AND CRYPTOGRAPHY LABORATORY

Cryptography and Security 2017

## Solution Sheet 3

## Solution 1 Vigenère Cipher

- 1. We pick two index positions I and J at random such that they are different. That is, for any i and j such that  $i \neq j$  we have  $\Pr[I = i, J = j] = \frac{1}{|s|(|s|-1)}$ . We have  $I_c(s) = \Pr[s_I = s_J] = \sum_{c \in A} \Pr[s_I = s_J = c]$ . Now,  $\Pr[s_I = s_J = c]$  is  $\frac{n_s(c)(n_s(c)-1)}{|s|(|s|-1)}$  so we obtain the formula.
- 2. We have  $n_s(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1_{X_i=c}$  so  $E(n_s(c)) = np(c)$ . Similarly, we have  $n_s(c)^2 = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} 1_{X_i=X_j=c}$ . If i = j, we have  $E(1_{X_i=X_j=c}) = p(c)$ . If  $i \neq j$ , we have  $E(1_{X_i=X_j=c}) = p(c)^2$ . So,  $E(n_s(c)^2) = np(c) + n(n-1)p(c)^2$ . By linearity of E, we thus obtain

$$I_p = E(I_c(X)) = \sum_{c \in A} p(c)^2$$

$$I_u = \frac{1}{26}$$

3. • We have  $E(I_c(Y)) = \Pr[Y_I = Y_J]$  where the probability holds over the distribution of I, J, X, and K. Clearly,

$$\Pr[Y_I = Y_J | \neg \mathcal{E}] = \Pr[X_I + K_{I \bmod k} \equiv X_J + K_{J \bmod k} \pmod{26} | \neg \mathcal{E}] = I_u$$

since  $K_{I \mod k}$  and  $K_{J \mod k}$  are independent and uniformly distributed.

• We have

$$\Pr[Y_I = Y_J | \mathcal{E}] = \Pr[X_I + K_{I \bmod k} \equiv X_J + K_{J \bmod k} \pmod{26} | \mathcal{E}]$$
$$= \Pr[X_I = X_J | \mathcal{E}]$$

since  $K_{I \bmod k} = K_{J \bmod k}$ . We split this probability over all possible values of  $I \bmod k$ . In each case, we obtain something which is  $I_p$  on average since all plaintext elements are independent. Thus,  $\Pr[Y_I = Y_J | \mathcal{E}] = I_p$ .

• For i = 0, 1, ..., r - 1, we have  $\Pr[I \mod k = J \mod k = i] = \frac{(q+1)q}{n(n-1)}$ . For i = r, r + 1, ..., k - 1, we have  $\Pr[I \mod k = J \mod k = i] = \frac{q(q-1)}{n(n-1)}$ . Thus,

$$\Pr[\mathcal{E}] = r \frac{(q+1)q}{n(n-1)} + (k-r) \frac{q(q-1)}{n(n-1)} = \frac{q(2n-k(q+1))}{n(n-1)}$$

• By collecting all previous results we have

$$E(I_c(Y)) = I_p \Pr[\mathcal{E}] + I_u(1 - \Pr[\mathcal{E}]) = (I_p - I_u) \Pr[\mathcal{E}] + I_u$$

Using the expression of  $\Pr[\mathcal{E}]$  we finally obtain

$$E(I_c(Y)) = (I_p - I_u)q \frac{2n - k(q+1)}{n(n-1)} + I_u$$

• We have

$$I_c(Y) \approx (I_p - I_u) \frac{n-k}{nk} + I_u$$

We invert the previous formula. We obtain

$$k \approx \frac{1}{\frac{I_c(Y) - I_u}{I_p - I_u} + \frac{1}{n}}$$

## Solution 2 Vernam with Two Dice

- 1. In the generalized Vernam cipher, k must be uniformly distributed in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . Here, k is a number from 2 to 12. It is not a big deal as it is equivalent to use  $k \mod 12$ , but the distribution of  $k \mod 12$  we obtain is far from being uniform in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . For instance,  $\Pr[k \mod 12 = 2] = \frac{1}{36}$  and  $\Pr[k \mod 12 = 7] = \frac{1}{6}$ .
- 2. We just have to say for which n is  $k \mod n$  uniformly distributed. Since  $k = k_1 + k_2$ , the sum of the values  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  of the two dice, and since  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are independent and uniformly distributed modulo 6, the scheme is secure when n is a factor of 6:  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ . For n = 12, we have seen it is not secure. What remains is n = 4.

 $k_1 \mod 4$  and  $k_2 \mod 4$  have distribution  $\Pr[k_i \mod 4 = i] = \frac{1}{6}$  for  $i \in \{0,3\}$  and  $\Pr[k_i \mod 4 = i] = \frac{1}{3}$  for  $i \in \{1,2\}$ . So,  $\Pr[k \mod 4 = 0] = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\Pr[k \mod 4 = 1] = \frac{2}{9}$ ,  $\Pr[k \mod 4 = 2] = \frac{1}{4}$ , and  $\Pr[k \mod 4 = 3] = \frac{5}{18}$ . So, it is not uniform and the scheme is not secure for n = 4.

3. Using the Bayes formula, we have

$$\Pr[x = b | y = c] = \frac{\Pr[y = c | x = b] \Pr[x = b]}{\sum_{b'} \Pr[y = c | x = b'] \Pr[x = b']}$$

Clearly,  $\Pr[y = c | x = b'] = \Pr[k \equiv c - b' \pmod{4}]$  due to the independence between x and k. Since x is uniformly distributed, we obtain

$$\Pr[x = b | y = c] = \frac{\Pr[k \equiv c - b]}{\sum_{b'} \Pr[k \equiv c - b']} = \frac{\Pr[k \equiv c - b]}{\Pr[k \in \{c, c - 1\}]}$$

where values of k are taken modulo 4. Using the distribution that we computed in the previous question, we can fill the following table:

c	$\Pr[x = 0   y = c]$	$\Pr[x = 1   y = c]$
0	9/19	10/19
1	8/17	9/17
2	9/17	8/17
3	10/19	9/19

4. We have  $\tilde{x}=1$  for c=0,  $\tilde{x}=1$  for c=1,  $\tilde{x}=0$  for c=2, and  $\tilde{x}=0$  for c=3. For x=0,  $x\neq \tilde{x}$  when  $c\in\{0,1\}$  so  $k \mod 4\in\{0,1\}$ . For x=1,  $x\neq \tilde{x}$  when  $c\in\{2,3\}$  so  $k \mod 4\in\{1,2\}$ . So,  $P_e=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{2}{9}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{9}+\frac{1}{4}\right)=\frac{17}{36}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{36}$ .