

## **Learning Styles**

I think learning styles probably do matter. I've heard quite a bit about the different learning styles such as auditory, tactile, and visual, but have never really put much thought into it. Thinking about it now though, I do think a specific learning style is at least of some importance.

According to the quiz, I am predominantly tactile. This reinforces what little thought I have put into the subject and deemed myself to be. I've always thought that I do better with something when I actually do it myself. Yet, on the other hand, it is helpful to me to see someone do something so I at least get an idea of what I'm meant to do before diving in. Still, I do much better when I myself do the action. Things are much easier for me to remember when I am involved with the task. This does all seem to be contradictory to the article though.

It seems, according to the article, that learning styles are not as important as previously thought. Based on the research that was conducted and mentioned in the article, this does appear to be true. Evidence is hard to argue against, and thinking about it more, it does actually make sense. Although I claim to be a tactile learner and the test had the same result, this does not mean that the other learning styles are to be put out of the question. Even when I do a task or learn something with the other styles, I still can do very well. The only difference I think that learning styles have is how easy it is to learn using them. The same destination can be reached, but the path taken, the learning styles, may result in the trip being more or less difficult.

In short, I think that learning styles are important and do have an effect. At the same time, this doesn't mean the other styles are void - they can still work, just perhaps with a little more effort.