

Code	RANDOM_FOREST.PY
Author	Nathaniel Heatwole, PhD (heatwolen@gmail.com) (GitHub) (LinkedIn)
Summary	Uses random forest to predict survival for passengers in the Titanic disaster
Methods/ Process	<p>Random forest</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Supervised learning method that fits many decision trees (“forest”) and aggregates the results - Combines benefits of decision tree learning while mitigating their tendency to overfit to training data - Each decision tree fitted on: 1) random subset of features; and 2) random selection of training data observations (with replacement) - Randomly withholding some information (that would otherwise be available to fit the model) reduces correlations between trees - Trees can be split using various measures, including entropy¹ or Gini impurity² (minimum sought in either case) - <i>Root node</i> (top of tree): quantity/threshold yielding best split - Predictions of many individual trees homogenized using plurality vote (classification) or average (regression) - Out-of-bag testing can also be used (if entire dataset is not used to generate tree) <p>Steps</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Import/clean training data 2. Feature engineering (prepare data for use in a random forest model, maximizing useful information that can be extracted from it) 3. Feature importance (correlation matrix, chi-squared, and coefficient of variation) 4. Fit random forest to training data to predict survival
Training Data	Titanic dataset – containing data for 891 Titanic passengers (from Kaggle)
Results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - High predicted survival probabilities for survivors (mean: 0.87), and opposite for non-survivors (mean: 0.09) - IQRs for predicted survival probability for the two groups are non-overlapping - Feature importance indicates most useful variables are: fare, male, and age

¹ *Entropy* is a measure of disorder, defined as the expected value of information, equal to: $\sum[-p \cdot \ln(p)]$.

² *Gini impurity* measures how often a randomly chosen element would be incorrectly labeled (if group labels were assigned randomly, using the distribution of labels in the training set), equal to: $\sum[p \cdot (1 - p)]$.