

ECKMANN-HILTON ARGUMENTS IN EQUIVARIANT HIGHER ALGEBRA

NATALIE STEWART

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes and \mathcal{P}^\otimes be k - and ℓ -connected unital G -operads subject to the condition for all S that $\mathcal{O}(S) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}(S) = \emptyset$. We show that the Boardman-Vogt tensor product $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ is $(k + \ell + 2)$ -connected; equivalently, $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ -monoids in any $(k + \ell + 3)$ -category lift uniquely to incomplete semi-Mackey functors. As a consequence, we show that the smashing localizations on unital G -operads correspond precisely with unital \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads, and hence the (finite) poset of unital weak indexing systems. Along the way we characterize ℓ -connectivity of a unital G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes equivalently as ℓ -connectivity of \mathcal{O} -admissible Wirthmüller maps of \mathcal{O} -monoid spaces.

In the discrete case, under no connectivity assumptions, $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ -monoids lift uniquely to incomplete semi-Mackey functors, recovering an Eckmann-Hilton argument for “ C_p -unital magmas.” In the limiting case of infinite tensor powers, we *take the loops out of equivariant infinite loop space theory*, constructing algebraic approximations to incompletely stable G -spectra over arbitrary transfer systems.

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1 Preliminaries	8
1.1 Preliminaries on \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories and weak indexing systems	8
1.2 Preliminaries on I -commutative monoids and I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories	10
1.3 Naive preliminaries on I -operads	11
2 I-operads	16
2.1 The doctrinal adjunction	16
2.2 Recognizing I -local h_n -equivalences	16
2.3 The reduced endomorphism I -operad as a right adjoint	19
3 Connectivity	20
3.1 Connectivity of algebras can be detected in the value topos	21
3.2 The proof of Theorem D	21
3.3 The proof of Theorems B and C	23
4 The C_p-operad $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes$ and Theorem A	24
References	25

INTRODUCTION

The classical *Eckmann-Hilton argument* shows that, given a set with two unital multiplications $(M, *, \cdot)$ satisfying the interchange law

$$(a * b) \cdot (c * d) = (a \cdot c) * (b \cdot d),$$

the unital magmas $(M, *)$ and (M, \cdot) are isomorphic to each other and are commutative monoids. This result is foundational to algebraic topology; for instance, it is used to show that $\pi_n(X, x)$ is Abelian for all $n \geq 2$, and for $n = 1$ if X admits an H -space structure. We will study equivariant variations of this result, beginning with a weakening of Dress' Mackey functors [Dre71].

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{C} be a 1-category with finite products and C_p the cyclic group of prime order p . A C_p -unital magma in \mathcal{C} is a unital magma M^e with a C_p action by unital magma homomorphisms, a unital magma M^{C_p} (with trivial C_p -action), and C_p -equivariant *restriction and transfer* homomorphisms

$$r: M^{C_p} \rightarrow M^e, \quad t: M^e \rightarrow M^{C_p}$$

subject to the condition that $r \circ t$ is multiplication by p . A *homomorphism* $M \rightarrow N$ is a pair of unital magma homomorphisms $F^e: M^e \rightarrow N^e$ and $F^{C_p}: M^{C_p} \rightarrow N^{C_p}$ such that $F^{C_p} \circ t = t \circ F^e$ and $F^e \circ r = r \circ F^{C_p}$. \triangleleft

Example 2. The $(\lambda + 1)$ st homotopy coefficient system of a C_p -space attains a natural C_p -unital magma structure under the evident analog of Lewis' *unstable Mackey structure* [Lew92]. A similar structure holds for ρ th homotopy when $p = 2$.¹ \triangleleft

In this article, we prove and vastly generalize the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Suppose (M, M') is a pair of C_p -unital magma structures on the same coefficient system satisfying suitable interchange relations. Then, $M \simeq M'$ and each underlie a semi-Mackey functor; in particular, if the multiplications on M^e and M^{C_p} are invertible, then M and M' are isomorphic Mackey functors.*

For instance, given X a C_2 -unital magma valued in spaces, the C_2 -space UX defined by $UX^H = X(H)$ has an induced C_2 -unital magma structure on $\pi_\rho(X)$ which interchanges with that of [Example 2](#). [Theorem A](#) implies that these two structures agree and lift to a Mackey functor.

Example 3. The above argument confirms that the Mackey structure from Real Bott periodicity [Ati66] and the additive Mackey structure on Real vector bundles induce the same structure on $\pi_\rho \text{BU}_{\mathbb{R}} = \pi_0 \text{BU}_{\mathbb{R}}$. \triangleleft

To prove [Theorem A](#), we embed C_p -unital magmas in the theory of *algebras over G -operads* in the sense of [NS22]; in particular, we show in [Section 4](#) that C_p -unital magmas are algebras over a particular C_p -operad $\mathbb{A}_{2, C_p}^{\otimes}$ in C_p -coefficient systems valued in \mathcal{C} , and spell out the correct interchange relations there. We recommend that the reader familiarizes themselves with the language of equivariant higher algebra via the introductions to [Ste25a; Ste25b].

Crucially, the *Boardman-Vogt tensor product* of [Ste25a] corepresents interchanging G -operad algebras:

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}).$$

In particular, pairs of interchanging C_p -unital magma structures correspond with $\mathbb{A}_{2, C_p}^{\otimes} \boxtimes^{\text{bv}} \mathbb{A}_{2, C_p}^{\otimes}$ -algebras.

Now, G -operads are manifestly homotopy-theoretic gadgets; indeed, their algebras subsume the homotopy-coherent incomplete (semi-) Mackey functors of [BH18; CLL24; Gla17] by [Mar24; Ste25b], the homotopy-coherent bi-incomplete Tambara functors of [BH22; EH23] by [Cha24; CHLL24], and the algebraic structure on equivariant iterated loop spaces and their Thom spectra by [GM11; HHKWZ24]. In particular, the first and second examples are incarnated by the *weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads* of [Ste25a], which are characterized by the fact that their nonempty structure spaces are contractible, and classified by their “arity support” weak indexing category

$$\mathcal{AO} := \{T \rightarrow S \mid \forall [G/H] \subset S, \mathcal{O}(T \times_S [G/H]) \neq \emptyset\} \subset \mathbb{F}_G;$$

here, $\mathcal{O}(S)$ is the “ S -ary structure space,” such as the S -ary structure space $\mathbb{E}_V(S) = \text{Conf}_S^H(V)$ for the little V -disks G -operad incarnating the third example. See [Ste24] for an overview of weak indexing categories.

¹ Explicitly, by V -Mackey functor, we mean a functor $\mathcal{B}_G(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ sending disjoint unions to direct sums, where $\mathcal{B}_G(V)$ is Lewis' V -Burnside category; the transfer map $\Sigma_+^{\lambda+1} *_{C_p} \rightarrow \Sigma_+^{\lambda+1} [C_p/e]$ is constructed by the usual \mathbb{S}_G -duality construction along an embedding $[C_p/e] \hookrightarrow \lambda$ (see [Wir75]). λ refers to any nontrivial 2-dimensional C_p -representation.

Thankfully, \mathcal{O} -algebras in a G -symmetric monoidal n -category are canonically equivalent to algebras over the *homotopy n -operad* $h_n\mathcal{O}^\otimes$, whose structure spaces are the $(n-1)$ -truncations of the structure spaces of \mathcal{O}^\otimes [Ste25a].² In particular, if the structure spaces of \mathcal{O}^\otimes are n -connected, then $h_n\mathcal{O}^\otimes$ possesses a (unique) equivalence with a weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad; for instance, \mathcal{O} -algebras in coefficient systems valued in an n -category \mathcal{D} are (homotopy-coherent) incomplete semi-Mackey functors. In this situation, we say that \mathcal{O}^\otimes is *n -connected*.

From this, we identify [Theorem A](#) with the statement that $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes \overset{\text{bv}}{\otimes} \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes$ is connected, together with the easy observation that $A\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} = \mathbb{F}_{C_p}$, so the corresponding incomplete semi-Mackey functors have all transfers. We prove the following equivariant generalization of [SY19, Thm 1.0.1].

Theorem B. *If \mathcal{O}^\otimes and \mathcal{P}^\otimes are k and ℓ -connected almost essentially unital G -operads with $A\mathcal{O} = A\mathcal{P}$, then $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ is $(k + \ell + 2)$ -connected.*

All nonempty G -operads are (-1) -connected, so this extends [Theorem A](#) to equivariant higher algebra.

Corollary 4. *If \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a nonempty almost essentially unital G -operad, then $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is $(n-1)$ -connected; in particular, for any G -symmetric monoidal n -category \mathcal{C}^\otimes , we have a forgetful equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{CAlg}}_{A\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overbrace{\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \cdots \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})}^{(n+1)\text{-fold}},$$

where the $(n+1)$ -fold tensor product is taken as a colimit (and composition as limit) in the case $n = \infty$.

Here, CAlg_I refers to algebras over the weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad associated with I . For instance, [Corollary 4](#), lax G -symmetric monoidality of $\pi_0: \underline{\text{Sp}}_G^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mack}}_G^\square(\mathbf{Ab})$, and the results of [Cha24] or [CHLL24] together construct a natural $A\mathcal{O}$ -Tambara structure on the 0th homotopy groups of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{bv}}\mathcal{O}$ -ring G -spectrum;³ this and a forthcoming equivariant Dunn additivity result will construct a natural AV -Tambara structure on the 0th homotopy Mackey functors of \mathbb{E}_{2V} -ring G -spectra.

We may remove the assumption $A\mathcal{O} = A\mathcal{P}$ in [Theorem B](#), but we will need a more refined notion of connectivity. In general, given a weak indexing category I , we say that \mathcal{O}^\otimes is *k -connected at I* if, for all elements of the corresponding weak indexing system

$$T \in \mathbb{F}_{I,H} := \{S \in \mathbb{F}_H \mid \text{Ind}_H^G S \rightarrow [G/H] \in I\},$$

the structure space $\mathcal{O}(T)$ is k -connected. We define the *connectivity function*

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}}: \text{wIndexCat}_G^{\text{auni}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

by the formula $\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}}(S) := \min\{k \mid \mathcal{O}^\otimes \text{ is } k\text{-connected at } I\}$. This is a G -operadic version of the *connectivity dimension-function* of a G -space.

Now, $(\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\})^{\text{wIndexCat}_G^{\text{auni}}}$ forms a *pointwise* commutative monoidal poset, i.e.

$$f \leq g \iff \forall S, f(S) \leq g(S).$$

In this language, we will prove the following strengthening of [Theorem B](#).

Theorem C. *Given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ a pair of almost-unital G -operads, the following inequality holds:*

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}} + \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{P}} + 2 \leq \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}}.$$

² Throughout this article, *n -category* will be used to refer to $(n,1)$ -categories, i.e. ∞ -categories whose mapping spaces are all $(n-1)$ -truncated. The reader should feel free to think mostly in terms of familiar examples, such as the n -category of $(n-1)$ -truncated spaces, of $(n-1)$ -truncated connective spectra, of small $(n-1)$ -categories, or of the hammock localization of chain complexes with homology concentrated in degrees $[d, d+n-1]$ for some uniform d .

³ To construct this lax symmetric monoidality, first note that $\underline{\text{Sp}}_{G, \geq 0}^\otimes \subset \underline{\text{Sp}}_G^\otimes$ is closed under tensor products, so the localization G -functor $\underline{\text{Sp}}_G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sp}}_{G, \geq 0}^\otimes$ is given a lax G -symmetric monoidal structure by [Proposition 41](#). Moreover, to construct a lax G -symmetric monoidal structure on $\tau_{\leq 0} = \pi_0: \underline{\text{Sp}}_{G, \geq 0} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sp}}_G^\heartsuit = \underline{\text{Mack}}_G(\mathbf{Ab})$, in light of [NS22] we need only note that indexed tensor products take π_0 -equivalences to π_0 -equivalences and that the resulting structure agrees with the usual one on Mackey functors; the former follows by the same fact for $G = e$, conservativity of $\prod_{(H) \subset G} \Phi^H$, and the geometric fixed point formulae of [HHR16].

To put [Theorems B](#) and [C](#) into context, note that a G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad if and only if $\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}}$ has all values -2 or ∞ ; in this case, [Theorem C](#) says that weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads are closed under tensor products and $\mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{N}_{J_\infty}^\otimes$ is classified by a weak indexing category contained in the join $I \vee J$.

The above specialization of [Theorem C](#) is the difficult part of the main theorem of [\[Ste25b\]](#). To explain how it is proved, we must introduce a definition; for $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$, the S -indexed Wirthmüller map in a (suitably pointed) G - ∞ -category is defined to be the S -indexed semiadditive norm map as in [\[CLL24; Nar16\]](#); that is, the $[H/K]$ -indexed Wirthmüller map $W_{[H/K],X}: \text{Ind}_K^H X \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_K^H X$ is adjunct to the map

$$X \longrightarrow \text{Res}_K^H \text{CoInd}_K^H X \simeq \prod_{g \in [K \backslash H/K]} \text{CoInd}_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H \text{Res}_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H X$$

whose projection onto the factor indexed by the identity double coset is the identity and whose other projections are zero. More generally, the $\coprod_i [H/K_i]$ -indexed Wirthmüller map

$$W_{\coprod_i [H/K_i],(X_i)}: \prod_{K_i}^H X_i \simeq \prod_i \text{Ind}_{K_i}^H X_i \longrightarrow \prod_i \text{CoInd}_{K_i}^H X_i \simeq \prod_{K_i}^H X_i$$

is classified by the diagonal matrix whose i th entry is $W_{[H/K_i],X_i}$.

