

ON INDEXED TENSOR PRODUCTS OF EQUIVARIANT COMMUTATIVE OPERADS

NATALIE STEWART

ABSTRACT. We lift the Boardman-Vogt tensor product to a G -symmetric monoidal closed ∞ -category $\underline{\mathcal{O}}\mathbf{p}_G^\otimes$ of \mathcal{O}_G - ∞ -operads. Using this, we construct a G -symmetric monoidal colocalizing subcategory

$$\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty} : \underline{\mathbf{wIndex}}_G^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{O}}\mathbf{p}_G^\otimes$$

called the *poset of weak \mathcal{N}_∞ - G -operads*, whose colocalization functor constructs the weak indexing system of admissible H -sets. Additionally, we combinatorially characterize $\underline{\mathbf{wIndex}}_G^\otimes$, finding that the G -subcategory $\underline{\mathbf{wIndex}}_G^{\text{uni}, \vee} = \underline{\mathcal{O}}\mathbf{p}_G^{\text{uni}, \otimes} \cap \underline{\mathbf{wIndex}}_G^\otimes$ of *unital weak \mathcal{N}_∞ - G -operads* is *cocartesian G -symmetric monoidal*, i.e. its indexed tensor products are indexed joins.

As a special case, we recognize Blumberg-Hill's \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads as a symmetric monoidal sub-poset $\text{Index}_G^\vee \subset \underline{\mathbf{wIndex}}_G^{\text{uni}, \vee}$ confirming a conjecture of Blumberg-Hill. In particular, for I, J unital weak indexing systems and \mathcal{C} an $I \vee J$ -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, we construct a canonical $I \vee J$ -symmetric monoidal equivalence

$$\underline{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{Alg}_I^\otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{Alg}_J^\otimes \simeq \underline{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{Alg}_{I \vee J}^\otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Summary of main results	2
1.2. Notation and conventions	6
1.3. Acknowledgements	6
2. Equivariant symmetric monoidal categories	7
2.1. Recollections on \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories	7
2.2. The homotopy \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal d -category	12
2.3. A \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal structure on non-equivariant overcategories	13
2.4. Examples of I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories	14
3. Equivariant fibrous patterns	16
3.1. Recollections on algebraic patterns	16
3.2. Indexed Boardman-Vogt tensor products of \mathcal{T} -fibrous patterns	21
3.3. Examples of algebraic patterns	23
4. Equivariant operads	24
4.1. \mathcal{T} -operads and \mathcal{T} -symmetric sequences	25
4.2. The $\underline{\mathbf{wIndex}}_{\mathcal{T}}$ -valued support of a \mathcal{T} -operad	31
4.3. On the combinatorics of weak indexing systems	32
4.4. \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal d -categories	39
4.5. Weak \mathcal{N}_∞ \mathcal{T} -operads	40
4.6. Operadic restriction and arity-borelification	42
4.7. Examples of I -operads	44
5. Equivariant algebras	46
5.1. The monad for \mathcal{O} -algebras	46
5.2. I -(co)cartesian rigidity, I -commutative monoids, and I -semiadditivity	48
5.3. Tensor products of weak \mathcal{N}_∞ \mathcal{T} -operads	51
5.4. Norms and change of group functors on algebras and operads	52
5.5. Algebraic K-theory and other \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal invariants	53
6. Comparisons and future directions	54
6.1. I -commutative algebras in G -1-categories	54
6.2. \mathcal{N}_{I_∞} operads and an ∞ -categorical genuine operadic nerve	54

6.3.	Conjectures and future directions.....	55
Appendix A.	Fibrous patterns vs operads: the atomic orbital case.....	56
A.1.	I -operads as fibrous patterns.....	56
A.2.	Pullback of fibrous patterns along Segal morphisms and sound extendability.....	58
A.3.	Segal morphisms between effective burnside patterns.....	59
A.4.	Monadicity of fibrous patterns under a weak-cartesian assumption.....	60
Appendix B.	On core-preserving wide subcategories.....	61
References	62

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Summary of main results. Homotopy-coherent algebraic structures in genuine-equivariant mathematics are naturally founded in the notion of G -commutative monoids. In the context of this paper, the ∞ -category of G -commutative monoids in an ∞ -category \mathcal{D} is the ∞ -category of product-preserving functors

$$\mathbf{CMon}_G(\mathcal{D}) := \mathbf{Fun}^\times(\mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G), \mathcal{D}),$$

where \mathbb{F}_G denotes the category of finite G -sets.¹ The ∞ -category of *small G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories* is $\mathbf{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$, where \mathbf{Cat} denotes the ∞ -category of small ∞ -categories.

