

# YOU CAN CONSTRUCT $G$ -COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS ONE NORM AT A TIME

NATALIE STEWART

**ABSTRACT.** We define the category of  $G$ -operads and the hierarchy of *generalized  $N_\infty$ -operads*, which are  $G$ -suboperads of  $\text{Comm}_G^\otimes$ . We exhibit an isomorphism between the category of generalized  $N_\infty$ -operads and the self-join poset

$$\text{Op}_G^{GN_\infty} \simeq \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G,$$

where  $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$  is the poset of *indexing systems* in  $G$ . This recognizes generalized  $N_\infty$ -operads as parameterizing *some commutative multiplicative transfers and possibly a commutative multiplication*. Indeed, their algebras in semiadditive Cartesian categories are incomplete Mackey functors and their algebras in Mackey functors recover incomplete Tambara functors when they are  $N_\infty$  operads, i.e. when they contain  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ .

After this, we discuss some in-progress research. Namely, we construct a *Boardman-Vogt tensor product* of  $G$ -operads and demonstrate that tensor products of generalized  $N_\infty$  operads correspond with joins in  $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$  i.e. there is an  $N_{(IV)_\infty}$ -monoidal equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{N_{I_\infty}} \text{Alg}_{N_{J_\infty}} C \simeq \text{Alg}_{N_{(IV)_\infty}} C$$

for all  $N_{(IV)_\infty}$ -monoidal categories  $C$ , allowing  $G$ -commutative structures to be constructed “one norm at a time.”

**Foreword.** The following are notes prepared for a casual talk in the [zygotop](#) seminar concerning research which is currently in-progress [cite](#). The reader should read with the understanding that they are particularly casual error-prone, as the non-cited results herein amount to the communication of a pre-draft of a paper in a casual setting.

The reader should implicitly insert the text  $\infty$ - before the words operad and category throughout the following text.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [Dre71], the concept of a *Mackey functor* was introduced; this structure was described as consisting of functors  $M_I : \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$  and  $M_R : \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_R$  which agree on  $\mathcal{O}_G^\sim$  and satisfying the *double coset formula*

$$R_J^H I_K^H = \prod_{x \in [J \backslash H / K]} I_{J \cap x K x^{-1}}^I \cdot \text{conj}_X R_{x^{-1} J x \cap K}$$

for all  $J, K \subset H$ , where  $R_J^K := M_R(G/J \rightarrow G/K)$  and similar for  $I$ . The ur-example of this is the assignment  $H \mapsto \mathbf{Rep}_H$  with covariant functoriality  $\text{Ind}$  and contravariant functoriality  $\text{Res}$ . This was repackaged and generalized into the modern definition of the *category of  $C$ -valued  $G$ -Mackey functors*

$$\mathcal{M}_G(C) := \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G), C),$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_G$  denotes the category of finite  $G$ -sets.

In parallel, the concept of *transfer maps in group cohomology* was being developed in [Evens], later lifted to genuine equivariant cohomology in [Greenlees], and finally developed as a functor

$$N_H^G : \text{Sp}_H \rightarrow \text{Sp}_G$$

in [HHR16], which played a crucial role in the solution to the Kervaire invariant one problem. These were noted in [HH16] to satisfy the conditions of a *Symmetric monoidal Mackey functor*, a notion they distinguished from their notion of  *$G$ -symmetric monoidal categories* due to coherence issues.

In the broad program announced in [Bar+16], the correct notion of  *$G$ -symmetric monoidal  $G$ - $\infty$ -categories* (henceforth  *$G$ -symmetric monoidal categories*) was introduced:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $C$  have finite products. Then, the category of  $G$ -commutative monoids in  $C$  is

$$\text{CMon}_G(C) := \mathcal{M}_G(C).$$

The category of  $G$ -symmetric monoidal categories is  $\text{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$ .

We similarly define the *category of small  $G$ -categories* as

$$\mathbf{Cat}_G := \mathbf{Fun}(O_G^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}) \simeq \mathbf{Cat}_{/O_G^{\text{op}}}^{\text{cocart}},$$

where the equivalence is the *straightening-unstraightening construction* of [HTT]. We may informally summarize the structure of a  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category  $C^\otimes \in \mathbf{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$  as consisting of, for every conjugacy class  $(H)$  of  $G$ , a category with Weyl group action  $C_H \in \mathbf{Cat}^{BW_G H}$ , as well as functors

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_H^2 : C_H^2 &\rightarrow C_H, \\ N_K^H : C_K &\rightarrow C_H, \\ \text{Res}_K^H : C_H &\rightarrow C_K \end{aligned}$$

for all subconjugacy classes  $(K)$  of  $(H)$ , which are associative, commutative, unital, and compatible with each other and the Weyl group action, together with coherence. The maps  $\text{Res}$  encode an underlying  $G$ -category  $C$  of  $C^\otimes$ , and  $N_K^H$  is pronounced “the norm from  $K$  to  $H$ .”

Given  $C^\otimes$  a  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category, we may informally define a  $G$ -commutative monoid to be a tuple of objects  $(X_H)_{H \in O_G} \in \prod_{H \in O_G} C_H$  satisfying

$$X_H \simeq \text{Res}_H^G X_G$$

together with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_H^2 : X_H^{\otimes 2} &\rightarrow X_H \\ \text{tr}_K^H : N_K^H X_K &\rightarrow X_H, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $H \subset K$ , together with associativity, commutativity, unitality, and coherence data. We may intuitively view these data as altogether specifying that these structure maps jointly construct a contractible space of maps

$$X^{\otimes S} \rightarrow X_H$$

for all finite  $H$ -sets  $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$ , where

$$X^{\otimes S} := \bigotimes_{H/K \in \text{Orb}(S)} N_K^H X_K.$$

The map  $\text{tr}_K^H$  is pronounced “the transfer from  $K$  to  $H$ 3.” When  $C^\otimes = M_G(C)^\otimes$  with the  $HHR$  norm  $G$ -symmetric monoidal structure of [HH16], these are called  $G$ -Tambara functors valued in  $C$ .

This talk concerns various relaxations of the notion of  $G$ -commutative algebras. Namely, we will define a symmetric monoidal closed category  $\mathbf{Op}_G$  of (colored)  $G$ -operads, whose internal hom  $\mathbf{Alg}_O(C)^\otimes$  is called the *operad of algebras under pointwise tensors*, and whose tensor product is called the *Boardman-Vogt tensor product*.

