Lesson overview

Contents -

- 1. Classical information
- 2. Quantum information
 - Quantum states
 - Standard basis measurements
 - Unitary operations

Suppose that we have two systems:

- X is a system having classical state set Σ .
- Y is a system having classical state set Γ .

Imagine that X and Y are placed side-by-side, with X on the left and Y on the right, and viewed together as if they form a single system.

We denote this new compound system by (X, Y) or XY.

Question -

What are the classical states of (X, Y)?

Answer

The classical state set of (X, Y) is the Cartesian product

$$\Sigma \times \Gamma = \{(\alpha, b) : \alpha \in \Sigma \text{ and } b \in \Gamma\}$$

Question

What are the classical states of (X, Y)?

Answer -

The classical state set of (X, Y) is the Cartesian product

$$\Sigma \times \Gamma = \{(\alpha, b) : \alpha \in \Sigma \text{ and } b \in \Gamma\}$$

Example

If
$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$
 and $\Gamma = \{\clubsuit, \blacklozenge, \blacktriangledown, \spadesuit\}$, then

$$\Sigma \times \Gamma = \left\{ (0, \spadesuit), (0, \spadesuit), (0, \blacktriangledown), (0, \spadesuit), (1, \spadesuit), (1, \spadesuit), (1, \blacktriangledown), (1, \spadesuit) \right\}$$

This description generalizes to more than two systems in a natural way.

Suppose X_1,\dots,X_n are systems having classical state sets $\Sigma_1,\dots,\Sigma_n,$ respectively.

The classical state set of the n-tuple $(X_1,\dots,X_n$), viewed as a single compound system, is the Cartesian product

$$\Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_n = \{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) : \alpha_1 \in \Sigma_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Sigma_n\}$$

Example

If
$$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \Sigma_3 = \{0, 1\}$$
, then the classical state set of (X_1, X_2, X_3) is

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \times \Sigma_3 &= \big\{ (0,0,0), (0,0,1), (0,1,0), (0,1,1), \\ &\qquad (1,0,0), (1,0,1), (1,1,0), (1,1,1) \big\} \end{split}$$

all possible combination

An n-tuple (a_1, \ldots, a_n) may also be written as a string $a_1 \cdots a_n$.

Example

Suppose $\mathsf{X}_1,\dots,\mathsf{X}_{10}$ are bits, so their classical state sets are all the same:

$$\Sigma_1=\Sigma_2=\cdots=\Sigma_{10}=\{0,1\}$$

The classical state set of (X_1, \ldots, X_{10}) is the Cartesian product

$$\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{10} = \left\{0, 1\right\}^{10}$$

An n-tuple (a_1, \ldots, a_n) may also be written as a string $a_1 \cdots a_n$.

Example -

The classical state set of (X_1, \ldots, X_{10}) is the Cartesian product

$$\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{10} = \left\{0,1\right\}^{10}$$

Written as strings, these classical states look like this:

Convention

Cartesian products of classical state sets are ordered *lexicographically* (i.e., dictionary ordering):

- We assume the individual classical state sets are already ordered.
- Significance decreases from left to right.

Example

The Cartesian product $\{1, 2, 3\} \times \{0, 1\}$ is ordered like this:

$$(1,0), (1,1), (2,0), (2,1), (3,0), (3,1)$$

When n-tuples are written as strings and ordered in this way, we observe familiar patterns, such as $\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$ being ordered as 00,01,10,11.

Probabilistic states of compound systems associate probabilities with the Cartesian product of the classical state sets of the individual systems.

Example

This is a probabilistic state of a pair of bits (X,Y):

$$Pr((X,Y) = (0,0)) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$Pr((X,Y) = (0,1)) = 0$$

$$Pr((X,Y) = (1,0)) = 0$$

$$Pr((X,Y) = (1,1)) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Probabilistic states of compound systems associate probabilities with the Cartesian product of the classical state sets of the individual systems.

This is a probabilistic state of a pair of bits (X,Y): $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \leftarrow \text{ probability associated with state } 00 \\ \leftarrow \text{ probability associated with state } 01 \\ \leftarrow \text{ probability associated with state } 10 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{ probability associated with state } 11$

Definition

For a given probabilistic state of (X, Y), we say that X and Y are independent if absence of correlation

independent -> statistical independence, not linear independence of vectors

$$Pr((X,Y) = (a,b)) = Pr(X = a) Pr(Y = b)$$

for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $b \in \Gamma$.

