K]} \exp\left(\lambda'_{u} \beta_{il} + \beta'_{il} V_{u} \beta_{il} / 2\right) \right) - 1 + \log \zeta_{u}$$

So our objective is

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda_{1:U}, V_{1:U}, B, \mu, \Sigma) \stackrel{c}{=} \sum_{u,i,k} x_{uik} \lambda_u' \beta_{ik} - \sum_{u,i,k} \zeta_u^{-1} \exp\left(\lambda_u' \beta_{ik} + \beta_{ik}' V_u \beta_{ik}/2\right) - I \sum_u \log \zeta_u$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_u \left((\lambda_u - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\lambda_u - \mu) + tr(V_u \Sigma^{-1}) \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_u \left(\log|\Sigma|^{-1} + \log|V| \right)$$

E-step of variational EM We can obtain $\hat{\zeta}$ in closed form, but not $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\nu}_{ks}^2$. We do a coordinate ascent algorithm, maximizing in ζ , then λ , then ζ again, then V. We repeat until convergence, using the thresholds given in the code. We maximize by doing the conjugate gradient algorithm for λ and Newton's method in the log space for V. In this section, I drop the u index on x_u, λ_u, V_u . Recall that V has diagonal entries v_1^2, \ldots, v_d^2 . I sometimes write this set of entries as a vector $V \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

$$\hat{\zeta} = \frac{\sum_{i,k} \exp(\beta'_{ik}\lambda + \beta'_{ik}V\beta_{ik}/2)}{I}$$

The gradients are

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \sum_{i,k} x_{ik} \beta_{ik} - \zeta^{-1} \sum_{i,k} \beta_{i,k} \exp\left(\beta'_{ik} \lambda + \beta'_{ik} V \beta_{ik} / 2\right) - \Sigma^{-1} (\lambda - \mu)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(v_s^2)}{\partial v_s^2} = -\zeta^{-1} \sum_{i,k} \frac{\beta_{iks}^2}{2} \exp\left(\lambda' \beta_{ik} + \beta_{ik}' V \beta_{ik}/2\right) - \frac{\Sigma_{s,s}^{-1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2v_s^2}$$

And

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^2(v_s^2)}{\partial (v_s^2)^2} = -\zeta^{-1} \sum_{i,k} \frac{\beta_{iks}^4}{4} \exp\left(\beta_{ik}' \lambda + \beta_{ik}' V \beta_{ik}/2\right) - \frac{1}{2v_s^4}$$

We must restrict the v_s^2 's to be positive, so we will do Newton's method in the log space. Recall that Newton's algorithm's updates are:

$$x = x - \frac{f'(x)}{f''(x)}$$

Operating in the log space, let $z = \log x$, so $x = e^z$. So our function $f(x) = f(e^z)$ and we view z as our function argument here.

$$\frac{\partial f(e^z)}{\partial z} = e^z f'(e^z) = xf'(x) \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(e^z)}{\partial^2 z} = e^{2z} f''(e^z) + e^z f'(e^z) = x^2 f''(x) + xf'(x)$$

So Newton's algorithm is

$$\log x = \log x - \frac{xf'(x)}{x^2f''(x) + xf'(x)} = \log x - \frac{f'(x)}{xf''(x) + f'(x)}$$

M-step of variational EM

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{u} \lambda_{u}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{U} \left(\sum_{u} V_{u} + (\lambda_{u} - \hat{\mu}) (\lambda_{u} - \hat{\mu})' \right)$$

There is no closed-form solution for $\hat{\beta}_{ik}$. We will do a gradient ascent algorithm and will plug in all parameters that are already estimated. Now

$$\nabla_{\beta_{ik}} L(\beta_{ik}) = \sum_{u} \left(x_{uik} \lambda_u - \zeta_u^{-1} \left(\lambda_u + V_u \beta_{ik} \right) \exp \left(\beta'_{ik} \lambda_u + \beta'_{ik} V_u \beta_{ik} / 2 \right) \right)$$