A particular example will define  $N_\infty$  operads, which interpolate between  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  and the  $G$ -operad  $\mathbf{Comm}_G$  which encodes  $G$ -commutative algebras by adding a subset of the transfers parameterized by  $\mathbf{Comm}_G$ :

**Definition 1.2.** A  $G$ -transfer system is a core-preserving wide subcategory  $O_G^\sim \subset T \subset O_G$  which is closed under base change, i.e. for any diagram in  $O_G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \alpha \\ U' & \longrightarrow & V' \end{array}$$

with  $U \hookrightarrow V' \times_{U'} V$  a summand inclusion (pullback taken in  $\mathbb{F}_G$ ) and  $\alpha \in T$ , we have  $\alpha' \in T$ .

An *indexing system* is a subcategory  $I \subset \mathbb{F}_G$  induced by a transfer system under taking coproducts. A *generalized indexing system* is a core-preserving subcategory  $I \subset \mathbb{F}_G$  which is either an indexing system or is attained by one by removing all non-isomorphisms of trivial  $G$ -sets. The poset of indexing systems under inclusion is denoted  $\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G$ , and the poset of generalized indexing systems is denoted  $\mathbf{Ind} - \mathbf{Sys}_G^{\text{gen}}$ .

It is not hard to see that there is an equivalence of posets

$$\widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G} \simeq \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \star \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G,$$

and in particular, generalized indexing systems decompose into two different join-stable copies of indexing systems, depending on whether maps of trivial  $G$ -sets are included.

Given a generalized indexing system  $I$ , we will construct an operad called  $N_{I\infty}^\otimes$  encoding precisely the maps  $\text{tr}_K^H$  such that  $K \hookrightarrow H$  is in  $I$ , as well as encoding the map  $\mu_H$  if and only if  $I$  is an indexing system. The main theorem of this talk characterizes the tensor products of generalized  $N_\infty$  operads.

**Theorem A.** *There is a fully faithful and symmetric monoidal inclusion*

$$N_{(-)\infty}^\otimes : \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G} \xhookrightarrow{\Pi} \text{Op}_G^\otimes$$

whose image consists of the suboperads of  $\text{Comm}_G$ , and when restricted to the indexing systems has image consisting of operads  $\mathcal{O}$  possessing diagrams  $\mathbb{E}_\infty \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \text{Comm}_G$ . In particular, for  $\mathcal{C}$  an  $N_{(I\vee J)\infty}$ -monoidal category, there is a canonical  $N_{(I\vee J)\infty}$ -monoidal equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{N_{I\infty}}^\otimes \underline{\text{Alg}}_{N_{J\infty}}^\otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{N_{(I\vee J)\infty}}^\otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

We say an inclusion of subgroup  $H \subset K$  is *atomic* if it is proper and there exist no chains of proper subgroup inclusions  $H \subset J \subset K$ . More generally, we say that a conjugacy class  $(H) \in \text{Conj}(G)$  is an *atomic subclass* of  $(K)$  if there exists an atomic inclusion  $\tilde{H} \subset \tilde{K}$  with  $\tilde{H} \in (H)$  and  $\tilde{K} \in (K)$ , and we say that  $(K)$  is atomic if the canonical inclusion  $1 \hookrightarrow K$  is atomic.

Given  $(H) \subset (K)$  an atomic subclass, we refer to the  $N^\infty$ -operad corresponding to the minimal index system containing the inclusion  $H \hookrightarrow K$  as  $N^\infty(H, K)$ . When  $(H) = (1)$ , we instead simply write  $N^\infty(K)$ .

**Corollary B.** *Let  $1 = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset G_0 = G$  be a maximal subgroup series of a finite group, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category. Then, there exists a canonical  $G$ -symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{N^\infty(G_1, G_0)}^\otimes \dots \underline{\text{Alg}}_{N^\infty(G_n, G_{n-1})}^\otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{CAlg}_G \mathcal{C}.$$

Furthermore, if  $G \simeq H \times J$ , then

$$\underline{\text{CAlg}}_H^\otimes \underline{\text{CAlg}}_J^\otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \underline{\text{CAlg}}_G^\otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

*Remark.* One may worry about the comparison between models for  $G$ -operads, as our notion of  $N_\infty$ -operads is ostensibly embedded deep within the world of  $G$ - $\infty$ -operads, which are not known to be equivalent to the  $\infty$ -category presented by the graph model structure or by genuine  $G$  operads.

However, some work has been done to simplify the story of  $N_\infty$  operads in the model story; in particular, by [Rub21, Thm 2.16, 3.6], the full  $\infty$ -category of the  $\infty$ -category of *genuine*  $G$ -operads is equivalent to  $\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G$  via a functor  $A$  which sits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Op}_G^{\text{gen}, N_\infty} & \xrightarrow{N|_{N_\infty}} & \text{Op}_G^{N_\infty} \\ & \searrow A & \downarrow A \\ & & \text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G \end{array}$$

where we use that the functor  $N$  of [BP21] is canonically  $\infty$ -categorical when restricted to full subcategories of  $\text{Op}_G^{\text{gen}}$  which happen to be 1-categories and map to a 1-subcategory of  $\text{Op}_G$ . Both functors named  $A$  are equivalences (c.f. [Ex 2.4.7]Nardin), and hence  $N|_{N_\infty}$  is an equivalence.

## 2. THE IDEAS

**2.1. Fibrous patterns.** In order to precisely define  $I$ -operads, the most efficient way will be to go through the technology of *algebraic patterns*, a concept first defined by German mathematician Honyi Chu and the Norwegian mathematician Rune Haugseng, who generally referred to them using the letter  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** An *algebraic pattern* is an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{F}$ , together with a factorization system  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{int}}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{act}})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and a full subcategory  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{el}} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\text{int}}$ . The *category of algebraic patterns* is the full subcategory

$$\text{Alg Patt} \subset \text{Fun}(D, \text{Cat})$$

spanned by algebraic patterns, where  $D := \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$ .

