

Mathematics

An undergraduate notebook

Nathan Typanski

September 4, 2013

This work is Copyright © 2013 by Nathan Typanski.

This work is made available under the terms of the *Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License*. **You are free:**

to Share – to copy, distribute and transmit the work

to Remix – to adapt the work

Under the following conditions:

 **Attribution** – You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

 **Noncommercial** – You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

 **Share Alike** – If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under the same or similar license to this one.

With the understanding that:

Waiver – Any of the above conditions can be *waived* if you get permission from the copyright holder.

Public Domain – Where the work or any of its elements is in the *public domain* under applicable law, that status is in no way affected by the license.

Other Rights – In no way are any of the following rights affected by the license:

- Your fair dealing or *fair use* rights, or other applicable copyright exceptions and limitations;
- The author's *moral* rights;
- Rights other persons may have either in the work itself or in how the work is used, such as *publicity* or *privacy* rights.

Notice – For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>



Preface

Hold to the now, the here, through which
all future plunges to the past.

Ulysses, James Joyce, Episode 9

I started this notebook my Math 240 (Calculus II) class at Christopher Newport University on February 13, 2012. I had picked up the basics of L^AT_EX in my free hour before class because I wanted to learn how to type mathematical documents. Why? Because L^AT_EX is cool.

I started to care about math because it serves as the logical foundation for physics. I realized quickly in my studies that I did not know enough math, and I did not know it rigorously enough to truly understand physics. I cared about physics because it is a prerequisite for understanding the basics of computer engineering, my major.

This is now the longest document I've ever written. It has grown to represent a sizable portion of my college education at this time. It's also the first time I've developed a sustainable organizational system for my notes. Everything before this, and everything besides this, lies in stacks of scattered legal pads in at least four different locations.

My goal is to finally organize my thoughts and conceptualize this material in a way I have never even attempted before.

Whatever it takes.

Nathan Typanski

April 9, 2012

A note to the reader

This text is a work in progress.

Everything in this document is subject to change.

No claim is made as to the accuracy of any of the information contained herein. There may be mistakes, inaccuracies, or outright lies included among otherwise relevant and complete content. Always check with a reputable source (e.g. a math book).

As of July 14, 2013, I am officially declaring this project to emphasize quantity and inclusion of my old, handwritten notes over quality. This is part of an effort to insert enough content that this text actually becomes a valuable resource. Right now, while organization, perfection, and copywriting would be an admirable goal, there is simply not enough material to “see the bigger picture,” as it were. Therefore, my direction will shift to attack that goal. I was most productive on this when I *was* mindlessly adding content, in-class notes, what have you. Things may always be removed later, but it takes considerable effort to write them in the first place.

A note on references

Whenever a number is appended to a sentence in brackets ([11], for example), it means the preceeding section has a citation in the bibliography and can be examined in the original source. This is very commonly used in simple tables that are reproduced here, or in sections of text where the material is not different enough from its source text.

The references for this text are not fully established in the official text. There are still a number of places where citations may be missing, or provided only by name and without a complete entry in the bibliography. However, I am regularly going back through this text and completely rewriting sections that have been copied verbatim (for example, a couple of proofs in the appendix are not my work, though I am prudent to say so outright). This is largely because this material is presented in draft form, and many of the citations are provided in the L^AT_EX source but not yet finalized to the reader. Over time, all instances of this will be adequately removed.

