# Chapter 1

## *n*-Armed bandits

#### 1.1 Notes

## 1.1.1 *n*-Armed Bandit Problem

We have n different options (actions) representing n different slot machines. Each action has a given reward, sampled from a stationary probability q(a) only dependent on the chosen action a. We want to maximize the (expected) total reward over a given (large) time T:  $\sum t = 1^T R_t$ . To do that, we estimate the value  $Q_t(a)$  of each action given what we have seen so far. Let  $R_t$  the reward at time t and  $N_t(a)$  the number of times the action a has been chosen so far.

## 1.1.2 Estimating value

We estimate the value with:

$$Q_t(a) = \frac{R_1 + \dots + R_{N_t(a)}}{N_t(a)}$$

with  $Q_t(a) = Q_1(a)$  a default value. With  $N_t(a) \to \infty$  we have  $Q_t(a) \to q(a)$ . Step-by-step, this can be calculated using incremental implementation to save computation time:

$$Q_{k+1} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1} k R_t$$

 $Q_{k+1} = Q_k + \frac{1}{k} (R_k - Q_k)$ 

This looks like  $NewEstimate \leftarrow OldEstimate + StepSize$  ( Target - OldEstimate), with  $StepSize = \frac{1}{k}$  here.

For tasks that never stop this estimation diverges, plus we may be interested in tracking a nonstationary problem. To achieve this, we can introduce a constant step size, that effectively weights recent rewards more heavily:

$$Q_{k+1} = Q_k + \alpha \left( R_k - Q_k \right)$$

...

$$Q_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha)^k Q_1 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - \alpha)^{k-i} R_t$$

As it turns out, this defines a weighted average with weights  $(1-\alpha)^k$ ,  $\alpha(1-\alpha)^k$ , ...,  $\alpha(1-\alpha)^{k-i}$  (they sum to 1).

By denoting  $\alpha_k(a)$  the weight (step-size) used for the k-th selection of action a, we need to have two conditions:

- 1.  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k(a) = \infty$ , to guarantee that we overcome initial estimate, and
- 2.  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^2(a) < \infty$ , to guarantee convergence.

#### Chosing actions

To chose the action, the *greedy* way is to select the one with the highest value:  $A_t = \operatorname{argmax}_a Q_t(a)$ . Problem: this does not spend any time to sample other actions to refine the estimates  $Q_t(a)$ .

First solution:  $\epsilon$ -greedy algorithms, where  $A_t = \operatorname{argmax}_a Q_t(a) \ 1 - \epsilon$  of the times and  $A_t = \operatorname{uniform}(a)$  the other  $\epsilon$  of the times.

Second solution: optimistic initial values, to preferentially select unsampled actions.

Third solution: Upper Confidence Bound (UCB) action selection, with

$$A_t = \operatorname*{argmax}_{a} \left( Q_t(a) + c \sqrt{\frac{\ln t}{N_t(a)}} \right)$$

Rationale: the square-root term is a measure of the uncertainty (or variance) in the estimate of the value of a. The higher this term (and c), the higher the chance the action will be taken instead of the optimal action. UCB is often hard to transpose outside of the n-armed bandit problem.

#### Gradient bandits

Instead of estimating the value of each action, we can estimate the relative preference  $H_t(a)$  of one action over others. We then compute the probability of taking action a using the softmax distribution (softmax "normalizes" its inputs so that any constant added to all preferences has no effect on the probability):

$$Pr(A_t = a) = \frac{e^{H_t(a)}}{\sum_{b=1}^n e^{H_t(b)}} = \pi_t(a)$$

Initially,  $H_1(a) = 0$ , so every action has the same probability to be chosen. We then use a variation on stochastic gradient ascent to update the preference after each step ( $A_t$  is the action taken at step t and  $R_t$  the corresponding reward):

$$H_{t+1}(A_t) = H_t(A_t) + \alpha (R_t - \bar{R}_t)(1 - \pi_t(A_t))$$
 and  
 $H_{t+1}(a) = H_t(a) + \alpha (R_t - \bar{R}_t)\pi_t(A_t)$   $\forall a \neq A_t$ 

Explanation: if the reward  $(R_t)$  is better than the average reward observed thus far  $(\bar{R}_t)$ , we increase the probability to select  $A_t$  and decrease the probabilities of all other actions in proportion to that difference  $(R_t - \bar{R}_t)$ . On the contrary, if the reward is lower than the current average reward, we decrease  $\pi_t(A_t)$  and increase all others. We increase/decrease more if the selected action had lower probability (thus the  $1 - \pi_t(A_t)$  term).

## 1.2 Exercises

Exercise 2.1 In the comparison in fig 2.1, which method will perform best in the long run in terms of cumulative reward, and cumulative probability of selecting the best action?

