

State AG Enforcement Tracker

Methodology & Coverage | February 2026

The State AG Enforcement Tracker is a structured, searchable dataset of enforcement actions taken by state Attorneys General offices. It captures **who was sued, by which AG, for what violation, under what statute, for how much, and whether it was part of a multi-state coordinated effort** — enabling systematic tracking of state-level enforcement risk across industries and jurisdictions.

Current Coverage

5,772 ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS	7 STATES ACTIVELY TRACKED	2,346 UNIQUE DEFENDANTS
1,035 MULTI-STATE ACTIONS	13 VIOLATION CATEGORIES	2022–Present PRIMARY TIME PERIOD

States Tracked

STATE	ACTIONS	SHARE
Washington	1,888	32.7%
California	932	16.1%
New York	863	15.0%
Texas	858	14.9%
Massachusetts	713	12.4%
Ohio	387	6.7%
Oregon	131	2.3%

Violation Categories

CATEGORY	ACTIONS	AVG SETTLEMENT
Consumer Protection	2,742	\$252M
Healthcare Fraud	1,236	\$735M
Environmental	886	\$342M
Data Privacy & Security	672	\$201M
Housing & Lending	597	\$548M
Antitrust / Competition	542	\$547M
Tobacco & Vaping	423	\$556M
Tech Platform Accountability	253	\$105M
Wage & Employment	208	\$26M
Telecommunications	126	\$249M
Securities / Investment Fraud	92	\$497M
Charitable / Nonprofit	36	\$6M

Questions This Data Answers

- Which AGs are most active in my client's industry?
- What enforcement trends are emerging by violation type?
- Which companies face coordinated multi-state action?
- What are typical settlement ranges for a given violation?
- How has enforcement volume changed year-over-year?
- Which statutes are being cited most frequently?
- Are there geographic patterns in enforcement focus?

Data Pipeline

1 Source Collection

Automated scraping of official AG press release archives. Rate-limited, respectful of robots.txt. Raw HTML archived for full auditability.

2 Enforcement Filtering

Two-stage classifier separates enforcement actions from non-enforcement content (advisories, policy statements, personnel announcements). 48% of raw press releases filtered out.

3 Structured Extraction

Rule-based parsing extracts defendants, dollar amounts, dates, statute citations, and action types. Deterministic methods ensure consistency — no LLM variability.

4 Categorization

Keyword-driven taxonomy maps each action to 13 violation categories. Multi-label: a single action can span consumer protection and data privacy simultaneously.

5 Entity Resolution

Company names cleaned, matched against a canonical entity database, and deduplicated — "Juul Labs, Inc." and "JUUL" resolve to the same defendant.

6 Multi-State Linkage

Actions involving the same defendant, similar amounts, and overlapping dates across states are linked as coordinated multi-state enforcement efforts.

7 Quality Scoring

Each record scored 0–1.0 on extraction confidence. Records below threshold flagged for review. Average quality score: 0.70.

Data Fields Per Record

State · AG Name · Date Announced / Filed / Resolved · Defendant(s) · Industry · Violation Category · Action Type (settlement, lawsuit, judgment, consent decree, injunction) · **Settlement Amount** (total, civil penalty, restitution) · **Statutes Cited** · **Multi-State Flag** · **Source URL**

Refresh Cadence & Roadmap

Current: Weekly refresh for all 7 active states. New records appear within 7 days of AG announcement.

Q3 2026: Historical backfill (2018–2021). Industry enrichment via SEC/SIC codes. API access for integrations.

Q2 2026: Expand to 15 states (+IL, NJ, CT, MN, CO, MD, PA, VA). Target: 10,000+ enforcement records.

Q4 2026: 25+ states. Trend alerting: automated notification when an AG acts in a tracked industry.