The key strategy of [\[Ste25b\]](#) was to recognize that \mathcal{O} -monoid spaces has Wirthmüller isomorphisms indexed by I if and only if, for all $S \in \mathbb{F}_I$, $\mathcal{O}(S)$ is contractible. In particular, this identified $\mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes$ as the *unique* G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes with $A\mathcal{O} \leq I$ such that $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S})$ has I -indexed Wirthmüller isomorphisms.

In this article, our key strategy will be to similarly identify ℓ -connectivity of \mathcal{O}^\otimes at I within the G -category theory of \mathcal{O} -monoid spaces. To that end, we will prove the following central theorem.

Theorem D. *Let \mathcal{P}^\otimes be a G -operad, I an almost unital weak indexing category, and ℓ a natural number. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) \mathcal{P}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I .
- (b) For all n -toposes \mathcal{C} (with $n \leq \infty$), I -admissible H -sets $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,H}$, and S -tuples of \mathcal{P} -monoids $(X_K) \in \prod_{[H/K] \in \text{Orb}(S)} \text{Mon}_{\text{Res}_K^{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$, the S -indexed \mathcal{P} -monoid Wirthmüller map

$$W_{S,(X_K)}: \prod_K^S X_K \longrightarrow \prod_K^S X_K$$

is ℓ -connected.

- (c) For all I -admissible H -sets $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,H}$ and S -tuples of \mathcal{P} -monoids $(X_K) \in \prod_{[H/K] \in \text{Orb}(S)} \text{Mon}_{\text{Res}_K^{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S})$, the S -indexed \mathcal{P} -monoid space Wirthmüller map

$$W_{S,(X_K)}: \prod_K^S X_K \longrightarrow \prod_K^S X_K$$

is ℓ -connected.

For [Theorem D](#), a morphism $g: X \rightarrow Y$ in an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is ℓ -truncated if, for all $Z \in \mathcal{C}$, the map of spaces $\text{Map}(Z, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}(Z, Y)$ is ℓ -truncated, and $f: A \rightarrow B$ is ℓ -connected if, for all diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow g \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

such that g is ℓ -truncated, the space of lifts h is contractible.

Remark 5. In the case that \mathcal{C} is an n -topos for some $0 \leq n \leq \infty$, the above definitions are equivalent to ℓ -truncatedness and $(\ell-1)$ -connectiveness in the sense of [\[HTT, Def 6.5.1.10\]](#) by [\[SY19, Lem 4.2.6\]](#) and [\[HTT, Prop 6.5.1.12, Prop 6.5.1.19\]](#). \blacktriangleleft

Remark 6. In the course of proving [Theorem D](#), we will verify that [Condition \(b\)](#) is further equivalent to the condition that the $\text{Coeff}^H \mathcal{C}$ -map underlying $W_{S,(X_K)}$ is pointwise ℓ -connected; moreover, [Condition \(c\)](#) is equivalent to the condition that the underlying H -space map is ℓ -connected, i.e. its associated maps on J -fixed point spaces are surjective on path components with ℓ -connected fiber for each $J \subset H$. \blacktriangleleft

Remark 7. When $G = e$, only the implication (a) \implies (b) is argued in [SY19], but (b) \implies (c) is obvious and our argument that (c) \implies (a) does not involve much more than the proof of the first implication. \blacktriangleleft

The rest of this paper replaces the orbit category \mathcal{O}_G with an arbitrary atomic orbital ∞ -category \mathcal{T} ; we will prove [Theorems B](#) to [D](#) in that level of generality. We encourage the reader to either globally specialize to $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_G$ or familiarize themselves with the atomic orbital setting via [\[Ste25a\]](#).

Consequences in higher algebra. The specialization of [Theorem B](#) to infinite tensor powers is the following.

Corollary 8. *Suppose \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an almost-reduced \mathcal{T} -operad. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an almost-unital weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad.
- (b) (\mathcal{O}^\otimes -EHA) the unique map $\mathrm{triv}_\mathcal{T}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ yields an equivalence

$$\mathcal{O}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{O}^\otimes \overset{\mathrm{bv}}{\otimes} \mathrm{triv}_\mathcal{T}^\otimes \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{can}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \overset{\mathrm{bv}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}^\otimes.$$

- (c) (abstract \otimes -idempotence) there exists an equivalence $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \overset{\mathrm{bv}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{O}^\otimes$.

Proof. The implication (a) \implies (b) is one of the main results of [\[Ste25b\]](#), and is also implied by [Theorem B](#). The implication (b) \implies (c) is obvious. To see the implication (c) \implies (a), note that [Theorem B](#) implies that \mathcal{O}^\otimes is ∞ -connected, i.e. all of its nonempty structure spaces are contractible. The result follows by the identification of such almost-reduced \mathcal{T} -operads with almost-unital weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads [\[Ste25a\]](#). \square

To see why we may view [Condition \(b\)](#) as an *Eckmann-Hilton argument*, note that it is equivalent to the condition that \mathcal{O}^\otimes possesses a unital magma structure in $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^\otimes$ whose multiplication map $\mu: \mathcal{O}^\otimes \overset{\mathrm{bv}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ is an equivalence; unitality of μ is precisely the condition that the associated diagonal natural transformation

$$\delta: \mathrm{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_\mathcal{O} \mathrm{Alg}_\mathcal{O}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$$

is split by restriction to either \mathcal{O} -algebra structure, and the fact that μ is an equivalence is precisely the condition that δ is a natural equivalence, i.e. pairs of interchanging \mathcal{O} -algebra structures agree, and there is one such pair for all \mathcal{O} -algebra structures.

On the other hand, [Condition \(b\)](#) is equivalent to the assertion that \mathcal{O}^\otimes admits a (unique) structure as an *idempotent algebra* in $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}, \otimes}$; taking modules yields a bijective monotone correspondence between these and the smashing localizations on $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}, \otimes}$ (see [\[GGN15, § 3\]](#) and [\[CSY20, § 5.1\]](#)). Thus [Corollary 8](#) classifies smashing localizations on $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}}$; define the full subcategory

$$\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{I\text{-Wirth}} := \left\{ \mathcal{O}^\otimes \mid \forall S \in \mathbb{F}_I, \mathcal{C}^\otimes \in \mathrm{Cat}_\mathcal{T}^\otimes, \bigotimes^S \simeq \bigsqcup^S \text{ in } \mathrm{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \right\} \subset \mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}}.$$

In [\[Ste25b\]](#) we showed that this is the smashing localization for $\mathcal{N}_{I^\otimes}^\otimes$ in order to compute tensor products of \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads. We also showed that idempotent algebras in $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}}$ are almost-reduced, yielding the following.

Corollary E. *The construction $I \mapsto \mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{I\text{-Wirth}}$ yields an isomorphism of posets*

$$\mathrm{wIndex}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \text{Smashing localizations of } \mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}} \text{ under reverse inclusion} \right\}$$

Of course, we also saw in [\[Ste25b\]](#) that $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{I\text{-Wirth}}$ consists of those \mathcal{T} -operads whose underlying I -operads are cocartesian, so we may view this in shorthand as a correspondence between smashing localizations in $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}}$ and notions of either *incomplete semiadditivity of algebras* or *incomplete cocartesianness of operads*.

A striking corollary of this is that there are finitely many smashing localizations on $\mathrm{Op}_\mathcal{T}^{a\mathrm{uni}}$ whenever \mathcal{T} is essentially finite [\[Ste24\]](#); moreover, they have rich combinatorial structure, as they are naturally cocartesian-fibered over \mathcal{T} -transfer systems, giving e.g. a cocartesian fibration from smashing localizations of $\mathrm{Op}_{C_{p^n}}^{a\mathrm{uni}}$ to the $(n+2)$ nd associahedron, whose fibers can be explicitly described [\[BBR21; Ste24\]](#).

Consequences in algebraic topology. Let I be an indexing category and \mathbf{Sp}_I the ∞ -category presented by Blumberg-Hill's stable model category of I -spectra [BH21]. We say that an I -spectrum E is *connected* if $\pi_n(E) \simeq 0$ for all $n \leq 0$, i.e. it is the suspension of a connective I -spectrum. We see that *any* loop space theory with arity support I reaches connected I -spectra after infinite iteration.

Corollary 9. *Fix \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a reduced G -operad with $\mathcal{O}(2 \cdot *_G) \neq 0$, $n \in [1, \infty]$, and X is a connected and n -truncated G -space equipped with $(n+1)$ many interchanging \mathcal{O} -algebra structures, then X is the 0th G -space of an essentially unique connected \mathcal{AO} -spectrum compatibly with its $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ -structure.*

Proof. First note that the G - ∞ -category of n -truncated connected G -spaces is a G - n -category; indeed, Elmendorf's theorem yields an equivalence

$$(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{G,[1,n]})_H \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{[1,n]}),$$

and $\mathcal{S}_{[1,n]}$ is an n -category as whenever X is connected and Y is n -truncated, we have

$$\Omega^{n+1} \mathrm{Map}(X, Y) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma^{n+1} X, Y) \simeq *;$$

hence [HTT, Cor 2.3.4.8] implies that each value $(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{G,[1,n]})_H$ is an n -category. This together with Corollary 4 implies that pullback along the truncation map $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow h_n \mathcal{O}^{\otimes(n+1)} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{AO}}^\otimes$ induces an equivalence

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathcal{AO}}^\otimes(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{G,[1,n]}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overbrace{\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \cdots \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes}^{n\text{-fold}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{G,[1,n]}),$$

and hence a fully faithful inclusion $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \cdots \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{G,[1,n]}) \subset \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathcal{AO}}(\mathcal{S}_{G,\geq 1})$. Moreover, given a model $\mathcal{O}^t \in \mathrm{Op}(\mathrm{sSet}_G)$ for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{AO}}^\otimes$, [Ste25b] and [Mar24] yield equivalences

$$\mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathcal{AO}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{G,\geq 1}^{G-\times}) \simeq \mathrm{CMon}_{\mathcal{AO}}(\mathcal{S}_{\geq 1}) \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^t}(\mathrm{Top}_{G,\geq 1})[\mathrm{WEQ}^{-1}]$$

over $\mathcal{S}_{G,\geq 1}$, the right hand side denoting the Hammock localization inverting the class of (point-set) \mathcal{P} -algebra morphisms whose underlying function of topological G -spaces is a G -weak equivalence.⁴ The defining equivalence $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathcal{AO},\geq 0} \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^t}^{\mathrm{grplike}}(\mathrm{Top}_G)[\mathrm{WEQ}^{-1}]$ then embeds $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^t}(\mathrm{Top}_{G,\geq 1})[\mathrm{WEQ}^{-1}]$ as those \mathcal{AO} -spectra whose 0th G -space is connected; it follows by unwinding definitions that this is precisely $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathcal{AO},\geq 1}$, so Eq. (1) restricts to an equivalence, lying over $\mathcal{S}_{G,\geq 1}$, of the form

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{I,\geq 1} \simeq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \cdots \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{S}_{G,\geq 1}). \quad \square$$

To construct an infinite loop space theory for I -spectra, one is left with the following question.

Question 10. Given an indexing category I , does there exist a reduced G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes with $\mathcal{AO} = I$ and a space S^I such that \mathcal{O} -monoid structures on a connected G -space X are equivalent to S^I -loop space structures? \blacktriangleleft

Remark 11. Corollary 9 has a strong philosophical implication running transverse to Question 10: regardless of the topology, it constructs a flexible machine which inputs algebraic theories and outputs towers of ∞ -categories converging to equivariant stable homotopy theory. For instance, iterating algebras over Rubin's free or associative N -opeards [Rub21] yields such a tower converging to arbitrary \mathbf{Sp}_I .

In essence, Corollary 9 takes the loops out of equivariant infinite loop space theory, extending algebraic versions of the theory to arbitrary incompletely stable categories regardless of the answer to Question 10. \blacktriangleleft

Remark 12. We chose to specialize to the connected setting for convenience; one could instead assume that there exists some $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(2 \cdot *_G)$ whose action on one of the \mathcal{O} -structures on X induces an *invertible* magma structure on the coefficient system $\pi_0 X$, in which case the corresponding \mathcal{AO} -commutative algebra has an underlying grouplike commutative monoid structure; the variation of Corollary 9 follows *mutatis mutandis*. \blacktriangleleft

More traditionally, we acquire connected Ω^V -spectrum structures in a similar circumstance.

Corollary 13. *Fix V an orthogonal G -representation, $n \in [1, \infty]$, and \mathcal{O}^\otimes an almost-reduced G -operad with $\mathcal{O}(S) \neq \emptyset$ whenever there exists an embedding $S \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Res}_H^G V$. If X is a connected and n -truncated G -space admitting $(n+1)$ -many interchanging \mathcal{O} -algebra structures, then X underlies a V -infinite loop space.*

⁴ Here, $\mathrm{sSet}_G := \mathrm{sSet}^{BG}$ and $\mathrm{Top}_G := \mathrm{Top}^{BG}$ are the 1-categories of *simplicial sets* and *topological spaces* with G -action.

