Time Series Analysis ARMA Models

Nicoleta Serban, Ph.D.

Professor

Stewart School of Industrial and Systems Engineering

Parameter Estimation



About This Lesson





ARMA Model

 $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ an ARMA(p, q) process,

$$X_{t} - \phi_{1}X_{t-1} - \dots - \phi_{p}X_{t-p} = Z_{t} - \theta_{1}Z_{t-1} - \dots - \theta_{q}Z_{t-q}$$

where $Z_{t} \sim \mathsf{WN}(0, \sigma^{2})$.

- Model Parameters: ϕ_1 , ..., ϕ_p (AR coefficients), θ_1 , ..., θ_q (MA coefficients), μ (mean) and σ^2 (variance) unknown
- Commonly, de-mean the process X_t (hence $\mu = 0$)
- Orders: p, q set fixed but they need to be selected



AR Model: Linear Regression

Let $\{X_t\}$ be an AR(p) process satisfying

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + Z_t, \quad Z_t \sim \mathsf{IID}(0, \sigma^2)$$

- Predicting variables: $X_{t-1},...,X_{t-p}$
- Regression coefficients: $\phi_1,...,\phi_p$
- Model selection: AR order p

Statistical inference: Normality assumption



AR Model: The Yule-Walker Equations

Let $\{X_t\}$ be a causal AR(p) process satisfying $X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} - \dots - \phi_p X_{t-p} = Z_t, \qquad Z_t \sim \mathsf{WN}(0, \sigma^2)$

Multiply both sides by X_{t-j} , $j=0,1,\ldots,p$ and take expectations to get the Yule-Walker equations

$$\gamma(0) - \phi_1 \gamma(1) - \dots - \phi_p \gamma(p) = \sigma^2
\gamma(1) - \phi_1 \gamma(0) - \dots - \phi_p \gamma(p-1) = 0
\dots
\gamma(p) - \phi_1 \gamma(p-1) - \dots - \phi_p \gamma(0) = 0$$



The Yule-Walker Equations (cont'd)

Alternatively, these can be written as

$$\Gamma_p \bar{\phi} = \gamma_p(1)$$
 and $\sigma^2 = \gamma(0) - \bar{\phi}^T \gamma_p(1)$,

where

$$\Gamma_p = [\gamma(i-j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,p} \quad \bar{\phi} = \left(\phi_1,\dots,\phi_p\right)^T$$
 and $\gamma_p(1) = \left(\gamma(1),\dots,\gamma(p)\right)^T$.

The Yule-Walker Equations: Estimation

Replacing $\gamma(h)$ by $\hat{\gamma}(h)$ gives equations for the Yule-Walker estimators $\hat{\phi}_p = (\hat{\phi}_{n1}, \dots, \hat{\phi}_{nn})^T$ of $\bar{\phi}$ and \hat{v}_p of σ^2

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{p}\hat{\bar{\phi}}_{p} = \hat{\gamma}_{p}(1)$$

$$\hat{v}_{p} = \hat{\gamma}(0) - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\bar{\phi}}_{pj}\hat{\gamma}(j).$$

Invert $\hat{\Gamma}_p$: $\hat{\bar{\phi}}_p = \hat{\Gamma}_p^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_p(1)$ Alternatively, use Durbin-Levinson Recursive Algorithm (computationally efficient)

The fitted model is

$$X_t - \hat{\phi}_{p1} X_{t-1} - \dots - \hat{\phi}_{pp} X_{t-p} = Z_t, \qquad Z_t \sim \text{WN}(0, \hat{v}_p).$$



The Yule-Walker Estimates: Properties

 $\{X_t\}$ be a causal AR(p) process:

- $\widehat{\phi}_p \sim AN(\overline{\phi}, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \widehat{\Gamma}_p^{-1})$
- $\hat{\sigma}^2$ consistent estimator for σ^2
- $\hat{\phi}_{hh} \sim AN(0, \frac{1}{n})$ for h>p



We can use the sample PACF to test for AR order, and we can calculate approximate confidence intervals for the parameters



MA Model: The Innovations Algorithm

Let $\{X_t\}$ be an MA(q) process satisfying

$$X_t = Z_t - \theta_1 Z_{t-1} - \dots - \theta_a Z_{t-a}, \qquad Z_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

Suppose that $\hat{\gamma}(0) > 0$. Then parameter estimates can be obtained recursively by $\hat{v}_0 = \hat{\gamma}(0)$,

$$\hat{\theta}_{m,m-k} = \hat{v}_k^{-1} \left[\hat{\gamma}(m-k) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \hat{\theta}_{m,m-j} \hat{\theta}_{k,k-j} \hat{v}_j \right], \qquad k = 0, \dots, m-1$$

and

$$\hat{v}_m = \hat{\gamma}(0) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \hat{\theta}_{m,m-j}^2 \hat{v}_j$$



The Innovations Algorithm: Properties

Let $\{X_t\}$ be an MA(q) process:

- Asymptotic behavior of $\hat{\bar{\theta}}_m$ is a little complicated;
- Loosely speaking, for fixed k,

$$\hat{\theta}_{mk} \rightarrow \psi_k$$
 (consistency)

as m becomes large, where ψ_k is the term appearing in the causal representation

$$X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j Z_{t-j} .$$



ARMA Model: The Innovations Algorithm

 $\{X_t\}$ be a zero-mean causal ARMA(p,q) process:

Let $\hat{\theta}_{mj}$, $j=1,\ldots,p+q$ be the estimates obtained using the innovations algorithm. Then estimates can be obtained by solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\theta}_{m,q+1} \\ \hat{\theta}_{m,q+2} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\theta}_{m,q+p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\theta}_{m,q} & \hat{\theta}_{m,q-1} & \dots \hat{\theta}_{m,q+p-1} \\ \hat{\theta}_{m,q+1} & \hat{\theta}_{m,q} & \dots \hat{\theta}_{m,q+p-2} \\ \hat{\theta}_{m,q+p-1} \hat{\theta}_{m,q+p-2} & \dots & \hat{\theta}_{m,q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\phi}_1 \\ \hat{\phi}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\phi}_p \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\hat{\theta}_j = \hat{\theta}_{mj} - \sum_{i=1}^{\min(j,p)} \hat{\phi}_i \hat{\theta}_{m,j-i}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$



Summary