Suppose that a probabilistic state of (X, Y) is expressed as a vector:

$$|\pi\rangle = \sum_{(a,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} p_{ab}|ab\rangle$$

The systems X and Y are independent if there exist probability vectors

$$\left| \, \varphi \right\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \, q_{\,\alpha} \left| \, \alpha \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \, \psi \, \right\rangle = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} \, r_b \left| \, b \, \right\rangle$$

such that $p_{ab} = q_a r_b$ for all $a \in \Sigma$ and $b \in \Gamma$.

nothing to do with one another learning one state of a system doesn't affect the probabilities associated with the state of other system

- 1. Choose X; ex: card
- 2. Choose Y; ex. dice

Example

4 3

The probabilistic state of a pair of bits (X, Y) represented by the vector

$$|\pi\rangle = \frac{1}{6}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{12}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{4}|11\rangle$$

is one in which ${\color{red}X}$ and ${\color{red}Y}$ are independent. The required condition is true for these probability vectors:

$$| \, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} | 0 \rangle + \frac{3}{4} | 1 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad | \psi \, \rangle = \frac{2}{3} | 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{3} | 1 \rangle$$

the probabilities for each two bits is given by the product of corresponding probabilities for individual bits.

Example

For the probabilistic state

$$\frac{1}{2}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|11\rangle$$

two bits are correlated

of two bits (X, Y), we have that X and Y are not independent.

If they were, we would have numbers q_0 , q_1 , r_0 , r_1 such that

$$q_0 r_0 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$q_0r_1=0$$

$$q_1r_0=0$$

$$q_1 r_1 = \frac{1}{2}$$

But if $q_0r_1=0$, then either $q_0=0$ or $r_1=0$ (or both), contradicting either the first or last equality.

Definition

The tensor product of two vectors

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \alpha_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle$$
 and $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} \beta_{b} |b\rangle$

is the vector

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle = \sum_{(a,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} \alpha_a \beta_b |ab\rangle$$

Equivalently, the vector $|\pi\rangle = |\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle$ is defined by this condition:

$$\langle ab|\pi \rangle = \langle a|\phi \rangle \langle b|\psi \rangle$$
 (for all $a \in \Sigma$ and $b \in \Gamma$)

Definition $|\phi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \alpha_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi\rangle = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} \beta_{b} |b\rangle$ $|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle = \sum_{(\alpha,b) \in \Sigma \times \Gamma} \alpha_{\alpha} \beta_{b} |\alpha b\rangle$

Example
$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{4}|0\rangle + \frac{3}{4}|1\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi\rangle = \frac{2}{3}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{3}|1\rangle$$
$$|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{6}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{12}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{4}|11\rangle$$

Definition
$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \alpha_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi\rangle = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} \beta_{b} |b\rangle$$

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle = \sum_{(\alpha,b) \in \Sigma \times \Gamma} \alpha_{\alpha} \beta_{b} |\alpha b\rangle$$

Alternative notation for tensor products:

$$| \phi \rangle | \psi \rangle = | \phi \rangle \otimes | \psi \rangle$$
 tensor product -> not matrix multiplication $| \phi \otimes \psi \rangle = | \phi \rangle \otimes | \psi \rangle$

Following our convention for ordering the elements of Cartesian product sets, we obtain this specification for the tensor product of two column vectors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{m} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{1} \beta_{k} \\ \alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{2} \beta_{k} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{m} \beta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{m} \beta_{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

```
Example
                                                                                               \alpha_1 \beta_1
                                                                                               \alpha_1\beta_2
                                                                                               \alpha_1 \beta_3
                                                                                               \alpha_1 \beta_4
                                                                                               \alpha_2\beta_1
                                                                                               \alpha_2\beta_2
                                                                                               \alpha_2\beta_4
                                                                                                \alpha_3\beta_1
                                                                                                \alpha_3\beta_2
                                                                                                \alpha_3\beta_3
                                                                                               \alpha_3\beta_4
```

Observe the following expression for tensor products of standard basis vectors:

$$|a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle = |a\rangle |b\rangle = |ab\rangle$$

Alternatively, writing ($\alpha,\,b$) as an ordered pair rather than a string, we could write

$$|a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle = |(a,b)\rangle$$

but it is more common to write

$$|a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle = |a,b\rangle$$

(It is a standard convention in mathematics to eliminate parentheses when they do not serve to add clarity or remove ambiguity.)

Important property of tensor products

The tensor product of two vectors is bilinear.

