

YOU CAN CONSTRUCT G -COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS ONE NORM AT A TIME

NATALIE STEWART

ABSTRACT. We define the category of G -operads and the hierarchy of *generalized N_∞ -operads*, which are G -suboperads of Comm_G^\otimes . We exhibit an isomorphism between the category of subterminal operads and the self-join poset

$$\text{Op}_G^{N_\infty} \simeq \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G,$$

where $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$ is the poset of *indexing systems* in G . This generalized N_∞ -operads as parameterizing *some commutative multiplicative transfers and possibly a commutative multiplication*. Indeed, their algebras in semiadditive Cartesian categories are incomplete Mackey functors and their algebras in Mackey functors recover incomplete Tambara functors when they are N_∞ operads, i.e. when they contain \mathbb{E}_∞ .

After this, we discuss some in-progress research. Namely, we construct a *Boardman-Vogt tensor product* of G -operads, and tensor products of generalized N_∞ operads correspond with joins in $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$ i.e. there is an $\mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)^\infty}$ -monoidal equivalence

$$\text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}_{I^\infty}} \text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}_{J^\infty}} C \simeq \text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)^\infty}} C$$

for all $\mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)^\infty}$ -monoidal categories C , allowing G -commutative structures to be constructed “one norm at a time.”

Foreword. The following are notes prepared for a casual talk in the [zygotop](#) seminar concerning research which is currently in-progress [cite](#). Though I will attempt to confine these notes to their own proofs, citations to the literature, and well-marked conjecture, the reader should read with the understanding that they are particularly error-prone.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [\[Dre71\]](#), the concept of a *Mackey functor* was introduced; this structure was described as consisting of functors $M_I : \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$ and $M_R : \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$ which agree on \mathcal{O}_G^\simeq and satisfying the *double coset formula*

$$R_J^H I_K^H = \prod_{x \in [J \setminus H/K]} I_{J \cap xKx^{-1}}^J \cdot \text{conj}_X R_{x^{-1}Jx \cap K}$$

for all $J, K \subset H$, where $R_J^K := M_R(G/J \rightarrow G/K)$ and similar for I . The ur-example of this is the assignment $H \mapsto \mathbf{Rep}_H(R)$ with covariant functoriality Ind and contravariant functoriality Res . This was repackaged and generalized into the modern definition of the *category of C -valued G -Mackey functors*

$$\mathcal{M}_G(C) := \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G), C),$$

where \mathbb{F}_G denotes the category of finite G -sets.

In parallel, the concept of *transfer maps in group cohomology* was being developed in [\[Evens\]](#), later lifted to genuine equivariant cohomology in [\[Greenlees\]](#), and finally developed as a functor

$$N_H^G : \text{Sp}_H \rightarrow \text{Sp}_G$$

in [\[HHR16\]](#), which played a crucial role in the solution to the Kervaire invariant one problem. These were noted in [\[HH16\]](#) to satisfy the conditions of a *Symmetric monoidal Mackey functor*, a notion they distinguished from their notion of *G -symmetric monoidal categories* due to coherence issues.

In the broad program announced in [\[Bar+16\]](#), the correct notion of *G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -categories* (henceforth *G -symmetric monoidal categories*) was introduced:

Definition 1.1. Let C have finite products. Then, the category of G -commutative monoids in C is

$$\text{CMon}_G(C) := \mathcal{M}_G(C).$$

The category of G -symmetric monoidal categories is $\text{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$.

We similarly define the *category of small G -categories* as

$$\mathbf{Cat}_G := \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}) \simeq \mathbf{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}}^{\text{cocart}},$$

where the equivalence is the *straightening-unstraightening construction* of [HTT]. We may summarize the structure $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \in \mathbf{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$ a G -symmetric monoidal category, as consisting of, for every conjugacy class (H) of G , a category with Weyl group action $\mathcal{C}_H \in \mathbf{Cat}^{BW_G H}$, as well as functors

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_H^2 : \mathcal{C}_H^2 &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_H, \\ N_H^K : \mathcal{C}_H &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_K, \\ \text{Res}_H^K : \mathcal{C}_K &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_H \end{aligned}$$

which are associative, commutative, unital, and compatible with each other and the Weyl group action, together with coherence. The maps Res encode an underlying G -category \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{C}^\otimes , and N_H^K is pronounced “the norm from H to K .”