3 Implementation and comparison to other methods

Our model (3) for correlated categorical variables is closely related to the Correlated Topic Model (CTM) of Blei & Lafferty (2007). In the correlated topic model, there are K categories or topics. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^K$, and let $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ be positive definite. Let there be N words in the dictionary. The correlated topic model assumes that a document with U words is generated by the following process. Fix $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_K \in \mathbb{R}^N$; each is a discrete probability distribution. The correlated topic model assumes that the words W_u are drawn from the following generative model.

$$z_{u} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$$

$$\pi_{uk} = \frac{\exp z_{uk}}{\sum_{l \in [K]} \exp z_{ul}}$$

$$x_{u} \sim_{i.i.d.} Multinom(\pi_{1}, \dots, \pi_{K}) \leftarrow \text{topic/category assignment}$$

$$W_{u} \sim Multinom(\alpha_{x_{u}}) \leftarrow \text{word assignment}$$

$$(3)$$

Note the correspondence between our model and the CTM. In (3), let d = p = K, let I = 1, (i.e., there is one category), let η be the identity function, and let $\beta_{ik} = e_k$, the vector with 1 on the kth element and zeros elsewhere. In the CTM, let N = K, and let $\alpha_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$, $\alpha_2 = (0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$, and so on. That is, whenever we draw topic k, we are guaranteed that we draw word k; there is one word per topic. With these simplifications of (3) and the CTM, the two models correspond exactly.

We implement the algorithm from Section 4.4.2 in C, building off of the code of Blei & Lafferty (2007). We generate data from the model and test the algorithm. Perhaps unsurprisingly, we find that our algorithm tends to underestimate the covariance matrix Σ that we are seeking. This was worrisome, and we found a way to test whether it was simply a bug in the implementation or a fact about the proposed algorithm. It turns out to be the latter, which we verified in the following way. We ran the original CTM code, but on data generated according to the process described above. The CTM correctly estimated the α vectors to be about 1 on one entry and zero on the others. It showed a similar shrinkage in the covariance matrix estimation.

We could also estimate Model (3) using a variational autoencoder (VAE). The main differences in what we implemented are that we use mean-field variational inference rather than the amortized mean field inference of VAE. That is, instead of estimating $q_u(z_u)$, the VAE estimates $q_{\phi}(z_u)$. See my variational inference sheet for a more complete discussion of VAE's and how they compare to non-amortized variational inference. When we estimated this model using the VAE, we found less shrinkage of the covariance matrix.

We similarly estimated our model using the CTM code from the Wang & Blei (2013) paper. This also implements the CTM model but now uses the Laplace and Delta methods (described therein) to estimate it. These methods showed less shrinkage of the covariance matrix.

4 Alternatives

This section provides some methods that we might also use to estimate this model. We didn't implement these. I use the abbreviate "LLM" for Linear logistic model, for when η is the identity function, and "NLLM" for Non-linear logistic model, for when η is some non linear function, as in a deep neural network. The abbreviation "P" is for parametric, as in amortized mean-field variational inference, and "NP" is for non-parametric, as in the non amortized (classical) mean-field variational inference.

4.1 LLM-NP with Laplace method

The calculations are as in Section 4.2, but now η is the identity function. The following are the gradient and Hessian for a single $z = z_u$; I drop all subscripts u for now. Now

$$\nabla f(z) = \sum_{i,k} x_{ik} \beta_{ik} - \sum_{i,k} \beta_{ik} \pi(z, \beta_{ik}) - \Sigma^{-1}(z - \mu)$$

And

$$\nabla^2 f(z)_{s,t} = -\sum_{i,k} \beta_{iks} \pi(z, \beta_{ik}) \left(\beta_{ikt} - \sum_{l \in [K]} \beta_{ilt} \pi(z, \beta_{il}) \right) - \Sigma_{s,t}^{-1}$$

And once we have q, we know that our objective is as follows. I let $\hat{\lambda}_u := \hat{\lambda}(x_u)$ and similarly for \hat{V}_u . I use \hat{q} to indicate $\hat{q}(x_1), \ldots, \hat{q}(x_U)$. Let $\xi_u \sim_{i.i.d.} N(0, I_d)$. Using a single sample to approximate $\mathbb{E}_q \log b_{ui}$,

