# Advanced Integration Homework 1

Name: Leonhard Euler (123456789)

Due: 12/12/21

Email: leonard@euler.email

Course: MATH 666

### Problem 1

- (a) Prove that there is no rational number whose square is 2.
- (b) Let p be a prime number and n an integer greater than 1. Prove that there is no rational number whose nth power is p.

**Solution**. (a) Suppose that there is a rational number whose square is 2. Then we can find coprime nonzero integers a and b such that  $a^2/b^2=2$ . Then  $a^2=2b^2$ , so  $a^2$  is even. It follows that a is even, so a=2k for some integer k. Then  $2b^2=4k^2$ , so  $b^2=2k^2$ , which implies that  $b^2$  is even. But then b itself is even, which is a contradiction since a is even and a and b are coprime. Thus, there is no rational number whose square is 2.

(b) If there were such a rational number, then it would be a root of the polynomial  $f = x^n - p$ . This polynomial is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by Eisenstein's criterion, and so it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Gauss's Lemma. In particular, f has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there is no rational number whose nth power is p.

### Problem 2

Let *S* be a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (i) Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in S$ .
  - (A) Prove, using the *definition* of denseness, that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (B) Prove, using the fact that a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is dense if and only if it is *sequentially dense*, that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in S$ . Prove that f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution**. (i)(A) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be given, and pick any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since f is continuous at x, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Since S is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists a number  $y \in S \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ . Then f(y) = 0 and  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Therefore,  $|f(x)| = |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows that f(x) = 0. Since x was arbitrary, this shows that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i)(B) Since S is a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is also sequentially dense (i.e., every real number is a limit of some sequence in S). Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be given, and choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in S that converges to x. For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $f(x_n) = 0$  since  $x_n \in S$ . Thus,  $\{f(x_n)\} \to 0$ . However, since f is continuous and  $\{x_n\} \to x$ , it must be the case that  $\{f(x_n)\} \to f(x)$ , so f(x) = 0. Since x was arbitrary, f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii) Define  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by h(x) = f(x) g(x). Then h is continuous and h(x) = 0 for all  $x \in S$  (since f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in S$ ). By part (i), it follows that h(x) = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so therefore f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### Problem 3: Ahlfors §4.2.3 #2, p. 123

Prove that a function which is analytic in the whole plane and satisfies an inequality  $|f(z)| < |z|^n$  for some n and all sufficiently large |z| reduces to a polynomial.

**Solution**. Since f is entire, we may write f as a power series centered at 0 which converges for every complex number z:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

Let R > 0 be large enough such that  $|f(z)| < |z|^n$  whenever  $|z| \ge R$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the positively oriented circle of radius R centered at the origin, parametrized as  $\gamma(t) = Re^{it}$  for  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ . For each  $k \ge 0$  we have

$$a_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t)^{k+1}} \gamma'(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(Re^{it})}{R^{k+1}e^{i(t+1)t}} iRe^{it} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{f(Re^{it})}{e^{ikt}} dt,$$

and hence

$$|a_k| = \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(Re^{it})}{e^{ikt}} dt \right| \le \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(Re^{it})|}{|e^{ikt}|} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(Re^{it})| dt \le \frac{1}{2\pi R^k} \int_0^{2\pi} R^n dt = \frac{R^n}{R^k} = \frac{1}{R^{k-n}}.$$

If k > n, then letting  $R \to \infty$  gives  $|a_k| = 0$ . Thus, we have  $a_k = 0$  for k > n, so that

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n.$$

That is, f is a polynomial.

### Problem 4

Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

**Solution**. Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be a finite list of prime numbers. The number  $Q = p_1 \cdots p_n + 1$  is coprime to  $p_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , so Q has a prime factor q which is not among the primes  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ . Thus, given any finite list of primes numbers, we can find a prime number not in the list. It follows that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

#### Problem 5

Let  $p_n$  be the nth prime number. Prove that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_n} \tag{1}$$

diverges.

**Solution**. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that (1) converges. Then there is an integer *k* such that

$$\sum_{n>k} \frac{1}{p_n} < \frac{1}{2}.\tag{2}$$

Let  $Q = p_1 \cdots p_k$ , and, for every positive integer N, define

$$S(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 + nQ}.$$

Also, let P(N) be the finite set of primes q which divide 1 + nQ for at least one  $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . For each positive integer n, the integers Q and 1 + nQ are coprime, so none of the prime numbers  $p_1, ..., p_k$  divide 1 + nQ. It follows that

$$P(N) \subseteq \{p_{k+1}, p_{k+2}, \ldots\} \tag{3}$$

for every positive integer N. If m is another positive integer, then we define A(N, m) to be the set of all integers n such that  $1 \le n \le N$  and such that 1 + nQ has exactly m (not necessarily distinct) prime divisors. Consider the sum

$$S(N,m) = \sum_{n \in A(N,m)} \frac{1}{1 + nQ}.$$

Observe that S(N,m) = 0 for m sufficiently large (since A(N,m) is empty for m sufficiently large) and that

$$S(N) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S(N, m). \tag{4}$$

If  $n \in A(N, m)$ , then  $1 + nQ = (q_1 \cdots q_m)^{-1}$  for some  $q_1, \dots, q_m \in P(N)$ , so

$$S(N,m) \leq \sum_{q_1,\ldots,q_m \in P(N)} \frac{1}{q_1\cdots q_m} = \left(\sum_{p \in P(N)} \frac{1}{p}\right)^m.$$

It then follows from (2) and (3) that

$$S(N,m) \le \left(\sum_{p \in P(N)} \frac{1}{p}\right)^m \le \left(\sum_{n > k} \frac{1}{p_n}\right)^m < \frac{1}{2^m}$$

Now (4) implies that

$$S(N) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} S(N, m) \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} = 1.$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{S(N)\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is a monotone increasing bounded sequence, so it converges to the limit

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} S(N) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 + nQ} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + nQ}.$$

However, the series above diverges (e.g., by the integral test), so we have reached a contradiction. It follows that the series (1) diverges.

## Problem 6: Atiyah-Macdonald 1.1

Let x be a nilpotent element of a ring A. Show that 1 + x is a unit of A. Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit.

**Solution**. Let y = -x. Then y is also nilpotent, so choose a positive integer n such that  $y^n = 0$ . We have

$$(1-y)(1+y+y^2+\cdots+y^{n-1})=1-y^n=1$$

which shows that 1 + x = 1 - y is a unit.

Next, let  $x \in A$  be nilpotent and  $u \in A$  a unit. Then  $u^{-1}x$  is nilpotent, so  $1 + u^{-1}x$  is a unit by the first part. Therefore

$$u + x = u(1 + u^{-1}x).$$

Since it is a product of units, u + x is a unit.