Maps in  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{int}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{act}}$  are pronounced *inert* and *active maps*, and objects of  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{el}}$  are pronounced *elementary objects*. For instance,  $\mathbb{F}_*$ , together with its inert and active maps as defined in [HA, § 2] and elementary objects  $\{ \langle 1 \rangle \}$  determines an algebraic pattern. In analogy with [HA, § 2], we will use these to develop a notion of operads, called *fibrous patterns*.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an algebraic pattern. A *fibrous  $\mathcal{F}$ -pattern* is a map of algebraic patterns  $\pi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}$  has  $\pi$ -cocartesian lifts for inert morphisms of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,
- (2) (Segal condition for colors) For every active morphism  $\omega : V_0 \rightarrow V_1$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , the functor

$$\mathcal{K}_{V_0}^{\approx} \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{V_1/}^{\text{el}}} \mathcal{O}_{\omega_{\alpha,!} V_1}^{\approx}$$

induced by cocartesian transport along  $\omega_{\alpha}$  is an equivalence, where  $\omega_{(-)} : \mathcal{F}_{Y/}^{\text{el}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X/}^{\text{int}}$  is the inert morphism appearing in the inert-active factorization of  $\alpha \circ \omega$ , and

- (3) (Segal condition for multimorphism) for every active morphism  $\omega : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and all objects  $X_i \in \mathcal{O}_{V_i}$ , the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(X_0, X_1) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{V_1/}^{\text{el}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(X_0, \omega_{\alpha,!} X_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\mathcal{F}}(V_0, V_1) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{O_1/}^{\text{el}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{F}}(O_0, \omega_{\alpha,!} O_1) \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

A fibrous  $\mathcal{F}$ -pattern  $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is a *Segal  $\mathcal{F}$ -category* if  $\pi$  is a cocartesian fibration. The category of fibrous  $\mathcal{F}$ -patterns is the full subcategory

$$\text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Alg Patt}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

spanned by fibrous patterns, and the category of Segal  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\infty$ -category is the full subcategory of

$$\text{Seg}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Cat}) \subset \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \times_{\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{F}}} \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{cocart}}$$

spanned by Segal  $\mathcal{O}$ -categories.

We state one technical lemma:

**Lemma 2.3.** *All of the inclusions*

$$\text{Seg}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow \text{Alg Patt}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$$

*have left adjoints; in particular, the full subcategory  $\text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Alg Patt}_{\text{base}}$  is localizing.*

We refer to the left adjoint  $\text{Env} : \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Seg}(\mathcal{F})$  as the *Segal envelope*, and we use it analogously to the *symmetric monoidal envelope*, reducing the question of characterizing maps of fibrous patterns into Segal  $\mathcal{F}$ -categories into simply a question of characterizing maps of Segal  $\mathcal{F}$ -categories, which is much simpler.

#### Example 2.4:

**Definition 2.5.** Given the data of  $\mathcal{X}$  a category,  $\mathcal{X}_b, \mathcal{X}_f$  wide subcategories, and  $\mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}_b$  a full subcategory, we define the *span pattern*  $\text{Span}_{b,f}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{X}_0)$  to have:

- underlying category  $\text{Span}_{b,f}(\mathcal{X})$  whose objects are objects in  $\mathcal{X}$  and whose morphisms  $X \rightarrow Z$  are spans

$$X \xleftarrow{B} Y \xrightarrow{F} Z$$

with  $B \in \mathcal{X}_b$  and  $F \in \mathcal{X}_f$ .

- inert morphisms  $\mathcal{X}_b^{\text{op}} \subset \text{Span}(\mathcal{X})$ .
- active morphisms  $\mathcal{X}_f \subset \text{Span}(\mathcal{X})$ .
- Elementary objects  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\text{el}} \subset \mathcal{X}_b^{\text{op}}$ .

Then, for instance we have the following:

**Theorem 2.6** ([BHS22]). *Pullback along the inclusion  $\mathbb{F}_* \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F})$  induces an equivalence on the categories of fibrous patterns and Segal categories.*

2.2. **G-operads and I-operads.** There is an adjunction

$$\text{Tot} : \mathbf{Cat}_G \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat} : \text{CoFr}^G$$

where Tot takes the total category of a cocartesian fibration and  $\text{CoFr}^G(C)$  is classified by functor categories

$$\text{CoFr}^G(C)_H := \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\text{op}}, C)$$

with functoriality dictated by pullback. In particular, the  $G$ -category of small  $G$ -categories  $\underline{\mathbf{Cat}}_G := \text{CoFr}^G(C)$  has  $G$ -fixed points given by  $\mathbf{Cat}$ .

*Remark.* Elmendorf's theorem may be reinterpreted in this language as the statement that the  $G$ -category of  $G$ -spaces  $\mathcal{S}_G$  is cofreely generated by  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_G := \text{CoFr}^G(\mathbb{F})$  and let  $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_{G,*} := \text{CoFr}^G(\mathbb{F}_*)$ . Then, there is an equivariant lift of [ref](#) :

**Theorem 2.7** ([BHS22]). *Pullback along the composition  $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_{G,*} \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\text{Tot} \underline{\mathbb{F}}_G) \xrightarrow{U} \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$  induces an equivalence on the categories of fibrous patterns and Segal categories, where  $\mathbb{F}_G$  is the category of  $G$ -sets.*

**Definition 2.8.** The category of  $G$ -operads is the category of fibrous patterns

$$\mathbf{Op}_G := \text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)).$$

The following proposition is an exercise in category theory which was carried out in [BHS22, § 5.2].

**Proposition 2.9.** *An identity-on-objects functor  $\pi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$  is a  $G$ -operad if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}$  has  $\pi$ -cocartesian lifts for inert morphisms of  $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ .
- (2) For every map of  $G$ -sets  $S \rightarrow T$ , the inert morphisms  $\{U \leftarrow T \mid U \in \text{Orb}(T)\}$  induce equivalences

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(S, T) \simeq \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(T)} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{O}}(S, U).$$

Furthermore, a cocartesian fibration  $\pi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$  is a Segal  $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ -category if and only if it unstraightens to a  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category.

We may further reorganize this through the following elementary lemma about  $G$ -sets.

**Lemma 2.10.** *The assignment  $\varphi : T \mapsto \text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H$  underlies an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathbb{F}_H \simeq (\mathbb{F}_G)_{/G/H}.$$

Hence we have a forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{O}(-) : \mathbf{Op}_G^{\text{one-object}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot} \underline{\mathbb{F}}_G, \mathcal{S})$$

Given  $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$ , we refer to  $\mathcal{O}(S)$  as the space of  $S$ -ary operations. We further analyze this functor in [ref](#), proving e.g. that it is conservative.