1. Linearity in the first argument:

$$\begin{split} \left(\left|\,\varphi_{1}\right\rangle +\left|\,\varphi_{2}\right\rangle\right)\otimes\left|\,\psi\right\rangle &=\left|\,\varphi_{1}\right\rangle\otimes\left|\,\psi\right\rangle +\left|\,\varphi_{2}\right\rangle\otimes\left|\,\psi\right\rangle \\ &\left(\,\alpha\left|\,\varphi\right\rangle\right)\otimes\left|\,\psi\right\rangle &=\alpha\left(\left|\,\varphi\right\rangle\otimes\left|\,\psi\right\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

2. Linearity in the second argument:

$$\begin{split} | \, \varphi \rangle \otimes \left(| \psi_1 \rangle + | \psi_2 \rangle \right) &= | \, \varphi \rangle \otimes | \psi_1 \rangle + | \, \varphi \rangle \otimes | \psi_2 \rangle \\ | \, \varphi \rangle \otimes \left(\alpha | \psi \rangle \right) &= \alpha \big(| \, \varphi \rangle \otimes | \psi \rangle \big) \end{split}$$

Notice that scalars "float freely" within tensor products:

$$\big(\alpha|\varphi\rangle\big)\otimes|\psi\rangle=|\varphi\rangle\otimes\big(\alpha|\psi\rangle\big)=\alpha\big(|\varphi\rangle\otimes|\psi\rangle\big)=\alpha|\varphi\rangle\otimes|\psi\rangle$$

Tensor products generalize to three or more systems.

If $|\phi_1\rangle, \ldots, |\phi_n\rangle$ are vectors, then the tensor product

$$|\psi\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\phi_n\rangle$$

is defined by the equation

$$\langle a_1 \cdots a_n | \psi \rangle = \langle a_1 | \phi_1 \rangle \cdots \langle a_n | \phi_n \rangle$$

Equivalently, the tensor product of three or more vectors can be defined recursively:

$$\left| \varphi_1 \right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \varphi_n \right\rangle = \left(\left| \varphi_1 \right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \varphi_{n-1} \right\rangle \right) \otimes \left| \varphi_n \right\rangle$$

The tensor product of three or more vectors is *multilinear*.

Measurements of compound systems work in the same way as measurements of single systems — provided that all of the systems are measured.

Example

Suppose that two bits (X, Y) are in the probabilistic state

$$\frac{1}{2}|00\rangle+\frac{1}{2}|11\rangle$$

Measuring both bits yields the outcome 00 with probability 1/2 and the outcome 11 with probability 1/2.

Ouestion

Suppose two systems (X, Y) are together in some probabilistic state. What happens when we measure X and do nothing to Y?

Answer

1. The probability to observe a particular classical state $\alpha \in \Sigma$ when just X is measured is

$$Pr(X = a) = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} Pr((X, Y) = (a, b))$$

There may still exist uncertainty about the classical state of Y, depending on the outcome of the measurement:

$$Pr(Y = b \mid X = a) = \frac{Pr((X, Y) = (a, b))}{Pr(X = a)}$$

These formulas can be expressed using the Dirac notation as follows.

Suppose that (X, Y) is in some arbitrary probabilistic state:

$$\sum_{(\alpha,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} p_{\alpha b} \, \big| \, \alpha b \big\rangle = \sum_{(\alpha,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} p_{\alpha b} \, \big| \, \alpha \big\rangle \otimes \big| \, b \big\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \big| \, \alpha \big\rangle \otimes \left(\sum_{b\in\Gamma} p_{\alpha b} \, \big| \, b \big\rangle \right)$$

1. The probability that a measurement of X yields an outcome $\alpha \in \Sigma$ is

$$Pr(X = a) = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} p_{ab}$$

2. Conditioned on the outcome $\alpha \in \Sigma$, the probabilistic state of Y becomes

need to normalize it
$$\frac{\sum_{b \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha b} |b\rangle}{\sum_{c \in \Gamma} p_{\alpha c}}$$

Example

Suppose (X, Y) is in the probabilistic state

$$\frac{1}{12}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{4}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{3}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{3}|11\rangle$$

We write this vector as follows:

$$\left|0\right\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{1}{12}\left|0\right\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\left|1\right\rangle\right) + \left|1\right\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{1}{3}\left|0\right\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\left|1\right\rangle\right)$$

Example

Suppose (X, Y) is in the probabilistic state

$$|0\rangle \otimes \left(\overline{\frac{1}{12}} |0\rangle + \overline{\frac{1}{4}} |1\rangle \right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\overline{\frac{1}{3}} |0\rangle + \overline{\frac{1}{3}} |1\rangle \right)$$

split |0> in front with tensor

Case 1: the measurement outcome is 0.