Proof. The desired V -infinite loop space structure corresponds under the recognition principle of [GM17; RS00] with the $\mathbb{E}_{\infty V}$ -structure pulled back along the unique map $\mathbb{E}_{\infty V}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{AV}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{AO}^{\otimes} \simeq h_n \mathcal{O}^{\otimes(n+1)}$ of Corollary 4. \square

Philosophy. The following significantly motivated this article and its prequels [Ste24; Ste25a; Ste25b].

Question 14. For what higher-algebraic, universal, and operadic reasons do \mathcal{N}_{∞} operads arise? \blacktriangleleft

Of course, there are preexisting higher-algebraic reasons: the several incomplete variants of the *spectral Mackey functor theorem* verify that $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}$ -monoids are intimately connected with I -admissible Wirthmüller isomorphisms, which are close to the heart of equivariant stable homotopy theory [BH18; CLL24; GM11; Mar24; Nar16]. Since the value $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_G)$ uniquely pins $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes}$ as a G -operad [Ste25b], this characterizes \mathcal{N}_{∞} -operads. This is not a complete answer, as it requires us to care about I -indexed Wirthmüller isomorphisms a-priori; that is, while our reason is higher-algebraic and universal, it is not quite operadic in its philosophy.

Now, if we admit *weak* \mathcal{N}_{∞} -operads, a universal operadic characterization is easy to come by: in [Ste25a] we confirmed that weak \mathcal{N}_{∞} -operads are precisely the subterminal objects of \mathbf{Op}_G . Unfortunately, *algebra* lives in the mapping spaces from one-object G -operads to G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, and no nontrivial \mathcal{N}_{∞} -operads are G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories, so the mapping-in property identifying subterminal objects is not higher-algebraic in nature.

In the almost-unital locus (or, for that matter, the unital locus), Corollary 8 gives a characterization with all three properties: almost-unital weak \mathcal{N}_{∞} -operads are characterized universally as the corepresenting G -operads at the limit of (infinitary) Eckmann-Hilton arguments in equivariant higher algebra.

Sharpness. Theorems B and C are not sharp for all examples. One reason is the discrepancy between unions and joins of weak indexing systems.

Example 15. It follows by definition that

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}}(S) = \begin{cases} \infty & S \in \mathbb{F}_I, \text{ and} \\ -2 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

we also found in [Ste25b] that $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I \vee J\infty}^{\otimes}$. Generically, this defeats sharpness of Theorem C, as

$$\left(\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}} + \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{J\infty}} + 2 \right)^{-1}(\infty) = \mathbb{F}_I \cup \mathbb{F}_J \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_I \vee \mathbb{F}_J = \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}}^{-1}(\infty). \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

Another issue is topological; in forthcoming work, given V an orthogonal G -representation, we will show that the little V -disks G -operad \mathbb{E}_V^{\otimes} is ℓ -connected at the minimal unital weak indexing category $I_S \vee I^0$ containing S if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) For all orbits $[H/K] \subset S$ and intermediate inclusions $K \subset J \subset H$, we have $\dim V^J \geq \dim V^K + \ell + 2$, and
- (b) if $|S^H| \geq 2$, then $\dim V^H \geq \ell + 2$.

Moreover, we will show that \mathbb{E}_V is additive under tensor products, i.e. $\mathbb{E}_V^{\otimes} \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathbb{E}_W^{\otimes} \simeq \mathbb{E}_{V \oplus W}^{\otimes}$.

Example 16. Let $G := C_2$, with sign representation σ . Then, we have fixed point dimensions

$$\dim(a + b\sigma)^e = a + b; \quad \dim(a + b\sigma)^{c_2} = a.$$

In particular, the connectivity function has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{a+b\sigma}}(k^*_e) &= a + b - 2 \\ \text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{a+b\sigma}}(c * C_2 + d[C_2/e]) &= \begin{cases} a - 2 & d = 0 \\ b - 2 & c < 2 \\ \min(a, b) - 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Conn}(S) := \text{Conn}(I_S \vee I^0)$. Note that $\text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{a+b\sigma}}(c * C_2 + d[C_2/e])$ is as non-additive as is possible in the last case; indeed, the examples $1 + b\sigma$ and $a' + \sigma$ have the same arity-support, but when $a', b > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Conn}_{1+b\sigma}(2 * C_2 + [C_2/e]) + \text{Conn}_{a'+\sigma}(2 * C_2 + [C_2/e]) - 2 &= 0 \\ &< \min(a', b) - 1 \\ &= \text{Conn}_{a'+1+(b+1)\sigma}(2 * C_2 + [C_2/e]). \quad \blacktriangleleft \end{aligned}$$

Nevertheless, equality is sometimes attained.

Example 17. For all orthogonal G -representations V , it follows from the above description that

$$\mathrm{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_V \otimes \mathbb{E}_V} = \mathrm{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{2V}} = 2\mathrm{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_V} - 2. \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

The strategy. In [Section 3.3](#) we reduce [Theorems B](#) and [C](#) to the case of [Theorem C](#) that \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P} are *unital* and \mathcal{T} has a terminal object. In this case, we perform a similar reduction to [\[SY19\]](#); namely, by examining the free \mathcal{O} -algebra monad, we reduce this to $(k+1)$ -connectivity of the reduced endomorphism AO -operad in $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-\times}$ in the case \mathcal{C} is the \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category of coefficient systems in a presheaf ∞ -topos.

We express the structure space $\mathrm{End}_X^{\mathrm{red}}(\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-\times})(S)$ as the spaces of lifts of $\Delta: X^{\sqcup S} \rightarrow X$ along the S -indexed Wirthmüller map $W_{X,S}: X^{\sqcup S} \rightarrow X^{\times S}$, which is directly related to truncatedness of X and connectedness of $W_{X,S}$ [\[SY19\]](#); hence it suffices to prove [Theorem D](#) in the unital case.

We finish by directly relating ℓ -connectivity of $W_{X,S}$ in $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C})$, reducing [Theorem D](#) to the fact that $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C})$ is I -semiadditive when \mathcal{O} is ℓ -connected at I , which we verified in [\[Ste25b\]](#).

Acknowledgements. This article is greatly influenced by the work of Schlank-Yanovski [\[SY19\]](#), which recovers almost all of the results and ideas in this article in the case that G is the trivial group, and has additionally been influential to my thinking in the previous articles [\[Ste25a; Ste25b\]](#). In general, I'd like to thank my advisor Mike Hopkins for several helpful conversations on this material.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, we fix \mathcal{T} an atomic orbital ∞ -category in the sense of [\[NS22\]](#); that is, we assume that all retracts in \mathcal{T} are equivalences and that the finite coproduct completion $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}^{\sqcup}$ has pullbacks.

We begin in [Section 1.1](#) by recalling the simultaneous generalization and weakening of Blumberg-Hill's G -indexing systems and I -Mackey functors to \mathcal{T} -weak indexing systems and I -commutative monoids. We go on to [Section 1.3](#) where we recall the relevant background from [\[NS22; Ste25a; Ste25b\]](#) on \mathcal{T} -operads; we use this in [Section 3.3](#) to reduce the main theorems in this paper to [Theorem 60](#).

Moving on, we establish some results about \mathcal{T} -operads that we'll need throughout this paper; in [Section 2.1](#), we establish the doctrinal adjunction in parameterized higher algebra, in [Section 2.2](#) we establish detection of h_{n+1} -equivalences on *cores* of the categories of monoids in presheaf $(n+1)$ -toposes, and in [Section 2.3](#) we clarify some facts about reduced endomorphism I -operads.

1.1. Preliminaries on \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories and weak indexing systems. Recall that a \mathcal{T} -coefficient system is a functor out of $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}$:

$$\mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{C}).$$

Generalizing Elmendorf's theorem, we define d -truncated \mathcal{T} -spaces and \mathcal{T} - d -categories as coefficient systems:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq d} := \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq d}); \quad \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}, d} := \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathrm{Cat}_d).$$

We write $\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}, \infty}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq \infty}$. Given a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we write \mathcal{C}_V for the value $\mathcal{C}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Res}_V^W: \mathcal{C}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ for the functoriality under a map $V \rightarrow W$. The ∞ -category of \mathcal{T} -coefficient systems lifts to a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category with V -value the \mathcal{T}_V -coefficient systems

$$\underline{\mathrm{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C})_V := \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}_V}(\mathcal{C});$$

the functoriality is given by restriction. We acquire \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq d}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Cat}}_{\mathcal{T}, d}$ similarly.

Example 18. We may define a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category by $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{T}}$ by values

$$(\underline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathcal{T}})_V := \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}, V} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}_V}$$

with functoriality given by pullback. We write $\mathbb{F}_V := \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}, V}$. Note that this is a \mathcal{T} -1-category since \mathcal{T}_V is a 1-category [\[NS22, Prop 2.5.1\]](#). \blacktriangleleft

Example 19. Given \mathcal{C} an arbitrary n -category, $\underline{\mathrm{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a \mathcal{T} - n -category [\[HTT, Cor 2.3.4.8\]](#). In particular, if \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos and $\tau_{\leq n-1} \mathcal{C}$ its n -topos of $(n-1)$ -truncated objects, then $\underline{\mathrm{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\tau_{\leq n-1} \mathcal{C})$ is a \mathcal{T} - n -category. \blacktriangleleft

Example 20. The ∞ -category of \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories is Cartesian closed with internal hom characterized by values

$$\underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})_V \simeq \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{T}_V}(\mathrm{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{D}),$$

where $\text{Res}_V^T: \text{Cat}_T \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{T/V}$ is pullback and $\text{Fun}_T(-, -)$ denotes the evident ∞ -category of natural transformations [BDGNS16]. By unwinding definitions and applying [HTT, Cor 2.3.4.8], we find that whenever \mathcal{D} is a T - n -category, $\underline{\text{Fun}}_T(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a T - n -category. \blacktriangleleft

Example 21. We refer to the adjunction between limits and constant diagrams as the *inflation and fixed point adjunction*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\text{Infl}_e^T} & \\ \text{Cat} & \perp & \text{Cat}_T \\ & \xleftarrow{\Gamma^T} & \end{array}$$

In the case that T has a terminal object V , the image of Infl_e^T consists of the T - ∞ -categories whose restriction functors Res_W^V are all equivalences. In any case, we may string together natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Fun}}_T(\text{Infl}_e^T K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^T \mathcal{C})_V &\simeq \text{Fun}_V(\text{Infl}_e^{T/V} K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{T/V} \mathcal{C}) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(K, \text{Fun}((T/V)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}((T/V)^{\text{op}}, \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})) \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Coeff}}^T(\mathcal{C}^K)_V \end{aligned}$$

to construct a T -equivalence $\underline{\text{Fun}}_T(\text{Infl}_e^T K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^T \mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Coeff}}^T(\mathcal{C}^K)$; in particular, choosing $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{K}$, T -coefficient systems in presheaves of spaces on K can equivalently be realized as T -equivariant presheaves of T -spaces on K with trivial T -equivariant structure. We henceforth write

$$\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{T, \leq n}^K := \underline{\text{Coeff}}^T(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K); \quad \underline{\mathcal{S}}_T^K := \underline{\text{Coeff}}^T(\mathcal{S}^K). \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

Given $V \in T$ an orbit and $S \in \mathbb{F}_V$ a finite V -set, we write $\varphi_{SV}: \text{Ind}_V^T S \rightarrow V$ for the corresponding map in \mathbb{F}_T , and we write

$$\mathcal{C}_S := \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} \mathcal{C}_U \simeq \text{Fun}_T(\text{Ind}_V^T S, \mathcal{C}).$$

Pullback along the structure map φ_{SV} yields an *indexed diagonal* functor

$$\Delta^S: \mathcal{C}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S;$$

its values are $\Delta^S X = (\text{Res}_U^V X)_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)}$. The S -indexed coproduct (if it exists) is the left adjoint $\coprod^S: \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ to Δ^S , and the S -indexed product $\prod^S: \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ is the right adjoint.

Notation 22. In the case $U \rightarrow V$ is a map of orbits, considered as an element of $\mathbb{F}_V = \mathbb{F}_{T/V}$, we write

$$\text{Ind}_U^V(-) := \coprod_{U \rightarrow V} (-); \quad \text{CoInd}_U^V(-) := \prod_{U \rightarrow V} (-),$$

so that $\text{Ind}_U^V \dashv \text{Res}_U^V \dashv \text{CoInd}_U^V$ we refer to these as *induction and coinduction*. In particular, $\text{Ind}_U^V: \mathbb{F}_{T/U} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{T/V}$ is *postcomposition*. We call $S^V = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_V}(*_V, S)$ the *fixed points* and define the *distinguished fixed point*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U = \text{Ind}_U^T *_U & \xrightarrow{\text{Infl}_U^T \delta} & \text{Ind}_U^T \text{Res}_U^V \text{Ind}_U^V *_V \longrightarrow U = \text{Ind}_V^T \text{Ind}_U^V *_U \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ & U & \longrightarrow V \end{array}$$

Note that, since T is atomic, $\delta: *_U \rightarrow \text{Res}_U^V \text{Ind}_U^V *_U$ is a summand inclusion. In analogy to equivariant homotopy theory, we suggest the reader view δ_U as “the identity coset fixed point.” More generally, this produces a map

$$\delta: S^U \rightarrow (\text{Res}_U^V \text{Ind}_U^V S)^U,$$

which in fact occurs as a specialization of a similarly defined orbit type-preserving map

$$\delta: \text{Orb}(S) \rightarrow \text{Orb}\left(\text{Res}_U^V \text{Ind}_U^V S\right)^U.$$

These are the ur-examples of *equivariantly indexed operations*, whose combinatorics we control using *weak indexing systems*.

