# MGTST-Outline

# Nate Olson 2016-10-26

#### **Objectives**

- $\bullet$  Provide a detailed description of the dataset and qa/qc methods used to validate assumptions regarding the sample composition
- Demonstrate how the dataset is used to evaluate pipeline performance and differential abundance methods
- Provide an R package to facilitate using the dataset for evaluating normalization and differential abundance detection methods

## Abstract

# Background

### Methods

## Experimental design

- two sample titration
- sample selection
- PCR, library prep, multiple laboratories

## Sequencing

- PCR region
- barcode
- sequencing
- library prep qc

## Mixture QC

- 16S qPCR
- ERCC qPCR

#### Sequence processing

- Pipelines
  - Mothur
  - QIIME
  - DADA2
  - POP

- Pipeline summaries
  - dataset characterizations

#### Data analysis

- Normalization Methods
- Differential Abundance Methods

#### **Evalution Metrics**

- Normalization bais and variance
  - Variance negative binomial
  - Bias difference expected value based on unmixed samples and observed value
- Differential abundance bias and variance
  - Variance estimated by differential abundance methods
  - Bias using pre and post specific OTUs

#### Results

#### Seq results summary table

- Seg dataset characterization number of reads per sample ect.
- Seq Pipeline summary otus, alpha and beta diversity of unmixed samples
  - provides a general characterization of unmixed samples
- Figure MA plot color Pre and post specific OTUs, grey other OTUs, facet by pipeline
  - Pre and Post unique OTUs

#### **Titration Validation**

- Use of ERCC spike-ins to validate the pre and post samples were mixed as expected
- Bacterial abundance qPCR used to validate that bacterial DNA concentration is equivalent between unmixed pre and post samples

#### Sequence processing summary

- Table summarizing Total and per sample OTUs and sequences
- Figure or Table? Summary of Pre vs. Post specific OTUs used in unmixed sample abundance free logFC ratio estimates
  - \* abundance and taxonomy
  - \* only OTUs not present in all four PCR replicates

#### Normalization

- section objective used PCR replicate variance values to validate normalization methods
- Technical replicate variance distributions for different pipelines and normalization methods
- Bias for different pipelines and normalization methods

#### Differential Abundance

- section objective demonstrate how the dataset can be used to evaluate the limit of differential abundance detection
- Differential abundance dectection between unmixed and tritrated samples
  - Pre and post specific OTUs
  - All OTUs

#### Discussion

- Validation of two sample titrations using qPCR
- Differences between pipelines
  - General statements
- Normalization methods
- Differential abundance methods

## Acknowladgements

## References

# Supplemental

## Sample Selection

#### wetlab QC

• sample concentration results summary

## Seq data QA

- number of reads
- read length distributions
- PhiX error rate analysis
- base quality summary

#### Pipeline

- Seq budget summarize fate of sequences, number successful merged read pairs, chimera filtered, alignment?, ect.
  - Table pipeline sequence budget
    - \* number of reads filtered due to low quality
    - \* number of reads merged
    - \* number of chimeras

## Characterizing Sources of variability

#### Response Variance

- Experimental replicate variance how is the variance correlated with different types of technical replicates, how does the variance differ for pipelines
  - section objective characterize count variance between PCR replicates
    - \* is the variance correlated with experimental values e.g. biological sample, PCR plate, well, sequencing depth, or observed count value
  - Figure relationship between count and PCR replicate variance

## Response linearity

- section objective demonstrate how the dataset is used to characterize relative abundance estimates and identify potential sources of bias
- Figure observed vs expected plots
- Figure representative OTUs showing different types of response linearity
- Differentiating between high and low linearity OTUs