TESS Proposal: Skill, Sexuality, and Immigrant Deservingness

Nathan I. Hoffmann, Department of Sociology, University of California, Los Angeles Kristopher Velasco, Department of Sociology, Princeton University January 26, 2023 Overview: Do Americans view lesbian and gay (LG) migrants as more deserving to enter the country than their straight counterparts? This question has yet to be evaluated, but is important to address for two reasons. First, recent changes in federal policy have contributed toward significant increases in LG migrants coming to the U.S. (Hoffmann and Velasco 2021; Vogler 2016). Despite this growth, how Americans view these types of migrants is unknown. Second, while Americans are increasingly polarized on their views toward migration, support for gay and lesbian migrants may be cross-cutting. There are now strong majorities and bipartisan support for related issues like marriage equality. In foreign policy, even the Trump Administration discursively promoted progay programs – enhancing the image of the U.S. as a tolerant society amid rising ethnonationalism and xenophobia. Therefore, this project proposes to investigate whether sexual minorities are evaluated as more deserving due to perceived cultural similarity to the U.S. This will help disentangle Americans' preferences for migrants' presumed cultural similarity from economic potential and humanitarian merit as well as delineate public opinion of this under-studied group.

Background: Two recent policy changes have contributed to a rapid rise in lesbian and gay immigrants in the United States. In 2011, President Obama moved to make queer refugees a "population of concern" for the U.S. (Vogler 2016), boosting the number of successful claims (Shaw et al. 2021). Then, in 2013, the Defense of Marriage act ended, allowing U.S. citizens to sponsor the visa of a same-sex partner for the first time (Edwards 2013). Since, numbers of same-sex couples including immigrants increased 140 percent – dramatically greater compared to the 22 percent increase for their heterosexual counterparts (Hoffmann and Velasco 2021). While most scholarship on LG immigrants focuses on cases of asylum-seekers fleeing repression (Murray 2014; Sam and Finley 2015; Akin 2017; Dhoest 2019; Giametta 2020; Saleh 2020), recent work suggests that the typical LG immigrant may be privileged and coming from progressive contexts (Hoffmann and Velasco 2021, 2022). These observations raise our key research questions: To what extent do Americans leverage sexual orientation as a salient factor to determine the deservingness of potential LG immigrants? Does economic potential or humanitarian merit condition these effects?

Previous experimental work has attempted to assess how native-born respondents assess the

"deservingness" of migrants to gain legal entry to their country. This work broadly supports three theories of deservingness: *cultural similarity*, *economic potential*, and *humanitarian merit*.

We hypothesize that *cultural similarity* will be the primary mechanism through which Americans determine LG immigrant deservingness. The limited, relevant research that exists finds Americans to be biased against Muslims and non-English speakers while Christians and English speakers are viewed as more deserving (Bansak, Hainmueller, and Hangartner 2016; Donnaloja 2022). This work supports "norms-based," "sociotropic" explanations (Hainmueller and Hopkins 2015). One such norm in development is that the U.S. is welcoming of lesbian and gay individuals – at least in international imagery (Snow and Cull 2020). Many right-wing parties across Western democracies, too, promote gay-friendly foreign images and national identities (Magni and Reynolds 2023). Often, this is done to justify opposition to supposedly homophobic Black, Brown, and Muslim immigrants or to justify foreign interventions like foreign aid conditionalities (e.g., homonationalism) (Puar 2007; Kwon, Scarborough, and Taylor 2022). Thus, just like language or religion signals cultural closeness, so too will an openly gay or lesbian sexual identity.

But theories of *economic potential* have greatest empirical support. Numerous studies find that highly educated migrants working in prestigious, high-earning professions are seen as most deserving of entry (Hainmueller and Hiscox 2010; Helbling and Kriesi 2014; Hainmueller and Hopkins 2015; Donnaloja 2022). Although economic potential is not a legal consideration when awarding refugee status, respondents find hypothetical refugees with economic potential to be more deserving (Bansak, Hainmueller, and Hangartner 2016; Lawlor and Paquet 2021). But LG Americans experience greater rates of poverty and have lower incomes compared to straight Americans [xx]. Despite this, many perceive this population as being wealthy or, at least, having higher discretionary spending [xx]. This may transfer over toward the deservingness of LG migrants if Americans perceive this population has having greater economic potential.

