# M 383: Assignment 1

Nathan Stouffer

*Problem.* Begin with the statement "Multiplication of integers is associative." Rewrite the state with explicit quantifiers. Then form the negation of the statement. Finally, recast the negation in a form similar to the original statement.

*Proof.* The statement "Multiplication of integers is associative" can be rewritten with explicit qunatifiers as

$$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$$

The negation of the statement is

$$\exists a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } a * (b * c) \neq (a * b) * c$$

We can rewrite the negation (in words) as "Multiplication of integers is not always associative."

*Problem.* Prove that every subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is either finite or countable. Conclude from this that there is no infinite set with cardinality less than that of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We must show that every subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is either finite or countable. To do this, we select a subset  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Then A must be either finite or infinite. If A is finite, there is nothing to show.

If A is infinite, we must show that A is countable. We can show A is countable by listing every element of A:

$$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \dots$$

where  $a_1$  is the smallest element of A,  $a_2$  is the next smallest, and so on. Since the above listing includes every element of A without repitition, A must be countable. So we have shown that every subset of the natural numbers must be finite or countable.

Further, every infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has the same cardinality as  $\mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, there can exist no smaller infinite set than  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Problem. Prove that the rational numbers are countable.

*Proof.* We must show that the rational numbers are countable. We can do so by listing all the rationals. Before doing so, let's set up some notation. For a given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Q_k = \{\pm j/k \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We then say that  $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k$ . Then the set of rational numbers is  $\mathbb{Q} = \{0\} \bigcup U$ .

Note that each  $Q_k$  is countable since we can list all of its elements as

$$\frac{1}{k}, \frac{-1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \frac{-2}{k}, \frac{3}{k}, \frac{-3}{k}, \frac{4}{k}, \frac{-4}{k}, \dots$$

We can then form an infinite table with  $Q_k$  as the  $k^{th}$  row (we denote the  $i^{th}$  element of  $Q_k$  as  $q_{ki}$ ).

We can then list all the elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We start with 0, then we read the above table diagonally and ignore any duplicated elements:

$$L_{\mathbb{O}} = 0, q_{11}, q_{21}, q_{12}, q_{31}, q_{22}, q_{23}, \cdots$$

Then  $\mathbb N$  has a one-to-one correspondence with  $L_{\mathbb Q}$ . So, we have shown that  $L_{\mathbb Q}$  is countable.

*Problem.* Show that if a countable subset is removed from an uncountable set, the remainder is still uncountable.

*Proof.* We begin by giving the problem some notation. Given an uncountable set A and a countable subset  $B \subset A$ , we must show that  $A \setminus B$  is uncountable.

Towards a contradiction, let's assume that we have an uncountable set A and a countable subset  $B \subset A$  such that  $A \setminus B$  is countable. Let  $C = A \setminus B$ . Since B and C are both countable, we can list all of their elements:  $b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots$  and  $c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots$  From here, we can also deduce that  $B \bigcup C$  is countable because every element of  $B \bigcup C$  can be listed:  $b_1, c_1, b_2, c_2, b_3, c_3, \ldots$ 

Yet,  $B \bigcup C = A$  and we know A to be uncountable. So, we have reached a contradiction since A cannot be both countable and uncountable.

Since we reached a contradiction, we must have incorrectly assumed that  $A \setminus B$  could be countable. Therefore,  $A \setminus B$  must be uncountable and we have shown that removing a countable set from an uncoubtable set must always result in an uncountable set.

*Problem.* Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3, ...$  be countable sets, and let their Cartesian product  $A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3 \times ...$  be defined to be the set of all sequences  $(a_1, a_2, ...)$  where  $a_k$  is an element of  $A_k$ . Prove that the Cartesian product is uncountable. Show that the same conclusion holds if each of the sets  $A_1, A_2, ...$  has at least two elements.

*Proof.* We must show that the Caresian product of a countable number of countable sets is uncountable. Towards a contradiction, let's assume that such a Cartesian product is countable. For notation, we assume that  $P = A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3 \times \cdots$  is a countable set.

Since P is countable, there exists a bijective map  $f: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow P$ . For a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say  $f(n) = (a_1^n, a_2^n, a_3^n, ...) \in P$ . To get our contradiction, we will construct an element  $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3, ...) \in P$  such that  $p \notin im(f)$ .

When constructing p, we choose  $p_k$  such that  $p_k \in A_k$  and  $p_k \neq a_k^k$ . We choose  $p_k \in A_k$  so that p is an element of P and we require that  $p_k \neq a_k^k$  so that there is no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that f(n) = p. We know that such a  $p_k \in A_k$  exists because  $A_k$  has countably many elements. Since there is no n such that f(n) = p, the element  $p \notin im(f)$ . This means that f is not surjective.

Yet we assumed that f is surjective so we have reached the contradiction that f must be both surjective and not surjective. Since we reached a contradiction, we must have incorrectly assumed that P is countable. So we have shown that the Cartesian product of a countable number of countable sets is uncountable.

We can make our result even stronger. Our selection of  $p_k$  only requires that there is a single element  $a_k' \in A_k$  such that  $a_k' \neq a_k^k$ . So the proof holds so long as  $\{a_k^k, a_k'\} \subset A_k$ . Thus, each  $A_k$  needs only two elements.