Given \mathcal{C} a G -symmetric monoidal category, we may informally define a G -commutative monoid to be a tuple of objects $(X_H)_{H \in \mathcal{O}_G}$ satisfying

$$X_H \simeq \text{Res}_H^G X_G$$

together with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_H^2 : X_H^{\otimes 2} &\rightarrow X_H \\ \text{tr}_H^K : N_H^K X_H &\rightarrow X_K, \end{aligned}$$

for all $H \subset K$. The map tr_H^K is pronounced “the transfer from H to K .”

This talk concerns various relaxations of the notion of G -commutative algebras. Namely, we will define a symmetric monoidal closed category \mathbf{Op}_G of (colored) G -operads, whose internal hom $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes$ is called the *operad of algebras under pointwise tensors*, and whose tensor product is called the *Boardman-Vogt tensor product*.

We will define \mathcal{N}_∞ operads, which interpolate between \mathbb{E}_∞ and the G -operad \mathbf{Comm}_G which encodes G -commutative algebras by adding a subset of the transfers parameterized by \mathbf{Comm}_G :

Definition 1.2. A G -transfer system is a core-preserving wide subcategory $\mathcal{O}_G^\approx \subset T \subset \mathcal{O}_G$ which is closed under base change, i.e. for any diagram in \mathcal{O}_G

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \alpha \\ U' & \longrightarrow & V' \end{array}$$

with $U \hookrightarrow V' \times_{U'} V$ a summand inclusion (pullback taken in \mathbb{F}_G) and $\alpha \in T$, we have $\alpha' \in T$.

An *indexing system* is a subcategory $I \subset \mathbb{F}_G$ induced by a transfer system under taking coproducts. A *generalized indexing system* is a core-preserving subcategory $I \subset \mathbb{F}_G$ which is either an indexing system or is attained by one by removing all non-isomorphisms of trivial G -sets. The poset of indexing systems under inclusion is denoted $\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G$, and the poset of generalized indexing systems is denoted $\widehat{\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G}$.

It is not hard to see that there is an equivalence of posets

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G} \simeq \mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G \star \mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G,$$

and in particular, generalized indexing systems decompose into two different join-stable copies of indexing systems, depending on whether maps of trivial G -sets are included.

The main theorem of this talk follows:

Theorem A. *There is a fully faithful and symmetric monoidal inclusion*

$$\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty} : \widehat{\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G} \xhookrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbf{Op}_G^\otimes$$

whose image consists of the suboperads of Comm_G , and when restricted to the indexing systems has image consisting of operads \mathcal{O} possessing diagrams $\mathbb{E}_\infty \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \text{Comm}_G$. In particular, for \mathcal{C} an $\mathcal{N}_{(\text{I}\vee\text{J})^\infty}$ -monoidal category, there is a canonical $\mathcal{N}_{(\text{I}\vee\text{J})^\infty}$ -monoidal equivalence

$$\text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{I}\infty}} \text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{J}\infty}} \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}_{(\text{I}\vee\text{J})^\infty}} \mathcal{C}.$$

We say an inclusion of subgroup $H \subset K$ is *atomic* if it is proper and there exist no chains of proper subgroup inclusions $H \subset J \subset K$. More generally, we say that a conjugacy class $(H) \in \text{Conj}(G)$ is an *atomic subclass* of (K) if there exists an atomic inclusion $\tilde{H} \subset \tilde{K}$ with $\tilde{H} \in (H)$ and $\tilde{K} \in (K)$, and we say that (K) is atomic if the canonical inclusion $1 \hookrightarrow K$ is atomic.

Given $(H) \subset (K)$ an atomic subclass, we refer to the \mathcal{N}^∞ -operad corresponding to the minimal index system containing the inclusion $H \hookrightarrow K$ as $\mathcal{N}^\infty(H, K)$. When $(H) = (1)$, we instead simply write $\mathcal{N}^\infty(K)$.

Corollary B. *Let $1 = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset G_0 = G$ be a maximal subgroup series of a finite group, and let \mathcal{C} be a G -symmetric monoidal category. Then, there exists a canonical G -symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}^\infty(G_1, G_0)} \dots \text{Alg}^{\mathcal{N}^\infty(G_n, G_{n-1})} \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{CAlg}_G \mathcal{C}.$$

Furthermore, if $G \simeq H \times J$, then

$$\text{CAlg}_H \text{CAlg}_J \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{CAlg}_G \mathcal{C}.$$

Remark. One may worry about the comparison between models for G -operads, as our notion of \mathcal{N}^∞ -operads is ostensibly embedded deep within the world of G - ∞ -operads, which are not known to be equivalent to the ∞ -category presented by the graph model structure or by genuine G operads. However, in addition to being too complicated to work with, the model of [ref](#), all notions of \mathcal{N}^∞ operads coincide.