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{q}) \approx \sum_{u,i,k} x_{uik} \hat{\lambda}'_u \beta_{ik} - \sum_{u,i} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{q}} \sum_{l \in [K]} \exp\left((\hat{\lambda}_u + \hat{V}_u^{1/2} \xi_u)' \beta_{il}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,i} \left((\hat{\lambda}_u - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\lambda}_u - \mu) + tr(V \Sigma^{-1}) + \log|V|\right)$$

E-step: For each $u \in [U]$, $\hat{q}(z_u)$ is the $N(\hat{\lambda}_u, \hat{V}_u)$ density, where

$$\hat{\lambda}_u = \hat{z}_u = \operatorname{argmax} f(z_u)$$
$$\hat{V}_u = -\nabla^2 f(\hat{z}_u)^{-1}$$

These are both found using the gradient and Hessian, calculated above.

M-step: Using the objective after finding \hat{q} , we see that:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{u} \hat{\lambda}_{u}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{u} (\hat{\lambda}_{u} - \hat{\mu})(\hat{\lambda}_{u} - \hat{\mu})' + \frac{1}{U} \sum_{u} \hat{V}_{u}$$

For β_{ik} , we don't have an analytic solution, but we can do gradient ascent. The gradient is:

$$\nabla_{\beta_{ik}} \mathcal{L} = \sum_{u} x_{uik} \hat{\lambda}_{u} - \sum_{u} \frac{(\hat{\lambda}_{u} + \hat{V}_{u}^{1/2} \xi_{u}) \exp\left((\hat{\lambda}_{u} + \hat{V}_{u}^{1/2} \xi_{u})' \beta_{ik}\right)}{\sum_{l \leq K} \exp\left((\hat{\lambda}_{u} + \hat{V}_{u}^{1/2} \xi_{u})' \beta_{il}\right)}$$
$$= \sum_{u} x_{uik} \hat{\lambda}_{u} - \sum_{u} \hat{a}_{u} \pi(\hat{a}_{u}, \beta_{ik})$$

where $\hat{a}_u = \hat{\lambda}_u + \hat{V}_u^{1/2} \xi_u$.

If we have the identity β , everything is just as in Section 4.1, except now the gradients are as follows. Note how this matches the CTM calculations of Wang & Blei (2013) for the latent variable in that model. That is, replace their t(z) with our $\sum_i x_i$ where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^K$; everything is just the same.

$$\nabla f(z) = \sum_{i} x_{i} - I\pi - \Sigma^{-1}(z - \mu)$$
$$\nabla^{2} f(z)_{st} = \pi_{s}(\mathbf{1}\{s = t\} - \pi_{t}) - \Sigma_{st}^{-1}$$

Now we don't have B in the model anymore; we just have μ, Σ . And their updates will be as in Section 4.1.

4.2 NLLM-NP with Laplace method

Let $J(\eta)$ be the Jacobian of η ; note $J(\eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times d}$. And let

$$H(\eta) = (H(\eta_1), \dots, H(\eta_p))$$

be a tensor that is the array of the Hessians of the components of η . So we write

$$H(\eta)'_{s,t}\beta := \sum_{j \le p} \frac{\partial^2 \eta_j(z)}{\partial z_s \partial z_t} \beta_j$$

I sometimes abbreviate $J(\eta), H(\eta)$ to just J, H. And I sometimes drop the $\eta(z)$ and just write η . Now

$$\nabla f(z) = \sum_{i,k} x_{ik} J(\eta)' \beta_{ik} - \sum_{ik} \frac{J(\eta)' \beta_{ik} \exp(\eta' \beta_{ik})}{b_i} - \Sigma^{-1}(z - \mu)$$

We cannot find \hat{z} in closed form, but we can do gradient ascent or some other algorithm to find it. And for the Hessian, first recall that for any function h(z),

$$\frac{\partial^2(\log h(z))}{\partial z^2} = \frac{h''(z)}{h(z)} - \left(\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}\right)^2$$