Definition 23. A *one-color weak indexing system* is a full \mathcal{T} -subcategory $\mathbb{F}_I \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ which is closed under \mathbb{F}_I -indexed coproducts and contains $*_V$ for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$. A *one-color weak indexing category* is a pullback-stable wide subcategory $I \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ subject to the condition that $\coprod_i (T_i \rightarrow S_i)$ lies in I if and only if each map $T_i \rightarrow S_i$ lies in I .

Given I a one-color weak indexing category, we define the *I -admissible V -sets* as

$$\mathbb{F}_I := \{S \mid \text{Ind}_V^{\mathcal{T}} S \rightarrow V \in I\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}};$$

we verified in [Ste24] that $\mathbb{F}_{(-)}$ furnishes an equivalence between one-color weak indexing systems and one-color weak indexing categories, so we safely conflate these notions. For the following example, a full subcategory $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called a *\mathcal{T} -family* if, whenever there exists a morphism $V \rightarrow W$ with $W \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $V \in \mathcal{F}$.

Example 24. The terminal one-color weak indexing system is $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$. We define the following other examples, where $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is a fixed \mathcal{T} -family:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{F}_{\text{triv}})_V &:= \{*_V\} \\ (\mathbb{F}_{0,\mathcal{F}})_V &:= \begin{cases} \{\emptyset_V, *_V\} & V \in \mathcal{F} \\ \{*_V\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ (\mathbb{F}_{\infty})_V &:= \{n \cdot *_V \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding one-color weak indexing categories are denoted $I_{\text{triv}}, I_{0,\mathcal{F}}, I_{\infty}$.

Construction 25. We write

$$v(I) := \{V \in \mathcal{T} \mid \emptyset_V \in (\mathbb{F}_I)_V\} \subset \mathcal{T}.$$

This is a \mathcal{T} -family, called the *unit family* of I [Ste24].

We say that \mathbb{F}_I is *almost-unital* if, whenever $\{*_V\} \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$, we have $\emptyset_V \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$; that is, \mathbb{F}_I is unital over all orbits for which \mathbb{F}_I has nontrivial arities. We say \mathbb{F}_I is *unital* if $\emptyset_V \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$ for all V .

1.2. Preliminaries on I -commutative monoids and I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Let I be a one-color weak indexing category. The pair $(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}, I)$ is a *span pair* in the sense of [EH23] (i.e. $(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}, I, I)$ is an *adequate triple* in the sense of [Bar14]), so it yields a wide subcategory

$$\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}})$$

of the effective Burnside ∞ -category whose morphisms are given by spans $X \leftarrow R \xrightarrow{f} Y$ with $f \in I$. Given I a one-color weak indexing category and \mathcal{C} an ∞ -category, we define the ∞ -category of *I -commutative monoids* in \mathcal{C} as

$$\text{CMon}_I(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Fun}^{\times}(\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}), \mathcal{C}).$$

We define the ∞ -category of *small I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories* as

$$\text{Cat}_I^{\otimes} := \text{CMon}_I(\text{Cat}).$$

We henceforth ignore size issues and omit the adjective “small.” Given an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$ an I -admissible V -set, we denote the functoriality of \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} under the structure map $\text{Ind}_S^{\mathcal{T}} S = \text{Ind}_S^{\mathcal{T}} S \rightarrow V$ by

$$\bigotimes_S^S: \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V.$$

If I is almost-unital, $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$ is I -admissible, and $1_U \in \mathcal{C}_U$ is initial whenever it exists, then given an S -indexed tuple $(X_U) \in \mathcal{C}_S$ in an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category with S -indexed coproducts, we define an *S -indexed tensor Wirthmüller map*

$$W_{S,(X_U)}: \coprod_U^S X_U \longrightarrow \bigotimes_U^S X_U$$

by defining its composite map $\text{Ind}_W^V X_W \hookrightarrow \coprod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \bigotimes_U^S X_U$ to be adjunct to the map

$$\iota_W : X_W \simeq X_W \otimes \bigotimes_U^V \text{Res}_W^V S^{-\delta(W)} \xrightarrow{1_U \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \eta)}} X_W \otimes \bigotimes_U^V \text{Res}_W^V S^{-\delta(W)} X_U \simeq \text{Res}_W^V \bigotimes_U^S X_U;$$

intuitively, on the W 'th factor, $W_{S, (X_U)}$ takes x to the simple tensor with x in the W 'th place and units elsewhere. Given $J \subset I$, we say that \mathcal{C} is J -cocartesian if $W_{S, (X_U)}$ is an equivalence for all $S \in \mathbb{F}_I$ and $(X_U) \in \mathcal{C}_S$, and we say that \mathcal{C} is J -cartesian if its “vertical opposite”

$$\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_T) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^\otimes} \text{Cat} \xrightarrow{\text{op}} \text{Cat}$$

is a J -cocartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category..

In [Ste25b], given \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category with I -indexed (co)products, we constructed essentially unique (co)cartesian I -symmetric monoidal structures on \mathcal{C} and verified that \mathcal{C} is I -semiadditive in the sense of [CLL24] if and only if $\mathcal{C}^{I-\times}$ is cocartesian, or equivalently, there exists an equivalence $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{I-\times}$ lying over the identity endofunctor.

1.3. Naive preliminaries on I -operads. In [NS22], an ∞ -category Op_T of \mathcal{T} -operads was introduced, and in [Ste25a; Ste25b] it was given a symmetric monoidal closed \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category structure $\underline{\text{Op}}_T^\otimes$. We review the relevant formal properties here; in particular, outside of a the verification of another formal property in Proposition 41, we will only use formal properties of $\underline{\text{Op}}_T^\otimes$, instead probing its objects via the various functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Cat}_T^\otimes & \hookrightarrow & \text{Op}_T & \xrightarrow{\text{sseq}} & \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_T, \mathcal{S}) \\ & \searrow U & \downarrow \text{Alg}_{(-)}(\mathcal{C}) & \searrow \text{Alg}_P(-) & \\ & \text{Cat}_T & \text{Cat}_T & & \text{Cat}_T \end{array}$$

In this way, this paper can be considered agnostic to the presentation of $\underline{\text{Op}}_T^\otimes$ and the above functors.

1.3.1. \mathcal{T} -symmetric sequences and I -operads. Writing $\underline{\Sigma}_T$ for the composite \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}_T} \text{Cat} \xrightarrow{(-)^\simeq} \mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

and writing $\text{Tot} : \text{Cat}_T \simeq \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{cocart}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ for the total category functor, in [Ste25a] we defined a *underlying \mathcal{T} -symmetric sequence* functor

$$\mathcal{O}(-) : \text{Op}_T \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_T, \mathcal{S}).$$

To characterize this, we need a definition.

Definition 26. We say that an I -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes has at least one color if $\mathcal{O}(*_V) \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and has one color if $\mathcal{O}(*_V) \simeq *$ for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$, ◀

Proposition 27 ([Ste25a]). *The functor $\mathcal{O}(-) : \text{Op}_T \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_T, \mathcal{S})$ has a left adjoint Fr ; in particular, letting $\text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(S)$ be the free \mathcal{T} -operad on the left Kan extended \mathcal{T} -symmetric sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{S\} & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathcal{S} \\ \downarrow & \searrow \text{Fr}_{\Sigma, S} & \uparrow \\ \text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_T & & \end{array}$$

the adjunctions construct a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(S)}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(S).$$

Moreover, the restricted functor $\mathcal{O}(-) : \text{Op}_T^{\text{oc}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_T, \mathcal{S})$ is monadic.

In particular, identifying an object of $\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_T$ with a pair (V, S) where $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and $S \in \mathbb{F}_V$, \mathcal{T} -operads are identified conservatively by the functor

$$\mathcal{O} \mapsto \prod_{V, S} \mathcal{O}(S).$$

Intuitively, we view $\mathcal{O}(S)$ as the space of S -ary operations $(\text{Res}_V^\mathcal{T} X)^{\otimes S} \rightarrow \text{Res}_V^\mathcal{T} X$ borne by an \mathcal{O} -algebra X . This technology allowed us to define the *arity support* functor

$$A\mathcal{O} := \left\{ T \rightarrow S \mid \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} \mathcal{O}(T \times_S U) \neq \emptyset \right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\mathcal{T};$$

which we verified in [Ste25a] to be a weak indexing category. In fact, we verified that the essential surjection associated with A possesses a fully faithful right adjoint

$$(2) \quad \text{Op}_\mathcal{T} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{A} \\ \perp \text{wIndexCat}_\mathcal{T}; \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}^\otimes} \end{array}$$

we refer to the \mathcal{T} -operad $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes$ as the *weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad associated with I* . Now, we further verified in [Ste25a] that, given a \mathcal{T} -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes , the unique map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}_\mathcal{T}^\otimes$ is a monomorphism if and only if the counit map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{A\mathcal{O}}^\otimes$ is an equivalence; in particular, we acquire an equality of full subcategories

$$\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes} = A^{-1}(\text{wIndexCat}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq I}) \subset \text{Op}_\mathcal{T},$$

and a full subcategory of $\text{Op}_\mathcal{T}$ has a terminal object if and only if it is of this form. We refer to $\text{Op}_I := \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes}$ as the ∞ -category of I -operads; see [Ste25a] for an intrinsic characterization of Op_I .

Monomorphisms are right-cancellable, so all inclusions $I \subset J$ induce monomorphisms $l_I^J: \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}^\otimes$; in other words, the push-pull adjunction

$$\text{Op}_I \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{E_I^J = l_I^J} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Bor}_I^J = l_I^{J*}} \end{array} \text{Op}_J$$

witnesses $\text{Op}_I \subset \text{Op}_J$ as a colocalizing subcategory. Moreover, it behaves well with $\overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes}$.

Proposition 28 ([Ste25a]). *Suppose $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ have at least one color. Then, there is an equality*

$$A(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \simeq A\mathcal{O} \vee A\mathcal{P}.$$

In particular, $\text{Op}_I \subset \text{Op}_\mathcal{T}$ is a symmetric monoidal full subcategory.

1.3.2. *Restrictions of \mathcal{T} -operads.* The \mathcal{T} -category of coefficient systems has a universal property

$$\text{Fun}_\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^\mathcal{T} \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}^\mathcal{T} \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D});$$

in particular, this yields a *restriction functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}_{\underline{\Sigma}_\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}}^\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_V^\mathcal{T}} & \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}_{\underline{\Sigma}_V, \mathcal{S}}^\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) \\ \downarrow \text{R} & & \downarrow \text{R} \\ \text{Fun}_\mathcal{T}(\underline{\Sigma}_\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{T}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}_V(\underline{\Sigma}_V, \mathcal{S}_V) \end{array}$$

so that, given a map $W \rightarrow V$ and an W -set S , $\text{Res}_V^\mathcal{T} \mathcal{O}(S) \simeq \mathcal{O}(S)$. By [Ste25a, § 2.3], this lifts to a restriction functor on \mathcal{T} -operads

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Op}_\mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_V^\mathcal{T}} & \text{Op}_V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}^\mathcal{T} \underline{\Sigma}_\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}^V \underline{\Sigma}_V, \mathcal{S}) \end{array}$$

assembling to an equivalence $\text{Op}_\mathcal{T} \simeq \Gamma^\mathcal{T} \underline{\text{Op}}_\mathcal{T}$; we will refer to the induced tensor product on $\text{Op}_\mathcal{T}$ as $\overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes}$.

1.3.3. *I-symmetric monoidal categories and \mathcal{O} -algebras.* [NS22] constructed a (non-full) subcategory inclusion

$$\iota: \text{Cat}_I^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Op}_T;$$

T -operad maps between I -symmetric monoidal categories are called *lax I -symmetric monoidal functors*, and morphisms in the image of ι are called *I -symmetric monoidal functors*.

Moreover, given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{C}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_T$, we define *\mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{C}^\otimes* to be T -operad maps $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$, which naturally fit into an ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$. These have a *pointwise T -operad structure* $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ given by the internal hom in a presentably symmetric monoidal structure on Op_T , whose tensor product we write as $\overset{\text{bv}}{\otimes}$ [Ste25a; Ste25b]. The unit for this symmetric monoidal structure is the T -operad $\text{triv}_T^\otimes := \mathcal{N}_{I^{\text{triv}}_\infty}^\otimes$ [Ste25a], i.e. there is a canonical equivalence

$$(3) \quad \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{triv}_T}^\otimes(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathcal{O}^\otimes$$

Moreover, we verified in [Ste25a] that whenever \mathcal{C}^\otimes is an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is as well, and given a T -operad map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ and an I -symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$, the induced lax I -symmetric monoidal functors

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}); \quad \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{D})$$

are I -symmetric monoidal. In particular, when \mathcal{C}^\otimes is an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ are I -operads, there are natural I -symmetric monoidal equivalence

$$(4) \quad \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$$

1.3.4. *The underlying T - ∞ -category.* An I -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes has an underlying T - ∞ -category $U\mathcal{O}$ [NS22]; indeed, T -operads are equivariantizations of the classical notions of *colored operads*, and $U\mathcal{O}$ the ∞ -category of *colors*. Moreover, the composite functor $\text{Cat}_I^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Op}_I \xrightarrow{U} \text{Cat}_T$ is the usual *underlying T - ∞ -category* functor.

