

YOU CAN CONSTRUCT G -COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS ONE NORM AT A TIME

NATALIE STEWART

ABSTRACT. We define the category of G -operads and the hierarchy of *generalized N_∞ -operads*, which are G -suboperads of Comm_G^\otimes . We exhibit an isomorphism between the category of generalized N_∞ -operads and the self-join poset

$$\text{Op}_G^{GN_\infty} \simeq \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G,$$

where $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$ is the poset of *indexing systems* in G . This recognizes generalized N_∞ -operads as parameterizing *some commutative multiplicative transfers and possibly a commutative multiplication*. Indeed, their algebras in semiadditive Cartesian categories are incomplete Mackey functors and their algebras in Mackey functors recover incomplete Tambara functors when they are N_∞ operads, i.e. when they contain \mathbb{E}_∞ .

After this, we discuss some in-progress research. Namely, we construct a *Boardman-Vogt tensor product* of G -operads and demonstrate that tensor products of generalized N_∞ operads correspond with joins in $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$ i.e. there is an $N_{(IV)_\infty}$ -monoidal equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{N_{I_\infty}} \text{Alg}_{N_{J_\infty}} C \simeq \text{Alg}_{N_{(IV)_\infty}} C$$

for all $N_{(IV)_\infty}$ -monoidal categories C , allowing G -commutative structures to be constructed “one norm at a time.”

Foreword. The following are notes prepared for a casual talk in the [zygotop](#) seminar concerning research which is currently in-progress [cite](#). The reader should read with the understanding that they are particularly casual error-prone, as the non-cited results herein amount to the communication of a pre-draft of a paper in a casual setting.

The reader should implicitly insert the text ∞ — before the words operad and category throughout the following text.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Dre71], the concept of a *Mackey functor* was introduced; this structure was described as consisting of functors $M_I : \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$ and $M_R : \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$ which agree on \mathcal{O}_G^\sim and satisfying the *double coset formula*

$$R_J^H I_K^H = \prod_{x \in [J \backslash H / K]} I_{J \cap x K x^{-1}}^I \cdot \text{conj}_X R_{x^{-1} J x \cap K}$$

for all $J, K \subset H$, where $R_J^K := M_R(G/J \rightarrow G/K)$ and similar for I . The ur-example of this is the assignment $H \mapsto \mathbf{Rep}_H$ with covariant functoriality Ind and contravariant functoriality Res . This was repackaged and generalized into the modern definition of the *category of C -valued G -Mackey functors*

$$\mathcal{M}_G(C) := \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G), C),$$

where \mathbb{F}_G denotes the category of finite G -sets.

In parallel, the concept of *transfer maps in group cohomology* was being developed in [Evens], later lifted to genuine equivariant cohomology in [Greenlees], and finally developed as a functor

$$N_H^G : \text{Sp}_H \rightarrow \text{Sp}_G$$

in [HHR16], which played a crucial role in the solution to the Kervaire invariant one problem. These were noted in [HH16] to satisfy the conditions of a *Symmetric monoidal Mackey functor*, a notion they distinguished from their notion of *G -symmetric monoidal categories* due to coherence issues.

In the broad program announced in [Bar+16], the correct notion of *G -symmetric monoidal G - ∞ -categories* (henceforth *G -symmetric monoidal categories*) was introduced:

Definition 1.1. Let C have finite products. Then, the category of G -commutative monoids in C is

$$\text{CMon}_G(C) := \mathcal{M}_G(C).$$

The category of G -symmetric monoidal categories is $\text{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$.

We similarly define the *category of small G -categories* as

$$\mathbf{Cat}_G := \mathbf{Fun}(O_G^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}) \simeq \mathbf{Cat}_{/O_G^{\text{op}}}^{\text{cocart}},$$

where the equivalence is the *straightening-unstraightening construction* of [HTT]. We may informally summarize the structure of a G -symmetric monoidal category $C^\otimes \in \mathbf{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$ as consisting of, for every conjugacy class (H) of G , a category with Weyl group action $C_H \in \mathbf{Cat}^{BW_G H}$, as well as functors

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_H^2 : C_H^2 &\rightarrow C_H, \\ N_K^H : C_K &\rightarrow C_H, \\ \text{Res}_K^H : C_H &\rightarrow C_K \end{aligned}$$

for all subconjugacy classes (K) of (H) , which are associative, commutative, unital, and compatible with each other and the Weyl group action, together with coherence. The maps Res encode an underlying G -category C of C^\otimes , and N_K^H is pronounced “the norm from K to H .”

Given C^\otimes a G -symmetric monoidal category, we may informally define a G -commutative monoid to be a tuple of objects $(X_H)_{H \in O_G} \in \prod_{H \in O_G} C_H$ satisfying

$$X_H \simeq \text{Res}_H^G X_G$$

together with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_H^2 : X_H^{\otimes 2} &\rightarrow X_H \\ \text{tr}_K^H : N_K^H X_K &\rightarrow X_H, \end{aligned}$$

for all $H \subset K$, together with associativity, commutativity, unitality, and coherence data. We may intuitively view these data as altogether specifying that these structure maps jointly construct a contractible space of maps

$$X^{\otimes S} \rightarrow X_H$$

for all finite H -sets $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$, where

$$X^{\otimes S} := \bigotimes_{H/K \in \text{Orb}(S)} N_K^H X_K.$$

The map tr_K^H is pronounced “the transfer from K to H 3.” When $C^\otimes = M_G(C)^\otimes$ with the *HHR norm* G -symmetric monoidal structure of [HH16], these are called *G -Tambara functors valued in C* .