2. THE IDEAS

2.1. Fibrous patterns.

Definition 2.1. An *algebraic pattern* is an ∞ -category \mathcal{O} , together with a factorization system $(\mathcal{O}^{\text{int}}, \mathcal{O}^{\text{act}})$ of \mathcal{O} and a full subcategory $\mathcal{O}^{\text{el}} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\text{int}}$. The *category of algebraic patterns* is the full subcategory

$$\text{AlgPatt} \subset \text{Fun}(D, \text{Cat})$$

spanned by algebraic patterns, where $D := \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$.

Maps in \mathcal{O}^{int} and \mathcal{O}^{act} are pronounced *inert* and *active maps*, and objects of \mathcal{O}^{el} are pronounced *elementary objects*. For instance, \mathbb{F}_* , together with its inert and active maps as defined in [HA, § 2] and elementary objects $\{\langle 1 \rangle\}$ determines an algebraic pattern. In analogy with [HA, § 2], we will use these to develop a notion of operads, called *fibrous patterns*.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{O} be an algebraic pattern. A *fibrous \mathcal{O} -pattern* is a map of algebraic patterns $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ such that

- (1) \mathcal{P} has π -cocartesian lifts for inert morphisms of \mathcal{O} ,
- (2) (Segal condition for colors) For every active morphism $\omega : \mathcal{O}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_1$ in \mathcal{O} , the functor

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}_0} \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\text{el}}} \mathcal{P}_{\omega_{\alpha,!} \mathcal{O}_1}$$

induced by cocartesian transport along ω_α is an equivalence, where $\omega_{(-)} : \mathcal{O}_{Y/}^{\text{el}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X/}^f$ is the inert morphism appearing in the inert-active factorization of $\alpha \circ \omega$, and

- (3) (Segal condition for multimorphism) for every active morphism $\omega : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$ in \mathcal{O} and all objects $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}_i}$, the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(X_0, X_1) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\text{el}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}}(X_0, \omega_{\alpha,!} X_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_1}^{\text{el}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}_0, \omega_{\alpha,!} \mathcal{O}_1) \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

A fibrous \mathcal{O} -pattern $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a *Segal \mathcal{O} -category* if π is a cocartesian fibration. The category of fibrous \mathcal{O} -patterns is the full subcategory

$$\mathbf{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathbf{AlgPatt}_{/\mathcal{O}}$$

spanned by fibrous patterns, and the category of Segal \mathcal{O} - ∞ -category is the full subcategory of

$$\mathbf{Seg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{Cat}) \subset \mathbf{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}) \times_{\mathbf{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}}} \mathbf{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\text{cocart}}$$

spanned by Segal \mathcal{O} -categories.

We state one technical lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *All of the inclusions*

$$\mathbf{Seg}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{AlgPatt}_{/\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

have left adjoints; in particular, the full subcategory $\mathbf{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathbf{AlgPatt}_{/\mathcal{O}}$ is localizing.

We refer to the left adjoint $\text{Env} : \mathbf{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Seg}(\mathcal{O})$ as the *Segal envelope*, and we use it analogously to the *symmetric monoidal envelope*, reducing the question of characterizing maps of fibrous patterns into Segal \mathcal{O} -categories into simply a question of characterizing maps of Segal \mathcal{O} -categories, which is much simpler.

Example 2.4:

Definition 2.5. Given the data of \mathcal{X} a category, $\mathcal{X}_b, \mathcal{X}_f$ wide subcategories, and $\mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}_b$ a full subcategory, we define the *span pattern* $\text{Span}_{b,f}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{X}_0)$ to have:

- underlying category $\text{Span}_{b,f}(\mathcal{X})$ whose objects are objects in \mathcal{X} and whose morphisms $X \rightarrow Z$ are spans

$$X \xleftarrow{B} Y \xrightarrow{F} Z$$

with $B \in \mathcal{X}_b$ and $F \in \mathcal{X}_f$.