Give $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \in \mathbf{CMon}_G(\mathbf{Cat})$ a G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, the product-preserving functor

$$\iota_H : \mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{* \mapsto G/H} \mathbf{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$$

constructs a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_H^\otimes := \iota_H^* \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ whose underlying ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_H is the *value* of \mathcal{C}^\otimes on the orbit G/H .² For all subgroups $K \subset H \subset G$, the covariant and contravariant functoriality of \mathcal{C}^\otimes then yield symmetric monoidal *restriction and norm* functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Res}_K^H : \mathcal{C}_H^\otimes &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_K^\otimes, \\ N_K^H : \mathcal{C}_K^\otimes &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_H^\otimes. \end{aligned}$$

We use this structure to encode *algebras* in \mathcal{C}^\otimes , for which we need *operads*.

Various notions of G -operad have been introduced for this. In [Section 4.1](#) we introduce an ∞ -category \mathbf{Op}_G of \mathcal{O}_G - ∞ -operads (henceforth G -operads) equivalent to that of [\[NS22\]](#). Given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \in \mathbf{Op}_G$ a G -operad and $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$ an H -set for some $H \subset G$, we construct a *space of S -ary operations* $\mathcal{O}(S)$, together with *operadic composition maps*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O}(S) \otimes \bigotimes_{H/K_i \in \mathrm{Orb}(S)} \mathcal{O}(T_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \left(\bigsqcup_{H/K_i \in \mathrm{Orb}(S)} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_i}^H T_i \right),$$

operadic restriction maps

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Res}_K^H S),$$

and *equivariant symmetric group action*

$$(3) \quad \mathrm{Aut}_H(S) \times \mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S),$$

the with [Eqs. \(2\) and \(3\)](#) ascending to a structure of a G -symmetric sequence; we go on to show in [Corollary 4.23](#) that this structure is *monadic* under a reducedness assumption.

Definition 1.1. We say that \mathcal{O}^\otimes *has at least one color* when $\mathcal{O}(*_H)$ is nonempty for all subgroups $H \subset G$, and we say \mathcal{O}^\otimes *has at most one color* if $\mathcal{O}(*_H) \in \{*, \emptyset\}$ for all $H \subset G$. We say that \mathcal{O}^\otimes *has one color* if it has at least one color and at most one color. \blacktriangleleft

¹ In this paper we will call ∞ -categories *∞ -categories* and 0-truncated ∞ -categories *1-categories*. We hope this prevents avoidable confusion in older readers.

² In this paper, “orbits” refer to transitive G -sets, i.e. objects of the orbit category \mathcal{O}_G .

When \mathcal{O}^\otimes has one color, an \mathcal{O} -algebra in the G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^\otimes can intuitively be viewed as a tuple $(X_H \in \mathcal{C}_H)_{G/H \in \mathcal{O}_G}$ satisfying $X_K \simeq \text{Res}_K^H X_H$, together with $\mathcal{O}(S)$ -actions

$$(4) \quad \mu_S : \mathcal{O}(S) \otimes X_H^{\otimes S} \rightarrow X_H$$

for all $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$ and $H \subset G$, homotopy-coherently compatible with the maps Eqs. (1) to (3), where we write

$$X_H^{\otimes S} := \bigotimes_{H/K \in \text{Orb}(S)} N_K^H \text{Res}_K^H X_H.$$

for the *indexed tensor products* in \mathcal{C}^\otimes . In this paper, we are concerned with defining *indexed tensor products* of \mathcal{O} -algebras, as well as \mathcal{P} -algebras in the resulting G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Mirroring the nonequivariant case, we will accomplish this by realizing the operad of \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{P} as the *internal hom* with respect to a symmetric monoidal structure on the ∞ -category of G -operads.