This talk concerns various relaxations of the notion of G -commutative algebras. Namely, we will define a symmetric monoidal closed category \mathbf{Op}_G of (colored) G -operads, whose internal hom $\mathbf{Alg}_O(C)^\otimes$ is called the *operad of algebras under pointwise tensors*, and whose tensor product is called the *Boardman-Vogt tensor product*.

A particular example will define N_∞ operads, which interpolate between \mathbb{E}_∞ and the G -operad \mathbf{Comm}_G which encodes G -commutative algebras by adding a subset of the transfers parameterized by \mathbf{Comm}_G :

Definition 1.2. A G -transfer system is a core-preserving wide subcategory $O_G^\sim \subset T \subset O_G$ which is closed under base change, i.e. for any diagram in O_G

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \alpha \\ U' & \longrightarrow & V' \end{array}$$

with $U \hookrightarrow V' \times_{U'} V$ a summand inclusion (pullback taken in \mathbb{F}_G) and $\alpha \in T$, we have $\alpha' \in T$.

An *indexing system* is a subcategory $I \subset \mathbb{F}_G$ induced by a transfer system under taking coproducts. A *generalized indexing system* is a core-preserving subcategory $I \subset \mathbb{F}_G$ which is either an indexing system or is attained by one by removing all non-isomorphisms of trivial G -sets. The poset of indexing systems under inclusion is denoted $\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G$, and the poset of generalized indexing systems is denoted $\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G^{\text{gen}}$.

It is not hard to see that there is an equivalence of posets

$$\widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G} \simeq \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G,$$

and in particular, generalized indexing systems decompose into two different join-stable copies of indexing systems, depending on whether maps of trivial G -sets are included.

Given a generalized indexing system I , we will construct an operad called $N_{I\infty}^\otimes$ encoding precisely the maps tr_K^H such that $K \hookrightarrow H$ is in I , as well as encoding the map μ_H if and only if I is an indexing system. The main theorem of this talk characterizes the tensor products of generalized N_∞ operads.

Theorem A. *There is a fully faithful and symmetric monoidal inclusion*

$$N_{(-)\infty}^\otimes : \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G} \xhookrightarrow{\Pi} \text{Op}_G^\otimes$$

whose image consists of the suboperads of Comm_G , and when restricted to the indexing systems has image consisting of operads \mathcal{O} possessing diagrams $\mathbb{E}_\infty \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \text{Comm}_G$. In particular, for \mathcal{C} an $N_{(I\vee J)\infty}$ -monoidal category, there is a canonical $N_{(I\vee J)\infty}$ -monoidal equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{N_{I\infty}}^\otimes \underline{\text{Alg}}_{N_{J\infty}}^\otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{N_{(I\vee J)\infty}}^\otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

We say an inclusion of subgroup $H \subset K$ is *atomic* if it is proper and there exist no chains of proper subgroup inclusions $H \subset J \subset K$. More generally, we say that a conjugacy class $(H) \in \text{Conj}(G)$ is an *atomic subclass* of (K) if there exists an atomic inclusion $\tilde{H} \subset \tilde{K}$ with $\tilde{H} \in (H)$ and $\tilde{K} \in (K)$, and we say that (K) is atomic if the canonical inclusion $1 \hookrightarrow K$ is atomic.

Given $(H) \subset (K)$ an atomic subclass, we refer to the N^∞ -operad corresponding to the minimal index system containing the inclusion $H \hookrightarrow K$ as $N^\infty(H, K)$. When $(H) = (1)$, we instead simply write $N^\infty(K)$.

Corollary B. *Let $1 = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset G_0 = G$ be a maximal subgroup series of a finite group, and let \mathcal{C} be a G -symmetric monoidal category. Then, there exists a canonical G -symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{N^\infty(G_1, G_0)}^\otimes \cdots \underline{\text{Alg}}_{N^\infty(G_n, G_{n-1})}^\otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{CAlg}_G \mathcal{C}.$$

Furthermore, if $G \simeq H \times J$, then

$$\underline{\text{CAlg}}_H^\otimes \underline{\text{CAlg}}_J^\otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \underline{\text{CAlg}}_G^\otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

Remark. One may worry about the comparison between models for G -operads, as our notion of N_∞ -operads is ostensibly embedded deep within the world of G - ∞ -operads, which are not known to be equivalent to the ∞ -category presented by the graph model structure or by genuine G operads.

However, some work has been done to simplify the story of N_∞ operads in the model story; in particular, by [Rub21, Thm 2.16, 3.6], the full ∞ -category of the ∞ -category of *genuine* G -operads is equivalent to $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$ via a functor A which sits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Op}_G^{\text{gen}, N^\infty} & \xrightarrow{N|_{N^\infty}} & \text{Op}_G^{N^\infty} \\ & \searrow A & \downarrow A \\ & & \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \end{array}$$

where we use that the functor N of [BP21] is canonically ∞ -categorical when restricted to full subcategories of Op_G^{gen} which happen to be 1-categories and map to a 1-subcategory of Op_G . Both functors named A are equivalences (c.f. [Ex 2.4.7]Nardin), and hence $N|_{N^\infty}$ is an equivalence.

2. THE IDEAS

2.1. Fibrous patterns. In order to precisely define I -operads, the most efficient way will be to go through the technology of *algebraic patterns*, a concept first defined by German mathematician Honyi Chu and the Norwegian mathematician Rune Haugseng, who generally referred to them using the letter \mathcal{O} .

Definition 2.1. An *algebraic pattern* is an ∞ -category \mathcal{F} , together with a factorization system $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{int}}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{act}})$ of \mathcal{F} and a full subcategory $\mathcal{F}^{\text{el}} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\text{int}}$. The *category of algebraic patterns* is the full subcategory

$$\text{AlgPatt} \subset \text{Fun}(D, \text{Cat})$$

spanned by algebraic patterns, where $D := \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$.

