

Naïve Bayes as a Language Model

Natalie Parde

UIC CS 421

Naïve Bayes can also be viewed as a language model.



Use only individual word features (unigrams)



Use all words in the text (not a subset)

Don't remove stop words or unknown words



This means that the model learned for each class is a class-specific unigram language model

This means that not only can we get likelihoods for individual words belonging to a class ...we can also get likelihoods for entire sentences.

- Letting S be the list of all tokens in a sentence:
 - $P(S|c) = \prod_{i \in S} P(w_i|c)$

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Training	
Document	Class
Natalie was soooo thrilled that Usman had a famous new poem.	Sarcastic
She was totally 100% not annoyed that it had surpassed her poem on the bestseller list.	Sarcastic
Usman was happy that his poem about Thanksgiving was so successful.	Not Sarcastic
He congratulated Natalie for getting #2 on the bestseller list.	Not Sarcastic
Test	
Document	Class
Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.	?

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	0.056	0.061
Usman	0.056	0.061
soooo	0.056	0.030
totally	0.056	0.030
happy	0.028	0.061

$P(\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.5$
 $P(\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.5$

Natalie told Usman she was
soooo totally happy for him.

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Training	
Document	Class
Natalie was soooo thrilled that Usman had a famous new poem.	Sarcastic
She was totally 100% not annoyed that it had surpassed her poem on the bestseller list.	Sarcastic
Usman was happy that his poem about Thanksgiving was so successful.	Not Sarcastic
He congratulated Natalie for getting #2 on the bestseller list.	Not Sarcastic
Test	
Document	Class
Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.	?

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	0.056	0.061
Usman	0.056	0.061
soooo	0.056	0.030
totally	0.056	0.030
happy	0.028	0.061

$P(\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.5$
 $P(\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.5$

Natalie told Usman she was
soooo totally happy for him.

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Training	
Document	Class
Natalie was soooo thrilled that Usman had a famous new poem.	Sarcastic
She was totally 100% not annoyed that it had surpassed her poem on the bestseller list.	Sarcastic
Usman was happy that his poem about Thanksgiving was so successful.	Not Sarcastic
He congratulated Natalie for getting #2 on the bestseller list.	Not Sarcastic
Test	
Document	Class
Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.	?

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	$\frac{1+1}{27+34} = 0.033$	$\frac{1+1}{21+34} = 0.036$
Usman	$\frac{1+1}{27+34} = 0.033$	$\frac{1+1}{21+34} = 0.036$
soooo	$\frac{1+1}{27+34} = 0.033$	$\frac{0+1}{21+34} = 0.018$
totally	$\frac{1+1}{27+34} = 0.033$	$\frac{0+1}{21+34} = 0.018$
happy	$\frac{0+1}{27+34} = 0.016$	$\frac{1+1}{21+34} = 0.036$
told	$\frac{0+1}{27+34}$	$\frac{0+1}{21+34}$
she	$\frac{1+1}{27+34}$	$\frac{0+1}{21+34}$
was	$\frac{2+1}{27+34}$	$\frac{2+1}{21+34}$
for	$\frac{0+1}{27+34}$	$\frac{1+1}{21+34}$
him	$\frac{0+1}{27+34}$	$\frac{0+1}{21+34}$

$P(\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.5$
 $P(\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.5$

Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Training	
Document	Class
Natalie was soooo thrilled that Usman had a famous new poem.	Sarcastic
She was totally 100% not annoyed that it had surpassed her poem on the bestseller list.	Sarcastic
Usman was happy that his poem about Thanksgiving was so successful.	Not Sarcastic
He congratulated Natalie for getting #2 on the bestseller list.	Not Sarcastic
Test	
Document	Class
Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.	?

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	0.033	0.036
Usman	0.033	0.036
soooo	0.033	0.018
totally	0.033	0.018
happy	0.016	0.036
told	$\frac{0 + 1}{27 + 34} = 0.016$	$\frac{0 + 1}{21 + 34} = 0.018$
she	$\frac{1 + 1}{27 + 34} = 0.033$	$\frac{0 + 1}{21 + 34} = 0.018$
was	$\frac{2 + 1}{27 + 34} = 0.049$	$\frac{2 + 1}{21 + 34} = 0.055$
for	$\frac{0 + 1}{27 + 34} = 0.016$	$\frac{1 + 1}{21 + 34} = 0.036$
him	$\frac{0 + 1}{27 + 34} = 0.016$	$\frac{0 + 1}{21 + 34} = 0.018$

$P(\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.5$
 $P(\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.5$

Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Training		Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Document	Class	Natalie	0.033	0.036
Natalie was soooo thrilled that Usman had a famous new poem.	Sarcastic	Usman	0.033	0.036
She was totally 100% not annoyed that it had surpassed her poem on the bestseller list.	Sarcastic	soooo	0.033	0.018
Usman was happy that his poem about Thanksgiving was so successful.	Not Sarcastic	totally	0.033	0.018
He congratulated Natalie for getting #2 on the bestseller list.	Not Sarcastic	happy	0.016	0.036
Test		told	0.016	0.018
Document	Class	she	0.033	0.018
Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.	?	was	0.049	0.055
		for	0.016	0.036
		him	0.016	0.018

$P(\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.5$
 $P(\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.5$

Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Training		Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Document	Class	Natalie	0.033	0.036
Natalie was soooo thrilled that Usman had a famous new poem.	Sarcastic	Usman	0.033	0.036
She was totally 100% not annoyed that it had surpassed her poem on the bestseller list.	Sarcastic	soooo	0.033	0.018
Usman was happy that his poem about Thanksgiving was so successful.	Not Sarcastic	totally	0.033	0.018
He congratulated Natalie for getting #2 on the bestseller list.	Not Sarcastic	happy	0.016	0.036
Test		told	0.016	0.018
Document	Class	she	0.033	0.018
Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.	?	was	0.049	0.055
		for	0.016	0.036
		him	0.016	0.018

$P(\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.5$
 $P(\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.5$

Natalie told Usman she was
soooo totally happy for him.

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Natalie told Usman she was
soooo totally happy for him.

$$P(S|c) = \prod_{i \in S} P(w_i|c)$$

$$P(\text{"Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him"}|\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.049 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.016 * 0.016 = 1.26 * 10^{-16}$$

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	0.033	0.036
Usman	0.033	0.036
soooo	0.033	0.018
totally	0.033	0.018
happy	0.016	0.036
told	0.016	0.018
she	0.033	0.018
was	0.049	0.055
for	0.016	0.036
him	0.016	0.018

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Natalie told Usman she was
soooo totally happy for him.

$$P(S|c) = \prod_{i \in S} P(w_i|c)$$

$$P(\text{"Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him"}|\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.049 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.016 * 0.016 = 1.26 * 10^{-16}$$

$$P(\text{"Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him"}|\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.036 * 0.018 * 0.036 * 0.018 * 0.055 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.036 * 0.036 * 0.018 = 1.75 * 10^{-16}$$

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	0.033	0.036
Usman	0.033	0.036
soooo	0.033	0.018
totally	0.033	0.018
happy	0.016	0.036
told	0.016	0.018
she	0.033	0.018
was	0.049	0.055
for	0.016	0.036
him	0.016	0.018

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.

$$P(S|c) = \prod_{i \in S} P(w_i|c)$$

$$P(\text{"Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him"}|\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.049 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.016 * 0.016 = 1.26 * 10^{-16}$$

$$P(\text{"Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him"}|\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.036 * 0.018 * 0.036 * 0.018 * 0.055 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.036 * 0.036 * 0.018 = 1.75 * 10^{-16}$$

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	0.033	0.036
Usman	0.033	0.036
soooo	0.033	0.018
totally	0.033	0.018
happy	0.016	0.036
told	0.016	0.018
she	0.033	0.018
was	0.049	0.055
for	0.016	0.036
him	0.016	0.018

Slightly higher likelihood of the sentence being **not sarcastic**, but this isn't the full Naïve Bayes model ...once we multiply in the prior probability, we might make a different classification decision.

Computing Sentence Likelihood

Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him.

$$P(S|c) = \prod_{i \in S} P(w_i|c)$$

$P(\text{"Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him"}|\text{Sarcastic}) = 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.049 * 0.033 * 0.033 * 0.016 * 0.016 * 0.016 = 1.26 * 10^{-16}$

$P(\text{"Natalie told Usman she was soooo totally happy for him"}|\text{Not Sarcastic}) = 0.036 * 0.018 * 0.036 * 0.018 * 0.055 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.036 * 0.036 * 0.018 = 1.75 * 10^{-16}$

Word	P(Word Sarcastic)	P(Word Not Sarcastic)
Natalie	0.033	0.036
Usman	0.033	0.036
soooo	0.033	0.018
totally	0.033	0.018
happy	0.016	0.036
told		
she		
was		
for	0.016	0.036
him	0.016	0.018

Although, note that in this case we do not! 🙄 This is a good example of how stop words can be problematic in text classification, particularly with extremely tiny datasets.

Slightly higher likelihood of the sentence being **not sarcastic**, but this isn't the full Naïve Bayes model ...once we multiply in the prior probability, we might make a different classification decision.