

## Exercise Set 8: NP or not NP?

The first thing you should do in `exercise8.tex` is set up your name as the author of the submission by replacing the line, `\submitter{TODO: your name}`, with your name and UVA email id, e.g., `\submitter{Grace Hopper (gmh1a)}`.

Before submitting, also remember to:

- List your collaborators and resources, replacing the `TODO` in `\collaborators{TODO: replace ...}` with your collaborators and resources. (Remember to update this before submitting if you work with more people.)
- Replace the second line in `exercise8.tex`, `\usepackage{uvatoc}` with `\usepackage[response1]{uvatoc}`, `\usepackage[response2]{uvatoc}`, etc. for the appropriate problem submission.

**Collaborators and Resources:** `TODO`: replace this with your collaborators and resources (if you did not have any, replace this with *None*)

### Exercise 8-1: Silly Reductions

Consider the *SORTING* and *MINIMUM* problems defined below:

*SORTING*

**Input:** A list of  $n$  natural numbers,  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ .

**Output:** An ordering of the input list,  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}$  where  $\{i_1\} \cup \{i_2\} \cup \dots \cup \{i_n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $x_{i_k} \leq x_{i_{k+1}}$ .

*MINIMUM*

**Input:** A list of  $n$  natural numbers,  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ .

**Output:** A member,  $x_m$ , such that  $x_m \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $x_m \leq x_k$ .

- (a) Show that  $MINIMUM \leq_p SORTING$ .
- (b) Show that  $SORTING \leq_p MINIMUM$ .
- (c) Does this mean that *MINIMUM* and *SORTING* are equivalently hard problems?

### Exercise 8-2: Jeffersonian Paths

The Hamiltonian Path problem (not named after Alexander), defined below, is known to be NP-complete.

*HAMILTONIAN PATH*

**Input:** A description of an undirected, finite graph,  $G = (V, E)$ .

**Output:** **True** if there exists a path in  $G$  that visits each vertex in  $V$  exactly once; otherwise **False**.

Despite his declarations to the contrary, Jefferson does not consider all vertices equal, and defines the *JEFFERSONIAN PATH* problem as:

*JEFFERSONIAN PATH*

**Input:** A description of an undirected, finite graph,  $G = (V, E)$ , and a partitioning of  $V$  into two subsets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$  and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ .

**Output:** **True** if there exists a path in  $G$  that visits each vertex in  $V$  exactly once, where all vertices in  $V_1$  are visited before any vertex in  $V_2$ ; otherwise **False**.

Prove *JEFFERSONIAN PATH* is NP-complete.

### Exercise 8-3: TAUT

**Definition 1 (CNF)** We say that a formula is in conjunctive normal form (CNF for short) if it is an AND of ORs of variables or their negations. E.g.  $(x_7 \vee \overline{x_{22}} \vee x_{15}) \wedge (x_{37} \vee x_{22} \vee \overline{x_7})$  is in CNF. We say that it is k-CNF if there are exactly k variables per clause (group of variables combined with OR).

**Definition 2 (DNF)** We say that a formula is in disjunctive normal form (DNF for short) if it is an OR of ANDs of variables or their negations. E.g.  $(x_7 \wedge \overline{x_{22}} \wedge x_{15}) \vee (x_{37} \wedge x_{22} \wedge \overline{x_7})$  is in DNF. We say that it is k-DNF if there are exactly k variables per clause (group of variables combined with AND).

We know that 3-SAT is NP – Complete, where 3-SAT requires determining whether there exists at least one way to assign Boolean values to each variable in a 3-CNF formula so that the formula evaluates to True.

For this question we will show 3-TAUT is NP – Hard. This problem requires determining whether *every* assignment causes a 3-DNF formula to evaluate to True (i.e., no assignments will cause the formula to evaluate to False).

1. Show 3-TAUT is NP – Hard.
2. 3-TAUT is not known to belong to NP. Give an intuitive reason why it is difficult to show that 3-TAUT belongs to NP.

### Exercise 8-4: Running Time Analysis

Consider the *INCREMENT* problem defined below:

**Input:** A natural number,  $x$ , encoded using binary representation with *least significant bit first*.

**Output:** A binary encoding, least significant bit first, of  $x + 1$ .

Characterize using asymptotic notation the *worst-case* running time cost for solving *INCREMENT*, where cost is the number of steps required by a standard Turing Machine. (You should be able to get a tight bound using  $\Theta$  notation. Use  $n$  to represent the size of the input in your answer.)

Does this change if the input is encoded using binary representation with *most significant bit first*?