Maps in \mathcal{F}^{int} and \mathcal{F}^{act} are pronounced *inert* and *active maps*, and objects of \mathcal{F}^{el} are pronounced *elementary objects*. For instance, \mathbb{F}_* , together with its inert and active maps as defined in [HA, § 2] and elementary objects $\{ \langle 1 \rangle \}$ determines an algebraic pattern. In analogy with [HA, § 2], we will use these to develop a notion of operads, called *fibrous patterns*.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{F} be an algebraic pattern. A *fibrous \mathcal{F} -pattern* is a map of algebraic patterns $\pi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that

- (1) \mathcal{O} has π -cocartesian lifts for inert morphisms of \mathcal{F} ,
- (2) (Segal condition for colors) For every active morphism $\omega : V_0 \rightarrow V_1$ in \mathcal{F} , the functor

$$\mathcal{K}_{V_0}^{\approx} \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{V_1/}^{\text{el}}} \mathcal{O}_{\omega_{\alpha,!} V_1}^{\approx}$$

induced by cocartesian transport along ω_{α} is an equivalence, where $\omega_{(-)} : \mathcal{F}_{Y/}^{\text{el}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X/}^{\text{int}}$ is the inert morphism appearing in the inert-active factorization of $\alpha \circ \omega$, and

- (3) (Segal condition for multimorphism) for every active morphism $\omega : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ in \mathcal{F} and all objects $X_i \in \mathcal{O}_{V_i}$, the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(X_0, X_1) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{V_1/}^{\text{el}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(X_0, \omega_{\alpha,!} X_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\mathcal{F}}(V_0, V_1) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{O_1/}^{\text{el}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{F}}(O_0, \omega_{\alpha,!} O_1) \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

A fibrous \mathcal{F} -pattern $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a *Segal \mathcal{F} -category* if π is a cocartesian fibration. The category of fibrous \mathcal{F} -patterns is the full subcategory

$$\text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{AlgPatt}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

spanned by fibrous patterns, and the category of Segal \mathcal{F} - ∞ -category is the full subcategory of

$$\text{Seg}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Cat}) \subset \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \times_{\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{F}}} \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{cocart}}$$

spanned by Segal \mathcal{O} -categories.

We state one technical lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *All of the inclusions*

$$\text{Seg}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow \text{AlgPatt}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$$

have left adjoints; in particular, the full subcategory $\text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{AlgPatt}_{\text{base}}$ is localizing.

We refer to the left adjoint $\text{Env} : \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Seg}(\mathcal{F})$ as the *Segal envelope*, and we use it analogously to the *symmetric monoidal envelope*, reducing the question of characterizing maps of fibrous patterns into Segal \mathcal{F} -categories into simply a question of characterizing maps of Segal \mathcal{F} -categories, which is much simpler.

Example 2.4:

Definition 2.5. Given the data of \mathcal{X} a category, $\mathcal{X}_b, \mathcal{X}_f$ wide subcategories, and $\mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}_b$ a full subcategory, we define the *span pattern* $\text{Span}_{b,f}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{X}_0)$ to have:

- underlying category $\text{Span}_{b,f}(\mathcal{X})$ whose objects are objects in \mathcal{X} and whose morphisms $X \rightarrow Z$ are spans

$$X \xleftarrow{B} Y \xrightarrow{F} Z$$

with $B \in \mathcal{X}_b$ and $F \in \mathcal{X}_f$.

- inert morphisms $\mathcal{X}_b^{\text{op}} \subset \text{Span}(\mathcal{X})$.
- active morphisms $\mathcal{X}_f \subset \text{Span}(\mathcal{X})$.
- Elementary objects $\mathcal{X}_0^{\text{el}} \subset \mathcal{X}_b^{\text{op}}$.

Then, for instance we have the following:

Theorem 2.6 ([BHS22]). *Pullback along the inclusion $\mathbb{F}_* \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F})$ induces an equivalence on the categories of fibrous patterns and Segal categories.*

2.2. **G-operads and I-operads.** There is an adjunction

$$\text{Tot} : \mathbf{Cat}_G \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat} : \text{CoFr}^G$$

where Tot takes the total category of a cocartesian fibration and $\text{CoFr}^G(C)$ is classified by functor categories

$$\text{CoFr}^G(C)_H := \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\text{op}}, C)$$

with functoriality dictated by pullback. In particular, the *G-category of small G-categories* $\underline{\mathbf{Cat}}_G := \text{CoFr}^G(C)$ has G-fixed points given by \mathbf{Cat} .

Remark. Elmendorf's theorem may be reinterpreted in this language as the statement that the *G-category of G-spaces* \mathcal{S}_G is cofreely generated by \mathcal{S} .

Let $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_G := \text{CoFr}^G(\mathbb{F})$ and let $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_{G,*} := \text{CoFr}^G(\mathbb{F}_*)$. Then, there is an equivariant lift of [ref](#) :

Theorem 2.7 ([BHS22]). *Pullback along the composition $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_{G,*} \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\text{Tot} \underline{\mathbb{F}}_G) \xrightarrow{U} \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ induces an equivalence on the categories of fibrous patterns and Segal categories, where \mathbb{F}_G is the category of G-sets.*

Definition 2.8. The *category of G-operads* is the category of fibrous patterns

$$\mathbf{Op}_G := \text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)).$$

The following proposition is an exercise in category theory which was carried out in [BHS22, § 5.2].