An early observation about genuine equivariant homotopy coherent algebraic structures is that the structure of transfers *does not come canonically* from an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -structure; that is,  $\mathbb{E}_\infty \in \mathbf{Op}_G$  is not terminal. The failure of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  to be terminal is parameterized by the category of generalized  $N^\infty$ -operads:

**Definition 2.11.** Write  $\text{Comm}_G^\otimes := (\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G) = \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G))$  for the terminal  $G$ -operad. A  $G$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes$  is a *generalized  $N^\infty$ -operad* if the unique morphism  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}_G^\otimes$  is a monomorphism, i.e. it has one object and

$$\mathcal{O}(S) \in \{*, \emptyset\}$$

for all  $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$ .

A generalized  $N^\infty$  operad  $\mathcal{N}_{\infty I}$  is an  $N^\infty$  operad if it admits a map

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes,$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{O}(S) \simeq *$  whenever  $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$  has trivial  $H$ -action.

Write  $\text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$  for the full subcategory consisting of generalized  $N_\infty$ -operads. The following proposition is an exercise in category theory, and establishes that a map to an  $N_\infty$  operad is a *property*, not a structure.

**Proposition 2.12.** *Given  $\mathcal{N}_{I_\infty} \in \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$  a generalized  $N_\infty$  operad, the forgetful functor*

$$\text{Op}_{G,/\mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_G$$

*is fully faithful.*

*Proof idea.* It is equivalent to prove that  $\text{Map}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}) \in \{*, \emptyset\}$  for all  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}_G$ . In fact, there is a localizing (1-) subcategory  $N : \text{Op}_{1,G} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_G$  consisting of operads whose structure spaces are discrete, and whose localization functor  $h : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \text{Op}_{1,G}$  takes  $\pi_0$  of the structure spaces.  $\mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}$  evidently lies in  $\text{Op}_{1,G}$ , so we have

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Op}_G}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Op}_{1,G}}(h\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}).$$

Hence it suffices to check that the latter set is empty or contractible. This is easy to see in  $\text{Op}_{1,G}$ , since  $\text{Hom}(-, *)$  and  $\text{Hom}(-, \emptyset)$  are always either empty or contractible.  $\square$

In particular, this implies that  $\text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty}$  is a poset, so we'd like to identify this poset. There is a functor

$$A : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G}$$

called the *admissible sets* with value over  $G/H$  given by

$$A(\mathcal{O})_{(G/H)} := \{S \rightarrow G/H \mid \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(S \rightarrow G/H) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and extended to general  $G$ -sets by coproducts. The following proposition is an exercise in category theory (see [NS22, Ex 2.4.7], or the original references [BH15; GW18; Rub21]):

**Proposition 2.13.** *The restricted functor*

$$A : \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G}$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

We denote by  $\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}$  the composite functor

$$\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty} : \widehat{\text{Ind} - \text{Sys}_G} \xrightarrow{A^{-1}} \text{Op}_G^{GN^\infty} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_G$$

Using this, we finally define *I-operads*.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $I$  be a generalized indexing system. Then, the *category of I-operads* is the slice category

$$\text{Op}_I := \text{Op}_{G,/\mathcal{N}_{\infty I}^\otimes}.$$

Given  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_I$ , the *category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras in  $\mathcal{P}$*  is the full subcategory

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(C) \subset \text{Fun}_{/\mathcal{N}_{\infty I}^\otimes}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes, C^\otimes)$$

spanned by maps of  $I$ -operads.

*Remark.* The notation  $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(C)$  does not include  $I$ . This presents no problem; indeed, by [proposition 2.12](#), the categories of  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras in  $\mathcal{P}$  considered over various indexing systems (including the terminal one, i.e. in  $G$ -operads) are canonically equivalent to one another.

A useful property of these are that  $G$  operads *fibred* over  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes$  have an intrinsic description in terms of  $\mathcal{O}$ . We may state these in the language of fibrous patterns.



**Proposition 2.15** (cite). *Let  $O$  be a fibrous  $\mathfrak{f}$ -pattern. Then, the pushforward functor  $\pi_! : \text{AlgPatt}_{/O} \rightarrow \text{AlgPatt}_{/\mathfrak{f}}$  preserves fibrous patterns, and the associated functor*

$$\pi_! : \text{Fbrs}(O) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathfrak{f})_{/O}$$

*is an equivalence of categories.*

In particular, the category of  $I$ -operads is covariantly functorial in  $I$ , and it possesses an intrinsic expression along the lines of ref.

**Example 2.16:**

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset O_G$  be a *family*, i.e. a collection of subgroups of  $G$  closed under sub-conjugation. Then,  $\mathcal{F} \cup O_G^\approx$  is a transfer system, and we denote by  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$  the corresponding indexing system.

Let  $V$  be a real orthogonal  $G$ -representation, let  $\mathcal{F}_V$  is the family consisting of subgroups  $H$  such that  $V^H \neq *$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}_V := \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}_V}$ . Then, there is an  $\mathcal{I}_V$ -operad  $\mathbb{E}_V$  of *little  $V$ -disks*, which may be informally understood to have

$$\pi_{\mathbb{E}_V}^{-1}(\text{Ind}_H^G T \rightarrow G/H) := \text{Conf}_H(T, V)$$

the space of  $H$ -equivariant embeddings of  $T \hookrightarrow V$  (c.f. [Hor19]). These participate in *equivariant infinite loop space theory*, in the sense that there is an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_V}(\mathcal{S}_G) \simeq \{V\text{-loop spaces}\};$$

see Guillou-May for details.

**2.3. The BV tensor product.** By ref, the category of algebraic patterns has a cartesian monoidal structure.

**Definition 2.17.** The category of *symmetric monoidal algebraic patterns* is  $\text{CMon}(\text{AlgPatt})$ .

A symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathfrak{f}$  endows on the slice category  $\text{AlgPatt}_{/\mathfrak{f}}$  a symmetric monoidal structure, which we may view as taking  $O, \mathcal{P}$  to the tensor product

$$O \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}.$$

**Definition 2.18.** The *Boardman-Vogt symmetric monoidal category of fibrous  $\mathfrak{f}$ -patterns* is the localized symmetric monoidal structure

$$\text{Fbrs}(\mathfrak{f})^\otimes \hookrightarrow \text{AlgPatt}_{/\mathfrak{f}}^\otimes.$$

We may view the tensor product of fibrous  $\mathfrak{f}$ -patterns as yielding the localized composite

$$O \otimes \mathcal{P} := L_{\text{Fbrs}}(O \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}).$$

Note that the category  $\mathbb{F}_G$  has finite products, and any indexing system  $\mathcal{I}$  is closed under products. In particular, this endows  $i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}^\infty}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$  with the structure of a map of symmetric monoidal algebraic patterns under the so it has a cartesian monoidal structure. By cite, the forgetful functor  $\text{Fbrs}(O) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathfrak{f})_{/O}$  is an equivalence, so we may use this to define the BV tensor product of  $I$ -operads.

