

The Time-Independent Execution Model

Nathaniel J. Houk
Independent Researcher
njhouk@gmail.com

April 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Motivation	2
3	The Time-Independent Execution Model	2
3.1	Formal Definition	2
3.2	Event-Driven Execution	3
4	Mathematical Properties	3
4.1	Causal Determinism	3
4.2	Complexity Analysis	3
5	Implementation Considerations	3
5.1	Practical AI Execution Frameworks	3
5.2	Edge AI and Decentralized AI Networks	4
6	Conclusion and Future Directions	4

Abstract

In contemporary AI systems, execution models rely heavily on absolute timestamps, leading to synchronization challenges across distributed architectures. This paper introduces a **time-independent AI execution framework**, replacing absolute time references with a **dependency-graph model** for event-driven AI workflow orchestration. By structuring computations based on causality rather than timestamps, we propose a more robust, latency-tolerant, and globally consistent approach to AI task execution. This model is particularly relevant in decentralized AI networks, edge computing, and post-blockchain architectures, where deterministic execution is required across asynchronous environments[2].

1 Introduction

Current AI execution frameworks predominantly rely on absolute timestamps, assuming synchronized global clocks. However, in decentralized AI workflows, network latency, hardware discrepancies, and environmental unpredictability introduce inconsistencies. Building on prior work[2], we argue that **time is an unnecessary constraint** in AI workflow synchronization and that a **dependency-graph execution model** provides a superior alternative.

This paper introduces a **Time-Independent AI Execution Model** based on directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) that encode event dependencies instead of absolute timestamps. We formalize this approach mathematically, analyze its computational complexity, and explore its implementation in real-world AI orchestration systems[3].

2 Motivation

The reliance on absolute time in AI workflows creates several challenges:

- **Network-Induced Latency:** Distributed AI workflows suffer from synchronization drift, requiring complex clock reconciliation mechanisms.
- **Non-Deterministic Execution:** AI tasks executed in different environments experience execution inconsistencies due to time-zone and latency variations.
- **Failure in Decentralized Systems:** Blockchain and decentralized AI networks require deterministic execution, yet timestamp-based ordering introduces execution ambiguities.

A dependency-graph model eliminates these issues by ensuring that **AI tasks execute in a causally correct order, independent of system clocks**.

3 The Time-Independent Execution Model

3.1 Formal Definition

Let AI workflow execution be represented as a **directed acyclic graph (DAG)**:

- **Nodes (N)** represent AI tasks or computations.
- **Edges (E)** represent dependencies between tasks.
- A task T_i executes **only when all its parent nodes have been completed**.

Formally, the system can be defined as:

$$G = (N, E)$$

where an edge (T_i, T_j) exists if and only if T_j depends on the result of T_i .

3.2 Event-Driven Execution

Instead of scheduling tasks based on absolute timestamps, execution follows an **event-driven model**:

1. **Task Completion Events**: Each node execution generates an event signaling its completion.
2. **Dependency Resolution**: A node becomes executable when all its dependency edges are satisfied.
3. **Global Synchronization via Causality**: The order of execution is derived from dependencies rather than external time references.

This ensures **fully deterministic execution** across distributed AI systems, **without requiring synchronized clocks**.

4 Mathematical Properties

4.1 Causal Determinism

Let $\sigma(T)$ represent the execution state of a task T . A task executes if and only if:

$$\sigma(T_i) = \text{completed} \quad \forall T_i \in \text{parents}(T)$$

ensuring **strict causal order** across AI workflows.

4.2 Complexity Analysis

The complexity of dependency resolution using topological sorting is $O(|N| + |E|)$, which is more efficient compared to approaches relying on global timestamp synchronization, often requiring $O(n \log n)$ operations.

In practical implementations, the event-driven DAG model helps decouple execution order from network-induced latency and inconsistencies, though challenges remain in robust event propagation and fault tolerance in decentralized networks.

5 Implementation Considerations

5.1 Practical AI Execution Frameworks

To implement this model in real-world AI orchestration, we propose the following architectural changes:

- **Graph-Based Workflow Schedulers**: Replace time-based scheduling with event-driven DAG processing engines (e.g., Apache Airflow, Prefect, Temporal).

- **Event Bus Communication:** Use event-driven messaging (e.g., Kafka, NATS) to trigger dependency resolution in AI workflows.
- **Blockchain-Based Verification:** Smart contracts enforce deterministic execution order by storing DAG commitments on-chain.

5.2 Edge AI and Decentralized AI Networks

This model is particularly beneficial for:

- **Edge AI Deployments:** Where network delays make absolute timestamps unreliable.
- **Decentralized AI Marketplaces:** Where AI agents must agree on execution order without requiring global clocks.

6 Conclusion and Future Directions

By eliminating absolute time references in AI workflows, our model provides **deterministic, decentralized, and failure-resistant AI execution**. Future research will explore:

- **Dynamic DAG Reconfiguration:** Adapting execution dependencies in real-time.
- **AI-Native Operating Systems:** Where AI workflows replace traditional process scheduling.
- **Post-Timestamp Blockchain Verification:** Enforcing execution determinism without timestamps[1].

This work represents a fundamental shift in AI automation, paving the way for **time-independent, causality-driven AI execution models**.

References

- [1] D. Harel. Statecharts: A visual formalism for complex systems. 1987.
- [2] Nathaniel J. Houk. The irrelevance of timezones: A post-blockchain perspective. Independent Research, 2025.
- [3] L. Lamport. Time, clocks, and the ordering of events in a distributed system. 1978.