

notes

Nate Lant

1/14/2020

Just looking at the introductions...

TNC's and Disabled Access (SF)

Since establishing oversight of TNCs in 2013, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has promulgated only a few regulations and minimal oversight to ensure equal access for passengers with disabilities. New legislation, effective January 1, 2019, known as Senate Bill 1376: The TNC Access for All Act (Hill), provides the CPUC with the mandate to improve access to TNC service for wheelchair users and others with disabilities, as well as the opportunity to work with stakeholders to build public trust and increase transparency.

San Francisco is not the only large, urban city addressing accessibility of TNCs. A review of Boston, Chicago, and New York City found that peer cities are grappling with similar challenges and opportunities to improve access to TNCs for persons with disabilities.

Riders with disabilities, like the general public, want to have choices. For example, bus service may work well for a disabled person's trips to work and school, but they may want to use a taxi or TNC on an evening after a movie. Riders also want to choose whether to pay less by sharing a ride or to spend more to go directly to their destination.

The arrival of emerging mobility services has expanded transportation options for some but it has not expanded options equally for all. For approximately 90,000 San Francisco residents with disabilities (almost 11% of the population) TNCs may not be an option either some or all the time. While people with disabilities are more reliant on for-hire services and make twice the number of for-hire trips than non-disabled persons per year, they are more reliant on taxicabs. People with disabilities report taking twice as many taxi trips as TNC trips [Schaller2018] while overall there are approximately 12 times as many TNC trips as taxi trips during a typical weekday in San Francisco (San Francisco County Transportation Authority, June 2017).

The rapid expansion of TNC services has also degraded the quality and availability of on-demand transportation access for riders who require a wheelchair accessible vehicle by upending the existing taxi industry. The subsequent reduction in accessible ramp taxis has compromised the availability of accessible taxis under the San Francisco Paratransit Taxi and Paratransit Plus programs [Consulting2018].

NY State TNC Accessibility Task Force

There is a legislative history (if I find that relevant)

In general, the cost of para-transit is high for many communities. For instance, "The average cost of operating a single paratransit trip is about \$23 in the U.S., compared with less than \$4 for the average trip on bus or light rail. In Boston, the average cost per ride is about \$45, in Washington, about \$50, and in New York, nearly \$57. Transit agencies nationwide logged about 223 million paratransit trips at a cost exceeding \$5.1 billion — about 12 percent of total transit operating costs — in 2013, according to the most recent data from the American Public Transportation Association. (<https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/sdut-transit-systems-eye-uber-lyft-for-savings-on-the-2016apr10-story.html>).

In 2018, the Taxi and Limousine Commission (TLC) in New York City issued a mandate requiring Uber, Lyft and Via to make wheelchair accessible service a growing part of their operations. While this particular mandate was not adopted, a settlement was reached in the New York State Supreme Court. The NYC TLC retained the mandate that would require TNCs to meet a wait-time requirement. The wait time requirement states that, by 2021, TNCs must either service at least 80 percent of requests for wheelchair-accessible vehicles

in under 10 minutes and 90 percent in under 15 minutes, or associate with a company that has the capacity to meet those requirements [Report2019].

Cases mentioned

Perhaps more significantly, the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, serving the greater Boston area, instituted a policy whereby individuals with disabilities can have their rides subsidized with riders only paying the first \$2, and MBTA covering the next \$13.35. This is not only a significant benefit for riders with disabilities, it is also a benefit to MBTA. Boston's door-to-door service for riders with disabilities and elderly (the Ride) has an annual budget of over \$100 million a year.³⁶ Under "The Ride" program each ride costs \$31, but with the partnership with Uber and Lyft, these rides will cost the agency \$13 – a 70% savings.

In Washington D.C., Metro launched the Abilities Ride program in partnership with Uber and Lyft.³⁷ In this program, the individual pays the first \$5, Metro pays the next \$15, and then the individual is responsible for any amount over \$20.³⁸ This allows riders to take up to 4 rides per day, get same day services, and be accompanied by one personal care assistant at no extra cost.³⁹ However, WMATA was criticized: "The rideshare option is expected to be popular among customers who don't need wheelchair-accessible vehicles to travel; Still, some people with disabilities and advocates have been critical of Metro's intent to partner with the companies, saying they lack wheelchair-accessible vehicles and training in dealing with special-needs populations."⁴⁰

In other communities, like Chicago, the presence of UberWAV (Wheelchair Accessible Vehicles) appears to be expanding the accessibility of TNCs to individuals who utilize a wheelchair. In fact, the Chicago Tribune reported in late 2017 that Uber had "65 wheelchair-accessible vehicles on the road available through the app."⁴¹ There was clearly more work to be done, and Uber's Chicago General Manager acknowledges that, "developing and implementing new solutions to the mobility challenge faced by the disability community is an issue we take very seriously."⁴²

Travel Patterns of American Adults with Disabilities

An estimated 25.5 million Americans have disabilities that make traveling outside the home difficult. They accounted for 8.5 percent of the population age 5 and older in 2017. An estimated 13.4 million of these Americans—more than half—are adults age 18 to 64, the age group with typically high labor force participation. 4.3 million Americans use some kind of wheelchair (manual, mechanical or an electric scooter). The NHTS also does not include people living in nursing homes or other group quarters.

```
nhts_persons %>%
  filter(r_age > 5) %>%
  mutate(test.ability =
    case_when(
      w_chair == "07" | w_mtrchr == "08" | w_scootr == "06" ~ "Wheelchair",
      medcond == "01" ~ "Disabled",
      medcond == "02" ~ "Abled")
  ) %>%

  group_by(test.ability) %>%
  summarise(Survey = n(),
    population = sum(wtperfin)) %>%
  mutate(Population = population,
    `Distribution(%)` =
      percent(population/sum(population), accuracy = 0.1)) %>%
  select(-population)
```

```
## # A tibble: 4 x 4
##   test.ability Survey Population `Distribution(%)`
##   <chr>          <int>      <dbl> <chr>
```