**Definition 2.19.** The *Boardman-Vogt symmetric monoidal category of  $I$ -operads* is

$$\text{Op}_I^\otimes := \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}^\infty})$$

The following proposition is easy:

**Proposition 2.20.** *Given an inclusion  $i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}^\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{J}^\infty}$ , pushforward along  $i$  yields a functor*

$$i_! : \text{Op}_I^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\mathcal{J}}^\otimes$$

*realizing  $\text{Op}_I$  as a symmetric monoidal colocalizing subcategory of  $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{J}}$ .*

The verification of this comes down to the following fact:

**Lemma 2.21.** *Given  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a map of commutative algebra objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  a symmetric monoidal, the associated functor  $f_! : \mathcal{C}_{/X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/Y}$  lifts to a canonical symmetric monoidal functor between the slice symmetric monoidal categories.*

The BV tensor product satisfies a mapping out property; namely, we review in ref the construction due to [NS22, § 5.3] of the operad  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(Q)$ , and we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.22.** *There is a natural equivalence of operads*

$$\underline{\mathbf{Alg}}_{O \otimes P}^{\otimes} Q \simeq \underline{\mathbf{Alg}}_O^{\otimes} \underline{\mathbf{Alg}}_P^{\otimes} Q$$

realizing  $\mathbf{Alg}_P^{\otimes}(-)$  as an internal hom for the BV tensor product.

**2.4. Summary of the argument.** We would like to construct an equivalence  $N_{I\infty} \otimes N_{J\infty} \simeq N_{(I \vee J)\infty}$ . Let's begin with the special case  $I \subset J$ ; in this case, we can say something stronger.

**Proposition 2.23.** *If  $O$  is a one-object  $G$ -operad, then the map  $N^{\infty}(I) \rightarrow N^{\infty}(I) \otimes O$  is an  $I$ -equivalence; in particular,  $N^{\infty}(I)$  is  $\otimes$ -idempotent.*

To prove this, we use [NS22, Cor 5.3.9]; in particular, they generalize [HA] to verify that any of the following conditions are true of  $\mathbf{Alg}_{N^{\infty}(I)}^{\otimes}(C)$ , and we verify that the conditions are equivalent in [ref](#).

**Lemma 2.24.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The forgetful functor  $\mathbf{CAlg}_I(C) \rightarrow C$  is an equivalence.*
- (2) *For all one-object  $I$ -operads  $O$ , the forgetful functor  $\mathbf{Alg}^O(C) \rightarrow C$  is an equivalence.*
- (3) *The  $I$ -restricted operad is cocartesian*

Having proved this, we acquire a (unique) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_{I\infty} & & \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & N_{I\infty} \otimes N_{J\infty} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} N_{(I \vee J)\infty} \otimes N_{(I \vee J)\infty} = N_{(I \vee J)\infty} \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \\ N_{J\infty} & & \end{array}$$

and we are tasked with proving that  $\varphi$  is an equivalence. An unfortunate fact is that the functor  $U : \mathbf{Op}_{I \vee J} \rightarrow \mathbf{Op}_I \times \mathbf{Op}_J$  doesn't appear to be conservative in general. Our strategy will come down to trying *really hard* to make it conservative. We do so via the following two lemmas, proved as [lemmas 3.5](#) and [3.7](#).

**Lemma 2.25.** *Denote by  $i : I \cup J \subset I \vee J$  the (non-indexing system) union of subcategories. Then, the pullback*

$$\mathbf{Fbrs}(\mathbf{Span}(I \cup J)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Op}_I \times \mathbf{Op}_J$$

*is conservative. In particular,  $U$  reflects equivalences between  $I \vee J$ -operads in the image of  $L_{\mathbf{Fbrs}} i$ .*

**Lemma 2.26.** *There is an equivalence  $N_{(I \vee J)\infty} \simeq L_{\mathbf{Fbrs}} i! \mathbf{Span}(I \cup J)$ .*

*Proof of [theorem A](#).* By the above argument, it suffices to prove that  $\varphi$  is an equivalence; in fact, by [lemmas 2.25](#) and [2.26](#) and symmetry it suffices to prove that the localized functor

$$\iota_J^* N_{I \cap J \infty} \otimes N_{J\infty} \rightarrow \iota_J^* N_{I \vee J}$$

is an equivalence. But  $\iota_J^* N_{I \cap J \infty} \simeq N_{I \cap J \infty}$ , so the above is the inclusion  $N_{I \cap J \infty} \otimes N_{J\infty} \rightarrow N_{J\infty}$ , which is an equivalence by [proposition 2.23](#).  $\square$

### 3. TECHNICAL NONSENSE

**3.1. Passing to monads is conservative.** Our arguments will be reminiscent of [SY19, § 2.3-2.4]

Given  $O \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  a fibrous pattern, we define the algebraic pattern

$$i : \mathcal{F}^{\text{el}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

to have  $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{el}})^{\text{el}} = (\mathcal{F}^{\text{el}})^{\text{int}} = \mathcal{F}^{\text{el}} = (\mathcal{F}^{\text{el}})^{\text{act}}$ . Define  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{F}} := (\text{Env } \mathcal{F}^{\text{el}})^{\text{el}}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** (C.f. [SY19, Prop 2.3.6]). *Let  $\mathbf{Fbrs}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{F})$  denote the full subcategory of fibrous patterns whose associated maps  $O^{\text{el}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{el}}$  are equivalences. Then, the functor*

$$i^* \text{Env}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathbf{Fbrs}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{F}}, S)$$

*is conservative.*



*Proof.* Just look at the Segal condition for fibrous patterns  $\square$

We now specialize to the case  $\mathcal{F} = \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category, let  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}_G$  be a  $G$ -operad, and let  $X \in \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Note that  $\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)^{\text{el}} \simeq \text{triv}$ ; further, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Env}_{\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)} \text{triv} &\simeq \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}} \times_{\text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)} \text{Ar}_{\text{act}} \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G) \\ &\simeq \text{Tot}_{\Sigma_G}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma_G \simeq \text{CoFr}^G$ . Then, lemma 3.1 translates to the following:

**Proposition 3.2.** *The forgetful functor*

$$(-)_{\text{sseq}} : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}_{\Sigma_G}, \mathcal{S})$$

sending  $\mathcal{O}(S) := \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(\text{Ind}_H^G S \rightarrow G/H)$  for all  $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$  is conservative.