Pr(outcome is 0) =
$$\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{3}$$
 E first **bit**

Conditioned on this outcome, the probabilistic state of Y becomes after measuring first bit

$$\frac{\frac{1}{12}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{4}|1\rangle}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{4}|0\rangle + \frac{3}{4}|1\rangle$$

Example

Suppose (X, Y) is in the probabilistic state

$$|0\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{1}{12}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{4}|1\rangle\right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{1}{3}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{3}|1\rangle\right)$$

Case 2: the measurement outcome is 1.

Pr(outcome is 1) =
$$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$$

Conditioned on this outcome, the probabilistic state of Y becomes

$$\frac{\frac{1}{3}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{3}|1\rangle}{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle$$

The same method can be used when Y is measured rather than X. Suppose that (X, Y) is in some arbitrary probabilistic state:

$$\sum_{(\alpha,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} p_{\alpha b} \, |\, \alpha b \rangle = \sum_{(\alpha,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} p_{\alpha b} \, |\, \alpha \rangle \otimes |\, b \rangle = \sum_{b\in\Gamma} \Biggl(\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} p_{\alpha b} \, |\, \alpha \rangle \Biggr) \otimes |\, b \rangle$$

1. The probability that a measurement of Y yields an outcome $\alpha \in \Sigma$ is

$$Pr(Y = b) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} p_{\alpha b}$$

2. Conditioned on the outcome $b \in \Gamma$, the probabilistic state of X becomes

$$\frac{\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} p_{\alpha b} |\alpha\rangle}{\sum_{c \in \Sigma} p_{c,b}}$$

Probabilistic operations on compound systems are represented by stochastic matrices having rows and columns that correspond to the Cartesian product of the individual systems' classical state sets.

Example -

A controlled-NOT operation on two bits X and Y:

If X = 1, then perform a NOT operation on Y, otherwise do nothing.

X is the *control bit* that determines whether or not a NOT operation is applied to the *target bit* Y.

Example

A *controlled-NOT* operation on two bits X and Y:

If X = 1, then perform a NOT operation on Y, otherwise do nothing.

X is the *control bit* that determines whether or not a NOT operation is applied to the *target bit* Y.

Action on standard basis ————

$$|00\rangle \mapsto |00\rangle$$

$$|01\rangle \mapsto |01\rangle$$

$$|10\rangle \mapsto |11\rangle$$

$$|11\rangle \mapsto |10\rangle$$

Matrix representation —

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if first bit = 1, then NOT second bit

Example

Here is a different operation on two bits (X, Y):

With probability 1/2, set Y to be equal to X, otherwise set X to be equal to Y.

The matrix representation of this operation is as follows:

Question

Suppose we have two probabilistic operations, each on its own system, described by stochastic matrices:

- 1. M is an operation on X.
- 2. N is an operation on Y.

If we simultaneously perform the two operations, how do we describe the effect on the compound system (X, Y)?

Definition

The tensor product of two matrices

$$M = \sum_{\alpha, b \in \Sigma} \alpha_{\alpha b} |\alpha\rangle\langle b| \qquad \text{and} \qquad N = \sum_{c, d \in \Gamma} \beta_{c d} |c\rangle\langle d|$$

is the matrix

$$M \otimes N = \sum_{\alpha, b \in \Sigma} \sum_{c, d \in \Gamma} \alpha_{\alpha b} \beta_{c d} |\alpha c\rangle \langle b d|$$

Equivalently, $M \otimes N$ is defined by this condition:

$$\langle \, \alpha c \, | \, M \otimes N \, | \, b \, d \, \rangle = \langle \, \alpha \, | \, M \, | \, b \, \rangle \langle \, c \, | \, N \, | \, d \, \rangle \quad \text{(for all α, $b \in \Sigma$ and b, $d \in \Gamma$)}$$

Definition
$$M = \sum_{\alpha,b \in \Sigma} \alpha_{\alpha b} |\alpha\rangle\langle b| \quad \text{and} \quad N = \sum_{c,d \in \Gamma} \beta_{cd} |c\rangle\langle d|$$

$$M \otimes N = \sum_{\alpha,b \in \Sigma} \sum_{c,d \in \Gamma} \alpha_{\alpha b} \beta_{cd} |\alpha c\rangle\langle b d|$$