Proposition 2.9. *An identity-on-objects functor $\pi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ is a G-operad if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) \mathcal{O} has π -cocartesian lifts for inert morphisms of $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$.
- (2) For every map of G-sets $S \rightarrow T$, the inert morphisms $\{U \leftarrow T \mid U \in \text{Orb}(T)\}$ induce equivalences

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(S, T) \simeq \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(T)} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(S, U).$$

Furthermore, a cocartesian fibration $\pi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ is a Segal $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ -category if and only if it unstraightens to a G-symmetric monoidal category.

We may further reorganize this through the following elementary lemma about G-sets.

Lemma 2.10. *The assignment $\varphi : T \mapsto \text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H$ underlies an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathbb{F}_H \simeq (\mathbb{F}_G)_{/G/H}.$$

Hence we have a forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{O}(-) : \mathbf{Op}_G^{\text{one-object}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot} \underline{\mathbb{F}}_G, \mathcal{S})$$

Given $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$, we refer to $\mathcal{O}(S)$ as the *space of S-ary operations*. We further analyze this functor in [ref](#), proving e.g. that it is conservative.

An early observation about genuine equivariant homotopy coherent algebraic structures is that the structure of transfers *does not come canonically* from an \mathbb{E}_∞ -structure; that is, $\mathbb{E}_\infty \in \mathbf{Op}_G$ is not terminal. The failure of \mathbb{E}_∞ to be terminal is parameterized by the category of *generalized N^∞ -operads*:

Definition 2.11. Write $\text{Comm}_G^\otimes := (\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G) = \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G))$ for the terminal G -operad. A G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a *generalized N^∞ -operad* if the unique morphism $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}_G^\otimes$ is a monomorphism, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_U^\otimes \simeq *$ for all U and $\text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^\psi(x, y) \in \{*, \emptyset\}$ for all $\psi : \pi(x) \rightarrow \pi(y)$.

A generalized N^∞ operad $N_{\infty I}$ is an N^∞ operad if it admits a map

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes.$$

Write $\text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$ for the full subcategory consisting of generalized N_∞ -operads. The following proposition is an exercise in category theory, and establishes that a map to an N_∞ operad is a *property*, not a structure.

Proposition 2.12. *Given $N_{I\infty} \in \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$ a generalized N_∞ operad, the forgetful functor*

$$\text{Op}_{G, N_{I\infty}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_G$$

is fully faithful.

Proof idea. It is equivalent to prove that $\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}, N_{I\infty}) \in \{*, \emptyset\}$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}_G$. In fact, there is a localizing (1-) subcategory $N : \text{Op}_{1,G} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_G$ consisting of operads whose structure spaces are discrete, and whose localization functor $h : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \text{Op}_{1,G}$ takes π_0 of the structure spaces. $N_{I\infty}$ evidently lies in $\text{Op}_{1,G}$, so we have

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Op}_G}(\mathcal{O}, N_{I\infty}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Op}_{1,G}}(h\mathcal{O}, N_{I\infty}).$$

Hence it suffices to check that the latter set is empty or contractible. This is easy to see in $\text{Op}_{1,G}$, since $\text{Hom}(-, *)$ and $\text{Hom}(-, \emptyset)$ are always either empty or contractible. \square

In particular, this implies that $\text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$ is a poset, so we'd like to identify this poset. There is a functor

$$A : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G}$$

called the *admissible sets* with value over G/H given by

$$A(\mathcal{O})_{/G/H} := \{S \rightarrow G/H \mid \pi_\mathcal{O}^{-1}(S \rightarrow G/H) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and extended to general G -sets by coproducts. The following proposition is an exercise in category theory (see [NS22, Ex 2.4.7], or the original references [BH15; GW18; Rub21]):

Proposition 2.13. *The restricted functor*

$$A : \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

We denote by $N_{(-)\infty}$ the composite functor

$$N_{(-)\infty} : \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G} \xrightarrow{A^{-1}} \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_G$$

Using this, we finally define *I -operads*.

Definition 2.14. Let I be a generalized indexing system. Then, the *category of I -operads* is the slice category

$$\text{Op}_I := \text{Op}_{G, N_{\infty I}^\otimes}.$$

Given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_I$, the *category of \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{P}* is the full subcategory

$$\mathbf{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(C) \subset \text{Fun}_{/N_{\infty I}^\otimes}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes, C^\otimes)$$

spanned by maps of I -operads.

Remark. The notation $\mathbf{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(C)$ does not include I . This presents no problem; indeed, by [proposition 2.12](#), the categories of \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{P} considered over various indexing systems (including the terminal one, i.e. in G -operads) are canonically equivalent to one another.

A useful property of these are that G operads *fibered* over \mathcal{O}^\otimes have an intrinsic description in terms of \mathcal{O} . We may state these in the language of fibrous patterns.

Proposition 2.15 (cite). *Let O be a fibrous \mathfrak{f} -pattern. Then, the pushforward functor $\pi_! : \text{AlgPatt}_{/O} \rightarrow \text{AlgPatt}_{/\mathfrak{f}}$ preserves fibrous patterns, and the associated functor*

$$\pi_! : \text{Fbrs}(O) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathfrak{f})_{/O}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

In particular, the category of I -operads is covariantly functorial in I , and it possesses an intrinsic expression along the lines of ref.

Example 2.16:

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset O_G$ be a *family*, i.e. a collection of subgroups of G closed under sub-conjugation. Then, $\mathcal{F} \cup O_G^\approx$ is a transfer system, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the corresponding indexing system.