- inert morphisms $\mathcal{X}_b^{\text{op}} \subset \text{Span}(\mathcal{X})$.
- active morphisms $\mathcal{X}_f \subset \text{Span}(\mathcal{X})$.
- Elementary objects $\mathcal{X}_0^{\text{el}} \subset \mathcal{X}_b^{\text{op}}$.

Then, for instance we have the following:

Theorem 2.6 ([BHS22]). *Pullback along the inclusion $\mathbb{F}_* \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F})$ induces an equivalence on the categories of fibrous patterns and Segal categories.*

2.2. G -operads and \mathcal{I} -operads. There is an adjunction

$$\text{Tot} : \mathbf{Cat}_G \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat} : \text{CoFr}^G$$

where Tot takes the total category of a cocartesian fibration and $\text{CoFr}^G(C)$ is classified by functor categories

$$\text{CoFr}^G(C)_H := \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\text{op}}, C)$$

with functoriality dictated by pullback. In particular, the G -category of small G -categories $\underline{\mathbf{Cat}}_G := \text{CoFr}^G(C)$ has G -fixed points given by \mathbf{Cat} .

Remark. Elmendorf's theorem may be reinterpreted in this language as the statement that the G -category of G -spaces \mathcal{S}_G is cofreely generated by \mathcal{S} .

Let $\mathbb{F}_G := \text{CoFr}^G(\mathbb{F})$ and let $\mathbb{F}_{G,*} := \text{CoFr}^G(\mathbb{F}_*)$. Then, there is an equivariant lift of [ref](#) :

Theorem 2.7 ([BHS22]). *Pullback along the composition $\mathbb{F}_{G,*} \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\text{Tot} \mathbb{F}_G) \xrightarrow{U} \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ induces an equivalence on the categories of fibrous patterns and Segal categories, where \mathbb{F}_G is the category of G -sets.*

Definition 2.8. The category of G -operads is the category of fibrous patterns

$$\mathbf{Op}_G := \mathbf{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)).$$

A good sanity check is to verify that the category of G -symmetric monoidal categories agrees with the category of Segal $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ -categories; after some argumentation, one finds that the Segal conditions associated with the unstraightening of a cocartesian fibration over $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ are precisely the condition that the unstraightened functor preserves products in $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$.

This is a straightforward, but heavy, generalization of the ∞ -operads of [HA] to the equivariant world, and we suggest the interested reader consult [BHS22] for a less heavy variant or [NS22] for the original. In particular, postcomposition along the inclusion functor $\mathbb{F} \hookrightarrow \text{Tot}\mathbb{F}_{G,*}$ induces a fully faithful functor $\text{Op} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_G$.

An early observation about genuine equivariant homotopy coherent algebraic structures is that the structure of transfers *does not come canonically* from an \mathbb{E}_∞ -structure; that is, $\mathbb{E}_\infty \in \text{Op}_G$ is not terminal. The failure of \mathbb{E}_∞ to be terminal is parameterized by the category of *generalized N^∞ -operads*:

Definition 2.9. Write $\text{Comm}_G^\otimes := (\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G) = \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G))$ for the terminal G -operad. A G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a *generalized N^∞ -operad* if the unique morphism $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}_G^\otimes$ is a monomorphism, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_U^\otimes \simeq *$ for all U and $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}^\psi(x, y) \in \{*, \emptyset\}$ for all $\psi : \pi(x) \rightarrow \pi(y)$.

A generalized N^∞ operad $\mathcal{N}_{\infty I}$ is an N^∞ operad if it admits a map

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes.$$

Write $\text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$ for the full subcategory consisting of generalized \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads. The following proposition is an exercise in category theory, and establishes that a map to an \mathcal{N}_∞ operad is a *property*, not a structure.

Proposition 2.10. *Given $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \in \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$ a generalized \mathcal{N}_∞ operad, the forgetful functor*

$$\text{Op}_{G,/\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_G$$

is fully faithful.