An alternative, but equivalent, way to define $M\otimes N$ is that it is the unique matrix that satisfies the equation

$$(M\otimes N)|\varphi\otimes\psi\rangle=M|\varphi\rangle\otimes N|\psi\rangle$$

for every choice of vectors $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.

```
\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m1} & \cdots & \alpha_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11} & \cdots & \beta_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{k1} & \cdots & \beta_{kk} \end{pmatrix}
                                            \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{m1}\beta_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{m1}\beta_{1k} & & \alpha_{mm}\beta_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{mm}\beta_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m1}\beta_{k1} & \cdots & \alpha_{m1}\beta_{kk} & & \alpha_{mm}\beta_{k1} & \cdots & \alpha_{mm}\beta_{kk} \end{vmatrix}
```

```
Example
                                   \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{00} & \alpha_{01} \\ \alpha_{10} & \alpha_{11} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{00} & \beta_{01} \\ \beta_{10} & \beta_{11} \end{pmatrix}
                                                                                                                              \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{00}\,\beta_{00} & \alpha_{00}\,\beta_{01} & \alpha_{01}\,\beta_{00} & \alpha_{01}\,\beta_{01} \\ \alpha_{00}\,\beta_{10} & \alpha_{00}\,\beta_{11} & \alpha_{01}\,\beta_{10} & \alpha_{01}\,\beta_{11} \\ \alpha_{10}\,\beta_{00} & \alpha_{10}\,\beta_{01} & \alpha_{11}\,\beta_{00} & \alpha_{11}\,\beta_{01} \\ \alpha_{10}\,\beta_{10} & \alpha_{10}\,\beta_{11} & \alpha_{11}\,\beta_{10} & \alpha_{11}\,\beta_{11} \end{pmatrix}
```

Tensor products of three or more matrices are defined in an analogous way.

If M_1, \ldots, M_n are matrices, then the tensor product $M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n$ is defined by the condition product of corresponding entries

$$\langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n | M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n | b_1 \cdots b_n \rangle = \langle \alpha_1 | M_1 | b_1 \rangle \cdots \langle \alpha_n | M_n | b_n \rangle$$

Alternatively, the tensor product of three or more matrices can be defined recursively, similar to what we observed for vectors.

The tensor product of matrices is *multiplicative*:

$$(M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n)(N_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes N_n) = (M_1 N_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (M_n N_n)$$

Operations on probabilistic states

Question

Suppose we have two probabilistic operations, each on its own system, described by stochastic matrices:

- 1. M is an operation on X.
- 2. N is an operation on Y.

If we *simultaneously* perform the two operations, how do we describe the effect on the compound system (X, Y)?

Answer

The action is described by the tensor product $M \otimes N$.

Tensor products represent *independence* — this time between operations.

Operations on probabilistic states

Example

Recall this operation from Lesson 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose this operation is performed on a bit X, and a NOT operation is (independently) performed on a second bit Y.


The combined operation on the compound system (X, Y) then has this matrix representation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Operations on probabilistic states

A common situation that we encounter is one in which one operation is performed on one system and *nothing* is done to another system.

The same prescription is followed, noting that doing nothing is represented by the *identity matrix*.



Quantum state vectors of multiple systems are represent by column vectors whose indices correspond to the Cartesian product of the individual systems' classical state sets

Example

If X and Y are qubits, the classical state set for the pair (X, Y) is

$$\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} = \{00,01,10,11\}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}|00\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|10\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|11\rangle \\ \\ \frac{3}{5}|00\rangle - \frac{4}{5}|11\rangle \\ \\ |01\rangle \end{split}$$

Quantum state vectors of multiple systems are represent by column vectors whose indices correspond to the Cartesian product of the individual systems' classical state sets

Example

If X and Y are qubits, the classical state set for the pair (X, Y) is

$$\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} = \{00,01,10,11\}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|0\rangle|1\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle|0\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|1\rangle|1\rangle \\ \\ \frac{3}{5}|0\rangle|0\rangle - \frac{4}{5}|1\rangle|1\rangle \\ \\ |0\rangle|1\rangle \end{split}$$

Quantum state vectors of multiple systems are represent by column vectors whose indices correspond to the Cartesian product of the individual systems' classical state sets.

Example

If X and Y are qubits, the classical state set for the pair (X, Y) is

$$\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} = \{00,01,10,11\}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\otimes|0\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|0\rangle\otimes|1\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\otimes|0\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|1\rangle\otimes|1\rangle \\ \\ \frac{3}{5}|0\rangle\otimes|0\rangle - \frac{4}{5}|1\rangle\otimes|1\rangle \\ \\ |0\rangle\otimes|1\rangle \end{split}$$

Quantum state vectors of multiple systems are represent by column vectors whose indices correspond to the Cartesian product of the individual systems' classical state sets.