Additionally, work on refugees has pointed to the importance of *humanitarian merit* in matters of deservingness. While Bansak, Hainmueller, and Hangartner (2016) find greater support in Europe for immigrants with greater economic potential, they also find that respondents favor

immigrants coming for "humanitarian" rather than "economic" reasons. In the Danish context, Hedegaard (2022) finds that respondents are less likely to support climate migrants than typical refugees, but these two groups are both considered more deserving than economic migrants. As repression against LG communities increases in some countries like Russia [xx], this may prime Americans to be more sympathetic toward LG migrants on humanitarian grounds.

It is therefore important to disentangle cultural theories of immigrant deservingness from economic or humanitarian explanations. Doing so has yet to be convincingly executed – neither for LG immigrants, specifically, nor all immigrants, generally. Part of the issue is that many of these aspects of countries and individuals are correlated. Immigrants originating in wealthier countries tend to be considered to have both more economic potential and cultural similarity. Humanitarian migrants usually originate in less wealthy countries that are regarded as having less cultural similarity or economic potential. And besides religion and language, few studies have assessed cultural similarity theory.

We propose using a conjoint survey experiment to isolate these components of deservingness. Despite the recent rise of LG immigrants in the U.S., sexuality has been under-explored in studies of immigrant deservingness. We will use sexual minority status as a measure of cultural similarity that overcomes these issues. Sexual minorities are present throughout the world, avoiding issues of country-level correlates. The present era is characterized by rapidly changing policies and attitudes regarding sexual minorities, as well as substantial global heterogeneity. A large majority of Americans now support same-sex marriage and other rights for same-sex couples (Ofosu et al. 2019). The proposed study will be one of the first survey experiments to consider immigrant sexuality explicitly.

To assess the degree to which sexuality matters as a sign of cultural similarity, we will randomize two other attributes of cultural similarity: language and religion. And while we theorize that the deservingness of this type of immigrant may cut across typical divisions, we still anticipate some

¹Lawlor and Paquet (2021) include persecution due to sexual orientation (along with religion) as a "humanitarian deservingness cues" for hypothetical asylum seeker profiles, but not for refugee profiles, and they do not disaggregate these two cues. Hedegaard (2022) list "sexuality" as a possible form of persecution for hypothetical refugee profiles, along with race, religion, nationality, or political orientation.

heterogeneous effects across respondents. Namely, we hypothesize that respondents with greater cultural affinity with and support for LG communities will also view LG migrants as more deserving as well. We will measure these signals of cultural closeness as: levels of education, sexual/gender minority status, political ideology, and religiosity.

Research Design: We will use a conjoint survey experiment, which allows isolation of these components (Hainmueller, Hopkins, and Yamamoto 2014). Respondents will read two vignettes describing hypothetical immigrants and choose the one they prefer to gain entry to the United States (Lawlor and Paquet 2021; Fraser and Murakami 2022).

For the immigrant profiles, we will vary four attributes. Two are controls: gender has two levels (man and woman) and country GDP has two levels (moderately wealthy and poor). The other attributes test our hypotheses. Skill has three levels (has an MD and works as a cardiologist; has a high school degree and works as a restaurant manager; and has a primary school education and works as a cleaner). Language has two levels (speaks English and does not speak English), religion has two levels (Christian and Muslim), and sexuality has two levels (gay/lesbian and straight). Finally, reason for migration has two levels (could not find work due to high unemployment; feared government persecution). In all, this creates 192 potential profiles for respondents to evaluate.

We will present respondents with two vignettes. They will then indicate which one they see as more deserving of being admitted to the United States. One example profile might read: "Immigrant 1 wishes to be admitted as an immigrant to the United States. She comes from a moderately wealthy country, has a primary school education, and works as a cleaner. She does not speak English, is Christian, and identifies as lesbian. She had to leave her country because she could not find work due to high unemployment."

After choosing which profile they wish to see admitted to the U.S., respondents will give a rating between 1 and 7 of each profile's deservingness and a rating of perceived sharing of American values. Further details about the survey instrument are in the Appendix.

In addition to estimating average marginal component effects (AMCEs) for the attributes of interest (Hainmueller, Hopkins, and Yamamoto 2014), we will test for the presence of heterogeneous

effects for subsamples of respondents (Leeper, Hobolt, and Tilley 2020), including by political ideology, educational level, sexual/gender identity, and religiosity.