Let V be a real orthogonal G -representation, let \mathcal{F}_V is the family consisting of subgroups H such that $V^H \neq *$, and let $\mathcal{I}_V := \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}_V}$. Then, there is an \mathcal{I}_V -operad \mathbb{E}_V of *little V -disks*, which may be informally understood to have

$$\pi_{\mathbb{E}_V}^{-1}(\text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H) := \text{Conf}_H(T, V)$$

the space of H -equivariant embeddings of $T \hookrightarrow V$ (c.f. [Hor19]). These participate in *equivariant infinite loop space theory*, in the sense that there is an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_V}(\mathcal{S}_G) \simeq \{V - \text{loop spaces}\};$$

see Guillou-May for details.

2.3. The BV tensor product. By ref, the category of algebraic patterns has a cartesian monoidal structure.

Definition 2.17. The category of *symmetric monoidal algebraic patterns* is $\text{CMon}(\text{AlgPatt})$.

A symmetric monoidal structure on \mathfrak{f} endows on the slice category $\text{AlgPatt}_{/\mathfrak{f}}$ a symmetric monoidal structure, which we may view as taking O, \mathcal{P} to the tensor product

$$O \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}.$$

Definition 2.18. The *Boardman-Vogt symmetric monoidal category of fibrous \mathfrak{f} -patterns* is the localized symmetric monoidal structure

$$\text{Fbrs}(\mathfrak{f})^\otimes \hookrightarrow \text{AlgPatt}_{/\mathfrak{f}}^\otimes.$$

We may view the tensor product of fibrous \mathfrak{f} -patterns as yielding the localized composite

$$O \otimes \mathcal{P} := L_{\text{Fbrs}}(O \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}).$$

Note that the category \mathbb{F}_G has finite products, and any indexing system \mathcal{I} is closed under products. In particular, this endows $i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}^\infty}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ with the structure of a map of symmetric monoidal algebraic patterns under the so it has a cartesian monoidal structure. By cite, the forgetful functor $\text{Fbrs}(O) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathfrak{f})_{/O}$ is an equivalence, so we may use this to define the BV tensor product of I -operads.

Definition 2.19. The *Boardman-Vogt symmetric monoidal category of I -operads* is

$$\text{Op}_I^\otimes := \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}^\infty})$$

The following proposition is easy:

Proposition 2.20. *Given an inclusion $i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}^\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}^\infty}$, pushforward along i yields a functor*

$$i_! : \text{Op}_I^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\mathcal{J}}^\otimes$$

realizing Op_I as a symmetric monoidal colocalizing subcategory of $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{J}}$.

The verification of this comes down to the following fact:

Lemma 2.21. *Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a map of commutative algebra objects in \mathcal{C} a symmetric monoidal, the associated functor $f_! : \mathcal{C}_{/X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/Y}$ lifts to a canonical symmetric monoidal functor between the slice symmetric monoidal categories.*

The BV tensor product satisfies a mapping out property; namely, we review in ref the construction due to [NS22, § 5.3] of the operad $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(Q)$, and we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.22. *There is a natural equivalence of operads*

$$\underline{\mathbf{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}}^{\otimes} Q \simeq \underline{\mathbf{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes} \underline{\mathbf{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes} Q$$

realizing $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes}(-)$ as an internal hom for the BV tensor product.

2.4. Summary of the argument. We would like to construct an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{(I\vee J)\infty}$. Let's begin with the special case $I \subset J$; in this case, we can say something stronger.

Proposition 2.23. *If \mathcal{O} is a one-object G -operad, then the map $\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I) \otimes \mathcal{O}$ is an I -equivalence; in particular, $\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I)$ is \otimes -idempotent.*

To prove this, we use [NS22, Cor 5.3.9]; in particular, they generalize [HA] to verify that any of the following conditions are true of $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{N}^{\infty}(I)}^{\otimes}(C)$, and we verify that the conditions are equivalent in [ref](#).

Lemma 2.24. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The forgetful functor $\mathbf{CAlg}_I(C) \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence.*
- (2) *For all one-object I -operads \mathcal{O} , the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Alg}^{\mathcal{O}}(C) \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence.*
- (3) *The I -restricted operad is cocartesian*

Having proved this, we acquire a (unique) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_{I\infty} & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & \mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{N}_{(I\vee J)\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{(I\vee J)\infty} = \mathcal{N}_{(I\vee J)\infty} \\ & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} & & \end{array}$$

and we are tasked with proving that φ is an equivalence. An unfortunate fact is that the functor $U : \mathbf{Op}_{I\vee J} \rightarrow \mathbf{Op}_I \times \mathbf{Op}_J$ doesn't appear to be conservative in general. Our strategy will come down to trying *really hard* to make it conservative. We do so via the following two lemmas, proved as [lemmas 3.4](#) and [3.6](#).

Lemma 2.25. *Denote by $i : I \cup J \subset I \vee J$ the (non-indexing system) union of subcategories. Then, the pullback*

$$\mathbf{Fbrs}(\mathbf{Span}(I \cup J)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Op}_I \times \mathbf{Op}_J$$

is conservative. In particular, U reflects equivalences between $I \vee J$ -operads in the image of $L_{\mathbf{Fbrs}} i_!$.

