

# Algorithm

The algorithm implemented is the **A\*** search algorithm.

A list of nodes is maintained, where each node stores the following information:

- **Position:** the current game state represented as a FEN string.
- **g-cost:** the actual cost from the start state to the current state.
- **h-cost:** the estimated (heuristic) cost from the current state to the goal state.
- **f-cost:** the total estimated cost  $f = g + h$ .
- **Parent index:** the index of the parent node in the list.
- **Move:** the move taken to reach this node from its parent.

A **priority queue** is used to select the node with the lowest **f-cost** (and lower **h-cost** as a tiebreaker).

Additionally, an **unordered map** is used to record whether a position has been visited and to store its corresponding node index. This prevents redundant state expansion and reduces computational overhead.

The algorithm proceeds as follows:

1. **Initialization:**

- Start from the given position and push it into the priority queue with  $g = 0$  and the calculated  $h$ .

2. **Main Loop:**

While the priority queue is not empty:

- Check if the total runtime exceeds **10 seconds**. If so, terminate and output **-1**.
- Pop the node with the smallest **f-cost**.
- If this node's position represents a **winning state** (all opponent pieces captured), reconstruct the move sequence by tracing back through parent indices, then output the total cost and move list.
- Otherwise, generate all valid moves from the current position.

3. **State Expansion:**

For each valid move:

- Apply the move to produce a new position.
- Compute its  $g$  (current  $g + 1$ ) and  $h$  (via the heuristic).
- If this new position has not been visited, or if it can be reached with a lower cost, create a new node and push it into the priority queue.

If the queue is exhausted without finding a goal, output **-1** (though this case should not normally occur).

# Heuristic Function

## Design

The heuristic estimates the number of steps required for all **black pieces** to capture all **red pieces**.

For every red piece on the board:

1. Find the **nearest black piece** capable of capturing it.
2. Compute the minimum number of steps needed for that black piece to reach and capture the red piece:
  - For most pieces, this is the Manhattan distance between the two squares.
  - For **Chariots**, the cost is **1** if the target lies on the same rank or file (i.e., reachable directly), otherwise **2**.
3. If multiple red pieces are competing for the same black piece, adjust the heuristic as follows:
  - If the same black piece has already been assigned to another red piece, combine their distances intelligently to reflect potential sequential captures.
4. If a red piece cannot be captured by any black piece (e.g., all black pieces are weaker), a constant large penalty (20) is added.

The sum of these minimal estimated capture costs over all red pieces forms the heuristic value.

## Proof of Admissibility

The heuristic is **admissible** because it never overestimates the true cost to reach the goal.

- Each red piece's contribution to the heuristic is based on the **minimum possible distance** to a black piece capable of capturing it.
- When multiple reds share the same black piece:
  - If one red is **closer**, the heuristic assumes the black will capture it along the way to the farther red — thus **no extra cost** is added.
  - If both reds are **equidistant**, the heuristic assumes they lie on the same line (rank, file, or diagonal), allowing capture in one or two steps (as in the example test case 1-1).
  - If the current red is **farther**, the heuristic updates the maximum distance for that black piece, representing an optimistic (ideal) case where the nearer red will be captured en route.

Because all these assumptions represent the **best-case capture sequences**, the heuristic always provides a lower bound on the true cost. Hence, it is admissible.