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Preface | 3 |
| I Discrete Mathematics | 13 |
| 1 Propositional Logic | 17 |
| 1.1 Introduction to Propositional Logic | 17 |
| 1.2 Logical Equivalence | 20 |
| 1.3 Precedence | 20 |
| 1.4 DeMorgan's Laws | 21 |
| 1.5 Useful Logical Equivalences | 22 |
| 1.6 Proving Logical Equivalences | 23 |
| 1.7 Propositional Satisfiability | 24 |
| 2 Predicates and Quantifiers | 25 |
| 2.1 Predicate Logic | 25 |
| 2.1.1 Domain of Discourse | 26 |
| 2.2 Quantification | 26 |
| 2.3 Existential Quantification | 26 |
| 2.3.1 Uniqueness Quantifier | 26 |
| 2.4 Logical Equivalence of Quantified Propositions | 27 |
| 2.4.1 DeMorgan's Laws for Quantifiers | 27 |
| 2.5 Order of Quantifiers | 28 |
| 3 Rules of Inference | 29 |
| 3.1 Rules of Inference for Propositions | 29 |
| 3.1.1 <i>Modus ponens</i> | 29 |
| 3.1.2 <i>Modus tollens</i> | 30 |
| 3.1.3 Hypothetical syllogism | 30 |
| 3.1.4 Disjunctive syllogism | 30 |
| 3.1.5 Addition | 30 |
| 3.1.6 Simplification | 30 |
| 3.1.7 Conjunction | 30 |
| 3.1.8 Resolution | 31 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------|
| 8 | CONTENTS | |
| 3.2 | Rules of Inference for Quantified Statements | 31 |
| 3.2.1 | Universal Generalization | 31 |
| 3.2.2 | Universal Instantiation | 31 |
| 3.2.3 | Existential Generalization | 31 |
| 3.2.4 | Existential Instantiation | 31 |
| 3.2.5 | Universal <i>Modus Ponens</i> | 31 |
| 3.2.6 | Universal <i>Modus Tollens</i> | 32 |
| 4 | Proofs | 33 |
| 4.1 | Direct Proof | 33 |
| 4.2 | Indirect Proof | 34 |
| 4.2.1 | Proof by Contrapositive | 34 |
| 4.3 | Proof by Contradiction | 36 |
| 5 | Sets | 37 |
| 5.1 | Properties of Sets | 38 |
| 5.1.1 | Set Operations | 39 |
| 5.2 | The Well-Ordering Principle | 40 |
| 6 | Counting | 43 |
| II Mathematical Analysis | | 45 |
| 7 | Basics of Functions | 49 |
| 7.1 | Definition of a Function | 49 |
| 7.2 | Properties of Functions | 50 |
| 7.2.1 | Domain and Range | 50 |
| 7.2.2 | Dependent and Independent Variables | 51 |
| 7.2.3 | Even and Odd Functions | 51 |
| 7.2.4 | Surjective, Injective, and Bijective Functions | 52 |
| 7.2.5 | Graphs | 53 |
| 7.3 | Composition of Functions | 54 |
| 7.4 | Inverse Functions | 54 |
| 7.4.1 | Finding Inverse Functions | 55 |
| 8 | Trigonometry | 57 |
| 8.1 | Sine, Cosine, and Tangent | 57 |
| 8.2 | Trigonometric Identities | 58 |
| 8.3 | Reciprocal functions | 59 |
| 8.4 | Deriving Trigonometric Identities | 59 |
| 8.4.1 | Examples | 62 |
| 9 | Limits | 67 |
| 9.1 | Understanding Limits | 67 |
| 9.1.1 | Side Limits | 70 |
| 9.1.2 | The Sandwich Theorem | 71 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 9.2 <i>Epsilon-Delta</i> Definition of a Limit | 72 |
| 9.3 Evaluating Limits | 73 |
| 9.4 Indeterminate Forms | 75 |
| 9.5 Continuity of Functions | 76 |
| 9.6 The Mean Value Theorem | 76 |
| 10 Derivatives | 77 |
| 10.1 Slopes | 79 |
| 10.2 The Difference Quotient | 81 |
| 10.2.1 Limit Definition of Derivatives | 82 |
| 10.3 Notation Used with Derivatives | 82 |
| 10.4 Tangent Lines | 83 |
| 10.4.1 Derivative Rules | 84 |
| Trigonometric Derivative Rules | 89 |
| 10.4.2 Differentiability | 90 |
| 10.4.3 Linearization of Functions | 90 |
| 10.4.4 Graphing Functions | 91 |
| 10.5 l'Hospital's Rule | 92 |
| 10.6 Derivatives of Inverses of Differentiable Functions | 93 |
| 10.7 Antiderivatives | 94 |
| 11 Transcendental Functions | 97 |
| 11.1 Natural Logarithms | 97 |
| 11.1.1 Algebraic Properties of the Natural Logarithm | 98 |
| 11.2 Logarithmic Identities | 98 |
| 11.3 Hyperbolic Functions | 99 |
| 12 Integration | 101 |
| 12.1 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus | 103 |
| 12.2 Basic Integration Formulas | 104 |
| 12.3 Integration by Substitution | 104 |
| 12.4 Integration By Parts Formula | 106 |
| 12.4.1 Integration by Parts Cheat Sheet | 110 |
| 12.5 Trigonometric Integrals | 112 |
| 12.6 Trigonometric Substitution | 117 |
| 12.7 Partial Fraction Decomposition | 120 |
| 12.7.1 Factoring | 121 |
| 12.7.2 Examples | 121 |
| 12.8 Simpson's Rule | 126 |
| 12.9 Improper Integrals | 127 |
| 12.9.1 Infinite Range | 129 |
| 12.9.2 Infinite Domain | 131 |
| 13 Sequences | 139 |
| 13.1 Representing a Sequence | 139 |
| 13.1.1 Bounded Sequences | 141 |
| 13.1.2 Nondecreasing and Nonincreasing Sequences | 142 |

