CSCI 476: Lab 10

Nathan Stouffer

April 18, 2020

In Task 1, the MD5 collision attack is investigated. This is done by using the md5collgen tool already installed on the Virtual Machine.

Task 1A

For Task 1A, any prefix may be used. Figure 1 shows the command line process to for creating the hash collision. Using the prefix "secret message:)" the md5collgen tool generates two binary files with the same hash. However, using the diff command, Figure 1 shows that the two binary files are different. So out1.bin and out2.bin are a hash collision (hash $(m_1) = \text{hash}(m_2)$ but $m_1 \neq m_2$).

Figure 1: Generating hash collision

```
10.0.2.4 seed -/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/my-choice
$ echo -n "secret message:)" > prefix.txt

10.0.2.4 seed -/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/my-choice
$ md5collgen -p prefix.txt -o outl.bin out2.bin
MD5 collision generator v1.5
by Marc Stevens (http://www.win.tue.nl/hashclash/)

Using output filenames: 'out1.bin' and 'out2.bin'
Using prefixfile: 'prefix.txt'
Using initial value: 745750e82d6a81d9d3c8b83a343e0849

Generating first block: ....
Generating second block: 510....
Running time: 4.45669 s

10.0.2.4 seed -/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/my-choice
$ md5sum out1.bin
be38aed1cb9112c95166b15c659fe79d out1.bin

10.0.2.4 seed -/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/my-choice
$ md5sum out2.bin
be38aed1c99112c95166b15c659fe79d out2.bin

10.0.2.4 seed -/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/my-choice
$ diff out1.bin out2.bin out2.bin out2.bin out2.bin out2.bin
```

Task 1B

In Task 1B, it is wondered what happens when the prefix file is not a multiple of 64. The prefix used in Task 1A is one such prefix, so it is certain a hash collision can be generated for such files. Now take a closer look at the contents of out1.bin and out2.bin (the two inputs that collide in the hash space). Figure 2 shows the contents of out1.bin while Figure 3 shows out2.bin. Note that the tool generating the collisions pads the prefix up to 64 bytes before appending the binary that forces the collision. Precisely 64 bytes are required because that is the input size for the underlying algorithm.

Figure 2: Contents of out1.bin

Figure 3: Contents of out2.bin

Task 1C

Task 1C asks that the experiment from Task 1B is repeated with a 64 byte block. The process of creating the hash collision and proving the two output files are different is shown in Figure 4. Then Figure 5 shows that their MD5 hashes collide.

Figure 4: Generating hash collision

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/64-bytes
$ ls -l
total 4
--M-rN-r-- 1 seed seed 64 Apr 15 09:18 prefix-64.txt

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/64-bytes
$ md5colligen -p prefix-64.txt -o outl.bin out2.bin
MD5 collision generator v1.5
by Marc Stevens (http://www.win.tue.nl/hashclash/)
Using output filenames: 'outl.bin' and 'out2.bin'
Using prefixfile: 'prefix-64.txt'
Using initial value: b7820955b1304ef5d125be080b861780

Generating first block: .....
Generating second block: 501...
Running time: 8.3238 s

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/64-bytes
$ diff outl.bin out2.bin
Binary files outl.bin and out2.bin differ
```

Figure 5: Showing hash collision

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/64-bytes
$ md5sum out1.bin
18e7c1bd3a24afc26f9af39fa424d2c7 out1.bin
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/64-bytes
$ md5sum out2.bin
18e7c1bd3a24afc26f9af39fa424d2c7 out2.bin
```

It should be noted that there is no padding added in the case where the input is 64 bytes long (see Figures 6 and 7 for proof).

Task 1D

The respective 128 bytes appended to each file to create the collision are not entirely different. In fact, the bytes differ only by 7 bits. From the contents of each file displayed in Figures 6 and 7, the differences can be extracted.