Now

$$\nabla^{2} f(z)_{s,t} = \sum_{i,k} x_{ik} H(\eta)'_{s,t} \beta_{ik}$$

$$- \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{k} \frac{\exp(\eta' \beta_{k}) \left(H'_{s,t} \beta_{k} + (J'_{[,s]} \beta_{k} * J'_{[,t]} \beta_{k}) \right)}{b_{i}} - \frac{\sum_{k} J'_{[,s]} \beta_{k} \exp(\eta' \beta_{l}) \sum_{l} J'_{[,t]} \beta_{l} \exp(\eta' \beta_{l})}{b_{i}^{2}} \right)$$

$$- \sum_{s,t}^{-1} \left(\sum_{k} \frac{\exp(\eta' \beta_{k}) \left(H'_{s,t} \beta_{k} + (J'_{[,s]} \beta_{k} * J'_{[,t]} \beta_{k}) \right)}{b_{i}} - \frac{\sum_{k} J'_{[,s]} \beta_{k} \exp(\eta' \beta_{l}) \sum_{l} J'_{[,t]} \beta_{l} \exp(\eta' \beta_{l})}{b_{i}^{2}} \right)$$

Now if we do a variational-EM algorithm, the M-step will involve taking derivatives of $f_{\theta,x}(z)$ with respect to the parameters θ . If we have the $N(\mu, \Sigma)$ prior, then $\theta_1 = (\mu, \Sigma)$, and the updates are the sufficient statistics as in Section 2. For $\tilde{\theta}_2$, we will need the derivatives of η with respect to these parameters. And as in the discussion in the variational inference sheet, we can approximate the integral via sampling, since we have from the E-step the $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{V}$ for q. Suppose we approximate the integral via one sample ξ . Write $\tilde{\eta}_u = \eta(\hat{\lambda}(x_u) + \hat{V}^{1/2}(x_u)\xi_u)$.

$$\nabla_{\beta_{ik}} \mathcal{L} = \sum_{u} x_{uik} \tilde{\eta}_{u} - \sum_{u} \frac{\tilde{\eta}_{u} \exp(\tilde{\eta}'_{u} \beta_{ik})}{\sum_{l} \exp(\tilde{\eta}'_{u} \beta_{il})}$$

4.3 LLM-NP with sampling

4.3.1 Objective (ELBO)

Now instead of introducing ζ to compute $\mathbb{E}_{q(z_u)} \log b_{ui}$, we do the following.

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(z_u)} \log \sum_{k \le K} \exp(z_u' \beta_{ik}) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi_u \sim N(0, I_d)} \log \sum_{k \le K} \exp(\lambda_u' \beta_{ik} + \xi_u' V_u^{1/2} \beta_{ik})$$

$$\approx \log \sum_{k \le K} \exp(\lambda_u' \beta_{ik} + \xi_u' V_u^{1/2} \beta_{ik})$$

where $\xi_u \sim N(0, I_d)$. That is, I'm approximating the integral with a single draw from the distribution. We could use more draws to get a better approximate. Our full ELBO now is:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda_{1:U}, V_{1:U}, B, \mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{u,i,k} x_{uik} \lambda'_u \beta_{ik} - \sum_{u,i} \log \sum_{k \le K} \exp\left(\lambda'_u \beta_{ik} + \xi'_u V_u^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)$$

$$+ U \log|\Sigma^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u} \left((\lambda_u - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\lambda_u - \mu) + tr(V_u^{1/2} \Sigma^{-1} V_u^{1/2}) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sum_{u} \log|V_u|}{2}$$

4.3.2 Variational algorithm

The gradients are (dropping indices for now):