Lemma 2.26. *There is an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{(I\vee J)\infty} \simeq L_{\mathbf{Fbrs}} i_! \mathbf{Span}(I \cup J)$.*

Proof of [theorem A](#). By the above argument, it suffices to prove that φ is an equivalence; in fact, by [lemmas 2.25](#) and [2.26](#) and symmetry it suffices to prove that the localized functor

$$\iota_J^* \mathcal{N}_{I\cap J\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} \rightarrow \iota_J^* \mathcal{N}_{I\vee J}$$

is an equivalence. But $\iota_J^* \mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I\cap J\infty}$, so the above is the inclusion $\mathcal{N}_{I\cap J\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}$, which is an equivalence by [proposition 2.23](#). \square

3. TECHNICAL NONSENSE

3.1. Passing to monads is conservative. Our arguments will be reminiscent of [SY19, § 2.3-2.4]

Given $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ a fibrous pattern, we define

$$\mathbf{Ar}_{\text{act/el}}^{\approx}(\mathcal{J}) \subset \mathbf{Ar}(\mathcal{J})$$

to be the core of the full subcategory of the arrow category consisting of active maps with elementary codomain, and we define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} := \mathcal{O} \times_{\mathcal{J}} \mathbf{Ar}_{\text{act/el}}^{\approx}(\mathcal{J}),$$

which we view as the *associated symmetric sequence*.

Lemma 3.1 (C.f. [SY19, Prop 2.3.6]). *Let $\mathbf{Fbrs}_\bullet(\mathcal{F})$ denote the full subcategory of fibrous patterns whose associated maps $\mathcal{O}^{\text{el}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{el}}$ are equivalences. Then, the functor*

$$(-)_\Sigma : \mathbf{Fbrs}_\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}\left(\mathbf{Ar}_{\text{act/el}}^\approx(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{S}\right)$$

is conservative.

Proof. **Just look at the Segal condition for fibrous patterns** □

We now specialize to the case $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$. Let \mathcal{C} be a G -symmetric monoidal category, let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathbf{Op}_G$ be a G -operad, and let $X \in \mathbf{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ be an \mathcal{O} -algebra in \mathcal{C} . Then, the inclusion

In the case $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$, note that an element of $\mathbf{Ar}_{\text{act/el}}(\mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G))$ is precisely a map of G -sets $S \rightarrow G/H$; but in fact, there is a unique H -set T and equivalence $\mathbf{Ind}_H^G T \simeq S$ over G/H , highlighting an equivalence $\mathbb{F}_{G, G/H} \simeq \mathbb{F}_H$. Hence we have

$$\mathbf{Ar}_{\text{act/el}}(\mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)) \simeq \mathbf{Tot}\mathbb{F}_G,$$

and $\mathbf{Ar}_{\text{act/el}}^\approx(\mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)) \simeq (\mathbf{Tot}\mathbb{F}_G)^\approx$. Setting $\bar{\Sigma}_G := (\mathbf{Tot}\mathbb{F}_G)^\approx$, the above lemma asserts that

$$(-)_\Sigma : \mathbf{Op}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\bar{\Sigma}_G, \mathcal{S})$$

is conservative.

Remark. Let $\underline{\Sigma}_G := \mathbf{CoFr}^G(\mathbb{F}^\approx)$, so that $\bar{\Sigma}_G \simeq (\mathbf{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_G)^\approx$. Then, the above lemma implies that the evident forgetful functor

$$U : \mathbf{Op}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_G, \mathcal{S})$$

is conservative. The *genuine model structure* $\mathbf{Sym}_\bullet^G(\mathbf{sSet})$ of [BP22] exists and presents $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_G, \mathcal{S})$; the ∞ -category of *Genuine G-operads* are then algebras over a monad on $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_G, \mathcal{S})$ which are explicitly defined in [BP21].

In this setting, **lemma 3.1** amounts to a verification of one of the two Barr-Beck conditions expressing U as *monadic* (cf [HA, Thm 4.7.3.5]); if one can verify that U creates spit geometric realizations and characterize the associated monad along the lines of [BP21], then they may prove that one-object genuine G -operads are equivalent to one-object G -operads. The author hopes to explore this as a potential strategy for comparison results in the future.

We say that a G -operad \mathcal{O} is *reduced* if $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(\mathbf{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H) = *$ whenever T is empty or an orbit. In this setting, we can characterize the *monad* associated with an operad:

Proposition 3.2. *Let \mathcal{O} be a reduced G -operad and let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{CAlg}_G(\mathbf{Pr}_G^L)$ be a presentably G -symmetric monoidal category. Then, the forgetful map $\mathbf{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is monadic, and the associated monad $T_\mathcal{O}$ acts on $X \in \mathcal{C}$ as*

$$(T_\mathcal{O}X)^H \simeq \coprod_{\substack{J \supset K \subset H \\ S \in \mathbb{F}_J}} \left(\mathcal{O}(S) \otimes X^{\otimes (\mathbf{Ind}_K^H \mathbf{Res}_K^I S)} \right)_{h \in \text{Aut}_J S},$$

where for all $S' \in \mathbb{F}_H$, we write

$$X^{\otimes S'} := \bigotimes_{U \in \text{Orb}(S')} N_U^H X_U.$$

In fact, there is an adjunction $\text{triv} : \mathcal{S} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{S}_G : F^G$, where triv is fully faithful and bicontinuous (indeed, it has a left adjoint given by F_G) and the diagram of forgetful functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_G)^G & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{Seg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \xrightarrow{F^G} & \mathbf{Seg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}) \\ \downarrow U^G & & \downarrow U & & \downarrow U \\ (\underline{\mathcal{S}}_G)^G & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{S}_G & \xrightarrow{F^G} & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

commutes for any G -operad \mathcal{O} . Taking left adjoints to this yields a commutative diagram of adjunctions, and noting that fixed points of G -adjunctions are adjunctions yields the following corollary. **Justify weirdness around presentability**

Corollary 3.3. *Let \mathcal{O} be a reduced G -operad. Then, the associated monad $T_{\mathcal{O},S}$ acts on $X \in \mathcal{S}$ as*

$$T_{\mathcal{O},S}X \simeq (T_{\mathcal{O},S}X)^G \simeq \coprod_{\substack{J \supset H \\ S \in \mathbb{F}_J}} \left(\mathcal{O}(S) \times \text{Ind}_e^{\text{Ind}_K^C \text{Res}_K^I S} X \right)_{h \text{Aut}_J S}.$$

In particular, the functor $\mathbf{Alg}_{(-)}(\mathcal{S}) : \text{Op}_G^{\text{Red}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ is conservative.