Proof idea. It is equivalent to prove that $\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}) \in \{*, \emptyset\}$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}_G$. In fact, there is a localizing (1-) subcategory $N : \text{Op}_{1,G} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_G$ consisting of operads whose structure spaces are discrete, and whose localization functor $h : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \text{Op}_{1,G}$ takes π_0 of the structure spaces. $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}$ evidently lies in $\text{Op}_{1,G}$, so we have

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Op}_G}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Op}_{1,G}}(h\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}).$$

Hence it suffices to check that the latter set is empty or contractible. This is easy to see in $\text{Op}_{1,G}$, since $\text{Hom}(-, *)$ and $\text{Hom}(-, \emptyset)$ are always either empty or contractible. \square

In particular, this implies that $\text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$ is a poset, so we'd like to identify this poset. There is a functor

$$A : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G}$$

called the *admissible sets* with value over G/H given by

$$A(\mathcal{O})_{/(G/H)} := \{S \rightarrow G/H \mid \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(S \rightarrow G/H) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and extended to general G -sets by coproducts. The following proposition is an exercise in category theory (see [NS22, Ex 2.4.7], or the original references [BH15; GW18; Rub21]):

Proposition 2.11. *The restricted functor*

$$A : \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

We denote by $\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}$ the composite functor

$$\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty} : \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G} \xrightarrow{A^{-1}} \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_G$$

Using this, we finally define *I-operads*.

Definition 2.12. Let I be a generalized indexing system. Then, the *category of I -operads* is the slice category

$$\mathrm{Op}_I := \mathrm{Op}_{G,/\mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\otimes}}.$$

Given $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{Op}_I$, the *category of \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{P}* is the full subcategory

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathrm{Fun}_{/\mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{P}^{\otimes})$$

spanned by maps of I -operads.

Remark. The notation $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P})$ does not include I . This presents no problem; indeed, by [proposition 2.10](#), the categories of \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{P} considered over various indexing systems (including the terminal one, i.e. in G -operads) are canonically equivalent to one another.

Example 2.13:

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_G$ be a *family*, i.e. a collection of subgroups of G closed under sub-conjugation. Then, $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{O}_G^{\approx}$ is a transfer system, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the corresponding indexing system.

Let V be a real orthogonal G -representation, let \mathcal{F}_V is the family consisting of subgroups H such that $V^H \neq *$, and let $\mathcal{I}_V := \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}_V}$. Then, there is an \mathcal{I}_V -operad \mathbb{E}_V of *little V -disks*, which may be informally understood to have

$$\pi_{\mathbb{E}_V}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H) := \mathrm{Conf}_H(T, V)$$

the space of H -equivariant embeddings of $T \hookrightarrow V$ (c.f. [\[Hor19\]](#)). These participate in *equivariant infinite loop space theory*, in the sense that there is an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_V}(\mathcal{S}_G) \simeq \{V - \text{loop spaces}\};$$

see [Guillou-May](#) for details.

2.3. The BV tensor product. By [ref](#), the category of algebraic patterns has a cartesian monoidal structure.

Definition 2.14. The category of *symmetric monoidal algebraic patterns* is $\mathrm{CMon}(\mathrm{AlgPat})$.

A symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{O} endows on the slice category $\mathrm{AlgPat}_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}$ a symmetric monoidal structure, which we may view as taking $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ to the tensor product

$$\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}.$$

Definition 2.15. The *Boardman-Vogt symmetric monoidal category of fibrous \mathcal{O} -patterns* is the localized symmetric monoidal structure

$$\mathrm{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O})^{\otimes} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{AlgPat}_{/\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}.$$

We may view the tensor product of fibrous \mathcal{O} -patterns as yielding the localized composite

$$\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}' := L_{\mathrm{Fbrs}}(\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}).$$

Note that the category \mathbb{F}_G has finite products, and any indexing system \mathcal{I} is closed under products. In particular, this endows $i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}\infty}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ with the structure of a map of symmetric monoidal algebraic patterns under the so it has a cartesian monoidal structure. By [cite](#), the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Fbrs}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O})_{/\mathcal{P}}$ is an equivalence, so we may use this to define the BV tensor product of I -operads.

Definition 2.16. The *Boardman-Vogt symmetric monoidal category of I -operads* is

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\otimes} := \mathrm{Fbrs}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}\infty})$$

The following proposition is easy:

Proposition 2.17. Given an inclusion $i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}\infty}$, pushforward along i yields a functor

$$i_! : \mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\otimes}$$

realizing $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{I}}$ as a symmetric monoidal colocalizing subcategory of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{J}}$.

The verification of this comes down to the following fact:

Lemma 2.18. Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a map of commutative algebra objects in \mathcal{C} a symmetric monoidal, the associated functor $f_! : \mathcal{C}_{/X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/Y}$ lifts to a canonical symmetric monoidal functor between the slice symmetric monoidal categories.