10 CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------------|
| 14 Infinite Series | 151 |
| 14.1 Limit Test | 152 |
| 14.2 Harmonic Series | 154 |
| 14.3 Geometric Series | 155 |
| 14.4 The Comparison Test | 159 |
| 14.5 The Integral Test | 159 |
| 14.6 p Series | 161 |
| 14.7 Series Comparison Test | 162 |
| 14.7.1 Converging Series | 162 |
| 14.7.2 Diverging Series | 164 |
| 14.8 Root Test | 165 |
| 14.9 Ratio Test | 166 |
| 14.9.1 Examples | 168 |
| 14.10 Alternating Series Test | 176 |
| 14.11 Power Series | 178 |
| 14.12 Taylor and Maclaurin Series | 180 |
| 15 Complex Numbers | 185 |
| 16 Ordinary Differential Equations | 187 |
| III Physics | 189 |
| 17 Newton's Laws of Motion | 193 |
| 18 Circuits | 195 |
| 18.1 Capacitors | 195 |
| 18.1.1 Equivalent Capacitors in Parallel | 196 |
| 18.1.2 Equivalent Capacitors in Series | 197 |
| IV Appendix | 199 |
| 19 Important Concepts | 201 |
| 19.1 Quadratic Formula | 201 |
| 19.2 Point-slope Formula | 201 |
| 19.3 Conjugate | 201 |
| 20 Proofs | 203 |
| 20.1 Power Rule for Derivatives | 203 |
| 20.2 Sandwich Theorem for Sequences | 205 |
| 20.3 L'Hospital's Rule | 206 |
| 21 Logic Circuits | 207 |
| 22 The Fibonacci Sequence and the Golden Ratio | 209 |
| 22.1 The Fibonacci Sequence | 209 |

CONTENTS 11

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| 22.1.1 History | 210 |
| 22.1.2 Mathematics | 210 |
| 22.2 The Golden Ratio | 210 |
| 22.3 Culture | 213 |
| 22.3.1 Lateralus | 213 |

12 CONTENTS

Part I

Discrete Mathematics

This is the part of this text which won't focus on numbers. Were it not for specific examples, no numbers would exist in this part altogether. This part sets the stage for the logical theory behind mathematics. We will start with *propositional logic*, a very simple form of logic that establishes the groundwork for logic statements. From there, we will describe *predicate logic*, essentially a more powerful variant of propositional logic. We will then analyze the idea of mathematical *proofs*, eventually working our way toward *set theory*, the groundwork of what most people know as mathematics.

Most generalized “calculus” books don’t teach mathematics in this order. *Thomas’ Calculus*, which I reference often in this text, dedicates only one page in its appendix to sets. I do not believe logic systems are mentioned at all. Personally, I believe set theory is quite relevant as it can be used to describe almost everything in mathematics. It is often ignored in introductory calculus classes because it is considered so intuitive as to not be important to students.

Set theory will offer us a look at mathematics as a *system*. It will allow the theory behind math to shine through to the surface, offering a vivid understanding of what goes on beneath the surface of equations, calculations, and variables. Because of this, we will use the language of set theory in many of our definitions throughout this text.

Chapter 1

Propositional Logic

This is your last chance. After this, there is no turning back. You take the blue pill—the story ends, you wake up in your bed and believe whatever you want to believe. You take the red pill—you stay in Wonderland and I show you how deep the rabbit-hole goes.

Morpheus, *The Matrix*, 1999

The whole idea of propositional logic is that statements in mathematics have truth value and there is a logical process that we use to evaluate that truth value. Perhaps most importantly, this chapter will introduce us to *DeMorgan's Laws*, which are relevant everywhere from computer science (in simplifying boolean operators) to the writings of Aristotle.

Propositional logic is also sometimes called **propositional calculus**, from the latin *calculus* meaning “pebble,” since in early days pebbles were used for counting.

1.1 Introduction to Propositional Logic

A **proposition** just a sentence that makes a statement.

EXAMPLE 1.1.1 “My house is red.”

Propositional calculus (or *propositional logic*) assigns propositions *propositional variables* (e.g. p, q, r, s, \dots) and deals with their logic and *truth value*.