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \sum_{i,k} x_{ik} \beta_{ik} - \sum_{i \le I} \frac{\sum_{k \le K} \beta_{ik} \exp\left(\lambda' \beta_{ik} + \xi' V^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)}{\sum_{l \le K} \exp\left(\lambda' \beta_{il} + \xi' V^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)} - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{-1} (\lambda - \mu)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(v_s^2)}{\partial v_s^2} = -\sum_{i \le I} \frac{\sum_{k \le K} \frac{\xi_s \beta_{iks}}{2v_s} \exp\left(\lambda' \beta_{ik} + \xi' V^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)}{\sum_{l \le K} \exp\left(\lambda' \beta_{il} + \xi' V^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)} - \frac{\sum_{s,s}^{-1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2v_s^2}$$

$$= -\frac{\xi_s}{2v_s} \sum_{i \le I} \frac{\sum_{k \le K} \beta_{iks} \exp\left(\lambda' \beta_{ik} + \xi' V^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)}{\sum_{l \le K} \exp\left(\lambda' \beta_{il} + \xi' V^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)} - \frac{\sum_{s,s}^{-1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2v_s^2}$$

For the M-step, the solutions for μ, Σ are the same as in previous sections. And

$$\nabla_{\beta_{ik}} \mathcal{L}(\beta_{ik}) = \sum_{u} x_{uik} \lambda_u - \sum_{u} \frac{\left(\lambda_u + V_u^{1/2} \xi_u\right) \exp\left(\lambda_u' \beta_{ik} + \xi_u' V_u^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)}{\sum_{l \le K} \exp\left(\lambda_u' \beta_{il} + \xi_u' V_u^{1/2} \beta_{ik}\right)}$$

4.4 LLM-NP with linear approximation and β prior

Let $z_u, \beta_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. And let $\nu, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Omega, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. Now we place a prior on β ; here is the data-generating process.

$$z_u \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$$
 (4)

$$\beta_{ik} \sim N(0, \gamma^2 I_d) \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{ui} = k|z_u, \beta_{ik}\} = \frac{\exp(z_u'\beta_{ik})}{\sum_{l \in [K]} \exp(z_u'\beta_{il})}$$

$$\tag{6}$$

For variational inference, we use the families:

$$q(z_u) = N(\lambda_u, V_u)$$
$$q(\beta_{ik}) = N(\psi_{ik}, W_{ik})$$

where W_u, V_{ik} are all diagonal matrices with entries v_{iks}, w_{us} for $s \in [d]$. We find the parameters of q to maximize

$$\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q} \log p(x, \theta, B) - \mathbb{E}_q \log q$$

4.4.1 Objective (ELBO)

The joint across U independent users is

$$p(x_{1:U}, z_{1:U}, B) = \left(\prod_{u,i,k} \pi(z_u, \beta_{ik})^{x_{uik}}\right) \left(\prod_u \phi_{\mu,\Sigma}(z_u)\right) \left(\prod_{i,k} \phi_{0,\gamma^2 I_d}(\beta_{ik})\right)$$

Now let $b_{ui} = \sum_{l \le K} \exp(z'_u \beta_{il})$. We have

$$\log p(x, \theta, B) \stackrel{c}{=} \sum_{u, i, k} x_{uik} z'_u \beta_{ik} - \sum_{u, i} \log b_{ui} + \sum_{u} \log \phi_{\mu, \Sigma}(z_u) + \sum_{i, k} \log \phi_{0, \gamma^2 I_d}(\beta_{ik})$$

Note that in the second term, we used the fact that $\sum_{u,i,k} x_{u,i,k} b_{ui} = \sum_{u,i} b_{ui}$. Now to help handle the expectation of this term, we introduce a new set of variational parameters, ζ_u . Note that for any $x, \zeta > 0$,

$$\log x = \log(x/\zeta) + \log \zeta \le (x/\zeta) - 1 + \log \zeta$$

Using this and Jensen,

$$\mathbb{E}_{q} \log b_{ui} \leq \log(\mathbb{E}_{q} b_{ui}) \leq \zeta_{u}^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{q} b_{ui} - 1 + \log \zeta_{u}$$
$$= \left(\zeta_{u}^{-1} \sum_{l \leq K} \mathbb{E}_{q} \exp(z'_{u} \beta_{il})\right) - 1 + \log \zeta_{u}$$

We have by the diagonality of the variance matrices and the independence of θ_u, β_{ik} ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\beta_{ik})}\mathbb{E}_{q(z_u)}e^{z'_u\beta_{il}} = \prod_{s \in [d]} f_{uils}$$

where $f_{uils} = \mathbb{E}_{q(z_u)} \mathbb{E}_{q(\beta_{ik})} \exp(z_u[s]\beta_{il}[s])$. See Section 4.4.3 for its full form.