## 1 Abled	236051	271384034.	91.4%
## 2 Disabled	20801	21048869.	7.1%
## 3 Wheelchair	4335	4354116.	1.5%
## 4 <NA>	128	127248.	0.0%

Intelligent Paratransit

Residents of cities who are physically unable to use public transportation, including the disabled and mobility-impaired elderly, are offered car or van rides by paratransit services. Required by an unfunded 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act mandate (<https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/49/37.21>), paratransit systems are enormous: in New York City, paratransit serves 144,000 subscribers at \$456 million per year; in the Chicago region, 50,000 subscribers are served at \$137 million per year; in Boston, 80,000 at \$75 million per year. These operations grow annually with new registrations and costs. Furthermore, their rides are reportedly poor experiences ().

Impacts of limited acces (Bascom and Christensen)

In order for individuals to obtain employment, goods and services, healthcare, education, and interact socially, access to trans- portation is critical (Cassas, 2007; Preston and Raje, 2008) For example, a lack of access to transportation not only limits access to employment opportunities, but can also make it more difficult to find employment by limiting access to employment center and interview locations (Kenyon et al., 2002; Department of Environment Transport and the Regions, 2000). Similarly, healthcare and education are often not equally distributed in a community, making access difficult for individuals who do not live near these services (Martens, 2012)

Strategic Plan 2019-2022

Personal mobility is essential to the success of America’s citizens, communities, and economy. Transportation enables mobility by connecting individuals to their homes, jobs, and communities. Despite its significance, millions of Americans lack access to reliable transportation due to disability, income, or age. Inadequate transportation limits the mobility of these individuals and prevents them from accessing jobs, medical care, healthy food, education, social services, and other community activities.

Policies and Practices for Meeting ADA Paratransit Demand

Although paratransit ridership is slightly more than 1% of the total transit ridership, paratransit costs comprised 9% of transit operating costs; therefore, efficiencies are needed to address the ever- increasing costs of meeting the civil rights requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) for paratransit service. From 1992—the first year of ADA-complementary paratransit service—to 2004, paratransit ridership in the United States increased by 58.3%, to more than 114 million trips, most of which were ADA-complementary paratransit trips. In addition, the operating cost per trip for paratransit service was \$22.14, whereas for all other modes, the operating cost per trip was \$2.75 (per trip costs calculated from APTA data).

Efficiencies are needed to address the ever-increasing cost of meeting the civil rights requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) for paratransit service. An underlying purpose of the ADA is to provide equal opportunity, full participation, and independence to persons with disabilities. Transit

Schaller: New Automobility

People with disabilities are more reliant on for-hire services, in particular taxicabs, than non-disabled persons. While nondisabled people make 4.1 for-hire trips annually, people with disabilities make twice as many trips (8.2 per year). (National data only; sample size too small for geographic detail.) People with disabilities are also more reliant on taxicabs than the general population. People with disabilities take 5.9 taxi trips annually, twice their use of TNCs (2.3 trips per year).

There is a long history of taxicabs participating in Dial-A-Ride programs for seniors and persons with disabilities who lack access to a personal car or the financial means to pay for a taxi. Public subsidies are needed for patrons to obtain medical care, go shopping, socialize at senior centers, attend religious services and so forth.

Case Studies

Laguna Beach, for example, contracted with Uber to supplement transportation for senior and disabled passengers following curtailments of local bus service.

The Pinellas Suncoast Transit Authority in the Tampa and St. Petersburg, Florida area, conducted a two-year pilot with Uber, a cab company and a wheelchair van provider for on-demand trips at night to or from work to participants in an agency program for transportation-disadvantaged persons.

After an initial microtransit pilot involving the now-defunct company Bridj, the Kansas City Area Transportation Authority is using taxis in its RideKC Freedom program, serving older adults and persons with disabilities with same-day service scheduled through a mobile app or by telephoning a call center.

Via is developing with the city of Berlin, Germany a van service that complements existing transit service, focusing on late night and weekend travel.

TNCs have recently started to participate in programs that supplement ADA paratransit. A prime example is the pilot by the Boston area transit agency (MBTA) that involves Uber, Lyft and other companies. ADA paratransit users are offered the option of using one of these three companies instead of the regular ADA service. They can make same-day reservations instead of having to call a day or more in advance. Riders pay the same \$2 fare and any amount over \$15 (making for a subsidy of up to \$13 per trip). Lyft provides a call center under its Lyft Concierge program, while Uber addressed smartphone issues by giving away smartphones to some users.

Another example is the transit agency in Las Vegas, Nevada, which began a pilot earlier this year with Lyft to provide on-demand paratransit service.

Use of Taxis in Public Transportation for People with Disabilities and Older Adults (2016)

Many transit agencies use taxis as part of their required ADA paratransit service and to provide a same-day service that is not a formal part of ADA paratransit service.