Theorem A. *There exists a G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\underline{\text{Op}}_G^\otimes$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *The H -value ∞ -category of $\underline{\text{Op}}_G^\otimes$ is Op_H as in [NS22].*
- (2) *In the case $G = e$, there exists an equivalence of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories*

$$\text{Op}_e^\otimes \simeq \text{Op}_\infty^\otimes$$

where Op_∞^\otimes is the Boardman-Vogt symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of ∞ -operads of [BS24]; in particular, the tensor product of Op_e^\otimes agrees under this equivalence with the Boardman-Vogt tensor product of [BV73; HM23; HA].³

- (3) *The underlying norm functor $N_H^G : \text{Op}_H \rightarrow \text{Op}_G$ satisfies $N_H^G \mathcal{O}^\otimes \simeq \text{Ind}_H^G \mathcal{O}^\otimes$.*
- (4) *The underlying tensor functor $-\otimes \mathcal{O} : \text{Op}_G \rightarrow \text{Op}_G$ has is right adjoint to $\underline{\text{Alg}}_\mathcal{O}^\otimes(-)$ as in [NS22].*
- (5) *The underlying G - ∞ -category of $\underline{\text{Alg}}_\mathcal{O}^\otimes(\mathcal{P})$ is the G - ∞ -category of algebras $\underline{\text{Alg}}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$; the associated ∞ -category is the ∞ -category of algebras $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$.*
- (6) *When \mathcal{C} is G -symmetric monoidal, $\underline{\text{Alg}}_\mathcal{O}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is G -symmetric monoidal.*
- (7) *When \mathcal{O} is reduced and \mathcal{C} is G -symmetric monoidal, the forgetful G -functor $\underline{\text{Alg}}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ lifts to an G -symmetric monoidal functor*

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_\mathcal{O}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes.$$

Remark 1.2. After this introduction, we replace \mathcal{O}_G with an atomic orbital ∞ -category \mathcal{T} for the remainder of the paper; we prove Theorem A as well as other theorems in this introduction in this setting, greatly generalizing the stated results, at the cost of intuition. \blacktriangleleft

References. $\underline{\text{Op}}_G^\otimes$ is constructed in Definition 4.1, and statements (1) and (3) are Remark 4.2. The remaining statements are Corollaries 4.13 and 4.71. \square

Given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_G^\otimes$ a G -operad with one color and $\psi : T \rightarrow S$ a map of finite H -sets, we also define the *space of multimorphisms*⁴

$$\text{Mul}_\mathcal{O}^\psi(T; S) := \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} \mathcal{O}(T \times_S U).$$

We then define the subcategory⁵ $A\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{F}_G$ of \mathcal{O} -admissible maps by

$$A\mathcal{O} := \left\{ \psi : T \rightarrow S \mid \text{Mul}_\mathcal{O}^\psi(T; S) \neq \emptyset \right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_G.$$

³ The symmetric monoidal structure of [HA] is derived from a model-categorical structure, and as such, only its underlying tensor functor is known to be well-behaved. Our result mimics that of [BS24], as each symmetric monoidal structure is canonically induced from the Day convolution structure on G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category by the G -symmetric monoidal envelope (see Corollary 4.17), hence its coherences additionally satisfy a useful universal property.

⁴ We only make the assumption that \mathcal{O}^\otimes has one color for ease of exposition; throughout the remainder of text following the introduction, we will not make this assumption.

⁵ Throughout this paper, we say *subobject* to mean monomorphism in the sense of [HTT, § 5.5.6]; in the case the ambient ∞ -category is a 1-category, this agrees with the traditional notion.

In the case our objects are in the ∞ -category \mathbf{Cat} of small ∞ -categories, we call this a *subcategory*; in the case that the containing ∞ -category is a 1-category, this is canonically expressed as a *wide subcategory of a full subcategory*, and it is uniquely determined by its morphisms, so we will express subcategories of \mathcal{C} a 1-category as a sub-poset of $2^{\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})}$.