Proof. All but the final statement follow by the above analysis. Suppose $\varphi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ induces an equivalence on $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S})$.

Then φ induces a natural equivalence $T_{\mathcal{O},S} \Rightarrow T_{\mathcal{P},S}$ respecting the summand decomposition in the above presentation. In particular, taking $K = \{e\}$, for all $S \in \mathbb{F}_J$, this induces an equivalence

$$\left(\mathcal{O}(S) \times \text{Ind}_J^S X \right)_{h \text{Aut}_J S}.$$

Choosing X a set with at least 2 points, we find that $n_S \cdot \mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow n_S \cdot \mathcal{P}(S)$ is an equivalence for some $n_S > 0$ and all S ; this implies that $\mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is an equivalence for all S , i.e. φ_{Σ} is an equivalence. By [lemma 3.1](#), this implies φ is an equivalence. \square

The remainder of this subsection will be dedicated to proving [proposition 3.2](#). **We should probably integrate distributivity**

Proof of [proposition 3.2](#). Monadicity is precisely [\[NS22, Cor 5.1.5\]](#) when $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_G$, so it suffices to compute the associated monad in this case. \square

3.2. The conservativity lemmas. We have two conservativity lemmas to prove. The first is easier:

Lemma 3.4. *Denote by $i : I \cup J \subset I \vee J$ the (non-indexing system) union of subcategories. Then, the pullback*

$$\text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(I \cup J)) \rightarrow \text{Op}_I \times \text{Op}_J$$

is conservative. In particular, U reflects equivalences between $I \vee J$ -operads in the image of $L_{\text{Fbrs}} i_!$.

Proof. Passing to the underlying symmetric sequences yields a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(I \cup J)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \text{Op}_I \times \text{Op}_J \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(I \cup J, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{S}) \times \text{Fun}(J, \mathcal{S}) \end{array}$$

The diagonal functor is a composite of two conservative arrows by ??, so it is conservative, and hence i^* is conservative. \square

The second will take a bit more work. Note that the Segal conditions for Segal $\text{Span}(I \cup J)$ -categories are a *Union* of those of Segal $\text{Span}(I)$ -categories and Segal $\text{Span}(J)$ -categories. That is,

Lemma 3.5. *The following diagram of categories is cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(I \cup J)}(C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(I)}(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(J)}(C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(I \cap J)}(C) \end{array}$$

In particular, all but the top left are simply categories of product preserving functors. We use this:

Lemma 3.6. *There is an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)\infty} \simeq L_{\text{Fbrs}} i_! \text{Span}(I \cup J)$.*

Proof. The functor $L_{\text{Fbrs}!} \text{Span}(I \cup J)$ is left adjoint to i^* , so it suffices by [lemma](#) to verify that the following square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(I \vee J), \mathcal{S}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(I), \mathcal{S}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}^{\text{times}}(\text{Span}(J), \mathcal{S}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(I \cap J), \mathcal{S}) \end{array}$$

The property that this square is cartesian is witnessed by the equivalence

$$\text{Span}(I \vee J) \simeq \text{Span}(I) \coprod_{\text{Span}(I \cap J)} \text{Span}(J),$$

with pushout taken in the category of Cartesian categories and product preserving functors. \square

3.3. The internal hom. Let $F : \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$ be a bifunctor of G -operads and let $C^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$ be a functor of G -operads. The following construction was coined in [NS22, § 5.3]. $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}, G}^\otimes(\mathcal{O}; C)$ was constructed as follows:

Construction 3.7. Define $P : \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ by cocartesian pushforward. We have a diagram

$$\mathcal{O}^\otimes \xleftarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{T}) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{P \times \text{id}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{I}^\otimes.$$

and an associated push-pull adjunction

$$L_{\text{Fbrs}} F_!(P \times \text{id})_! \pi^* : \text{Op}_{G, \mathcal{O}} \rightleftarrows \text{Op}_{G, \mathcal{I}} : \pi_*(P \times \text{id})^* F^*.$$

We verify that this adjunction exists in [lemma 3.8.](#) and we define $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}, G}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ to be $\pi_*(P \times \text{id})^* F^*(C^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes)$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let P, F, π be defined above. Then,*

- (1) π is a strong Segal morphism, and the pullback functor

$$\pi_* : \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}^\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes}$$

preserves fibrous patterns; hence $\pi_ : \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes)$ is right adjoint to π^* .*

- (2) P is a Segal morphism.
- (3) F is a Segal morphism.

Proof. For (1), the functor π^* simply sends $\mathcal{Q}^\otimes \mapsto \mathcal{Q}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ with structure map given by the product $\pi \times \text{id}$; hence this reduces to checking that (external) products of fibrous patterns are fibrous, which [ref???](#).