EXAMPLE 1.1.2 Let p be “my house is red.”

18 1.1 Introduction to Propositional Logic

Let p be a proposition. The **negation** of p , denoted by

$$\neg p$$

is the statement “it is not the case that p .” The truth value of $\neg p$ is the opposite of the truth value of p .

EXAMPLE 1.1.3 Let p be “my house is red.”

Then $\neg p$ is “it is not the case that my house is red,” or simply “my house is not red.”

Let p and q be propositions. The **conjunction** of p and q , denoted by

$$p \wedge q$$

is the proposition “ p and q .” It is true when both p and q are true and false otherwise.

EXAMPLE 1.1.4 Let p be “Kevin likes Sarah” and let q be “Sarah likes Kevin.”

Then $p \wedge q$ is “Kevin likes Sarah and Sarah likes Kevin,” or “Kevin and Sarah like each other.” This statement would be false if either of the two did not like the other.

Let p and q be propositions. The **disjunction** of p and q , denoted by

$$p \vee q$$

is the proposition “ p and q .” It is true when either p or q are true and false otherwise.

EXAMPLE 1.1.5 Let p denote “Kevin hates bagels” and q denote “Kevin hates poppy seeds.”

The proposition $p \vee q$ is the statement “Kevin hates bagels or poppy seeds.” Note, here, that there is an implicit cue in the language that Kevin could, indeed, hate both bagels and poppy seeds. The statement would be true if he were distasteful toward either one of them, or both.

The **exclusive or** of p and q , denoted by

$$p \oplus q$$

is true when exactly one of p and q is true and false otherwise.

EXAMPLE 1.1.6 Let p mean “I could sleep in all day today” and q mean “I could go to work.”

The statement $p \oplus q$ is true when only one of p or q is true. It is the sentence “I could go to work, or I could sleep in all day today.” Since it does not make sense to do both at once, the *exclusive or* is implied in our use of the English language.

We should keep an eye out for this distinction between disjunction and exclusive or, as it requires careful attention to the subtleties in our use of language.

The **conditional statement**

$$p \implies q$$

is the proposition “if p , then q .” The conditional statement $p \Rightarrow q$ is false when p is true and q is false, and true otherwise. Other ways of writing the conditional statement can be found in Table 1.1. p is called the **hypothesis** (or antecedent or premise). q is called the **conclusion** (or consequence).

EXAMPLE 1.1.7 Let p be “Joe broke his arm” and q be “Joe will go to the hospital.”

The statement $p \Rightarrow q$ is the statement “If Joe broke his arm, then he will go to the hospital.” It is true if Joe only goes to the hospital, if he only breaks his arm, and if both events occur. The only situation in which it is false is if Joe breaks his arm, then does not go to the hospital.

| | |
|---|--|
| “if p , then q ” | “ p implies q ” |
| “if p, q ” | “ p only if q ” |
| “ p is sufficient for q ” | “a sufficient condition for q is p ” |
| “ q if p ” | “ q whenever p ” |
| “ q when p ” | “ q is necessary for p ” |
| “a necessary condition for p is q ” | “ q follows from p ” |
| “ q unless p ” | |

Table 1.1: Other ways of writing the conditional statement $p \Rightarrow q$.

The **converse** of $p \Rightarrow q$ is $q \Rightarrow p$.

The **contrapositive** of $p \Rightarrow q$ is $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$. It is logically equivalent to $p \Rightarrow q$. We can prove this with the following *truth table*:

| p | q | $p \Rightarrow q$ | $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1.2: A truth table for $p \Rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$.

A **truth table** can be used to demonstrate the logical relationships between statements.

This property of contrapositives is especially useful when we are trying to prove a statement, as its contrapositive is often easier to prove than the statement itself. This subject is detailed further in Section 4.2.1.

The **inverse** of $p \Rightarrow q$ is $\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$. It has the opposite truth value of the original statement.

The **biconditional statement**, also called *bi-implication*, for p and q is

$$p \Leftrightarrow q.$$

This is the statement “ p if and only if q .” It is true when both p and q have the same truth value, and false otherwise. In mathematical theorems, definitions, and related elements later in this text,

20 1.2 Logical Equivalence

“ p is necessary and sufficient for q ”
 “if p , then q , conversely”
 “ p iff q ”

| p | q | $\neg q$ | $p \vee \neg q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $(p \vee \neg q) \implies (p \wedge q)$ |
|-----|-----|----------|-----------------|--------------|---|
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1.3: The truth table for $(p \vee \neg q) \implies (p \wedge q)$

we will often see the biconditional operator written *iff*.

