

# Hillshade Video Script

Nathan Stouffer


## 1 Intro

### 1.1 Motivation

What I'm showing you right now is a map. But you probably didn't need to be told that. In fact, I would be willing to bet that you not only recognized this as a map, you also instantly discerned many topographic features about this region. You probably recognized that this particular spot is relatively flat and that this other area is pretty steep. Or that this is a small gully and this is a ridgeline. Or that this face is pretty rugged while this slope is not quite as technical.

You are able to get all that complex information just from a pretty simple grayscale image. But what's interesting, is that I bet you can't say precisely *why* you are able to discern those features. You just know it to be true.

TODO: Be more precise in this intro – it is likely that the viewer is able to say why they know those features exist, but the point is that they can't give a precise explanation as to why. although even that doesn't cover it – maybe it would be better to just ask the question “What is your brain doing?” instead of postulating that the viewer can't articulate something. It is probably better to ask, how could the viewer build something like this. Assume that they are able to explain that because of the texture of the shading, they know something to be true. But how could they produce it? I think that will be a more effective way of drawing the audience in.



### 1.2 Background

TODO: Figure out some transition to this What's more, this isn't even a 3-dimensional map. And I don't just mean that the screen you're viewing is 2-dimensional. I mean that the actual model that I am rendering is 2D – I am merely tricking your brain into thinking it is 3D.

TODO: come up with examples of directional lighting

## 2 Directional Lighting

### 2.1 Light Direction

### 2.2 Surface Normal

### 2.3 Cosine

### 2.4 Law of Cosines => Dot Product

We now know that we want to vary the strength of our lighting according to  $\cos \theta$ . But that doesn't really help us that much because we don't know what  $\theta$  is. All we know are the vectors  $l$  and  $n$ .

The next step is to figure out how to compute  $\cos \theta$  based on the two things we know. Well, what we know the light direction  $l$  and the terrain normal  $n$ . At the end of the day, those are just lists of numbers. Sure, they have some constraints (like the fact that their length is 1). And they also have some geometric meaning. But when it comes down to it, we need to turn two lists of numbers into something as complex as the cosine function. How are we supposed to do it? What is the link between these two vectors and cosine?

One tip that I sometimes find to be very helpful is to zoom out a bit. We've already turned our semi-realistic lighting model into a pure geometry problem: what is the cosine of the angle between two unit vectors? Let's just run with that for a bit. Let our two unit vectors be  $u$  and  $v$ .

Now that we've re-labeled, let's take a fresh look at our problem. We have our vectors  $u$  and  $v$  along with our relevant angle  $\theta$ . If you were some early mathematician, at this point in the proof, you would have to start experimenting with ideas and wait for inspiration to strike. This sort of thing takes practice and lots of dead ends to feel out.

But if I were to offer one piece of advice, I would say it's usually worth constructing a triangle and using some of the many theorems about them to reason your way towards your goal. Triangles are surprisingly effective. In this case, we are going to draw the third leg of that triangle and use the Law of Cosines.

We can relate  $u$  and  $v$  with  $\cos \theta$  by using the Law of Cosines. We already have  $\theta$  labeled and we know  $|u| = |v| = 1$  because we have constructed them to be unit vectors. To do this, we need to figure out what the third leg of our triangle is.

TODO: the wording in this paragraph could use some work: Vectors add by being placed tip to tail. This means that the vector  $w$ , which starts at  $u$  and ends at  $v$ , has the following equality  $u = v + w \Rightarrow w = u - v$ .



The full Law of Cosines says:  $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos C$ . In our case,  $c = |u - v|$ ,  $a = |u|$ ,  $b = |v|$ , and  $C = \theta$ . So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |u - v|^2 &= |u|^2 + |v|^2 - 2|u||v| \cos \theta && \text{substitute from generalized LoC} \\ |u - v|^2 &= 2 - 2 \cos \theta && \text{since we know } |u| = |v| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

TODO: introduce the dot product (but don't call it that)

$$\begin{aligned}
(u - v) \cdot (u - v) &= 2 - 2 \cos \theta && \text{by definition of dot product} \\
u \cdot u - u \cdot v - v \cdot u + v \cdot v &= 2 - 2 \cos \theta && \text{by distributive property of dot product} \\
1 - 2u \cdot v + 1 &= 2 - 2 \cos \theta && \text{simplifying} \\
l \cdot v &= \cos \theta && \text{simplifying}
\end{aligned}$$

TODO: mention how the LoC is true for even degenerate triangles

TODO: discuss how we didn't even assume dimension

TODO: discuss the generalized form (non-unit vectors)

TODO: discuss how surprising the dot product identity is

### 3 Hillshade

Hillshading isn't just one thing. It is actually a term for a family of effects that can be applied to a map. We now have the mathematical tools to build towards a very version of hillshading, but there are lots of additional techniques out there.

## 4 Footnotes

### 4.1 Pseudoscopic Illusion

### 4.2 Multiple Lights

Possibly mention Eduard Imhof.