

A Note on Integration of Banach-Valued Functions and Applications to Parabolic PDEs

Nathan Evans

November 2, 2025

Abstract

While studying partial differential equations (PDEs) during my master's course, I encountered Banach-valued Sobolev spaces such as $W^{1,p}(0, T; X)$ and Bochner integrals in the analysis of parabolic equations. Our lectures followed Evans [Ev], where Bochner integration is introduced briefly in an appendix, and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for Banach-valued functions is invoked without a complete proof. To understand these results rigorously, I consulted Folland [F2] and Yosida [Y], but found that the treatment of Riemann and Bochner integrals is often concise and notationally inconsistent, making it difficult to trace precisely what is used in Evans [Ev].

This work consolidates the main results on integration in Banach spaces within a consistent framework. Where the literature omits or sketches arguments, I provide complete and self-contained proofs. In doing so, I clarify the link between the Riemann and Bochner integrals and establish the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus rigorously in the setting of $W^{1,p}(0, T; X)$ spaces. The exposition is intended as a concise reference for readers seeking a clear analytic foundation for the weak formulation of parabolic PDEs.

Contents

1	Preliminaries	2
2	Riemann Integration in Banach Spaces	2
2.1	Definition	2
2.2	Existence theorem	2
2.3	Linearity and dual compatibility	2
2.4	Fundamental theorem of calculus	2
3	Bochner Integration	2
3.1	Definition via simple functions	2
3.2	Extension by completion	3
3.3	Equivalence with Riemann	5
4	Fundamental Theorem of Calculus in $W^{1,p}(0, T; X)$	5

1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we establish a theory for integrating functions $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ taking values in the Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ over the field of real numbers. We endow the interval $[0, T]$ with the Lebesgue measure and consider the measure space $([0, T], \mathcal{L}([0, T]), \lambda)$, where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$ and $\mathcal{L}([0, T])$ is the λ -completion of the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}([0, T])$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}([0, T])$ consists of all sets of the form $B \cup N$, where $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, T])$ and $N \subseteq N_0$ for some λ -null set N_0 . Functions are identified when equal λ -almost everywhere.

We will use the notions of summable and integrable from Evans

2 Riemann Integration in Banach Spaces

2.1 Definition

2.2 Existence theorem

2.3 Linearity and dual compatibility

2.4 Fundamental theorem of calculus

3 Bochner Integration

3.1 Definition via simple functions

Definition 3.1. A function $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is said to be simple if it can be written as:

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{E_i}(t) u_i(t),$$

where $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{L}([0, T])$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in X$

Definition 3.2. Let $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ be a simple function then we define:

$$\int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(E_i) u_i(t).$$

Lemma 3.1 (Linearity).

Lemma 3.2 (Triangle Inequality). Let $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ be a simple function then:

$$\left\| \int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) \right\|_X \leq \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt),$$

Proof. Suppose

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{E_i}(t) u_i(t),$$

for some $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{L}([0, T])$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in X$. We apply the norm and apply the usual triangle inequality to get the result,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) \right\|_X &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(E_i) u_i(t) \right\|_X \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(E_i) \|u_i(t)\|_X \\ &= \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{E_i}(t) \|u_i(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) \\ &= \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2 Extension by completion

Definition 3.3. A function $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is said to be strongly measurable if there exists a sequence of simple functions $(s_n : [0, T] \rightarrow X)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$\|s_n(t) - f(t)\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{a.e. } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Definition 3.4. A function $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is said to be summable if there exists a sequence of simple functions $(s_n : [0, T] \rightarrow X)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$\int_0^T \|s_n(t) - f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for a summable function $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ we define:

$$\int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T s_n(t) \lambda(dt), \quad (1)$$

Lemma 3.3 (Linearity). Let $f, g : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ be summable and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ then:

$$\int_0^T \alpha f(t) + \beta g(t) \lambda(dt) = \alpha \int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) + \beta \int_0^T g(t) \lambda(dt)$$

Proof. Since f and g are summable there exists respective approximating sequences of simple functions $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, so we write:

$$\int_0^T \alpha f(t) + \beta g(t) \lambda(dt) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \alpha u_n(t) + \beta v_n(t) \lambda(dt),$$

we note that $\alpha u_n(t) + \beta v_n(t)$ is a simple function as the sum of simple functions so we apply linearity of the Bochner integral for simple functions 3.1 to obtain:

$$\int_0^T \alpha u_n(t) + \beta v_n(t) \lambda(dt) = \alpha \int_0^T u_n(t) \lambda(dt) + \beta \int_0^T v_n(t) \lambda(dt),$$

taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives the result. □

Lemma 3.4 (Triangle Inequality). *Let $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ be summable then:*

$$\left\| \int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) \right\|_X \leq \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt).$$

Proof. Since f is summable there exists a sequence of approximating simple functions $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so we can write:

$$\left\| \int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) \right\|_X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^T s_n(t) \lambda(dt) \right\|_X \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|s_n(t)\|_X \lambda(dt),$$

where we have used continuity of $\|\cdot\|_X$ and the triangle inequality for Bochner integrals of simple functions 3.2. It remains to show that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|s_n(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) = \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt).$$

Indeed, by linearity of the Lebesgue integral, triangle and reverse triangle inequalities and the dominated convergence theorem we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \|s_n(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) - \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) \right| &\leq \int_0^T \left| \|s_n(t)\|_X - \|f(t)\|_X \right| \lambda(dt) \\ &\leq \int_0^T \|s_n(t) - f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ □

Definition 3.5. *We define the function space $L^1(0, T; X)$ to be the space of all Bochner summable functions, with the norm:*

$$\|f\|_{L^1([0, T]; X)} := \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt).$$

Remark 3.1. *Now we have established a function space and a norm for Bochner summable functions it is useful to note that by the triangle inequality 3.4:*

$$\left\| \int_0^T f(t) \lambda(dt) \right\|_X \leq \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X \lambda(dt) = \|f\|_{L^1(0, T; X)},$$

so the integral is a bounded linear operator, $I : L^1(0, T; X) \rightarrow X$

Remark 3.2. *The definition of the Bochner integral for general summable functions (1) is well defined since $L^1(0, T; X)$ is the completion of the space of simple functions with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(0, T; X)}$. Thus, the integral operator defined on simple functions extends uniquely and continuously to all Bochner summable functions.*

Loosely speaking, let $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ be summable and let $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an approximating sequence of simple functions. We denote the integral operator for simple functions by I , so for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$I(s_n) = \int_0^T s_n(t) \lambda(dt) \in X.$$

Then, by the triangle inequality 3.2,

$$\|I(s_n) - I(s_m)\|_X \leq \int_0^T \|s_n(t) - s_m(t)\|_X \lambda(dt).$$

Since I is a bounded linear operator 3.1 it is continuous, so we can pass the limits as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ inside the integral to deduce that the sequence $(I(s_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in X . By the completeness of X , this sequence converges to a limit in X , which we define by (1). For this to be completely rigorous we would need to show that this limit is independent of the choice of approximating sequence but this is routine.

3.3 Equivalence with Riemann

4 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus in $W^{1,p}(0, T; X)$

References

- [Ev] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, AMS, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [F2] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, 2nd ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1999.
- [Y] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, 6th ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.