1.2 Logical Equivalence

A **tautology** is a compound proposition that is always true, regardless of the truth values of the variables that occur in it. A **contradiction** is a compound proposition that is always false. A **contingency** is a compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction. It can be either true or false.

EXAMPLE 1.2.1 $p \iff q$ is logically equivalent to $(p \implies q) \wedge (q \implies p)$. We state this by writing

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \implies q) \wedge (q \implies p).$$

The compound propositions p and q are **logically equivalent** if $p \iff q$ is a tautology. The notation $p \equiv q$ denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.

Remark. The symbol \equiv is not a logical connection, and $p \equiv q$ is not a compound proposition, but rather it is the statement that $p \iff q$ is a tautology. The symbol \iff is sometimes used instead of \equiv to denote logical equivalence.

1.3 Precedence

For more complex propositions, we need rules to tell which logical operators come first when we read them.

The *not* operator takes the highest precedence. Then conjunction, followed by disjunction. Then conditional, and finally bi-conditional operators.

| | | |
|---|------------|---------------|
| 1 | \neg | not |
| 2 | \wedge | and |
| 3 | \vee | or |
| 4 | \implies | conditional |
| 5 | \iff | biconditional |

Table 1.4: Logical operator precedence.

EXAMPLE 1.3.1 Is the statement

$$p \wedge q \vee r$$

equivalent to the statement $(p \wedge q) \vee r$ or $p \wedge (q \vee r)$?

Solution.

Let's look at Table 1.4. It states that \wedge ("and") operators come before \vee ("or") operators. Therefore,

$$p \wedge q \vee r \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee r.$$

1.4 DeMorgan's Laws

DeMorgan's Laws are an important concept in propositional logic and boolean algebra.

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \quad (1.1)$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q \quad (1.2)$$

These can be proven using a **truth table**. In order to construct a truth table, we must display all possible values of the propositional variables, and the corresponding values of the propositional statement for each combination. Intermediary steps may aid one's understanding, though they are not necessary in the final result.

| p | q | $\neg(p \wedge q)$ | $\neg p \vee \neg q$ |
|-----|-----|--------------------|----------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1.5: A proof of DeMorgan's first law.

22 1.5 Useful Logical Equivalences

| p | q | $\neg(p \vee q)$ | $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ |
|-----|-----|------------------|------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1.6: A proof of DeMorgan's second law.

Extending DeMorgan's laws by the association laws for disjunction and conjunction, shown in Table 1.8, they become:

$$\neg\left(\bigwedge_{n=1}^n p_n\right) = \bigvee_{n=1}^n \neg p_n \quad (1.3)$$

$$\neg\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^n p_n\right) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^n \neg p_n \quad (1.4)$$

Using DeMorgan's Laws, we can *negate conjunctions and disjunctions*. In computer science, we use DeMorgan's Laws to simplify boolean expressions.

EXAMPLE 1.4.1 Negate the following statement: "Miguel has a cellphone and he has a laptop."

Solution.

Let p be "Miguel has a cell phone." Let q be "Miguel has a laptop." The negation of $p \wedge q$ is

$$\neg p \vee \neg q,$$

which means "Miguel does not have a cell phone or he does not have a laptop."

1.5 Useful Logical Equivalences

In a proof or simplification of propositional logic statements, propositions like $p \implies q$ are difficult for us to work with. We have very few laws or equivalences which work directly with them, so we often must convert them into an equivalent form using other operators.

We can convert $p \implies q$ to a proposition using only the \neg and \vee operators using the logical equivalence

$$p \implies q \equiv \neg p \vee q, \quad (1.5)$$

which we will prove using a truth table in Table 1.7.

| p | q | $p \implies q$ | $\neg p \vee q$ |
|-----|-----|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1.7: A proof of $p \implies q \equiv \neg p \vee q$.

| Proposition | Name |
|---|---------------------|
| $p \wedge T \equiv p$ | |
| $p \vee f \equiv p$ | identity laws |
| $p \vee T \equiv T$ | |
| $p \wedge F \equiv F$ | domination laws |
| $p \vee p \equiv p$ | |
| $p \wedge p \equiv p$ | idempotent laws |
| $\neg(\neg p)$ | double negation law |
| $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ | |
| $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$ | commutative laws |
| $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ | |
| $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ | associative laws |
| $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ | |
| $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ | distributive laws |
| $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ | |
| $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$ | DeMorgan's laws |
| $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ | |
| $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$ | absorbtion laws |
| $p \vee \neg p \equiv T$ | |
| $p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$ | negation laws |

Table 1.8: Useful logical equivalence laws.