Example

If X and Y are qubits, the classical state set for the pair (X, Y) is

$$\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} = \{00,01,10,11\}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle_X|0\rangle_Y + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|0\rangle_X|1\rangle_Y - \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle_X|0\rangle_Y - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|1\rangle_X|1\rangle_Y \\ \\ \frac{3}{5}|0\rangle_X|0\rangle_Y - \frac{4}{5}|1\rangle_X|1\rangle_Y \\ \\ |0\rangle_X|1\rangle_Y \end{split}$$

Quantum state vectors of multiple systems are represent by column vectors whose indices correspond to the Cartesian product of the individual systems' classical state sets.

Example

If X and Y are qubits, the classical state set for the pair (X, Y) is

$$\{0,1\} \times \{0,1\} = \{00,01,10,11\}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}|00\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|10\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|11\rangle \\ \\ \frac{3}{5}|00\rangle - \frac{4}{5}|11\rangle \\ \\ |01\rangle \end{split}$$

Tensor products of quantum state vectors are also quantum state vectors.

Let $|\varphi\rangle$ be a quantum state vector of a system X and let $|\psi\rangle$ be a quantum state vector of a system Y. The tensor product

$$|\varphi\rangle\otimes|\psi\rangle$$

is then a quantum state vector of the system (X, Y).

States of this form are called *product states*. They represent *independence* between the systems X and Y.

More generally, if $|\psi_1\rangle,\ldots,|\psi_n\rangle$ are quantum state vectors of systems X_1,\ldots,X_n , then

$$|\psi_1\rangle\otimes\cdots\otimes|\psi_n\rangle$$

is a quantum state vector representing a product state of the compound system (X_1, \ldots, X_n) .

- Example

The quantum state vector

$$\frac{1}{2}|00\rangle+\frac{\dot{\iota}}{2}|01\rangle-\frac{1}{2}|10\rangle-\frac{\dot{\iota}}{2}|11\rangle$$

is an example of a product state:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\left|00\right\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left|01\right\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\left|10\right\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left|11\right\rangle \\ = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|0\right\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|1\right\rangle\right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|0\right\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\left|1\right\rangle\right) \end{split}$$

$$|0\rangle\langle3\left[\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle+\frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\right]-|1\rangle\langle3\rangle$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle+\frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\right)$$

$$\left(|0\rangle-|1\rangle\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle+\frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\right)$$

Example

The quantum state vector

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$$

of two qubits is not a product state.

Suppose it were possible to write

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big|00\big\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big|11\big\rangle=\big|\varphi\big\rangle\otimes\big|\psi\big\rangle$$

It would then follow that

$$\langle 0|\varphi\rangle\langle 1|\psi\rangle=\langle 01|\varphi\otimes\psi\rangle=0$$

implying that

$$\langle 0|\varphi\rangle$$
 = 0 or $\langle 1|\psi\rangle$ = 0 (or both)

This contradicts these equalities:

$$\langle 0|\phi\rangle\langle 0|\psi\rangle = \langle 00|\phi\otimes\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$\langle 1|\phi\rangle\langle 1|\psi\rangle = \langle 11|\phi\otimes\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Example

The quantum state vector

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$$

of two qubits is not a product state.

Entanglement

The previous example of a quantum state vector is one of the four

Bell states, which collectively form the Bell basis.

The Bell basis

$$|\Phi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$$

$$|\Phi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$$

$$|\Psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$$

$$|\Psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$$

Here are a couple of well-known examples of quantum state vectors for three-qubits.

GHZ state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|000\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|111\rangle$$

W state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|001\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|010\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|100\rangle$$

Measurements of compound systems work in the same way measurements of single systems — provided that all of the systems are measured.

If $|\psi\rangle$ a quantum state of a system $(X_1,\dots,X_n),$ and every one of the systems is measured, then each n-tuple

$$(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\in\Sigma_1\times\cdots\times\Sigma_n$$

(or string $a_1 \cdots a_n$) is obtained with probability

$$\left|\left\langle a_{1}\cdots a_{n}|\psi\right\rangle \right|^{2}$$

Measurements of compound systems work in the same way measurements of single systems — provided that all of the systems are measured.

Example

If the pair (X, Y) is in the quantum state

then measuring both systems yields the outcome $(0, \P)$ with probability 9/25 and the outcome $(1, \Phi)$ with probability 16/25.

Ouestion -

Suppose two systems (X, Y) are together in some $\frac{quantum}{quantum}$ state.

What happens when we measure X and do nothing to Y?

A quantum state vector of (X, Y) takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{(a,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} \alpha_{ab} |ab\rangle$$

If both X and Y are measured, then each outcome (a,b) $\in \Sigma \times \Gamma$ appears with probability

$$|\langle ab|\psi\rangle|^2 = |\alpha_{ab}|^2$$

Question -

Suppose two systems (X, Y) are together in some $\frac{quantum}{quantum}$ state. What happens when we measure X and do nothing to Y?