$$\mathbb{E}_q \log b_{ui} \le \left(\zeta_u^{-1} \sum_{l \in [K]} \prod_{s \in [d]} f_{uils}\right) - 1 + \log \zeta_u$$

And

$$H(q(\theta_u))) = \frac{-\log|V_u^{-1}|}{2} = \frac{\log|V_u|}{2}$$
$$H(q(\beta_{ik})) = \frac{-\log|W_{ik}^{-1}|}{2} = \frac{\log|W_{ik}|}{2}$$

So our full ELBO is:

$$\sum_{u,i,k} x_{uik} \lambda'_u \lambda_{ik} - \left(\sum_{u,i} \zeta_u^{-1} \sum_{l \in [K]} \prod_{s \in [d]} f_{uils} \right) - \sum_{u,i} \log \zeta_u + U \log |\Sigma^{-1}| + IKd \log \frac{1}{\gamma^2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u} \left((\lambda_u - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\lambda_u - \mu) + tr(V_u^{1/2} \Sigma^{-1} V_u^{1/2}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k} \left(\frac{\|\psi_{ik}\|_2^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac{tr(W_{ik})}{\gamma^2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sum_{u} \log |V_u| + \sum_{ik} \log |W_{ik}|}{2}$$

4.4.2 Variational algorithm

Variational E-step updates I'm letting v_u, w_{ik} be the vectors in question for the diagonal matrices. The elements are v_{us} or $v_u[s]$, either way.

$$\partial_{\lambda_{ut}} L(\lambda_{ut}) = \sum_{i,k} x_{uik} \psi_{ik}[t] - \Sigma^{-1}(\lambda_u - \mu)[t] - \sum_{i,k} \frac{\partial f(\lambda_{ut}, v_{ut}^2, \psi_{ikt}, w_{ikt}^2)}{\partial \lambda_{ut}} \prod_{s \neq t} f(\lambda_{us}, v_{us}^2, \psi_{iks}, w_{iks}^2)$$

$$\partial_{\psi_{ikt}}L(\psi_{ikt}) = \sum_{u} x_{uik}\lambda_{u}[t] - \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\psi_{ik}[t] - \sum_{u} \frac{\partial f(\lambda_{ut}, v_{ut}^{2}, \psi_{ikt}, w_{ikt}^{2})}{\partial \psi_{ikt}} \prod_{s \neq t} f(\lambda_{us}, v_{us}^{2}, \psi_{iks}, w_{iks}^{2})$$

$$\partial_{v_{ut}} L(v_{ut}^2) = -\frac{diag(\Sigma^{-1})[t]}{2} + \frac{1}{2v_u^2[t]} - \sum_{i,k} \frac{\partial f(\lambda_{ut}, v_{ut}^2, \psi_{ikt}, w_{ikt}^2)}{\partial v_{ut}^2} \prod_{s \neq t} f(\lambda_{us}, v_{us}^2, \psi_{iks}, w_{iks}^2)$$

$$\partial_{w_{ikt}} L(w_{ikt}^2) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2w_{ik}^2[t]} - \sum_{u} \frac{\partial f(\lambda_{ut}, v_{ut}^2, \psi_{ikt}, w_{ikt}^2)}{\partial w_{ikt}^2} \prod_{s \neq t} f(\lambda_{us}, v_{us}^2, \psi_{iks}, w_{iks}^2)$$

And in closed form, for each $u \in [U]$,

$$\hat{\zeta}_u = \frac{-\sum_{i,k} \prod_{s \le d} f_{uiks}}{I}$$

Sufficient statistics for M step

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{u} \lambda_{u}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{U} \sum_{u} (\lambda_{u} - \hat{\mu})(\lambda_{u} - \hat{\mu})' + \frac{1}{U} \sum_{u} V_{u}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}^{2} = \frac{1}{IKd} \sum_{i,k} (\|\psi_{ik}\|_{2}^{2} + tr(W_{ik}))$$