1.6 Proving Logical Equivalences

We can prove logical equivalences by using the rules in Table 1.8, and showing how one step leads to another until we reach something we know to be true. Alternatively, we could start with a statement that we know to be true and work our way to the logical equivalence we are trying to prove. Both of these proof methods are valid, though not especially rigorous, as they rely upon rules that we may not have established the truth value of with the mathematical rigor required to consider them *formal proofs*.

EXAMPLE 1.6.1 Show that $\neg(p \implies q)$ and $p \wedge \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

Solution.

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | $\neg(p \implies q) \equiv \neg(p \implies q)$ | |
| 2 | $\neg(p \implies q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q)$ | $p \implies q \equiv \neg p \vee q$ |
| 3 | $\neg(p \implies q) \equiv \neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg q$ | DeMorgan's Law |
| 4 | $\neg(p \implies q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$ | Double negation |

Alternatively, they can be proven using truth tables, as described in Section 1.1.

1.7 Propositional Satisfiability

A compound proposition is **satisfiable** if there is an assignment of truth values to its variables that make it true. When no such assignments exists, it is **unsatisfiable**. A particular assignment of truth values that shows a compound proposition satisfiable is a **solution**.

To show a compound proposition is satisfiable, we need only demonstrate one possible solution. However, to demonstrate it unsatisfiable, we would need to show every possible assignment of truth values and show why they make it false. Thus, reasoning is often more useful than truth tables in showing a compound proposition is unsatisfiable.

Chapter 2

Predicates and Quantifiers

Predicates are based on the idea that we can replace parts of our propositions with variables in order to separate our discussion of logic from the irrelevant details of the problem.

We saw in the previous chapter how the specifics of propositions seemed largely irrelevant; most examples were useless gibberish like “my house is red” with all of the focus on the theoretical relationships between these statements.

Predicate logic is a more powerful system of logic which allows us to state “there exists” and “for all” using logic.

2.1 Predicate Logic

Propositional logic is too simple for us to make many types of conclusions. Instead, we use **predicate logic**, which allows us to make general statements about objects and their properties.

A **propositional function**, $P(x)$, is a type of **predicate** in predicate logic. The important thing about propositional functions is that their truth value depends on the value of a variable, x . A propositional function becomes a proposition when a value is assigned to x , and then it has a truth value and we can evaluate it.

EXAMPLE 2.1.1

$$P(n) = \text{"}n \text{ is prime"}$$

Remark. $P(n)$, a propositional function with a truth value, is different from the numerical function $p(n)$. When we talk about functions in the context of propositional logic, we must be careful not to confuse them with their possible numerical counterparts.

2.1.1 Domain of Discourse

Just as values for a variable must be stated in order for a propositional function to have a truth value, a **domain of discourse** must be specified in addition to the universal quantification. This is often referred to as just the *domain* of the function.

For example, for porpostional functions talking about numbers, we often assume $D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.¹

2.2 Quantification

The **universal quantification** of $P(x)$

$$\forall x P(x) \tag{2.1}$$

is the statement “ $P(x)$ for all values of x in the domain.”

To show that the universal quantification of $P(x)$ is false for a domain, simply find a single value of x for which $P(x)$ is false.

2.3 Existential Quantification

If we wish to state that an element exists in a domain, we use the *existential quantification* of a propositional function.

The **existential quantificaiton** of $P(x)$ is the proposition “There exists an element x in the domain such that $P(x)$.” We use the notation

$$\exists x P(x)$$

for the existential quantification of $P(x)$.

Note. In order to show that the existential quantification of $P(x)$ is false, we must show that $P(x)$ is false for every possible value of x in the domain.

2.3.1 Uniqueness Quantifier

A specific case of existential quantification is defined by the **uniqueness quantifier**, $\exists!$ or \exists_1 . The notation

$$\exists! x P(x)$$

is the statement “There exists a unique x such that $P(x)$ is true.” The downside to the uniqueness quantifier is that the rules of inference for existential quantification cannot be used on it. Since propositional logic can be used to express uniqueness already, we should try to avoid use of uniqueness quantification.

¹We use D as shorthand referring to the domain of discourse of a function. \mathbb{R} means “all real numbers.” That is, all rational and irrational numbers. It does not include, for example, complex numbers which include i , the “imaginary unit.”