In essence, taking tensor products of Eq. (4) yields an action

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\psi}(T;S) \otimes X_H^{\otimes T} \rightarrow X_H^{\otimes S},$$

and $A\mathcal{O}$ consists of the *pairs of arities* over which this produces structure on X .

The fact that \mathcal{O} accepts no maps from nonempty sets potentially obstructs construction of maps as in Eqs. (1) and (2), so $A\mathcal{O}$ can't be an *arbitrary* subcategory. In Sections 4.3 and 4.5, we combinatorially characterize the image of A in $\text{Sub}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ as the poset wIndex_G of *weak indexing systems*, a weakened variant of the notion introduced in [BP21].

We say that a G -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is *weakly unital* if

$$\mathcal{O}(\emptyset_V) \in \begin{cases} * & \mathcal{O}(*_V) \neq \emptyset; \\ \emptyset & \mathcal{O}(*_V) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

We say that \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is *unital* if it is weakly unital and has at least one color. we denote the full subcategory spanned by unital G -operads by $\text{Op}_G^{\text{uni}} \subset \text{Op}_G$.

Theorem B. *The following posets are each equivalent:*

- (1) The poset $\text{Sub}_{\text{Cat}_G^{\otimes}}(\mathbb{F}_G^{\text{I}})$ of G -symmetric monoidal subcategories of \mathbb{F}_G^{I} .
- (2) The poset $\text{Sub}_{\text{Op}_G}(\text{Comm}_G)$ of sub-commutative G -operads
- (3) The category $\text{Op}_{G, \leq -1}$ of G -(-1)-operads.
- (4) The image $A(\text{Op}_G) \subset \text{Sub}_{\text{Cat}}(\mathbb{F}_G)$
- (5) The sub-poset $\text{wIndex}_G \subset \text{Sub}_{\text{Cat}}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ spanned by subcategories $I \subset \mathbb{F}_T$ which are closed under base change and automorphisms and satisfy the Segal condition that

$$T \rightarrow S \in I \quad \iff \quad \forall U \in \text{Orb}(S), \quad T \times_S U \rightarrow U \in I$$

- (6) The sub-poset $\text{Sub}_{\text{Cat}_G}^{\text{full}}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ spanned by full G -subcategories $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_G$ which are closed under self-indexed coproducts and have $*_H \in \mathcal{C}_H$ whenever $\mathcal{C}_H \neq \emptyset$.

Furthermore, setting $\text{wIndex}_G^{\text{uni}} = A(\text{Op}_G^{\text{uni}})$, there is an equivalence

$$\text{wIndex}_G^{\text{uni}} \simeq \text{Transf}_G \times \text{Fam}_G$$

whose image $\text{Transf}_G \times \{\mathcal{O}_G\} \subset \text{Sub}_{\text{Cat}}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ under (5) is the indexing systems of [BP21; GW18; Rub21a].

References. The *sliced G -symmetric monoidal envelope* is shown to implement (1) \iff (2) in Corollary 4.17. Then in Remark 4.66, we show that (2) and (3) are equivalent as subcategories. We combinatorially characterize $A(\text{Op}_G)$ in Section 4.3, constructing equivalences (4) \iff (5) \iff (6) as Proposition 4.26 and Proposition 4.38. We construct the equivalence (3) \iff (4) in Corollary 4.67 by constructing a fully faithful right adjoint to

$$(5) \quad A : \text{Op}_T \iff \text{wIndex}_G : \mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}. \quad \square$$

The remaining equivalence is Theorem 4.52.

Using this, under the assumption that \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is *unital*, the information of $A\mathcal{O}$ may be understood as simply specifying the colors over which \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} prescribes a binary multiplication

$$X_H^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow X_H$$

and the maps $K \rightarrow H$ over which \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} prescribes a transfer

$$X_K \rightarrow X_H.$$

In general, we find that a full subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{Op}_G$ has a terminal object if and only if there exists a weak indexing system I such that $\mathcal{C} = \text{Op}_I \subset \text{Op}_G$ is spanned by the G -operads satisfying $A\mathcal{O} \leq I$, in which case the terminal object is the G -operad $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes}$ constructed in Eq. (5). These G -operads are called *weak \mathcal{N}_{∞} - G -operads*.