U behaves well with respect to $\underline{\text{Alg}}^\otimes$; indeed, we verified in [Ste25a] that the underlying T - ∞ -category has values

$$U(\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}))_V \simeq \text{Alg}_{\text{Res}_V^T \mathcal{O}}(\text{Res}_V^T \mathcal{C}),$$

where $\text{Res}_V^T: \text{Op}_T \rightarrow \text{Op}_V$ is a restriction functor, and furthermore

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \Gamma^T U \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}).$$

It was observed in [NS22] that the composite functor $\text{Op}_{I^{\text{triv}}} \subset \text{Op}_T \xrightarrow{U} \text{Cat}_T$ is an equivalence, and that U factors as $\text{Op}_T \xrightarrow{\text{Bor}_{I_\infty}^{\text{triv}}} \text{Op}_{I^{\text{triv}}} \simeq \text{Cat}_T$. We write $\text{triv}(-)^\otimes$ for the composite functor

$$\text{triv}(-)^\otimes: \text{Cat}_T \xrightarrow{U^{-1}} \text{Op}_{I_\infty} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_T;$$

unwinding definitions, we find that there is a natural equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{triv}(\mathcal{C})}^\otimes(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_T(\mathcal{C}, U\mathcal{O});$$

that is, $\text{triv}(\mathcal{C})$ algebras are simply \mathcal{C} -indexed diagrams of objects.

1.3.5. *T -operadic inflation and fixed points.* In [Ste25a] we constructed an equivalence

$$\varphi: \text{Op}_{I_\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coeff}^T \text{Op}$$

exhibiting natural equivalences $\varphi \mathcal{O}_V(n) \simeq \mathcal{O}(n * \mathbf{v}_V)$. Limits and constant diagrams yields an *inflation and fixed point* adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Op} & \xrightarrow{\text{Infl}_e^T} & \text{Op}_{I_\infty} & \xrightarrow{E_{I_\infty}^T} & \text{Op}_T \\ & \downarrow \perp & & \downarrow \perp & \\ & \Gamma^T & & \text{Bor}_{I_\infty}^T & \end{array}$$

we refer to the composite adjunction $\text{Op} \rightleftarrows \text{Op}_T$ also as $\text{Infl}_e^T \dashv \Gamma^T$. For instance we have

$$(5) \quad \text{Alg}_{\text{Infl}(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma^T \mathcal{P});$$

moreover, we can identify the image of Infl_e^T easily: they are the I_∞ -operads \mathcal{O}^\otimes whose underlying T - ∞ -category is inflated and whose restriction maps

$$\mathcal{O}(C; D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Res}_U^V C; \text{Res}_U^V D)$$

are all equivalences.

Example 29. The above description yields a natural equivalence $\text{Infl}_e^T(\text{triv}(\mathcal{C})^\otimes) \simeq \text{triv}(\text{Infl}_e^T \mathcal{C})^\otimes$. \triangleleft

Example 30. The T -operads $\mathbb{E}_0^\otimes := \mathcal{N}_{I_0, T}^\otimes$ and $\mathbb{E}_\infty^\otimes := \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes$ are inflated from operads of the same names; in particular, unwinding definitions, we may identify \mathbb{E}_0 -algebras by the formula

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathbb{E}_0}(\mathcal{C})_V \simeq \mathcal{C}_{V, 1_V/}.$$

If 1_V is terminal for all $V \in T$, then this is the T -category of pointed objects \mathcal{C}_* . \triangleleft

1.3.6. Unital I -operads. Assume that I is an almost unital weak indexing category. In [Ste25b] we introduced the following gamut of definitions, each of which will be useful.

Definition 31. We say that an I -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes

- is *almost unital* if it has at least one color and whenever there exists some $S \in \mathbb{F}_V$ such that $\mathcal{O}(S) \neq \emptyset$, we have $\mathcal{O}(\emptyset_V) \simeq *$,
- is *unital* if it has at least one color and $\mathcal{O}(\emptyset_V) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}(\emptyset_V)$ for all $V \in T$, and
- is *almost reduced* if it is almost unital and has one color, and
- is *reduced* if it is unital and has one color. \triangleleft

A T -operad is almost unital if and only if it's a unital I -operad for *some* almost-unital weak indexing category I . For this reason, we'll usually focus on either unital I -operads or almost-unital T -operads. It will be important to keep the I -symmetric monoidal case in mind.

Example 32. We verified in [Ste25b] that an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^\otimes is a unital I -operad if and only if, for all $V \in v(I)$, the unit object $1_V \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is initial. \triangleleft

Write $\mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes := \mathcal{N}_{I_0, v(I)}^\otimes$. We will largely use the following result of [Ste25b] to access unital I -operads.

Proposition 33 ([Ste25b]). *The full subcategory $\text{Op}_I^{\text{uni}} \subset \text{Op}_I$ of unital I -operads is both a localizing and colocalizing subcategory, i.e. the inclusion participates in a double adjunction*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{(-) \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes} & \\ \text{Op}_I & \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{\perp} & \text{Op}_I^{\text{uni}} \\ & \xleftarrow{\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes}(-)} & \end{array}$$

In particular, if \mathcal{O}^\otimes and \mathcal{C}^\otimes are unital, then there are natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}); \\ \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{D}) &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes}^\otimes(\mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

We accomplished this in part by recognizing an equality of full subcategories $\text{Op}_I^{\text{uni}} = \text{Op}_I^{I_0, v(I)\text{-Wirth}}$; that is, an I -operad is unital if and only if its I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories of algebras have V -units which are initial for each $V \in v(I)$, which is true if and only if they are unital by **Example 32**. Moreover, since the $\overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes}$ -unit triv_T^\otimes is initial among one color I -operads, this yields the following easy corollary.

Corollary 34. $\mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes$ is initial among reduced I -operads.

Op_I^{red} has initial unit object; interestingly, it has *absorptive* terminal object.

Proposition 35 ([Ste25b]). *If \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a unital I -operad, then the map $\mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ induces a (unique) equivalence*

$$\mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathbb{E}_{0, v(I)}^\otimes \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}^\otimes.$$

1.3.7. *Cartesian and cocartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.* In [Ste25b], given \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category with I -indexed (co)products, we defined *cocartesian* and *cartesian* I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{I-\times}$, which are determined by the properties that their I -indexed tensor products are canonically equivalent to indexed (co)products. We gave algebras in cartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories an explicit presentation generalizing the \mathcal{O} -monoids of [HA] (as \mathcal{T} -functors satisfying “Segal conditions”) which we will not mention explicitly here; as a relic of this, we will simply use the notation

$$(6) \quad \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) := \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}^{I-\times}); \quad \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) := \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}^{I-\times}).$$

The associated I -symmetric monoidal structure is cartesian [Ste25b]. When \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category, we will write

$$(7) \quad \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) := \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}); \quad \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}).$$

instead we will use their monadic presentation, which goes as follows.

Proposition 36 ([Ste25a]). *Suppose \mathcal{C} is a presentable and cartesian closed ∞ -category. Then, the monad $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ associated with the monadic functor $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}$ has fixed points*

$$(T_{\mathcal{O}}X)^W \simeq \coprod_{S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,W}} \left(\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{O}(S) \times \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} X^U \right)_{h \text{Aut}_W(S)},$$

where $\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the unique left adjoint sending $*$ to the terminal object of \mathcal{C} .

Moreover, in the case that \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is unital, we characterized cocartesian algebras simply as diagrams

$$(8) \quad \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}) \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(U\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C})^{I-\sqcup};$$

in fact, $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}$ still exists as an I -operad with the above algebras in when \mathcal{C} is not assumed to have I -indexed coproducts. In particular, in the unital case, we acquire a double adjunction

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{triv}(-)^{\otimes} \overset{\text{bv}}{\otimes} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)} & \\ \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} & \overset{\perp}{\longleftarrow} \text{Op}_I^{\text{uni}} & \overset{\perp}{\longrightarrow} \\ & \text{(-)}^{I-\sqcup} & \end{array}$$

Example 37. In [Ste25b] we gave a general formula for $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}$, but the mapping-in property makes it easy enough to determine this in the case that \mathcal{C} : there is an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(*_T^{I-\sqcup}) \simeq * \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes}),$$

natural in the unital I -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} , constructing an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} \simeq *_T^{I-\sqcup}$ by Yoneda’s lemma. \blacktriangleleft

1.3.8. *I - d -operads.* In [Ste25a], we defined the full subcategory $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},d} \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of \mathcal{T} - d -operads to be those such that $\mathcal{O}(S)$ is a $(d-1)$ -truncated space for all $S \in \mathbb{F}_{A\mathcal{O}}$, and verified the following.

Proposition 38 ([Ste25a]). *Fix $d \geq -1$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \in \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$.*

(1) *The inclusion $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},d} \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a left adjoint $h_d: \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},d}$ satisfying*

$$h_d \mathcal{O}(S) \simeq \tau_{\leq d-1} \mathcal{O}(S).$$

(2) *The unit of the h_0 -localization adjunction is the map $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{A\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}$; in particular, $\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}^{\otimes}$ factors through an equivalence*

$$\text{wIndexCat}_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},0}.$$

(3) *When \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} is a \mathcal{T} - d -operad, there is a natural equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{h_d \mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{P}),$$

and each are \mathcal{T} - d -operads.

(4) *An I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} is a \mathcal{T} - d -operad if and only if $U\mathcal{C}$ is a \mathcal{T} - d -category.*

We call $h_d \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ the *homotopy d -operad* of \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} .

1.3.9. *\mathcal{O} -algebras in I -symmetric monoidal 1-categories.* Fix \mathcal{C}^\otimes an I -symmetric monoidal 1-category; in light of [Proposition 38](#), to characterize \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{C}^\otimes , we may equivalently characterise $h_1\mathcal{O}$ -algebras in \mathcal{C} , so assume \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an I -1-operad, i.e. its structure spaces are sets.

We gave a simple combinatorial model for I -1-operads in [\[Ste25a\]](#), which we will not relitigate here, instead focusing only on algebras. Given a \mathcal{T} -object $X \in \Gamma^T \mathcal{C}$, we defined the *unreduced endomorphism I -operad* $\text{End}_X(\mathcal{C})$ as a one-colored I -1-operad with structure sets

$$\text{End}_X(\mathcal{C})(S) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_V}(X_V^{\otimes S}, X_V),$$

where $X_V \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is the V -object underlying X . 1-categorical algebras take a familiar form.

Proposition 39 ([\[Ste25a\]](#)). *Given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_{I,1}^{\text{oc}}$, $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a 1-category whose objects are pairs $(X \in \Gamma^T \mathcal{C}, \varphi: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_X(\mathcal{C}))$ and whose morphisms are $\Gamma^T \mathcal{C}$ -maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the corresponding diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{End}_X(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \text{End}_f \\ \mathcal{O}^\otimes & & \text{End}_Y(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

Moreover, we may exploit this to explicitly describe interchange.

Corollary 40 ([\[Ste25a\]](#)). *Given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_{I,1}^{\text{oc}}$, an $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ -algebra structure on X is precisely a pair of \mathcal{O} -algebra and \mathcal{P} -algebra structures such that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(S)$, the corresponding \mathcal{C} -map $X_V^{\otimes S} \rightarrow X_V$ is a morphism of \mathcal{P} -algebras; a morphism of $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ -algebras is a $\Gamma^T \mathcal{C}$ -map which is separately an \mathcal{O} -algebra and \mathcal{P} -algebra morphism.*

2. I -OPERADS

2.1. The doctrinal adjunction. The following proposition will play a crucial role in constructing I -symmetric monoidal left adjoints. We temporarily assume that the reader is familiar with [\[Ste25a, § 2\]](#).

Proposition 41 (Doctrinal adjunction). *Suppose $L^\otimes: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ is an I -symmetric monoidal functor whose underlying \mathcal{T} -functor L admits a right adjoint R . Then, R lifts to a canonical lax I -symmetric monoidal right adjoint $R^\otimes \vdash L^\otimes$. Moreover, for any \mathcal{T} -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes the postcomposition lax I -symmetric monoidal functors partake in a lax I -symmetric monoidal adjunction*

$$L_*^\otimes: \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{D}): R_*^\otimes$$

such that L_*^\otimes is I -symmetric monoidal. If R^\otimes is symmetric monoidal then R_*^\otimes is symmetric monoidal; if R is also fully faithful, then R_*^\otimes is fully faithful.

Proof. Applying [\[HA, Prop 7.3.2.6\]](#) to the fibrations on opposite categories, we acquire a right adjoint $R^\otimes \vdash L^\otimes$ relative to $\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_T)$. Moreover, an identical argument to [\[HA, Cor 7.3.2.7\]](#) shows that R^\otimes preserves cocartesian lifts for inert morphisms. The lax I -symmetric monoidal functors L_*^\otimes and R_*^\otimes are then constructed in [\[Ste25a\]](#), where postcomposition along an I -symmetric monoidal functor is verified to be I -symmetric monoidal; in particular, L_*^\otimes is always I -symmetric monoidal and R_*^\otimes is I -symmetric monoidal whenever R^\otimes is.

