

# HW4

Nathan Krieger

2026-02-17

## Problem 1

(a)

Hypothesis testing is valuable here because while the summary shows us that the estimate is non-zero, it doesn't mean that the predictor  $X_3$  actually influences  $Y$ . It would be rare to see an estimate that is truly zero due to noise.

We can use hypothesis testing to determine if the estimate is a real signal or just random luck.

In the case of  $X_3$ , the estimate is non-zero, but the P-value is 0.334 which is much higher than the  $\alpha = 0.05$  threshold, therefore,  $X_3$  is not a good predictor of  $Y$

(b)

I disagree with this claim. Even if we knew the true  $f(X)$ , there is still error ( $\epsilon$ ) that we cannot predict.

$$Y = f(X) + \epsilon$$

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

## **Problem 2**

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

## **Problem 3**

## **Problem 4**

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

(i)