Because of the law of large numbers, in the long run we have  $Q_t(a) \approx q(a)$  for  $\epsilon$ -greedy methods, since each actions will have been sampled a very large number of times. This is of course not true for the greedy method which correctly sample only one action, the one it always choses. For  $\epsilon$ -greedy method, in the long run we select the action  $A^* = \operatorname{argmax}_a q(a) \ (1-\epsilon)$  of the time and a random action  $\epsilon$  of the time. There doesn't seem to be any exact function that gives the expectation of the maximum of n iid normal variables, I could only find inequalities for large n... I computed the value for n = 10 using 1 million series of 10 iid normal variables, and got a value of  $\approx 1.54$ . The average reward when selecting action  $A^*$  is  $\mathbb{E}[q(A^*)] \approx 1.54$ . Meanwhile,

let Z be the reward when selection a random action, and  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  the noise term added to q(a) when computing the reward. We have:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[q(a)] + Y]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[q(a)] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$$
$$= 0$$

since both random variables q(a) and Y follow a standard normal distribution. All in all, for  $\epsilon$ -greedy method, the average expected reward is:

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{R}] = \epsilon \mathbb{E}[Z] + (1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{E}[q(A^*)]$$
  
 
$$\approx (1 - \epsilon) \times 1.54$$

Which means 1.39 for  $\epsilon = 0.1$  and 1.52 for  $\epsilon = 0.01$ .

For the true greedy method, the first action  $A^{\dagger}$  for which the reward gets over 0 gets chosen everytime (at first approximation). Thus we need to find  $\mathbb{E}[q(A^{\dagger})] = \mathbb{E}[q(a)|q(a) + Y > 0]$ . I could not manage to find a seemingly correct formula unfortunately (but this one should not be too hard for a statistician), so I once again computed an estimate for this number for n = 10, and came up with  $\mathbb{E}[q(A^{\dagger})] \approx 0.56$ . This looks a bit weird since in fig 2.1 the average reward of the greedy method is around 1.

As for the cumulative probability of selecting the best action, I am unsure of what best action actually means. It seems Sutton and Barto mean "action which resulted in the highest reward at time t" and not "best action to take to maximize the expected reward a priori" which seems more sensible to me, as we have no mean to predict what the actual reward will be because of the noise, and thus no real interest to see which was the "true best". For  $\epsilon$ -greedy method, the probability of selecting the best action when we decided to chose a random action is obviously  $\frac{1}{n}$ . As for when we decide to chose the action with the highest estimated value, I get stuck. We need to find, given  $Y.Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)^2$ , the probability  $Prq(a) + Y > q(A^*) + Z$ . That honestly seems daunting to me and I would not even know where to begin...

Exercise 2.2 Give pseudocode for a complete algorithm for the n-armed bandit problem. Use greedy action selection and incremental computation of action values with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{k}$  step-size parameter. Assume a function bandit(a) that takes an action and returns a reward. Use arrays and variables; do not subscript anything by the time index t. Indicate how the action values are initialized and updates after each reward. Indicate how the step-size parameters are set for each action as a function of how many times it has been tried.

1. Initialization

$$Q(a) \leftarrow 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}$$
  
 $k(a) \leftarrow 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}$ 

2. Action selection and value update

Repeat

$$A \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(a) \text{ (resolve ties randomly)}$$
 
$$R \leftarrow bandit(A)$$
 
$$Q(A) \leftarrow Q(A) + \frac{1}{k}(R - Q(A))$$
 
$$k(A) \leftarrow k(A) + 1$$
 until end of epoch

**Exercise 2.3** If the step-size parameters,  $\alpha_k$ , are not constant, then the estimate  $Q_k$  is a weighted average of previously received rewards with a weighting different from that given by (2.6). What is the weighting on each prior reward for the general case, analogous to (2.6), in terms of  $\alpha_k$ ?

$$Q_{k+1} = Q_k + \alpha_k (R_k - Q_k)$$

$$= \alpha_k R_k + (1 - \alpha_k) Q_k$$

$$= \alpha_k R_k + (1 - \alpha_k) [\alpha_{k-1} R_{k-1} + (1 - \alpha_{k-1}) Q_{k-1}]$$

$$= \alpha_k R_k + \alpha_{k-1} (1 - \alpha_k) R_{k-1} + (1 - \alpha_k) (1 - \alpha_{k-1}) Q_{k-1}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \alpha_i) Q_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \alpha_i R_i \prod_{j=i+1}^k (1 - \alpha_j) \right)$$

The weights are then  $\prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \alpha_i)$  for  $Q_1$  and  $\alpha_i R_i \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} (1 - \alpha_j)$  for  $R_i$ .