We may understand $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes$ in a hands-on manner in many ways; for instance, it is constructed explicitly in [Proposition 4.4](#). On the other hand, the equivalence (2) \iff (5) [Theorem B](#) shows that $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes$ is uniquely identified by the property

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}(S) = \begin{cases} * & \text{Ind}_H^G S \rightarrow G/H \text{ is in } I; \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Alternatively, we may see this indirectly using the existence of free G -operads on symmetric sequences (see [Corollary 4.23](#)) and the equivalence $\text{Op}_I \simeq \text{Op}_{T, \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes}$.

In fact, there are many weak \mathcal{N}_∞ - G -operads of interest outside of the world of \mathcal{N}_∞ - G -operads:

Example 1.3. Given $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ a G -family, the operad $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{F}}^\otimes := \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{F}}}^\otimes$ is characterized by a natural equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{triv}_{\mathcal{F}}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Bor}_{\mathcal{F}}^G(\mathcal{C}^\otimes),$$

in [Proposition 4.15](#), where $\text{Bor}_{\mathcal{F}}^G$ is the \mathcal{F} -Borelification discussed in [Section 4.6](#). ◀

Example 1.4. Additionally, given R a transfer system, [Theorem B](#) constructs an associated unital weak \mathcal{N}_∞ - G -operad Norm_R^\otimes with no binary multiplications; we see that algebras over this G -operad are G -objects with R -indexed unital norms in [ref](#). ◀

In general, in [Corollary 5.10](#), we characterize the ∞ -category of I -commutative monoids in \mathcal{C} a complete ∞ -category as

$$\text{CMon}_I \mathcal{C} := \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}}(\mathcal{C}^\times) \simeq \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_G), \mathcal{C}),$$

where $\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_G) \subset \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_G)$ is the subcategory whose forward maps are in I ; we define the ∞ -category of I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories as $\text{CMon}_I \text{Cat}$. We also show in [Proposition 4.8](#) that I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories have underlying I -operads; for $\mathcal{C} \in \text{CMon}_I \text{Cat}$, we define the ∞ -category of I -commutative algebras in \mathcal{C} as

$$\text{CAlg}_I \mathcal{C} := \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}}(\mathcal{C}).$$

We show in [Corollary 4.71](#), that analogs of [Theorem A](#) (5) and (6) hold for I -commutative algebra objects in I -symmetric monoidal categories.

We go on to compute the I -indexed tensor products in $\text{CAlg}_I^\otimes \mathcal{C}$ under a distributivity assumption; they are I -cocartesian, in the sense that their I -indexed tensor products are indexed coproducts (c.f. [Section 5.2](#)).

Theorem C. *Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes be a G -operad. Then, the following properties are equivalent.*

- (a) *The AO -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{AO}}^\otimes \underline{\mathcal{S}}_G$ is AO -cocartesian.*
- (b) *The unique map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\text{AO}\infty}^\otimes$ is an equivalence.*

Furthermore, $\text{CAlg}_I^\otimes \mathcal{C}$ is I -cocartesian for any distributive I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and weak indexing system I .

In [Corollary 5.10](#), we use this to prove that $\text{CMon}_I(\mathcal{C})$ is an I -semiadditive, I -symmetric monoidal category, in the sense that it is simultaneously cartesian and cocartesian. We use this to recognize I -commutative monoids within the world of [\[CLL24\]](#), hinting at an operadic presentation for equivariant lifts of [\[GGN15\]](#).

We say that an I -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes is *reduced* if the (unique) map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}$ induces equivalences

$$\mathcal{O}(S) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}(S) \quad \forall \quad S \in \mathbb{F}_H \text{ empty or transitive}$$

(c.f. [Eq. \(6\)](#)). We characterize algebras in cocartesian I -symmetric monoidal categories in [Theorem 5.5](#), and from this [Theorem C](#) entirely characterizes the tensor products of reduced I -operads with $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes$.