Note that postcomposition along the unit and counit data for $L^\otimes \dashv R^\otimes$ yield unit and counit data for L_*^\otimes and R_*^\otimes in any case. When R^\otimes, L^\otimes are symmetric monoidal and R is fully faithful, the counit $\varepsilon: L^\otimes R^\otimes \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ is an I -symmetric monoidal functor whose underlying \mathcal{T} -functor is an equivalence, so ε is an I -symmetric monoidal equivalence; in particular, this implies that the counit of $L_*^\otimes \dashv R_*^\otimes$ is an equivalence, so R_*^\otimes is fully faithful. \square

2.2. Recognizing I -local h_n -equivalences.

2.2.1. Detection via algebras. [Theorem D](#) recognizes morphisms of \mathcal{T} -operads which become equivalences after applying h_{n+1} , so we now spell out some of its antecedents.

Proposition 42. *Let $\varphi: \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ be a morphism of \mathcal{T} -operads. The following are equivalent:*

(a) for all $S \in \mathbb{F}_{AO} \cup \mathbb{F}_{AP}$, the map of spaces

$$\varphi(S): \mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$$

is an n -equivalence;

(b) φ is an h_{n+1} -equivalence;

(c) for all \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal $(n+1)$ -categories \mathcal{C} , the pullback \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal functor

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$$

is an equivalence;

(d) the pullback functor

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})$$

is an equivalence; and

(e) for all ∞ -categories K , the pullback map of spaces

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K)^{\simeq} \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K)^{\simeq}$$

is an equivalence.

To prove this, we apply the following lemma.

Lemma 43. *Given a \mathcal{T} -operad \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} and a pair of ∞ -categories \mathcal{D}, K such that \mathcal{D} admits finite products, there is an equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}^K) \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} K, \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D})),$$

natural in functors of K , product-preserving functors of \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{T} -operad maps of \mathcal{P} ; in particular, taking \mathcal{T} -fixed points yields a natural equivalence of categories

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}^K) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D})^K.$$

Proof. We construct a chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}^K) &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{D}^K)^{\mathcal{T}-\times}) && \text{Eqs. (6) and (7)} \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{D})^{\mathcal{T}-\times} && \text{Example 21} \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{triv}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} K)}^{\otimes}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{T}-\times}) && \text{Eq. (3)} \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} \text{triv}(K)}^{\otimes}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{T}-\times}) && \text{Example 29} \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} \text{triv}(K)} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{T}-\times}) && \text{Eq. (4)} \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} K, \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{T}-\times})) && \text{Eq. (5)} \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} K, \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D})) && \text{Eqs. (6) and (7)} \end{aligned}$$

The remaining equivalence follows by noting that $\Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} \text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}$, naturally in \mathcal{C} . \square

Proof of Proposition 42. A generalization of the equivalence between **Conditions (a) to (d)** was proved in [Ste25a], and **Condition (c)** clearly implies **Condition (e)**. Moreover, fixing $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S}_{\leq n}$ and taking cores of **Lemma 43** yields a natural equivalence

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K)^{\simeq} \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Cat}}(K, \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}))$$

so **Condition (e)** and Yoneda's lemma together imply **Condition (d)**. \square

2.2.2. *The smashing localization on \mathcal{T} - n -operads associated with $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes$.* Note the following.

Proposition 44. *If $\varphi: \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ is an h_n -equivalence and \mathcal{Q}^\otimes is a \mathcal{T} -operad, then the induced map*

$$\mathcal{Q}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \varphi: \mathcal{Q}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$$

is an h_n -equivalence.

Proof. By [Proposition 42](#), pullback along $\varphi \otimes \mathcal{Q}^\otimes$ yields an equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{Q}} \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{Q}} \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) \end{array}$$

Applying [Proposition 42](#) once more shows that $\varphi \otimes \mathcal{Q}^\otimes$ is an h_n -equivalence. \square

In particular, [Proposition 44](#) and [[HA](#), Prop 2.2.1.8] construct a symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},n}$ together with a symmetric monoidal structure on h_n . The tensor product for this structure is $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes_n^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes \simeq h_n \mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$, and in particular, [Proposition 35](#) shows that $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},n}$ is an idempotent algebra. It's easy to identify its smashing localization, and in fact, its preimages.

Corollary 45. *Suppose \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an almost-unital \mathcal{T} -operad. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (b') *The map $\text{Bor}_I^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow (h_n U \mathcal{O})^{I-\sqcup}$ is an h_n -equivalence.*
- (f') *For all $A\mathcal{O}$ -symmetric monoidal $(n+1)$ -categories \mathcal{C}^\otimes , the I -symmetric monoidal $(n+1)$ -category $\text{Bor}_I^{A\mathcal{O}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is cocartesian.*
- (g') *The \mathcal{T} -($n+1$)-category $\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})$ is I -semiadditive.*
- (h') *The unit map tensors to an h_n -equivalence*

$$h_n(\text{id} \otimes !): h_n \mathcal{O}^\otimes \simeq h_n \left(\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \text{triv}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} h_n \left(\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \right).$$

We will use that the $n = \infty$ case was proved in [[Ste25b](#)]. We start with a few lemmas on cocartesian structures in general. First, a mapping out property.

Lemma 46. *Given $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}}$, $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}$ is identified by the mapping-out property $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}, \underline{\text{CAlg}}_I(\mathcal{D}))$.*

Proof. Simply apply the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}}(\mathcal{D}) &\simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}}(\mathcal{D}) && n = \infty \text{ case,} \\ &\simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}} \underline{\text{CAlg}}_I^{I-\sqcup}(\mathcal{D}) && n = \infty \text{ case and Eq. (4)} \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}, \underline{\text{CAlg}}_I(\mathcal{D})) && \text{Eq. (8).} \end{aligned}$$

and Yoneda's lemma under the equivalence $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes)$. \square

Remark 47. The case $I = \mathcal{T}$ is proved in [[Yan25](#), Lem 4.1.10], but it is used as *input to* rather than a corollary of computations of cocartesian algebras, so their techniques are more difficult. \blacktriangleleft

Lemma 48. *Given \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{T} -category, there exists an equivalence $h_n(\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}) \simeq (h_n \mathcal{C})^{I-\sqcup}$.*

Proof. Noting that each are \mathcal{T} - n -operads, apply [Proposition 42](#) to the string of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alg}_{h_n(\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup})}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n-1}) &\simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n-1}) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}_{\leq n+1}) && \text{Lemma 46} \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(h_n \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}_{\leq n+1}) \\ &\simeq \text{Alg}_{(h_n \mathcal{C})^{I-\sqcup}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n-1}) && \text{Lemma 46.} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof of Corollary 45. The implications [Condition \(b'\)](#) \implies [Condition \(f'\)](#) \implies [Condition \(g'\)](#) \implies [Condition \(h'\)](#) were covered in [[Ste25b](#)]. Moreover, [Lemma 48](#) and the $n = \infty$ -case together show that [Condition \(h'\)](#) implies [Condition \(b'\)](#), as we have

$$h_n \text{Bor}_I^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes) \simeq h_n \text{Bor}_I^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes) \simeq h_n(U\mathcal{O})^{I-\sqcup} \simeq (h_n U\mathcal{O})^{I-\sqcup}. \quad \square$$

2.3. The reduced endomorphism I -operad as a right adjoint. In [Ste25b], we introduced the *reduced endomorphism I -operad* of a \mathcal{T} -operad for the purpose of lifting the disintegration and assembly process of [HA]. In this section, we gain explicit computational control over reduced endomorphism I -operads of unital I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.

Proposition 49. *The inclusion $\mathrm{Op}_I^{\mathrm{red}} \simeq \mathrm{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}/}^{\mathrm{red}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}/}^{\mathrm{uni}}$ has a right adjoint computed by the pullback*

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \eta \\ \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\{X\}} & \mathcal{O}^{I-\sqcup} \end{array}$$

In the case that \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} is a unital I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $X \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is a V -object, mapping in from the free unital I -operad $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{Op}}(S) \otimes^{\mathrm{bv}} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}$ on an operation in arity $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$ yields a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}}(S) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_V}(X^{\otimes S}, X) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow W_{S,X}^* \\ \{\nabla\} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_V}(X^{\sqcup S}, X) \end{array}$$

i.e. $\mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}}(S)$ is equivalent to the space of lifts along the following dashed arrow in \mathcal{C}_V

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\sqcup S} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & X \\ W_{S,X} \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow ! \\ X^{\otimes S} & \xrightarrow{!} & * \end{array}$$

Proof. We will apply the general reduction procedure of [SY19, Prop 2.1.5], applied to the *sliced* adjunction

$$U_*: \mathrm{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}/}^{\mathrm{uni}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T},*}: \eta^*(-)^{I-\sqcup},$$

whose right adjoint is $(-)^{I-\sqcup}$ together with the precomposed structure map

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} \simeq *_T^{I-\sqcup} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}.$$

Indeed, $\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T},*}$ admits an initial object $*_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq U\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}$, and $\mathrm{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}/}^{\otimes}$ admits all limits, which are preserved by U since it is a right adjoint by Eq. (9). Moreover, $\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)} \in \mathrm{Op}_I^{\mathrm{red}}$ is initial by Corollary 34, there is a unique equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} \simeq *_T^{I-\sqcup}$ by Eq. (2) and Example 37, and $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}/}^{\mathrm{uni}}$ corresponds with a reduced I -operad if and only if $U\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \in \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T},*}$ is initial, so the first claim follows by [SY19, Prop 2.1.5].

To acquire the second pullback square, one need only note that the natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{Op}}(S) \otimes^{\mathrm{bv}} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}) &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_V}(X^{\otimes S}, X), \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}}(\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{Op}}(S) \otimes^{\mathrm{bv}} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}, \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes}) &\simeq * \end{aligned}$$

follow by Propositions 27 and 33. What remains is to verify that the right vertical arrow is $W_{S,X}^*$ and the bottom arrow includes the fold map ∇ ; both facts were verified in [Ste25b]. \square

In fact, [SY19, Prop 4.2.8] introduced a result on connectivity of such spaces of lifts, immediately yielding the following corollary.

Corollary 50. *If $X \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is a $(k + \ell + 2)$ -truncated object and the Wirthmüller map $W_{S,X}: X^{\sqcup S} \rightarrow X^{\otimes S}$ is ℓ -connected, then the space $\mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{C})(S)$ is k -truncated.*

In general, reduction is an incarnation of the *disintegration and assembly* procedure of [HA; Ste25b]; given a reduced I -operad \mathcal{P}^\otimes and a V -object $X \in \mathcal{O}_V$, applying \mathcal{P} -algebras to Eq. (10) yields a pullback

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{P}} \mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})_V \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow U \\ \{X\} & \hookrightarrow & U\mathcal{O}_V \end{array}$$

In the case that $U\mathcal{O}$ is a \mathcal{T} -space, U is a automatically cocartesian fibration, so \mathcal{O} -algebras are $U\mathcal{O}$ -indexed diagrams of $\mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{O})$ -algebras. Unfortunately, this is far from our case; the best we can do is take cores of the above pullback square, resulting in the following proposition.

Proposition 51. *Suppose $\mathcal{P}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^\otimes$ is a morphism of I -operads inducing an equivalence of spaces*

$$\varphi_X^{*, \simeq} : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{Q}} \mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{P}} \mathrm{End}_X^{I, \mathrm{red}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and $X \in U\mathcal{O}_V$. Then, the induced map of \mathcal{T} -spaces

$$\underline{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq$$

is an equivalence; in particular, passing to \mathcal{T} -fixed points, the induced map of spaces

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Taking cores of Eq. (11), we find that that $\varphi_X^{*, \simeq}$ is the induced map on the homotopy fiber over X of the following map of \mathcal{T} -spaces over $U\mathcal{O}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*, \simeq}} & \underline{\mathrm{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})^\simeq \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & U\mathcal{O} & \end{array}$$

$\varphi^{*, \simeq}$ is an equivalence if and only if its V -fixed points are an equivalence for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$, and the homotopy fibers of $\varphi^{*, \simeq, V}$ are contractible by the above argument, so $\varphi^{*, \simeq, V}$ is an equivalence for all V . Hence $\varphi^{*, \simeq}$ is an equivalence, proving the proposition. \square

3. CONNECTIVITY

3.0.1. Reduction to Theorem D.

Corollary 52. *Theorem D implies that, if \mathcal{P}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I , then for all $(k + \ell + 2)$ -toposes \mathcal{C} , the reduced endomorphism I -operad $\mathrm{End}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-\times})$ is an I -($k + 1$)-operad.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{C} is a $(k + \ell + 2)$ -category, X is $(k + \ell + 2)$ -truncated, and Theorem D implies that $W_{X, S}$ is ℓ -connected, so the result follows from Corollary 50. \square

Before moving on, we show how this yields Theorem 60, and hence Theorems B and C.