We are requesting a U.S. citizen subsample and the LGBT question from the Core Adult Profile. Each respondent will be shown the survey instrument twice, for a total of ten questions plus two sets of vignettes. With these 12 items and the subsample request, we anticipate a sample size of 1,710 evaluating 3,420 sets of profiles, which our power analysis suggests is sufficient to detect our effects of interest.

Pretest Results: Our pilot study...

Contribution: This study contributes to literature on immigrant deservingness and sexual minorities. Despite the rapid rise of LG immigrants in the U.S., we know little about how the American public views these immigrants. Furthermore, previous studies of immigrant deservingness have rarely considered how cultural similarity might shape respondents' choices. This research will clarify this area for scholars of immigration and sexual minorities, inform policymakers of public opinion, and provide activists for immigrant and queer rights with tools to shape their advocacy work.

References

- Akin, Deniz. 2017. "Queer Asylum Seekers: Translating Sexuality in Norway." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 43 (3): 458–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2016.1243050.
- Bansak, Kirk, Jens Hainmueller, and Dominik Hangartner. 2016. "How Economic, Humanitarian, and Religious Concerns Shape European Attitudes Toward Asylum Seekers." *Science* 354 (6309): 217–22. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag2147.
- Dhoest, Alexander. 2019. "Learning to Be Gay: LGBTQ Forced Migrant Identities and Narratives in Belgium." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 45 (7): 1075–89. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2017.1420466.
- Donnaloja, Victoria. 2022. "British Nationals' Preferences Over Who Gets to Be a Citizen According to a Choice-Based Conjoint Experiment." *European Sociological Review* 38 (2): 202–18. https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcab034.
- Edwards, Benjamin P. 2013. "Welcoming a Post-DOMA World: Same-Sex Spousal Petitions and Other Post-Windsor Immigration Implications." *Family Law Quarterly* 47 (2): 173–89.
- Fraser, Nicholas A. R., and Go Murakami. 2022. "The Role of Humanitarianism in Shaping Public Attitudes Toward Refugees." *Political Psychology* 43 (2): 255–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12751.
- Giametta, Calogero. 2020. "New Asylum Protection Categories and Elusive Filtering Devices: The Case of 'Queer Asylum' in France and the UK." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 46 (1): 142–57. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2018.1500172.
- Hainmueller, Jens, and Michael J. Hiscox. 2010. "Attitudes Toward Highly Skilled and Low-skilled Immigration: Evidence from a Survey Experiment." *American Political Science Review* 104 (1): 61–84. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055409990372.
- Hainmueller, Jens, and Daniel J. Hopkins. 2015. "The Hidden American Immigration Consensus: A Conjoint Analysis of Attitudes Toward Immigrants." *American Journal of Political Science* 59 (3): 529–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12138.
- Hainmueller, Jens, Daniel J. Hopkins, and Teppei Yamamoto. 2014. "Causal Inference in Conjoint Analysis: Understanding Multidimensional Choices via Stated Preference Experiments." *Political Analysis* 22 (1): 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpt024.
- Hedegaard, Troels Fage. 2022. "Attitudes to Climate Migrants: Results from a Conjoint Survey Experiment in Denmark." *Scandinavian Political Studies* 45 (1): 25–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9477.12213.
- Helbling, Marc, and Hanspeter Kriesi. 2014. "Why Citizens Prefer High- Over Low-Skilled Immigrants. Labor Market Competition, Welfare State, and Deservingness." *European Sociological Review* 30 (5): 595–614. https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcu061.
- Hoffmann, Nathan I., and Kristopher Velasco. 2021. "Making Migration Sexy: Immigrants in Same-Sex Couples in the United States." SocArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/hxjkt.
- ——. 2022. "Policy Effects on Mixed-Citizenship, Same-Sex Unions: A Triple-Difference Analysis." SocArXiv. https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/hx38t.
- Kwon, Ronald, William J Scarborough, and Caroline Taylor. 2022. "Multidimensional Attitudes: Homonationalist and Selective Tolerance Toward Homosexuality and Muslim Migration Across 21 Countries." *Ethnicities*, March, 146879682210783. https://doi.org/10.1177/14687968221078345.
- Lawlor, Andrea, and Mireille Paquet. 2021. "Deservingness in Context: Perspectives Toward