Figure 6: Contents of out1.bin

out1.bin 🗱	ou	t2.bi	n %																					
00000000	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0 A	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D
00000018	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0 A	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0 A
00000030	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0A	E3	46	33	0D	AF	D6	91	0F
00000048	D6	FE	ΕO	00	9C	2B	D7	9В	В4	AD	8B	75	C2	17	BC	DE	15	EE	43	61	0F	1C	В7	4 A
00000060	60	BE	F3	BA	48	45	C8	D9	67	3E	45	DB	FD	1D	8A	90	2F	2B	B2	8 0	EF	В1	3F	CF
00000078	AF	74	41	DA	11	05	28	59	6A	1F	10	22	81	4 D	4B	F9	3F	63	13	01	BF	EE	E6	E3
00000090	D8	9E	В8	2F	19	26	26	EE	C9	1A	76	c7	EC	47	02	70	C8	66	26	94	F2	CA	03	40
000000a8	В8	24	3D	D7	9D	78	55	6Α	15	AA	4A	74	3E	В4	6A	00	F9	17	35	2B	A2	80	5A	51
000000c0																								

Figure 7: Contents of out2.bin

out1.bin 🗱	ou	t2.bi	n 🗱																					
00000000	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0 A	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D
0000018	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0 A	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0 A
00000030	73	65	63	72	65	74	20	6D	65	73	73	61	67	65	29	0 A	E3	46	33	0D	AF	D6	91	0F
00000048	D6	FE	ΕO	00	9C	2B	D7	9B	В4	AD	8B	F5	C2	17	BC	DE	15	EE	43	61	0F	1C	В7	4A
00000060	60	BE	F3	BA	48	45	C8	D9	67	3E	45	DB	FD	9D	8A	90	2F	2B	B2	8 0	EF	В1	3F	CF
00000078	AF	74	41	5A	11	05	28	59	6A	1F	10	22	81	4 D	4B	F9	3F	63	13	01	BF	EE	E6	E3
00000090																								
000000a8	В8	24	3D	D7	9D	F8	54	6A	15	AA	4A	74	3E	В4	6A	00	F9	17	35	AB	A2	80	5A	51
000000c0																								

The differences between the two files are displayed in Table 1. Note a pattern in the changes: flip the first bit of the byte in out1.bin to compute the value of in out2.bin. The only exception to this rule is at offset 0xAE, where the last bit is flipped.

Table 1: Differences in out1.bin and out2.bin

i. Dinerences in outroin and											
offset	out1.bin	out2.bin									
0x53	75	F5									
0x6D	1D	9D									
0x7B	DA	5A									
0x93	2F	AF									
0xAD	78	F8									
0xAE	55	54									
0xBB	2B	2A									

Task 2 investigates the suffix extension property of MD5. That is, for two distinct inputs m and n (with hash(m) = hash(n)), is it true that hash(m||t) = hash(n||t)? The following experiment shows this to be the case. Figure 8 shows the construction of a hash collision.

Figure 8: Constructing initial messages

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/labl0/code/task2
$ mdScollgen -p prefix.txt -o m.bin n.bin
MDS collision generator v1.5
by Marc Stevens (http://www.win.tue.nl/hashclash/)

Using output filenames: 'm.bin' and 'n.bin'
Using prefixfile: 'prefix.txt'
Using initial value: d783743b3bcd61737433b7314lbec313

Generating first block: ......
Generating second block: 510.....
Running time: 10.7939 s

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/labl0/code/task2
$ diff m.bin n.bin
Binary files m.bin and n.bin differ

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/labl0/code/task2
$ mdSsum m.bin
33d1330b821c6819d4492f75ba5379la m.bin

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/labl0/code/task2
$ mdSsum n.bin
33d1330b821c6819d4492f75ba5379la n.bin
```

Figure 9 shows a number of steps. It first shows the creation of the concatenated files (the first two commands). Then, using the diff command, it is proven that the two files are different. Finally, the MD5 hashes are computed for each concatenated file. Viewing the last two commands in Figure 9, it can be seen that their hashes are identical. The odds of this occurring by chance are quite slim so it is safe to assume that concatenations preserve existing hash collisions.

Figure 9: Adding suffix and showing hash

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task2
$ cat m.bin suffix.txt > m-suff.bin

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task2
$ cat n.bin suffix.txt > n-suff.bin

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task2
$ diff m-suff.bin n-suff.bin and n-suff.bin differ

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task2
$ md5sum m-suff.bin
4f6dd3e7bfaf3c90536f099fd6892b2f m-suff.bin

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task2
$ md5sum n-suff.bin
4f6dd3e7bfaf3c90536f099fd6892b2f n-suff.bin
```

Task 3 wonders whether two executable files can be constructed to have the same hash. The goal is to produce two programs with different source code, with the same function, and identical hashes. The program used is compiled from C source code in "print_array.c" on the course repository. In this program, there is a 200 byte array filled with the hex for A. When compiled, the location of the array will be evident (using a hex editor) and changes can be made. Note that the array is 200 bytes long, so a portion of it can be overwritten with the 128 bytes needed to generate a hash collision for MD5.