Corollary D. *If \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an reduced I -operad, then the unique map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes$ is an equivalence.*

This immediately characterizes many tensor products of weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads, since $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}$ is a J -operad whenever $I \leq J$. We go on to completely characterize indexed tensor products of weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads, confirming Conjecture 6.27 of [\[BH15\]](#).

Theorem E. The functor $\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}^\otimes : \mathbf{wIndex}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Op}_G$ lifts to a G -symmetric monoidal colocalizing subcategory inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}^\otimes & \\ \swarrow & \curvearrowright & \searrow \\ \mathbf{wIndex}_G^\otimes & \perp & \mathbf{Op}_G^\otimes \\ \nwarrow & \curvearrowleft & \nearrow \\ & A & \end{array}$$

and the resulting G -symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathbf{wIndex}_G^\otimes$ is uniquely determined by the following conditions:

- (1) the H -value category is $(\mathbf{wIndex}_G^\otimes)_H = \mathbf{wIndex}_H$, with tensor product

$$I \otimes J = \mathrm{Bor}_{\mathrm{cSupp}(I \cap J)}^G(I \vee J)$$

where $I \vee J$ is the join in \mathbf{wIndex}_G ;

- (2) the restriction functors are $\mathrm{Res}_H^G I = I \cap \mathbb{F}_H$; and

- (3) the norm functors are the left adjoint $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G I = i_{H!}^G I \subset \mathbb{F}_G$ to Res_H^G .

Corollary F. When I, J are weak indexing systems, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{(I \otimes J)\infty}^\otimes$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \times \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{(I \cap J)\infty}^\otimes$$

$$\mathrm{Res}_H^G \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{Res}_H^G I\infty}^\otimes$$

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G I\infty}^\otimes$$

$$\mathrm{CoInd}_H^G \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{CoInd}_H^G I\infty}^\otimes.$$

In particular, norms of I -commutative algebras are $\mathrm{CoInd}_H^G I$ -commutative algebras, and when I, J are unital, we have

$$(7) \quad \underline{\mathrm{CAlg}}_I^\otimes \underline{\mathrm{CAlg}}_J^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{CAlg}}_{I \vee J}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}).$$

Remark 1.5. By [Theorem B](#) and [Corollary F](#), every unital weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad I can canonically be expressed as

$$\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathrm{Norm}_{R(I)}^\otimes \otimes \mathbb{E}_{\infty, \mathcal{F}_I}^\otimes$$

for some canonically determined $R(I) \in \mathrm{Transf}_G$ and $\mathcal{F}_I \in \mathrm{Fam}_G$, where $\mathbb{E}_{\infty, \mathcal{F}}^\otimes$ parameterizes unital G objects whose H -values have compatible multiplications whenever $H \in \mathcal{F}$. The interpretation of [Eq. \(7\)](#) applied to this decomposition is that I -commutative algebras may be constructed as interchanging pairs of commutative algebra structures on fibers and unital norms, each indexed by I . \blacktriangleleft

In view of [Theorem E](#), a simple combinatorial argument (carried out as [Corollary 4.58](#)) gives the following inductive strategy for constructing C_{p^n} -commutative algebras *one norm at a time*.

Corollary G. Fix p prime and $n \geq 1$. For all $k < n$, write

$$I_{k-1}^k := \mathbb{F}_G^\simeq \cup \mathrm{Hom}(C_{p^n}/C_{p^k}, C_{p^n}/C_{p^{k+1}}) \subset \mathbb{F}_{C_p}$$

Then, I_{k-1}^k is a weak indexing system, and

$$\underline{\mathrm{CAlg}}_{I_{n-1}^n}^\otimes \cdots \underline{\mathrm{CAlg}}_{I_0^1}^\otimes \underline{\mathrm{CAlg}}^\otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \underline{\mathrm{CAlg}}_{C_{p^n}}^\otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

We offer various additional corollaries in [Sections 4.7](#) and [5.5](#) concerning lifts of various functors in equivariant homotopy theory to functors between categories of I -commutative algebras; included among these are equivariant factorization homology and equivariant algebraic K -theory. We go on to state a family of conjectures concerning further properties of equivariant higher algebra in [Section 6.3](#).

1.2. Notation and conventions.

1.3. Acknowledgements.