For the rest, [ughhhh](#) \square

The resulting operad is pronounced “the operad of G -equivariant \mathcal{O} -algebras in C over \mathcal{I} ” In [NS22, § 5.3], the following properties were verified.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $F : \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$ be a bifunctor of G -operads and let $C^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$ be a functor of G -operads.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{O} has one object, then the underlying G -category of $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}, G}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C)$ is the usual G -category $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}; C)$.*
- (2) *If C^\otimes is \mathcal{I} -monoidal, then $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}, G}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C) \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(C)$ is \mathcal{O} -monoidal, and there is a \mathcal{O} -monoidal lift $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}, G}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C) \rightarrow C^\otimes$ to the forgetful functor.*

We specialize to the case that $\mathcal{I}^\otimes = \mathcal{O}^\otimes = \text{Comm}_G^\otimes$, in which case we write

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(C) := \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{Comm}_G}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C).$$

Then, the above diagram instead reads as

$$\text{Comm}_G^\otimes \xleftarrow{\pi} \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{P \times \text{id}} \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{F} \text{Comm}_G^\otimes.$$

So that the left adjoint is computed by the fibrous localization of the map $Q \times_G \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Comm}_G^\otimes$ in the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi^*(P \times \text{id})_! Q & \simeq & Q \times_G \mathcal{P} \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow \pi_Q \times \text{id} & \\
 \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P} & & \\
 \downarrow \text{id} \times \pi_{\mathcal{P}} & \searrow F & \\
 \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times \text{Comm}_G^\otimes & \longrightarrow & \text{Comm}_G^\otimes
 \end{array}$$

in fact, by definition, this is precisely $Q \otimes \mathcal{P}$. This concludes the proof of [theorem 2.22](#).

3.4. Identifying cocartesian symmetric monoidal structures. In this subsection, we want to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10 (C.f. [\[HA, Prop 2.4.3.9\]](#)). *The following are equivalent for $C^\otimes \in \text{CMon}_{\mathcal{I}}(\text{Cat})$.*

- (1) *For all unital \mathcal{I} -operads O^{otimes} , the forgetful functor $\text{Alg}_O(C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_G(O, C)$ is an equivalence.*
- (2) *The forgetful functor $\text{CAlg}_{\mathcal{I}}(C) \rightarrow C$ is an equivalence.*
- (3) *For all morphisms $f : S \rightarrow T$ in \mathcal{I} , the action map $f_\otimes : C_S \rightarrow C_T$ is left adjoint to the pullback $f^* : C_T \rightarrow C_S$.*

We will prove this in analogy to the non-equivariant case; in particular, the implication (3) \implies (1) will closely mimic the proof of [\[HA, Prop 2.4.3.16\]](#).

Proof. (1) implies (2) by choosing $O = \mathcal{N}_{\infty}$. The forgetful functor $\text{CAlg}_{\mathcal{I}}(C) \rightarrow C$ is \mathcal{I} -symmetric monoidal by construction, so by [ref](#) and [cite](#), (2) implies (3).

Let C be an \mathcal{I} -symmetric monoidal category satisfying (3). Let $\Gamma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_*$ be the functor of [\[HA, Const 2.4.3.1\]](#) and let $\Gamma_G^* := \text{CoFr}^G \Gamma^*$. Then, define the category

$$\mathcal{D} := O^\otimes \times_{\mathbb{F}_G^*, \Gamma_G^*}$$

Define [Gamma](#)

□

REFERENCES

- [BHS22] Shaul Barkan, Rune Haugseng, and Jan Steinebrunner. *Envelopes for Algebraic Patterns*. 2022. arXiv: [2208.07183 \[math.CT\]](#).
- [Bar+16] Clark Barwick et al. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: A general introduction*. 2016. arXiv: [1608.03654 \[math.AT\]](#).
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), pp. 658–708. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2015.07.013](#). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2015.07.013](#).
- [BP21] Peter Bonventre and Luís A. Pereira. “Genuine equivariant operads”. In: *Adv. Math.* 381 (2021), Paper No. 107502, 133. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2020.107502](#). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2020.107502](#).
- [BP22] Peter Bonventre and Luís A. Pereira. “Homotopy theory of equivariant operads with fixed colors”. In: *Tunis. J. Math.* 4.1 (2022), pp. 87–158. ISSN: 2576-7658,2576-7666. DOI: [10.2140/tunis.2022.4.87](#). URL: [https://doi.org/10.2140/tunis.2022.4.87](#).
- [Dre71] Andreas W. M. Dress. *Notes on the theory of representations of finite groups. Part I: The Burnside ring of a finite group and some AGN-applications*. With the aid of lecture notes, taken by Manfred Küchler. Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Bielefeld, 1971, iv+158+A28+B31 pp. (loose errata).
- [GW18] Javier J. Gutiérrez and David White. “Encoding equivariant commutativity via operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 18.5 (2018), pp. 2919–2962. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2018.18.2919](#). URL: [https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2018.18.2919](#).
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. “On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one”. In: *Ann. of Math.* (2) 184.1 (2016), pp. 1–262. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2016.184.1.1](#). URL: [https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/mypapers/Hill_Hopkins_Ravenel.pdf](#).

- [HH16] Michael A. Hill and Michael J. Hopkins. *Equivariant symmetric monoidal structures*. 2016. arXiv: [1610.03114](#) [math.AT].
- [Hor19] Asaf Horev. *Genuine equivariant factorization homology*. 2019. arXiv: [1910.07226](#) [math.AT].
- [HTT] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. DOI: [10.1515/9781400830558](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558>.
- [HA] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [NS22] Denis Nardin and Jay Shah. *Parametrized and equivariant higher algebra*. 2022. arXiv: [2203.00072](#) [math.AT].
- [Rub21] Jonathan Rubin. “Combinatorial N_∞ operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 21.7 (2021), pp. 3513–3568. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513>.
- [SY19] Tomer M. Schlank and Lior Yanovski. “The ∞ -categorical Eckmann-Hilton argument”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 19.6 (2019), pp. 3119–3170. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2019.19.3119](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2019.19.3119>.