*Remark.* The genuine model structure  $\text{Sym}_{\bullet}^G(\text{sSet})$  of [BP22] exists and presents  $\text{Fun}(\text{Tot}_{\Sigma_G}, \mathcal{S})$ ; the  $\infty$ -category of *Genuine G-operads* are then algebras over a monad on  $\text{Fun}(\text{Tot}_{\Sigma_G}, \mathcal{S})$  which are explicitly defined in [BP21].

In this setting, lemma 3.1 amounts to a verification of one of the two Barr-Beck conditions expressing  $U$  as *monadic* (cf [HA, Thm 4.7.3.5]); if one can verify that  $U$  creates spit geometric realizations and characterize the associated monad along the lines of [BP21], then they may prove that one-object genuine  $G$ -operads are equivalent to one-object  $G$ -operads. The author hopes to explore this as a potential strategy for comparison results in the future.

We say that a  $G$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  is *reduced* if  $\mathcal{O}(T) = *$  whenever  $T$  is empty or a transitive  $H$  set. Let  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  be a reduced  $G$ -operad,  $\mathcal{C}$  a  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category, and  $X : \text{triv}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  a  $G$ -object. Denote by  $X_{\text{sseq}} \in \text{Fun}_G(\Sigma_G, \mathcal{C})$  the functor of  $G$ -categories underlying the adjunct map of  $G$ -symmetric monoidal categories to  $X$ . We can use this to characterize the *monad* associated with an operad. Define distributivity, use [NS22, Prop 3.2.5].

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a reduced  $G$ -operad and let  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  be a distributive  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category. Then, the forgetful map  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is monadic, and the associated monad  $T_{\mathcal{O}}$  acts on  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  as*

$$T_{\mathcal{O}} X := \text{colim } X_{\text{sseq}}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(T_{\mathcal{O}} X)^H \simeq \coprod_{\substack{J \supset K \subset H \\ S \in \mathbb{F}_J}} \left( \mathcal{O}(S) \otimes X^{\otimes (\text{Ind}_K^H \text{Res}_K^I S)} \right)_{h \in \text{Aut}_J S},$$

where for all  $S' \in \mathbb{F}_H$ , we write

$$X^{\otimes S'} := \bigotimes_{U \in \text{Orb}(S')} N_U^H X_U.$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finitely cocomplete Cartesian closed category, and let  $\text{CoFr}^G(\mathcal{C})$  be the  $G$ -category of  $G$ -coefficient systems valued in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and write  $\mathcal{C}_G := \text{CoFr}^G(\mathcal{C})^G \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ . By [NS22, Prop 3.2.5] (noting that all colimits involved are finite), the  $G$ -Cartesian  $G$ -symmetric monoidal structure on  $\text{CoFr}^G(\mathcal{C})$  is distributive. In fact, there is an adjunction  $\text{triv} : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \text{CoFr}^G(\mathcal{C})^G = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}) : F_G$ , where  $\text{triv}$  is fully faithful and bicontinuous (indeed, it has a left adjoint given by  $F_G$ ) and the diagram of forgetful functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{CoFr}^G(\mathcal{C}))^G & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}_G) & \xrightarrow{F_G} & \text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow U^G & & \downarrow U & & \downarrow U \\ \mathcal{C}_G & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{C}_G & \xrightarrow{F_G} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

commutes for any  $G$ -operad  $\mathcal{O}$ . Taking left adjoints to this yields a commutative diagram of adjunctions, and noting that fixed points of  $G$ -adjunctions are adjunctions yields the following corollary in the case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a reduced  $G$ -operad. Then, the associated monad  $T_{\mathcal{O},S}$  acts on  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  as*

$$T_{\mathcal{O},S}X \simeq (T_{\mathcal{O},S}X)^G \simeq \coprod_{\substack{J \supset H \\ S \in \mathbb{F}_J}} \left( \mathcal{O}(S) \times \text{Ind}_e^{\text{Ind}_K^G \text{Res}_K^I S} X \right)_{h \text{Aut}_J S}.$$

*In particular, the functor  $\mathbf{Alg}_{(-)}(\mathcal{S}) : \mathbf{Op}_G^{\text{Red}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$  is conservative.*

*Proof.* All but the final statement follow by the above analysis. Suppose  $\varphi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  induces an equivalence on  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S})$ .

Then  $\varphi$  induces a natural equivalence  $T_{\mathcal{O},S} \Rightarrow T_{\mathcal{P},S}$  respecting the summand decomposition in the above presentation. In particular, taking  $K = \{e\}$ , for all  $S \in \mathbb{F}_J$ , this induces an equivalence

$$\left( \mathcal{O}(S) \times \text{Ind}_J^S X \right)_{h \text{Aut}_J S}.$$

Choosing  $X$  a set with at least 2 points, we find that  $n_S \cdot \mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow n_S \cdot \mathcal{P}(S)$  is an equivalence for some  $n_S > 0$  and all  $S$ ; this implies that  $\mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$  is an equivalence for all  $S$ , i.e.  $\varphi_{\Sigma}$  is an equivalence. By [lemma 3.1](#), this implies  $\varphi$  is an equivalence.  $\square$

The remainder of this subsection will be dedicated to proving [proposition 3.3](#).

*Proof of proposition 3.3.* Monadicity is precisely [\[NS22, Cor 5.1.5\]](#) when  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_G$ , so it suffices to compute the associated monad in this case. Note that  $X_{\text{sseq}}(S) \simeq \mathcal{O}(S) \otimes X^{\otimes S}$ , so the computation of  $(T_{\mathcal{O}}X)^H$  follows immediately from the statement  $T_{\mathcal{O}}X \simeq \text{colim } X_{\text{sseq}}$ , so it suffices to prove this statement.