A quantum state vector of (X, Y) takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{(a,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} \alpha_{ab} |ab\rangle$$

If just X is measured, the probability for each outcome $\alpha \in \Sigma$ to appear must therefore be equal to

Pr(outcome is
$$\alpha$$
) = $\sum_{b \in \Gamma} |\langle \alpha b | \psi \rangle|^2 = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} |\alpha_{\alpha b}|^2$

Similar to the probabilistic setting, the quantum state of Y changes as a result...

A quantum state vector of (X, Y) takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{(a,b)\in\Sigma\times\Gamma} \alpha_{ab} |ab\rangle$$

We can express the vector $|\psi\rangle$ as separate the sum of $|a\rangle$

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} |\alpha\rangle \otimes |\phi_{\alpha}\rangle$$

where

$$|\phi_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} \alpha_{\alpha b} |b\rangle$$

for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

A quantum state vector of (X, Y) takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} |\alpha\rangle \otimes |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle \qquad \text{where} \qquad |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} \alpha_{\alpha b} |b\rangle$$

1. The probability to obtain each outcome $\alpha \in \Sigma$ is

$$\mathsf{Pr}(\mathsf{outcome}\;\mathsf{is}\;\alpha) = \sum_{b \in \Gamma} \left| \alpha_{\alpha b} \right|^2 = \left\| \left| \varphi_{\alpha} \right\rangle \right\|^2$$

2. As a result of the standard basis measurement of X giving the outcome α , the quantum state of (X,Y) becomes

$$|\alpha\rangle \otimes \frac{|\phi_{\alpha}\rangle}{\||\phi_{\alpha}\rangle\|}$$

divided by Eucledian norm

$$|0\rangle\langle\rangle$$
 $\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\right] + |1\rangle\langle\rangle\left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right]$

- Example

Suppose that (X, Y) is in the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|01\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$$

and \boldsymbol{X} is measured.

We begin by writing

$$|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right)$$

$$\frac{34}{4} \longrightarrow \frac{34}{4}$$

$$8\left(\frac{2}{3} \times 7 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \times 12\right)$$

probability measurement of X

in 107 state

Example

Suppose that (X, Y) is in the state

$$|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right)$$

and X is measured.

The probability for the measurement to result in the outcome 0 is

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$$

in which case the state of (X, Y) becomes

$$|0\rangle \otimes \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}} = |0\rangle \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|1\rangle\right)$$

Example

Suppose that (X, Y) is in the state

$$|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right)$$

and X is measured.

The probability for the measurement to result in the outcome 1 is

$$\left\| \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4}$$

in which case the state of (X, Y) becomes

$$|1\rangle \otimes \frac{\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}} = |1\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right)$$

Example

Suppose that (X, Y) is in the state

$$\big|\psi\big\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big|00\big\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\big|01\big\rangle + \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}\big|10\big\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\big|11\big\rangle$$

and Y is measured.

We begin by writing

$$|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |0\rangle + \left(\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |1\rangle$$

butcome o for Y meas.

Example

Suppose that (X, Y) is in the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |0\rangle + \left(\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |1\rangle$$

and Y is measured.

The probability for the measurement to result in the outcome 0 is

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}$$

in which case the state of (X, Y) becomes

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{\frac{5}{8}}} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{4}{5}}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{\sqrt{5}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |0\rangle$$

Example

Suppose that (X, Y) is in the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |0\rangle + \left(\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |1\rangle$$

and Y is measured.

The probability for the measurement to result in the outcome 1 is

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{3}{8}$$

in which case the state of (X, Y) becomes

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes |1\rangle$$

Quantum operations on compound systems are represented by unitary matrices whose rows and columns correspond to the Cartesian product of the classical state sets of the individual systems.

Example

Suppose X has classical state set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and Y has classical state set $\{0, 1\}$. This unitary matrix represents an operation on (X, Y):

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The combined action of a collection of unitary operations applied independently to a collection of systems is represented by the *tensor product* of the unitary matrices.

That is, if X_1,\ldots,X_n are quantum systems, U_1,\ldots,U_n are unitary matrices representing operations on these systems, and the operations are performed independently on the systems, the combined action on (X_1,\ldots,X_n) is represented by the matrix

$$U_1\otimes \cdots \otimes U_n$$

In particular, if we perform a unitary operation U on a system X and $\frac{do}{do}$ nothing to a system Y, the operation on (X,Y) we obtain is represented by the unitary matrix

 $U\otimes \mathbb{1}$ or alternatively $U\otimes \mathbb{1}_Y$

The combined action of a collection of unitary operations applied independently to a collection of systems is represented by the *tensor product* of the unitary matrices.