After compiling "print_array.c," a hex editor can be used to find that the beginning of the array is at offset 1040 in hex. This translates to 4160 in decimal. Padding might cause an error in the program so the input size must be a multiple of 64. The smallest multiple of 64 (that is greater than 4160) is chosen: 4224. Figure 10 shows the use of the head/tail tools to copy the compiled binary into an appropriate prefix and suffix file. Then the hash collision is generated and placed into files m and n.

Figure 10: Creating hash collisions

From there, the distinct binary files m and n can be concatenated with the suffix to generate the valid programs "exec1.out" and "exec2.out." This is shown in Figure 11.

Figure 11: Creating executables

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task3
$ cat m suffix > exec1.out

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task3
$ cat n suffix > exec2.out

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task3
$ chmod +x exec*

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task3
$ ts
exec1.out exec2.out m n orig_exec.out prefix print_array.c suffix
```

Figure 12 shows two results. First, that both binary files are functional programs (ie they print out the array). Second, it is also shown that the two binary files differ. The only remaining question is whether their hashes are identical. It is expected that they are; m and n already had a hash collision and the same binary was tacked on as a suffix.

Figure 12: Showing that the executables are functional

In fact, their hashes are identical! This is shown in Figure 13.

Figure 13: Computing hashes

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task3

$ md5sum exec1.out
6e659a75208e0a0fadeb863449623760 exec1.out

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task3

$ md5sum exec2.out
6e659a75208e0a0fadeb863449623760 exec2.out
```

In Task 4, two programs with different behavior are generated, despite having the same hash. This is done using the knowledge gained in the previous tasks. The final product will have two arrays that it compares, if the arrays are identical it will perform a benign action. But if they differ, it will perform a shifty action. But how is the program constructed? The original binary file looks like the following:

```
header array 1 mid array 2 suffix
```

In each executable, the prefix will be formed from the header and will populate array 1. The "mid" section will be left alone and array 2 should be populated with one of the 128 bytes generated from creating the hash collision. Then the suffix should be the same for both programs.

Figure 14 forms the hash collision and prepares the rest of the binary to be edited (by placing one of the collision tags in array 2).

Figure 14: Forming hash collision

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ head -c 4224 orig.out > prefix

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ md5collgen -p prefix -o b-head s-head
MD5 collision generator v1.5
by Marc Stevens (http://www.win.tue.nl/hashclash/)

Using output filenames: 'b-head' and 's-head'
Using prefixfile: 'prefix'
Using initial value: 070caa665d6db0e04ccb78bb0f97d2c4

Generating first block: .
Generating second block: W......
Running time: 0.739736 s

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ tail -c +4225 b-head > b-tail

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ tail -c +4225 orig.out > orig-tail
```

Figure 15 shows the creation of the binary files "benign.out" and "shifty.out."

Figure 15: Creating executables

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ tail -c +4353 orig.out > orig-tail

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ head -c 96 orig-tail > mid

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ tail -c +225 orig-tail > suffix

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ cat b-head mid b-tail suffix > benign.out

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ cat s-head mid b-tail suffix > shifty.out

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ cat s-head mid b-tail suffix > shifty.out
```

Finally, Figure 16 shows two results. The two programs perform different actions, but also have identical hashes. This is a major security flaw in the MD5 hash function.

Figure 16: Showing different functionality and computing hashes

```
10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ ./benign.out
benign action

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ ./shifty.out
shifty action

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ md5sum benign.out

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ md5sum shifty.out

10.0.2.4 seed ~/Documents/comp-security/lab10/code/task4
$ md5sum shifty.out
```

For hash functions to be effective, it is necessary that they are collision resistant. Without that property, a malicious coder may be able to get code certified but then publish different code with an identical hash. This makes users vulnerable to attackers.