By [\[NS22, Rem 4.3.6\]](#), the left adjoint  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$  is computed on  $X$  by  $G$ -operadic left Kan extension of the corresponding map  $\text{triv}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  along the canonical inclusion  $\text{triv}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ ; the underlying  $G$ -functor of this is computed by the  $G$ -left Kan extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Env}_{\mathcal{O}} \text{triv} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \quad \searrow \text{Fr } X \\ *_G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{O} \end{array}$$

I.e. by the indexed colimit

$$T_{\mathcal{O}}X \simeq \text{colim } X_{\text{sseq}}.$$

$\square$

**3.2. The conservativity lemmas.** We have two conservativity lemmas to prove. The first is easier:

**Lemma 3.5.** *Denote by  $i : I \cup J \subset I \vee J$  the (non-indexing system) union of subcategories. Then, the pullback*

$$\text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(I \cup J)) \rightarrow \text{Op}_I \times \text{Op}_J$$

*is conservative. In particular,  $U$  reflects equivalences between  $I \vee J$ -operads in the image of  $L_{\text{Fbrs}} i$ .*

*Proof.* Passing to the underlying symmetric sequences yields a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fbrs}(\text{Span}(I \cup J)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \text{Op}_I \times \text{Op}_J \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(I \cup J, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{S}) \times \text{Fun}(J, \mathcal{S}) \end{array}$$

The diagonal functor is a composite of two conservative arrows by ??, so it is conservative, and hence  $i^*$  is conservative.  $\square$

The second will take a bit more work. Note that the Segal conditions for  $\text{Segal Span}(I \cup J)$ -categories are a *Union* of those of  $\text{Segal Span}(I)$ -categories and  $\text{Segal Span}(J)$ -categories. That is,

**Lemma 3.6.** *The following diagram of categories is cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(I \cup J)}(C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(I)}(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(J)}(C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Seg}_{\text{Span}(I \cap J)}(C) \end{array}$$

In particular, all but the top left are simply categories of product preserving functors. We use this:

**Lemma 3.7.** *There is an equivalence  $\mathcal{N}_{(I \vee J)\infty} \simeq L_{\text{Fbrs}} i_! \text{Span}(I \cup J)$ .*

*Proof.* The functor  $L_{\text{Fbrs}} i_! \text{Span}(I \cup J)$  is left adjoint to  $i^*$ , so it suffices by [lemma](#) to verify that the following square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(I \vee J), S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(I), S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}^{\text{times}}(\text{Span}(J), S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}(I \cap J), S) \end{array}$$

The property that this square is cartesian is witnessed by the equivalence

$$\text{Span}(I \vee J) \simeq \text{Span}(I) \coprod_{\text{Span}(I \cap J)} \text{Span}(J),$$

with pushout taken in the category of Cartesian categories and product preserving functors.  $\square$

**3.3. The internal hom.** Let  $F : \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$  be a bifunctor of  $G$ -operads and let  $C^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$  be a functor of  $G$ -operads. The following construction was coined in [\[NS22, § 5.3\]](#).  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}, G}^\otimes(\mathcal{O}; C)$  was constructed as follows:

**Construction 3.8.** Define  $P : \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$  by cocartesian pushforward. We have a diagram

$$\mathcal{O}^\otimes \xleftarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{T}) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{P \times \text{id}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{I}^\otimes.$$

and an associated push-pull adjunction

$$L_{\text{Fbrs}} F_!(P \times \text{id})_* \pi^* : \text{Op}_{G, \mathcal{O}} \rightleftarrows \text{Op}_{G, \mathcal{I}} : \pi_*(P \times \text{id})^* F^*.$$

We verify that this adjunction exists in [lemma 3.9](#). and we define  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$  to be  $\pi_*(P \times \text{id})^* F^*(C^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes)$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $P, F, \pi$  be defined above. Then,*

- (1)  $\pi$  is a strong Segal morphism, and the pullback functor

$$\pi_* : \mathbf{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}^\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes}$$

preserves fibrous patterns; hence  $\pi_* : \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes) \rightarrow \text{Fbrs}(\mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes)$  is right adjoint to  $\pi^*$ .

- (2)  $P$  is a Segal morphism.
- (3)  $F$  is a Segal morphism.

*Proof.* For (1), the functor  $\pi^*$  simply sends  $Q^\otimes \mapsto Q^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes$  with structure map given by the product  $\pi \times \text{id}$ ; hence this reduces to checking that (external) products of fibrous patterns are fibrous, which [ref???](#).

For the rest, [ughhhh](#)  $\square$

The resulting operad is pronounced “the operad of  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras in  $C$  over  $\mathcal{I}$ ” In [\[NS22, § 5.3\]](#), the following properties were verified.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$  be a bifunctor of  $G$ -operads and let  $C^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\otimes$  be a functor of  $G$ -operads.*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{O}$  has one object, then the underlying  $G$ -category of  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C)$  is the usual  $G$ -category  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}; C)$ .*
- (2) *If  $C^\otimes$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -monoidal, then  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C) \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(C)$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal, and there is a  $\mathcal{O}$ -monoidal lift  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{I}}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C) \rightarrow C^\otimes$  to the forgetful functor.*

We specialize to the case that  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes = \mathcal{O}^\otimes = \text{Comm}_G^\otimes$ , in which case we write

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(C) := \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{Comm}_G^\otimes}^\otimes(\mathcal{P}; C).$$

Then, the above diagram instead reads as

$$\text{Comm}_G^\otimes \xleftarrow{\pi} \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times_G \text{Ar}(\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}) \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{P \times \text{id}} \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P}^\otimes \xrightarrow{F} \text{Comm}_G^\otimes.$$

So that the left adjoint is computed by the fibrous localization of the map  $Q \times_G \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Comm}_G^\otimes$  in the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^*(P \times \text{id})_! Q & \simeq & Q \times_G \mathcal{P} \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \pi_Q \times \text{id} & \\ \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times_G \mathcal{P} & & \\ \downarrow \text{id} \times \pi_{\mathcal{P}} & \searrow F & \\ \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \times \text{Comm}_G^\otimes & \longrightarrow & \text{Comm}_G^\otimes \end{array}$$

in fact, by definition, this is precisely  $Q \otimes \mathcal{P}$ . This concludes the proof of [theorem 2.22](#).

**3.4. Identifying (co)cartesian  $I$ -symmetric monoidal categories.** We begin with the following definition generalizing [\[HA, Def 2.4.1.1\]](#).