Given $O, \mathcal{P} \in \text{Op}_I$, their BV tensor product has a mapping out property:

Proposition 2.19. *The category $\text{Alg}_{O \otimes \mathcal{P}}(Q)$ is equivalent to the category of commutative diagrams of algebraic patterns*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O \times \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & Q \\ \downarrow \pi_O \times \pi_{\mathcal{P}} & & \downarrow \pi_Q \\ \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} \times \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \end{array}$$

An I -operad called the *pointwise tensor product* on $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(Q)$ was constructed in [NS22]. By **argument.....**, this implies the following proposition:

Proposition 2.20. *There is a natural equivalence*

$$\text{Alg}_{O \otimes \mathcal{P}} Q \simeq \text{Alg}_O \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes} Q$$

realizing $- \otimes \mathcal{P}$ as left adjoint to $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes}(-)$.

2.4. Summary of the argument. We would like to construct an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)\infty}$. Let's begin with the special case $I \subset J$; in this case, we can say something stronger.

Proposition 2.21. *If O is a one-object G -operad, then the map $\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I) \otimes O$ is an I -equivalence; in particular, $\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I)$ is \otimes -idempotent.*

To prove this, we use [NS22, Cor 5.3.9]; in particular, they generalize [HA] to verify that any of the following conditions are true of $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I)}^{\otimes}(C)$, and we verify that the conditions are equivalent in **ref**.

Lemma 2.22. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The forgetful functor $\text{CAlg}_I(C) \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence.*
- (2) *For all one-object I -operads O , the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_O^{\otimes}(C) \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence.*
- (3) *The I -restricted operad is cocartesian*

Having proved this, we acquire a (unique) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_{I\infty} & & \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)\infty} = \mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)\infty} \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \\ \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} & & \end{array}$$

and we are tasked with proving that φ is an equivalence. An unfortunate fact is that the functor $U : \text{Op}_{I \vee J} \rightarrow \text{Op}_I \times \text{Op}_J$ doesn't appear to be conservative in general. Our strategy will come down to trying *really hard* to make it conservative. We do so via the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.23. *Denote by $i : I \cup J \subset I \vee J$ the (non-indexing system) union of subcategories. Then, the pullback*

$$\text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{J})) \rightarrow \text{Op}_I \times \text{Op}_J$$

In particular, U reflects equivalences between $\mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{J}$ -operads in the image of $L_{\text{Fbrs}} i_!$.

Lemma 2.24. *There is an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)\infty} \simeq L_{\text{Fbrs}} i_! \text{Span}(I \cup J)$.*

finish the argument

3. TECHNICAL NONSENSE

I'll fill in the missing arguments here.

REFERENCES

- [BHS22] Shaul Barkan, Rune Haugseng, and Jan Steinebrunner. *Envelopes for Algebraic Patterns*. 2022. arXiv: [2208.07183 \[math.CT\]](#).
- [Bar+16] Clark Barwick et al. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: A general introduction*. 2016. arXiv: [1608.03654 \[math.AT\]](#).
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), pp. 658–708. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2015.07.013](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2015.07.013>.
- [Dre71] Andreas W. M. Dress. *Notes on the theory of representations of finite groups. Part I: The Burnside ring of a finite group and some AGN-applications*. With the aid of lecture notes, taken by Manfred Küchler. Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Bielefeld, 1971, iv+158+A28+B31 pp. (loose errata).
- [GW18] Javier J. Gutiérrez and David White. “Encoding equivariant commutativity via operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 18.5 (2018), pp. 2919–2962. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2018.18.2919](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2018.18.2919>.
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. “On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one”. In: *Ann. of Math.* (2) 184.1 (2016), pp. 1–262. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2016.184.1.1](#). URL: https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/mypapers/Hill_Hopkins_Ravenel.pdf.
- [HH16] Michael A. Hill and Michael J. Hopkins. *Equivariant symmetric monoidal structures*. 2016. arXiv: [1610.03114 \[math.AT\]](#).
- [Hor19] Asaf Horev. *Genuine equivariant factorization homology*. 2019. arXiv: [1910.07226 \[math.AT\]](#).
- [HTT] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. DOI: [10.1515/9781400830558](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558>.
- [HA] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [NS22] Denis Nardin and Jay Shah. *Parametrized and equivariant higher algebra*. 2022. arXiv: [2203.00072 \[math.AT\]](#).
- [Rub21] Jonathan Rubin. “Combinatorial N_∞ operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 21.7 (2021), pp. 3513–3568. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513>.