4.4.3 MGF calculation

To evaluate $\mathbb{E}_{z_u \sim q} \mathbb{E}_{\beta_{ik} \sim q} \exp(z'_u \beta_{ik})$, first consider the following. Let

$$z \sim N(\lambda, v^2)$$

 $\beta \sim N(\psi, w^2)$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\beta} \mathbb{E}_{z} \exp(z\beta) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta} \exp\left(\lambda\beta + v^{2}\beta^{2}/2\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{v^{2}}{2}\left(\beta^{2} + \frac{2\lambda}{v^{2}}\beta + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{v^{4}} - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{v^{4}}\right)\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{v^{2}}{2}\left(\beta + \frac{\lambda}{v^{2}}\right)^{2} - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2v^{2}}\right)$$

$$= e^{-\lambda^{2}/2v^{2}} \mathbb{E}_{\beta} \exp\left(\frac{v^{2}}{2}\left(\beta + \frac{\lambda}{v^{2}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

Now $\beta + \lambda/v^2 \sim N(\psi + \lambda/v^2, w^2)$, so

$$\beta + \frac{\lambda}{v^2} \sim wN\left(\frac{\psi v^2 + \lambda}{wv^2}, 1\right) \Rightarrow$$

$$\left(\beta + \frac{\lambda}{v^2}\right)^2 \sim w^2 \chi_1^2 \left(\left(\frac{\psi v^2 + \lambda}{wv^2}\right)^2\right)$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{v^4} \chi_1^2 \left(\psi^2 v^4 + \lambda^2 + 2\psi \lambda v^2\right)$$

Using the moment-generating function for the non-central chi square distribution, we have:

$$f(\lambda, v^{2}, \psi, w^{2}) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta} \mathbb{E}_{z} \exp(z\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^{2}w^{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2v^{2}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{v^{2}}{2v^{4}} \frac{\psi^{2}v^{4} + \lambda^{2} + 2\psi\lambda v^{2}}{1 - v^{2}w^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^{2}w^{2}}} \exp\left(\frac{\psi^{2}v^{4} + \lambda^{2} + 2\psi\lambda v^{2} - \lambda^{2} + \lambda^{2}v^{2}w^{2}}{2v^{2}(1 - v^{2}w^{2})}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^{2}w^{2}}} \exp\left(\frac{\psi^{2}v^{2} + 2\psi\lambda + \lambda^{2}w^{2}}{2(1 - v^{2}w^{2})}\right)$$

Let $g(\lambda, v^2, \psi, w^2) = \frac{\psi^2 v^2 + 2\psi z + \lambda^2 w^2}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)}$. The gradients of this with respect to each parameter are:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{2\lambda w^2 + 2\psi}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(g(\lambda, v^2, \psi, w^2)\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi} = \frac{2\psi v^2 + 2\lambda}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(g(\lambda, v^2, \psi, w^2)\right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v^2} = \frac{\partial g/\partial v^2}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(g(\lambda, v^2, \psi, w^2)\right) + \frac{w^2}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(g(\lambda, v^2, \psi, w^2)\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w^2} = \frac{\partial g/\partial w^2}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(g(\lambda, v^2, \psi, w^2)\right) + \frac{v^2}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(g(\lambda, v^2, \psi, w^2)\right)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial v^2} = \frac{(1 - v^2 w^2)\lambda^2 + (\psi^2 v^2 + 2\psi\lambda + \lambda^2 w^2)w^2}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial w^2} = \frac{(1 - v^2 w^2)\lambda^2 + (\psi^2 v^2 + 2\psi\lambda + \lambda^2 w^2)v^2}{2(1 - v^2 w^2)^2}$$

References

Blei, David M., & Lafferty, John D. 2007. A correlated topic model of science. *Annals of Applied Statistics*, **1**(1), 17–35.

Gopalan, Prem, Hofman, Jake M., & Blei, David M. 2014. Scalable Recommendation with Hierarchical Poisson Factorization.

Wang, Chong, & Blei, David M. 2013. Variational inference in nonconjugate models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, **14**, 1005–1031.