Proposition 53. *Theorem D implies Theorem 60, and hence Theorems B and C.*

Proof. By Corollary 52, we know that $\mathrm{End}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-\times})$ is $(k + 1)$ -connected at I ; this implies that $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{End}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-\times})$ is I -cocartesian, so in particular, we have

$$\mathrm{CMon}_I \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^\simeq \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^\simeq.$$

By Proposition 42, this implies that the map

$$\mathcal{O}^{\otimes \mathrm{BV}} \otimes \mathcal{P}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\otimes \mathrm{BV}} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\otimes \mathrm{BV}} \otimes \mathrm{triv}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes !} \mathcal{O}^{\otimes \mathrm{BV}} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{\otimes \mathrm{BV}} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes$$

is an $h_{k+\ell+2}$ -equivalence, so Corollary 45 shows that $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes \mathrm{BV}} \otimes \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ is $(k + \ell + 2)$ -connected at I . \square

3.1. Connectivity of algebras can be detected in the value topos. Fix \mathcal{C} an n -topos for some $n \leq \infty$.

Lemma 54. *A map $f: C \rightarrow D$ in $\text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C}$ is ℓ -connected if and only if, for all $V \in \mathcal{T}^{\text{op}}$, the fixed point map $C^V \rightarrow D^V$ is ℓ -connected.*

Proof. Per [Remark 5](#), it is equivalent to prove that ℓ -connectiveness of a morphism in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ is measured elementwise. Indeed, since (co)limits in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ are computed elementwise, effective epimorphisms and diagonals are as well. The former proves the statement for (-2) -connectiveness, and the latter together with the diagonal presentation of [\[HTT, Prop 6.5.1.18\]](#) shows that the statement for $(\ell - 1)$ -connectiveness implies the statement for ℓ -connectiveness, so the lemma follows by induction. \square

Proposition 55. *Given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$, if the underlying map Uf in $\text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C}$ is ℓ -connected, then f is ℓ -connected.*

Proof. In view of [\[SY19, Lem 4.4.1\]](#), it suffices to verify that the monad $T_{\mathcal{O}}: \text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C}$ preserves ℓ -connected morphisms; by [Lemma 54](#), it suffices to verify that whenever each \mathcal{C} -diagram $X^V \rightarrow Y^V$ is ℓ -connected, each induced map $T_{\mathcal{O}}X^W \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{O}}Y^W$ is ℓ -connected. But by [Proposition 36](#), it suffices to note that ℓ -connected morphisms in an ∞ -topos are closed under cartesian products and colimits [\[HTT, Cor 6.5.1.13, Prop 5.2.8.6\]](#). \square

For instance, U preserves the terminal object and is conservative, so it also reflects the property of being terminal; applying [Proposition 55](#) in the case $Y = *$ shows that U reflects n -connectivity of objects.

Remark 56. Since U is a right adjoint, it preserves n -truncatedness and n -truncated objects. \blacktriangleleft

Warning 57. [Proposition 55](#) is delicate for a few reasons.

- (1) If \mathcal{O} is not n -connected, then the free \mathcal{O} -algebra monad $T_{\mathcal{O}}: \mathcal{C}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ may itself fail to preserve n -connected objects; indeed, we have $T_{\mathcal{O}}*V \simeq \coprod_{S \in \mathbb{F}_V} \text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{O}(S)_{h\text{Aut}_V S}$, which is often not much more highly connected than the individual spaces $\mathcal{O}(S)_{h\text{Aut}_V S}$.
- (2) U does not generally preserve ℓ -connectivity of objects or morphisms for instance, given an $\ell \geq (k+1)$ -connected space X , the equivalence $\Omega^k: \mathcal{S}_{*, \geq k+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{S}_{\geq 1})$ exhibits Ω^k as an ℓ -connected \mathbb{E}_k -algebra such that $U\Omega^n$ is only in general $(\ell - k)$ -connected.
- (3) For a similar reason, U does not usually reflect ℓ -truncatedness of morphisms or objects. \blacktriangleleft

3.2. The proof of [Theorem D](#). We now begin to reduce [Theorem D](#) to the case $n \leq \ell + 1$ with the following.

Lemma 58. *The truncation functor $\tau_{\leq \ell}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}$ extends to a \mathcal{T} -functor*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{O}}: \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C})$$

satisfying $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}W_{S,X} = W_{S,\tau_{\mathcal{O}}X}$. Moreover, the inclusion $\iota: \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ extends to a fully faithful \mathcal{T} -functor

$$\iota_{\mathcal{O}}: \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$$

such that $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}W_{S,\iota_{\mathcal{O}}X} = W_{S,X}$.

Proof. Since $\tau_{\leq \ell}$ is product-preserving [\[HTT, Lem 6.5.1.2\]](#), $\tau_{\leq \ell}: \text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Coeff}^T \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}$ is a \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal left adjoint for the cartesian structure [\[Ste25b\]](#); everything other than the equalities involving $W_{S,X}$ then follows straightforwardly from [Proposition 41](#).

In particular, $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a \mathcal{T} -functor which preserves indexed products and coproducts; this implies that $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}W_{S,X} = W_{S,\tau_{\mathcal{O}}X}$. The remaining equality follows from fully faithfulness by noting that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{O}}W_{S,\iota_{\mathcal{O}}X} = W_{S,\tau_{\mathcal{O}}\iota_{\mathcal{O}}X} = W_{S,X}. \quad \square$$

We say that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in an n -topos is an ℓ -equivalence if it is a $\tau_{\leq \ell}$ -equivalence; if f admits a section, this is equivalent to f being ℓ -connected (see [\[SY19, Prop 4.3.5\]](#) or note that this follows by splitting the long exact sequence in homotopy). We apply this by equivariantizing [\[SY19, Lem 5.1.1\]](#).

Lemma 59. *If $\mathcal{C}^{I \times}$ is a Cartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $S \in \mathbb{F}_I$, then the image of the \mathcal{O} -algebra Wirthmüller map $W_{X,S}: \coprod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \prod_U^S X_U$ under $U: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ admits a section.*

Proof of Lemma 59. Let $i_U: Y_U \rightarrow \text{Res}_U^V \coprod_U^S Y_{U'}$ be adjoint to the inclusion $\text{Ind}_U^V Y_U \hookrightarrow \coprod_U^S Y_{U'}$ and fix some operation $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(S)$. We verify that the following diagram commutes, giving a section $\mu\sigma_1 f$ for $W_{X,S}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \prod_U^S \left(\text{Res}_U^V \coprod_U^V X_U \right) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_1} & \left(\prod_U^S X_U \right)^{\times S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod_U^S X_U \\
 \uparrow f=(i_U)_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} & & \downarrow h = \left(W_{\text{Res}_U^V X, \text{Res}_U^V S} \right)_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} & & \downarrow W_{X,S} \\
 & & \left(\prod_U^S X_U \right)^{\times S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod_U^S X_U \\
 & & \downarrow \sigma_2 \sim & & \parallel \\
 \prod_U^S X_U & \xrightarrow{g=(i_U)_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)}} & \prod_U^S X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod_U^S X_U
 \end{array}$$

Note that the top right square is commutative by the fact that $W_{S,X}$ is an \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism and the bottom right follows by unwinding the definition of μ .

Now, note that $\mu \circ g$ is the external product of a collection of endomorphisms $X_U \xrightarrow{i_U} X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \xrightarrow{\mu} X_U$; unwinding definitions, i_U is the inclusion of a unit on all but one factor:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_U & \xrightarrow{i_U} & X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X_U \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & \nearrow & \\
 X_U \times \prod_W^{\text{Res}_U^V S - \{\alpha\}} 1_W & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \eta)} & X_U \times \prod_W^{\text{Res}_U^V S - \{\alpha\}} X_W & &
 \end{array}$$

in particular, $\mu \circ i_U$ is homotopic to the identity, so $\mu \circ g$ is homotopic to the identity, and the bottom triangle commutes.

To characterize the composite morphism of the left rectangle, we may equivalently characterize the composite map $\pi_U \sigma_2 h \sigma_1 f: \prod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_U^V X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S}$; in fact, under the expression $X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \simeq \prod_W^{\text{Res}_U^V S} \text{Res}_W^U X_U$, it suffices to characterize the composite morphism $\prod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U$ and verify that it is homotopic to the relevant projection of g for each W, U .

In particular, relevant projection of g is the composite morphism

$$\prod_U^S X_U \twoheadrightarrow \text{CoInd}_U^V X_U \xrightarrow{\delta_{U,W}} \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U$$

where $\delta_{U,W}$ is a Kronecker delta

$$\delta_{U,W} = \begin{cases} \text{id} & U = W; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, note that the projection $\pi_U \sigma_2 h \sigma_1: \prod_U^S X_U \rightarrow X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S}$ itself factors as

$$\prod_U^S \left(\text{Res}_U^V \coprod_U^V X_U \right) \twoheadrightarrow \text{CoInd}_U^V X_U \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_U} \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U,$$

so we're tasked with verifying that \widetilde{f}_U is homotopic to $\delta_{U,W}$. Indeed, this follows by examining the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\prod_U^S X_U & \xrightarrow{f} & \prod_U^S \left(\text{Res}_U^V \prod_U^V X_U \right) & \simeq & \left(\prod_U^S X_U \right)^{\times S} & \xrightarrow{h} & \left(\prod_U^S X_U \right)^{\times S} \simeq \prod_U^S X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \\
\downarrow & & \searrow & & & & \downarrow \\
\text{CoInd}_U^V X_U & \xrightarrow{\text{CoInd}_U^V i_U} & \text{CoInd}_U^V \text{Res}_U^V \prod_U^V X_U & \xrightarrow{\text{CoInd}_U^V W} & \text{CoInd}_U^V \text{Res}_U^V \prod_U^V X_U & \simeq & X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \\
& \searrow \delta_{U,W} & & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U & &
\end{array}$$

□

Proof of Theorem D. Assume \mathcal{O}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I , i.e. **Condition (a)**. We study the behavior of $W_{S,X}$ under the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{O}}} & \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{O}}} & \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}) \\
\downarrow U_{\leq \ell} & & \downarrow U & & \downarrow U_{\leq \ell} \\
\text{Coeff}^T \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{L} & \text{Coeff}^T \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}
\end{array}$$

In particular, by **Proposition 42** and **Lemma 58**, $L_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S,X} = W_{S, L_{\mathcal{O}} X}$ is an equivalence, so $U_{\leq \ell} L_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S,X} = LUW_{S,X}$ is an equivalence, i.e. $UW_{S,X}$ is an ℓ -equivalence. In turn, by **Lemma 59** this implies that $UW_{S,X}$ is ℓ -connected, so **Proposition 55** implies that $W_{S,X}$ is ℓ -connected, i.e. **Condition (b)**.

The implication **Condition (b)** \implies **Condition (c)** is immediate, so assume **Condition (c)**, i.e. fix the case $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{S}$ and assume that $W_{S,X}$ is ℓ -connected for all $X \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{S}$ and $S \in \mathbb{F}_I$. We may invert the above argument: this time, we find that $UW_{S, i_{\mathcal{O}} Y}$ is an ℓ -equivalence for all $Y \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{S}_{\leq \ell}$, so $LUW_{S,Y} = U_{\leq \ell} L_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S, i_{\mathcal{O}} Y} = U_{\leq \ell} W_{S,Y}$ is an equivalence. By conservativity of $U_{\leq \ell}$, this implies that $W_{S,Y}$ is an equivalence, so \mathcal{O}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I by **Proposition 42**, proving **Condition (a)**. □

3.3. The proof of Theorems B and C.

Theorem 60. Suppose \mathcal{T} is an atomic orbital ∞ -category with a terminal object, \mathcal{O}^\otimes and \mathcal{P}^\otimes are unital \mathcal{T} -operads and I is a unital weak indexing category. If \mathcal{O}^\otimes is k -connected at I and \mathcal{P}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I , then $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{bv}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ is $(k + \ell + 2)$ -connected at I .

We now prove the following

Proposition 61. *Theorem 60* implies **Theorems B** and **C**.

Proof. Restriction assembles to a (tautologically symmetric monoidal) equivalence

$$\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes \simeq \lim_{V \in \mathcal{T}} \text{Op}_V^\otimes$$

such that, given a morphism $V \rightarrow W$ in \mathcal{T} and S a finite V -set, $\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{O}(S) \simeq \mathcal{O}(S)$. In particular, **Theorems B** and **C** may be verified after restriction to each $V \in \mathcal{T}$, in which case the base ∞ -category \mathcal{T}_V has a terminal object.

Moreover, each $\mathcal{O}(S)$ and $\mathcal{P}(S)$ are easily determined by arity support except in the case $V \in v(\mathcal{O}) = v(\mathcal{P})$, and arity support is additive in the predicted way by [Ste25b]; thus **Theorems B** and **C** may be verified after restriction to each $V \in v(\mathcal{O})$, in which case $\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ and $\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ are unital. This directly implies **Theorem C**, and **Theorem B** follows by setting $I := A\mathcal{O}$. □

4. THE C_p -OPERAD $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes$ AND **THEOREM A**

For the rest of this article, we specialize to $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$, where C_p is the group of prime order p , and \mathcal{C} is a 1-category. As in **Proposition 27**, let $\text{Fr}_\Sigma(S)$ denote the free C_p -symmetric sequence on an operation in arity S . Now, the pointwise formula for left Kan extensions yields equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fr}_{\Sigma, p \cdot *_{C_p}}(*) (p \cdot *_{C_p}) &\simeq \Sigma_p; \\ \text{Fr}_{\Sigma, [C_p/e]}(*) (p \cdot *_{C_p}) &\simeq \Sigma_p. \end{aligned}$$

We define the C_p -symmetric sequence of sets F_{2,C_p} as the coequalizer

$$F_{2,C_p} := \text{CoEq} \left(\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}] \rightrightarrows \left(\text{Fr}_{\Sigma, [C_p/e]}(*) \sqcup \text{Fr}_{\Sigma, p \cdot *_{C_p}}(*) \right) \right),$$

where $\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}]$ is the C_p -symmetric sequence defined by

$$\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}](S) := \begin{cases} \Sigma_p & S = p \cdot *_{C_p}; \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and the two arrows are the inclusions of $\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}]$. We define the unital C_p -operad $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes$ by the Boardman-Vogt tensor product

$$\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes := \mathbb{E}_0^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \text{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p}).$$

As promised, we verify that \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -monoids are the same as C_p -unital magmas.