- Refugees and Asylum Seekers in Canada." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, October, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2021.1994376.
- Leeper, Thomas J., Sara B. Hobolt, and James Tilley. 2020. "Measuring Subgroup Preferences in Conjoint Experiments." *Political Analysis* 28 (2): 207–21. https://doi.org/10.1017/pan.2019. 30.
- Magni, Gabriele, and Andrew Reynolds. 2023. "Why Europe's Right Embraces Gay Rights." *Journal of Democracy* 34 (1): 50–64. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2023.0003.
- Murray, David A. B. 2014. "Real Queer: "Authentic" LGBT Refugee Claimants and Homonationalism in the Canadian Refugee System." *Anthropologica* 56 (1): 21–32.
- Ofosu, Eugene K., Michelle K. Chambers, Jacqueline M. Chen, and Eric Hehman. 2019. "Same-Sex Marriage Legalization Associated with Reduced Implicit and Explicit Antigay Bias." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (18): 8846–51. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1806000116.
- Puar, Jasbir. 2007. Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times. Duke University Press.
- Saleh, Fadi. 2020. "Queer/Humanitarian Visibility: The Emergence of the Figure of The Suffering Syrian Gay Refugee." *Middle East Critique* 29 (1): 47–67. https://doi.org/10.1080/19436149. 2020.1704501.
- Sam, Kosal, and Susan Finley. 2015. "A Teacher's Journey: A First-Person Account of How a Gay, Cambodian Refugee Navigated Myriad Barriers to Become Educated in the United States." *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education* 28 (6): 714–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/09518398.2015.1017858.
- Shaw, Ari, Winston Luhur, Ingrid Eagly, and Kerith J Conron. 2021. "LGBT Asylum Claims in the United States." Williams Institute.
- Snow, Nancy, and Nicholas J. Cull. 2020. *Routledge Handbook of Public Diplomacy*. Edited by Nancy Snow and Nicholas J. Cull. Second. 2nd edition. | New York, NY: Routledge, 2020.: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429465543.
- Vogler, Stefan. 2016. "Legally Queer: The Construction of Sexuality in LGBQ Asylum Claims." Law & Society Review 50 (4): 856–89. https://doi.org/10.1111/lasr.12239.

Appendix

Survey Instrument

Include panel question on LGBT identity. Each respondent will be shown two sets of profiles. For each set, they will be asked the following five questions:

1. Please carefully read the following two descriptions of potential immigrants to the United States. Then indicate which one you personally would prefer to see admitted to the United States.

Immigrant [1/2] wishes to be admitted as an immigrant to the U.S. [He/she] comes from a [GDP] country, [Skill]. [He/she] [Language], is [Religion], and identifies as [Sexuality]. [He/she] had to leave [his/her] country because [he/she] [reason].

Randomize:

Attribute	Vignette text
Gender	• man
	• woman
Country GDP	 moderately wealthy
	• low-income
Skill	 has an MD and works as a cardiologist has a high school degree and works as a restaurant manager has a primary school education and works as a cleaner
Language	 speaks English does not speak English
Religion	ChristianMuslim
Sexuality	gay/lesbianstraight (that is, not gay/lesbian)
Reason for migration	feared government persecutioncould not find work due to high unemployment

Example:

Immigrant 1	Immigrant 2
Immigrant 1 is a woman from a moder-	Immigrant 2 is a man from a low-income
ately wealthy country. She has a primary	country. He has an MD and works as a cardi-
school education and works as a teacher. She	ologist. He does not speak English, is Chris-
speaks English, is Muslim, and identifies as	tian, and identifies as straight (that is, not
lesbian. She had to leave her country be-	gay). He has to leave his country because
cause she could not find work.	he feared government persecution.

Based on their descriptions, which of these two immigrants would you personally would prefer to see admitted to the United States?

- Immigrant 1
- Immigrant 2

The following two questions are about **immigrant 1**:

- 2. On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 signifies that the U.S. should absolutely not admit immigrant 1 and 7 signifies that the U.S. should definitely admit this immigrant, how would you rate immigrant 1?
- 3. On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 signifies having no shared values and 7 signifies a great deal of shared values, to what degree do you think immigrant 1 has shared values with the U.S.?

The following two questions are about **immigrant 2**:

- 4. On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 signifies that the U.S. should absolutely not admit immigrant 1 and 7 signifies that the U.S. should definitely admit this immigrant, how would you rate immigrant 1?
- 5. On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 signifies having no shared values and 7 signifies a great deal of shared values, to what degree do you think immigrant 1 has shared values with the U.S.?

Power analysis