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $C^\otimes$  be an  $I$ -symmetric monoidal category. A *weak  $I$ -Cartesian structure* on  $C$  is a  $G$ -functor  $\pi : C^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that

- (1) for all  $f : S \rightarrow T$  in  $I$  and  $C \in C_S$ , the maps  $\pi(C) \rightarrow \pi(C_S)$  exhibit  $\pi(C)$  as the indexed product  $\prod_S \pi(C_S)$ .
- (2) for all  $\pi$ -cocartesian lifts  $f$  of active morphisms in  $I$ ,  $\pi(I)$  is an equivalence.

The category of *weak  $I$ -cartesian structures* from  $C$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is the full  $G$ -subcategory

$$\underline{\text{Fun}}_G^{w \times}(C^\otimes, \mathcal{D}) \subset \underline{\text{Fun}}_G(C^\otimes, \mathcal{D})$$

spanned by the weak  $I$ -cartesian structures.

**Proposition 3.12** (C.f. [\[HA, Cor 2.4.1.8\]](#)). Let  $C^\otimes$  be a Cartesian  $G$ -symmetric monoidal category whose underlying  $G$ -category strongly admits finite  $I$ -products and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $G$ -category which strongly admits finite  $I$ -products. Then,

- (1) Let  $\pi : \mathcal{D}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be the canonical  $I$ -Cartesian structure on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then,  $\pi_!$  induces an equivalence of  $G$ -categories

$$\pi_! : \underline{\text{Fun}}_I^\otimes(C^\otimes, \mathcal{D}^\times) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fun}}_I^{w \times}(C^\otimes, \mathcal{D}),$$

- (2) The restriction functor

$$\theta : \underline{\text{Fun}}_I^\otimes(C^\otimes, \mathcal{D}^\times) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$$

is fully faithful with image spanned by the  $I$ -product preserving functors.

- (3) There exists an  $I$ -symmetric monoidal equivalence  $C^\otimes \simeq C^\times$  which restricts to the identity on underlying  $G$ -categories.

*Proof.* □

**3.5. Algebras in cocartesian  $I$ -symmetric monoidal categories.** In this subsection, we want to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.13** (C.f. [\[HA, Prop 2.4.3.9\]](#)). The following are equivalent for  $C^\otimes \in \text{CMon}_I(\text{Cat})$ .

- (1) For all unital  $I$ -operads  $\mathcal{O}^\otimes$ , the forgetful functor  $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}(C) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Fun}}_G(\mathcal{O}, C)$  is an equivalence.
- (2) The forgetful functor  $\text{CAlg}_I(C) \rightarrow C$  is an equivalence.
- (3) For all morphisms  $f : S \rightarrow T$  in  $I$ , the action map  $f_\otimes : C_S \rightarrow C_T$  is left adjoint to the pullback  $f^* : C_T \rightarrow C_S$ .

We will prove this in analogy to the non-equivariant case; in particular, the implication (3)  $\implies$  (1) will closely mimic the proof of [\[HA, Prop 2.4.3.16\]](#).

*Proof.* (1) implies (2) by choosing  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{N}_\infty$ . The forgetful functor  $\mathrm{CAlg}_I(C) \rightarrow C$  is  $I$ -symmetric monoidal by construction, so it suffices to prove that  $\mathrm{CAlg}_I(C)$  satisfies condition (3). This is precisely [NS22, Thm 5.3.9].

waaaaaa

Let  $C$  be an  $I$ -symmetric monoidal category satisfying (3). Then, WLOG we can replace  $C^\otimes$  with  $C^\sqcup$  by proposition 3.12. Let  $\Gamma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_*$  be the functor of [HA, Const 2.4.3.1] and let  $\Gamma_G^* := \mathrm{CoFr}^C \Gamma^*$ . Then, define the category

$$\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{O}^\otimes \times_{\mathbb{F}_G, *} \Gamma_G^*$$

Define Gamma

□

#### REFERENCES

- [BHS22] Shaul Barkan, Rune Haugseng, and Jan Steinebrunner. *Envelopes for Algebraic Patterns*. 2022. arXiv: [2208.07183](#) [math.CT].
- [Bar+16] Clark Barwick et al. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: A general introduction*. 2016. arXiv: [1608.03654](#) [math.AT].
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), pp. 658–708. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2015.07.013](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2015.07.013>.
- [BP21] Peter Bonventre and Luís A. Pereira. “Genuine equivariant operads”. In: *Adv. Math.* 381 (2021), Paper No. 107502, 133. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2020.107502](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2020.107502>.
- [BP22] Peter Bonventre and Luís A. Pereira. “Homotopy theory of equivariant operads with fixed colors”. In: *Tunis. J. Math.* 4.1 (2022), pp. 87–158. ISSN: 2576-7658,2576-7666. DOI: [10.2140/tunis.2022.4.87](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/tunis.2022.4.87>.
- [Dre71] Andreas W. M. Dress. *Notes on the theory of representations of finite groups. Part I: The Burnside ring of a finite group and some AGN-applications*. With the aid of lecture notes, taken by Manfred Küchler. Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Bielefeld, 1971, iv+158+A28+B31 pp. (loose errata).
- [GW18] Javier J. Gutiérrez and David White. “Encoding equivariant commutativity via operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 18.5 (2018), pp. 2919–2962. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2018.18.2919](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2018.18.2919>.
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. “On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one”. In: *Ann. of Math.* (2) 184.1 (2016), pp. 1–262. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2016.184.1.1](#). URL: [https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/mypapers/Hill\\_Hopkins\\_Ravenel.pdf](https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/mypapers/Hill_Hopkins_Ravenel.pdf).
- [HH16] Michael A. Hill and Michael J. Hopkins. *Equivariant symmetric monoidal structures*. 2016. arXiv: [1610.03114](#) [math.AT].
- [Hor19] Asaf Horev. *Genuine equivariant factorization homology*. 2019. arXiv: [1910.07226](#) [math.AT].
- [HTT] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. DOI: [10.1515/9781400830558](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558>.
- [HA] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [NS22] Denis Nardin and Jay Shah. *Parametrized and equivariant higher algebra*. 2022. arXiv: [2203.00072](#) [math.AT].
- [Rub21] Jonathan Rubin. “Combinatorial  $N_\infty$  operads”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 21.7 (2021), pp. 3513–3568. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2021.21.3513>.
- [SY19] Tomer M. Schlank and Lior Yanovski. “The  $\infty$ -categorical Eckmann-Hilton argument”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 19.6 (2019), pp. 3119–3170. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2019.19.3119](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2019.19.3119>.