To demonstrate uniqueness using propositional logic, we make a statement such as the following:

$$\exists x \left(P(x) \wedge \forall y (P(y) \implies (x = y)) \right)$$

2.4 Logical Equivalence of Quantified Propositions

In order for two statements involving predicates and quantifiers to be logically equivalent, they must have the same truth value regardless of the values of their propositional variables and the domain of discourse used.

DeMorgan's Laws are an important logical equivalence even when quantified propositions are discussed. As stated in our definition of logical equivalence, they hold regardless of the values of their variables.

2.4.1 DeMorgan's Laws for Quantifiers

DeMorgan's Laws for quantifiers allow us to radically simplify logical expressions involving quantifiers.

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x) \quad (2.3)$$

EXAMPLE 2.4.1 For example, let's take *Euler's conjecture*,² first proposed in 1769.³

Let us first define the propositional function $P(a, b, c, d)$.⁴

$$P(a, b, c, d) ::= a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$$

Now, Euler proposed that there are no positive integers a, b, c , and d such that $P(a, b, c, d)$ is true. We state this by writing

$$E(a, b, c, d) ::= \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (\neg P(a, b, c, d)).$$

Let's break this apart. The " $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ " is used to describe our *domain of discourse*. \mathbb{Z}^+ refers to the set of all positive integers. In general use, we can simplify this statement by writing

$$E(a, b, c, d) ::= \forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (\neg P(x))$$

but for our purposes, we want to work with the original proposition, because we wish to use DeMorgan's Laws on it.

²Pronounced "oiler."

³Eventually disproved in 1987. Solution at the end of the example.

⁴ $::=$ is used to mean "equals by definition," and is sometimes used in order to contrast with regular "equals."

28 2.5 Order of Quantifiers

Using DeMorgan's first law for quantifiers, equation (2.2), we can change the last part of this proposition:

$$\forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (\neg P(a, b, c, d)) \equiv \neg \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (P(a, b, c, d))$$

Now, we continue up the chain, reversing each of the negated statements as if everything to the right of the negation sign were one single proposition. Here's our new statement:

$$E(a, b, c, d) ::= \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \neg \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (P(a, b, c, d))$$

Now, continuing DeMorgan's Laws,

$$E(a, b, c, d) ::= \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \neg \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (P(a, b, c, d))$$

$$E(a, b, c, d) ::= \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \neg \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (P(a, b, c, d))$$

Arriving finally at

$$E(a, b, c, d) ::= \neg \exists a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (P(a, b, c, d)),$$

which is logically equivalent to the original $E(a, b, c, d)$ we proposed.

This shows that if just one of the variables in $E(a, b, c, d)$ cannot be said to exist, then the entire proposition becomes false.

It turns out, in contrast to *Euler's conjecture*, a solution to $P(a, b, c, d)$ can be found. With the values $a = 95800$, $b = 217519$, $c = 414560$, and $d = 422481$, $P(a, b, c, d)$ is true.

2.5 Order of Quantifiers

Assuming a domain of discourse of all real numbers, the quantification

$$\exists y \forall x Q(x, y) \tag{2.4}$$

denotes the proposition "There is a real number y such that for every real number x , $Q(x, y)$."

By contrast, the quantification

$$\forall x \exists y Q(x, y) \tag{2.5}$$

states that "For every real number x there is a real number y such that $Q(x, y)$."

Chapter 3

Rules of Inference

I don't want to believe. I want to know.

Carl Sagan

Proofs are used to establish the truth of mathematical statements. In order to make a proof, we must use the **rules of inference** to establish the truth of more complicated logical arguments. An **argument** is a sequence of propositions that ends with a conclusion. A **valid** argument is one in which the last proposition follows from those propositions before it.

When we are writing mathematical proofs, it's not common to actually cite the rules of inference in our text. However, they should form the logical connectors between the claims we make in our proofs and should be present in the implicit form. Becoming familiar with these rules, and how to use them, will allow us to both write more coherent proofs and to avoid logic errors in our writing.

3.1 Rules of Inference for Propositions

We will present the rules of inference using a variant of *Fitch diagrams*. Each step in a Fitch diagram includes a number for the step, a proposition or conclusion, and a justification for the step.

3.1.1 *Modus ponens*

| | | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| 1 | p | assumption |
| 2 | $p \rightarrow q$ | assumption |
| 3 | q | $(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$ |

Modus ponens is Latin for “mode that affirms,” and comes from the tautology $(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$. It is the simplest valid **argument**, a sequence of statements that ends with a conclusion.