That is, if X_1,\ldots,X_n are quantum systems, U_1,\ldots,U_n are unitary matrices representing operations on these systems, and the operations are performed independently on the systems, the combined action on (X_1,\ldots,X_n) is represented by the matrix

$$U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n$$

In particular, if we perform a unitary operation V on a system Y and do nothing to a system X, the operation on (X,Y) we obtain is represented by the unitary matrix

 $\mathbb{1} \otimes V$ or alternatively $\mathbb{1}_{\mathsf{X}} \otimes V$

Example

Suppose X and Y are gubits.

Performing a Hadamard operation on X and doing nothing to Y is equivalent to performing this unitary operation on (X,Y):

$$\mathsf{H} \otimes \mathbb{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

Suppose X and Y are qubits.

Performing a Hadamard operation on Y and doing nothing to X is equivalent to performing this unitary operation on (X,Y):

$$1 \otimes H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Not every unitary operation on a compound system can be expressed as a tensor product of unitary operations.

Example

Suppose that X and Y are systems that share the same classical state set Σ . The <u>swap operation</u> on the pair (X, Y) exchange the contents of the two systems:

$$\mathsf{SWAP}|\phi \otimes \psi\rangle = |\psi \otimes \phi\rangle$$

It can be expressed using the Dirac notation as follows:

$$\mathsf{SWAP} = \sum_{a,b \in \Sigma} |a\rangle\langle b| \otimes |b\rangle\langle a|$$

Not every unitary operation on a compound system can be expressed as a tensor product of unitary operations.

Example

The swap operation can be expressed using the Dirac notation as follows:

$$\mathsf{SWAP} = \sum_{\alpha, b \in \Sigma} |\alpha\rangle\langle b| \otimes |b\rangle\langle \alpha|$$

For instance, when X and Y are gubits, we find that

$$SWAP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Not every unitary operation on a compound system can be expressed as a tensor product of unitary operations.

Example

$$SWAP|\phi^{+}\rangle = |\phi^{+}\rangle$$

$$SWAP|\phi^{-}\rangle = |\phi^{-}\rangle$$

$$SWAP|\psi^{+}\rangle = |\psi^{+}\rangle$$

$$SWAP|\psi^{-}\rangle = -|\psi^{-}\rangle$$

$$|\psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$$

$$|\psi^{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$$

$$|\psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$$

Suppose that X is a qubit and Y is an arbitrary system.

For every unitary operation U on Y, a <u>controlled-U</u> operation is a unitary operation on the pair (X,Y) defined as follows:

$$|0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes \mathbb{1}_{Y}+|1\rangle\langle 1|\otimes U=\begin{pmatrix}\mathbb{1}_{Y}&0\\0&U\end{pmatrix}$$

Example

A controlled-NOT operation (where the first qubit is the control):

$$|0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes 1 + |1\rangle\langle 1|\otimes \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose that X is a qubit and Y is an arbitrary system.

For every unitary operation U on Y, a <u>controlled-U</u> operation is a unitary operation on the pair (X, Y) defined as follows:

$$|0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes \mathbb{1}_{Y}+|1\rangle\langle 1|\otimes U=\begin{pmatrix}\mathbb{1}_{Y}&0\\0&U\end{pmatrix}$$

Example

A controlled-NOT operation (where the second qubit is the control):

$$1 \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sigma_{x} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose that X is a qubit and Y is an arbitrary system.

For every unitary operation U on Y, a controlled-U operation is a unitary operation on the pair (X,Y) defined as follows:

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes 1_{Y} + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes U = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{Y} & 0\\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

A controlled- σ_z (or controlled-Z) operation:

$$|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes 1 + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose that X is a qubit and Y is an arbitrary system.

For every unitary operation U on Y, a controlled-U operation is a unitary operation on the pair (X,Y) defined as follows:

$$|0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes \mathbb{1}_{Y}+|1\rangle\langle 1|\otimes U=\begin{pmatrix}\mathbb{1}_{Y}&0\\0&U\end{pmatrix}$$

Example

A controlled- σ_z (or controlled-Z) operation:

$$1 \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sigma_z \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

A controlled-SWAP operation (on three gubits):

$$0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes \mathbb{1}+|1\rangle\langle 1|\otimes SWAP = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This operation is also known as a *Fredkin operation* (or Fredkin gate).

Example

A controlled-controlled-NOT operation (on three qubits):

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{1} + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes \sigma_{\chi}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

This operation is better known as a *Toffoli operation* (or Toffoli gate).