Proposition 62. *There is an equivalence between $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C})$ and C_p -unital magmas in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. By **Example 30** and **Proposition 33** we have

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\text{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})}(\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{E}_0}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\text{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})} \mathcal{C}_*.$$

Moreover, by **Proposition 39**, the data of an \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -monoid structure on $X \in \text{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}$ is equivalently viewed as a map $\eta: *_{C_p} \rightarrow X$ (which we identify with an element $\tilde{X} \in \text{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*$) and an element of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mon}_{\text{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})}(\text{End}_{\tilde{X}}(\mathcal{C}_*)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\text{Tot}_{\Sigma_{C_p}}, \mathcal{S})}(F_{2,C_p}, \text{End}_{\tilde{X}}(\mathcal{C}_*)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\tilde{X}^p, \tilde{X}) \times_{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_*}((\tilde{X}^e)^p, \tilde{X}^e)} \text{Hom}_{\text{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\text{CoInd}_e^{C_p} \tilde{X}^e, \tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

We're left with interpreting this concretely: by a standard argument, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\tilde{X}^p, \tilde{X})$ corresponds bijectively with the set of unital magma structures on X with unit η , and this corresponds bijectively with the pairs of unital magma structures on X^{C_p} and X^e with unit maps η^{C_p} and η^e such that the restriction map is a homomorphism. Under this bijection, the forgetful map $\text{Hom}_{\text{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\tilde{X}^p, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_*}((\tilde{X}^e)^p, \tilde{X})$ simply forgets the data of X^{C_p} and the restriction.

Similarly, since C_p -coefficient coinduction is presented by the coefficient system $X^p \xleftarrow{\Delta} X$ with permutation action, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\text{CoInd}_e^{C_p} \tilde{X}^e, \tilde{X})$ corresponds bijectively with the set of unital C_p -equivariant transfers $t: X^e \rightarrow X^{C_p}$ and unital magma structures on X^e with unit η^e satisfying the condition that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^e & \xrightarrow{t} & X^{C_p} \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow r \\ (X^e)^p & \xrightarrow{*} & X^e \end{array}$$

Once again, the forgetful map restricts to the unital magma structure on η^e ; thus the fiber product corresponds exactly with G -unital magma structures on X with units η^e and η^{C_p} .

Now, what we’ve described is a bijective assignment of *sets* $\text{Ob Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob Magma}_{C_p}^{\text{uni}}(\mathcal{C})$ over $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. To conclude, it suffices to prove that a $\text{Coeff}_{C_p}^{\mathcal{C}}$ morphism between a pair of C_p -unital magmas is a C_p -unital magma homomorphism if and only if it’s an \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -algebra homomorphism.

To prove this, note that an \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -monoid morphism is equivalently a $\text{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})$ -monoid morphism of pointed objects, i.e. a pair of maps $F^e: M^e \rightarrow N^e$ and $F^{C_p}: M^{C_p} \rightarrow N^{C_p}$ which are compatible with units, satisfying $F^{C_p} \circ t = t \circ F^e$ and $F^e \circ r = r \circ F^{C_p}$ together with p -degree additivity

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M^{C_p})^p & \longrightarrow & (N^{C_p})^p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^{C_p} & \longrightarrow & N^{C_p} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (M^e)^p & \longrightarrow & (N^e)^p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^e & \longrightarrow & N^e \end{array}$$

It suffices to note that a map between the pointed sets underlying unital magmas is a homomorphism if and only if it intertwines with n -ary addition for *some* $n \geq 2$; indeed, one can simply identify binary addition with n -ary addition whose first $(n-2)$ -factors are the unit. \square

We now spell out the interchange relations explicitly.

Proposition 63. *There is an equivalence between $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C})$ and pairs of G -unital magma structures $(M, *, \bullet, t_*, t_\bullet)$ in \mathcal{C} satisfying the interchange relations $1_* = 1_\bullet$ and*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (X^p)^p & \xrightarrow{(\bullet)} & X^p & X^{C_p} & \xleftarrow{t_\bullet} & X^e & \xrightarrow{t_*} & X^{C_p} & (X^e)^p & \xrightarrow{(t_\bullet)} & (X^{C_p})^p & (X^e)^p & \xrightarrow{(t_*)} & (X^{C_p})^p \\ (*) \downarrow & & \downarrow & r \downarrow & & \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow r & * \downarrow & & * \downarrow & \bullet \downarrow & & \bullet \downarrow \\ X^p & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & X & X^e & \xleftarrow{t_*} & (X^e)^p & \xrightarrow{t_\bullet} & X^e & X^e & \xrightarrow{t_*} & X^{C_p} & X^e & \xrightarrow{t_*} & X^{C_p} \end{array}$$

Proof. **Example 30** and **Proposition 33** yields an equivalence.

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^{\otimes 2}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\text{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})^{\otimes 2}}(\mathcal{C}_*).$$

This is characterized explicitly by **Corollary 40** and **Proposition 62**; it suffices to note that the specified interchange relations correspond precisely with the conditions that t_\bullet and \bullet are C_p -unital magma homomorphisms. \square

We conclude the following form of **Theorem A**.

Corollary 64. *Given \mathcal{C} a 1-category, the forgetful functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_{C_p}), \mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ &\simeq \{ \text{Interchanging pairs of } C_p\text{-unital magmas in } \mathcal{C} \} \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

REFERENCES

- [Ati66] M. F. Atiyah. “K-theory and reality”. In: *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 17 (1966), pp. 367–386. ISSN: 0033-5606,1464-3847. DOI: [10.1093/qmath/17.1.367](https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/17.1.367). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/17.1.367> (cit. on p. 2).
- [BBR21] Scott Balchin, David Barnes, and Constanze Roitzheim. “ N_∞ -operads and associahedra”. In: *Pacific J. Math.* 315.2 (2021), pp. 285–304. ISSN: 0030-8730,1945-5844. DOI: [10.2140/pjm.2021.315.285](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03797). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03797> (cit. on p. 5).
- [Bar14] C. Barwick. *Spectral Mackey functors and equivariant algebraic K-theory (I)*. 2014. arXiv: [1404.0108](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.0108) [math.AT] (cit. on p. 10).
- [BDGNS16] Clark Barwick, Emanuele Dotto, Saul Glasman, Denis Nardin, and Jay Shah. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé I – Elements of parametrized higher category theory*. 2016. arXiv: [1608.03657](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03657) [math.AT] (cit. on p. 9).
- [BH18] Andrew Blumberg and Michael Hill. “Incomplete Tambara functors”. In: *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* 18 (Mar. 2018), pp. 723–766. ISSN: 1472-2747. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2018.18.Segalnumber={2}](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03292). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03292> (cit. on pp. 2, 7).

- [BH21] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Equivariant stable categories for incomplete systems of transfers”. In: *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 104.2 (2021), pp. 596–633. ISSN: 0024-6107,1469-7750. DOI: [10.1112/jlms.12441](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms.12441). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms.12441> (cit. on p. 6).
- [BH22] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Bi-incomplete Tambara functors”. In: *Equivariant topology and derived algebra*. Vol. 474. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2022, pp. 276–313. ISBN: 978-1-108-93194-6. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.10521> (cit. on p. 2).
- [CSY20] Shachar Carmeli, Tomer M. Schlank, and Lior Yanovski. *Ambidexterity and Height*. 2020. arXiv: [2007.13089](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13089) [math.AT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13089> (cit. on p. 5).
- [Cha24] David Chan. “Bi-incomplete Tambara functors as O-commutative monoids”. In: *Tunisian Journal of Mathematics* 6.1 (Jan. 2024), pp. 1–47. ISSN: 2576-7658. DOI: [10.2140/tunis.2024.6.1](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2208.05555). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2208.05555> (cit. on pp. 2, 3).
- [CHLL24] Bastiaan Cnossen, Rune Haugseng, Tobias Lenz, and Sil Linskens. *Normed equivariant ring spectra and higher Tambara functors*. 2024. arXiv: [2407.08399](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.08399) [math.AT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.08399> (cit. on pp. 2, 3).
- [CLL24] Bastiaan Cnossen, Tobias Lenz, and Sil Linskens. *Parametrized higher semiadditivity and the universality of spans*. 2024. arXiv: [2403.07676](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.07676) [math.AT] (cit. on pp. 2, 4, 7, 11).
- [Dre71] Andreas W. M. Dress. *Notes on the theory of representations of finite groups. Part I: The Burnside ring of a finite group and some AGN-applications*. With the aid of lecture notes, taken by Manfred Küchler. Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Bielefeld, 1971, iv+158+A28+B31 pp. (loose errata) (cit. on p. 2).
- [EH23] Elden Elmanto and Rune Haugseng. “On distributivity in higher algebra I: the universal property of bispans”. In: *Compos. Math.* 159.11 (2023), pp. 2326–2415. ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846. DOI: [10.1112/s0010437x23007388](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15722). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15722> (cit. on pp. 2, 10).
- [GGN15] David Gepner, Moritz Groth, and Thomas Nikolaus. “Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 15.6 (2015), pp. 3107–3153. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2015.15.3107](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.4550). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.4550> (cit. on p. 5).
- [Gla17] Saul Glasman. *Stratified categories, geometric fixed points and a generalized Arone-Ching theorem*. 2017. arXiv: [1507.01976](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.01976) [math.AT] (cit. on p. 2).
- [GM11] Bertrand Guillou and J. P. May. *Models of G-spectra as presheaves of spectra*. 2011. arXiv: [1110.3571](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.3571) [math.AT] (cit. on pp. 2, 7).
- [GM17] Bertrand J. Guillou and J. Peter May. “Equivariant iterated loop space theory and permutative G-categories”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 17.6 (2017), pp. 3259–3339. ISSN: 1472-2747. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2017.17.3259](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3459). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3459> (cit. on p. 7).
- [HHKWZ24] Jeremy Hahn, Asaf Horev, Inbar Klang, Dylan Wilson, and Foling Zou. *Equivariant nonabelian Poincaré duality and equivariant factorization homology of Thom spectra*. 2024. arXiv: [2006.13348](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.13348) [math.AT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.13348> (cit. on p. 2).
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. “On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 184.1 (2016), pp. 1–262. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2016.184.1.1](https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/mypapers/Hill_Hopkins_Ravenel.pdf). URL: https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/mypapers/Hill_Hopkins_Ravenel.pdf (cit. on p. 3).
- [Lew92] L. Gaunce Lewis Jr. “The equivariant Hurewicz map”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 329.2 (1992), pp. 433–472. ISSN: 0002-9947,1088-6850. DOI: [10.2307/2153946](https://doi.org/10.2307/2153946). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2153946> (cit. on p. 2).
- [HTT] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. DOI: [10.1515/9781400830558](https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HTT.pdf). URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HTT.pdf> (cit. on pp. 4, 6, 8, 9, 21).
- [HA] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf> (cit. on pp. 15, 16, 18–20).
- [Mar24] Gregoire Marc. *A higher Mackey functor description of algebras over an N_∞ -operad*. 2024. arXiv: [2402.12447](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.12447) [math.AT] (cit. on pp. 2, 6, 7).
- [Nar16] Denis Nardin. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé IV – Stability with respect to an orbital ∞ -category*. 2016. arXiv: [1608.07704](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07704) [math.AT] (cit. on pp. 4, 7).
- [NS22] Denis Nardin and Jay Shah. *Parametrized and equivariant higher algebra*. 2022. arXiv: [2203.00072](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.00072) [math.AT] (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 8, 11, 13).
- [RS00] Colin Rourke and Brian Sanderson. “Equivariant configuration spaces”. In: *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 62.2 (2000), pp. 544–552. ISSN: 0024-6107,1469-7750. DOI: [10.1112/S0024610700001241](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610700001241). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610700001241> (cit. on p. 7).

- [Rub21] Jonathan Rubin. “Combinatorial N_∞ operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 21.7 (2021), pp. 3513–3568. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03585> (cit. on p. 6).
- [SY19] Tomer M. Schlank and Lior Yanovski. “The ∞ -categorical Eckmann-Hilton argument”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 19.6 (2019), pp. 3119–3170. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2019.19.3119](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2019.19.3119). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.06006> (cit. on pp. 3–5, 8, 19, 21).
- [Ste24] Natalie Stewart. *Orbital categories and weak indexing systems*. 2024. arXiv: [2409.01377](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.01377) [[math.CT](#)] (cit. on pp. 2, 5, 7, 10).
- [Ste25a] Natalie Stewart. *Equivariant operads, symmetric sequences, and Boardman-Vogt tensor products*. 2025. arXiv: [2501.02129](https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.02129) [[math.CT](#)] (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11–13, 15–17).
- [Ste25b] Natalie Stewart. *On tensor products with equivariant commutative operads*. 2025. arXiv: [2504.02143](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.02143) [[math.AT](#)] (cit. on pp. 2, 4–8, 11, 13–15, 18–21, 23).
- [Wir75] Klaus Wirthmüller. “Equivariant S-duality”. In: *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 26.4 (1975), pp. 427–431. ISSN: 0003-889X,1420-8938. DOI: [10.1007/BF01229762](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01229762). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01229762> (cit. on p. 2).
- [Yan25] Lucy Yang. *A filtered Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem for real Hochschild homology*. 2025. arXiv: [2503.03024](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.03024) [[math.AT](#)]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.03024> (cit. on p. 18).