30 3.1 Rules of Inference for Propositions

3.1.2 *Modus tollens*

| | | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| 1 | $\neg q$ | assumption |
| 2 | $p \rightarrow q$ | assumption |
| 3 | $\neg p$ | $(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$ |

3.1.3 Hypothetical syllogism

| | | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| 1 | $p \rightarrow q$ | assumption |
| 2 | $q \rightarrow r$ | assumption |
| 3 | $p \rightarrow r$ | $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$ |

A hypothetical syllogism is sometimes thought of as *double modus ponens*.

3.1.4 Disjunctive syllogism

| | | |
|---|------------|--|
| 1 | $p \vee q$ | assumption |
| 2 | $\neg p$ | assumption |
| 3 | q | $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p \rightarrow q$ |

3.1.5 Addition

| | | |
|---|------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | p | assumption |
| 2 | $p \vee q$ | $p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ |

3.1.6 Simplification

| | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | $p \wedge q$ | assumption |
| 2 | p | $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ |

3.1.7 Conjunction

| | | |
|---|--------------|---|
| 1 | p | assumption |
| 2 | q | assumption |
| 3 | $p \wedge q$ | $((p) \wedge (q)) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ |

3.1.8 Resolution

| | | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| 1 | $p \vee q$ | assumption |
| 2 | $\neg p \vee r$ | assumption |
| 3 | $q \vee r$ | $((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ |

3.2 Rules of Inference for Quantified Statements

3.2.1 Universal Generalization

Universal generalization states that given $P(c)$ for all elements c in the domain, $\forall xP(x)$ is true.

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | $P(c)$ for some arbitrary c | assumption |
| 2 | $\forall xP(x)$ | universal generalization |

(3.1)

3.2.2 Universal Instantiation

Universal instantiation states that given $\forall xP(x)$, $P(c)$ is true for a particular element c in the domain.

| | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ | proposition |
| 2 | $P(a)$ | universal instantiation |

(3.2)

3.2.3 Existential Generalization

Existential generalization concludes that, given a particular element c for which $P(c)$ is known to be true, $\exists xP(x)$.

3.2.4 Existential Instantiation

Existential instantiation states that if $\exists xP(x)$ is true, $P(c)$ for some element c .

3.2.5 Universal *Modus Ponens*

Universal modus ponens combines universal instantiation (Section 3.2.2) and *modus ponens* (Section 3.1.1) to tell us that if $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ is true, and if $P(a)$ is true for a particular element

32 3.2 Rules of Inference for Quantified Statements

a in the domain of the universal quantifier, then $Q(a)$ must also be true.

$$\begin{array}{l|l} 1 & \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \\ 2 & P(a), \text{ where } a \text{ is a particular element in the domain} \\ 3 & Q(a) \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

3.2.6 Universal *Modus Tollens*

Universal *modus tollens* states that

$$\begin{array}{l|l} 1 & \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \\ 2 & \neg Q(a), \text{ where } a \text{ is a particular element in the domain} \\ 3 & \neg P(a) \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

Chapter 4

Proofs

We use proofs to establish the truth of mathematical statements. There are a number of types of these statements, of varying importance.

Before we prove our statement, it is called a **conjecture**.

A **fact** or **result** is the simplest type of statement we might prove. Sometimes proofs are required, but more often they are simply demonstrated, implied, or obvious.

Theorems are where proofs start to become important. They are the foundations of complex mathematical logic. Some theorems are less important than others, and we call these lemmata. A **lemma** is a less important theorem, often used in proving our main theorem. After our theorem is proven, we can often draw **corollaries**, theorems that follow easily from our proven theorem.

Some proofs are **formal proofs**, which use the rules of inference to establish truth. These proofs are the “simplest” in that they involve the simplest forms of logic, though they can become incredibly complex as we attempt to write formal proofs for more and more complex theorems.

Thus, we most often will encounter **informal proofs**. These proofs establish truth using language and logic that makes sense to humans. They are often formed by building on

4.1 Direct Proof

A **direct proof** starts with known facts, and the statement we are trying to prove follows directly from them.

In using a direct proof for proving an implication

$$P \implies Q$$

we start by assuming P is true, then using the *rules of inference*, reach the conclusion Q through direct logical steps from P .

34 4.2 Indirect Proof

EXAMPLE 4.1.1 Give a direct proof of the theorem

“If $n + 1$ is an odd integer, then $n + 3$ is an odd integer.”

Proof. If $n + 1$ is an odd integer, then we can write it in the form

$$n + 1 = 2k_1 + 1$$

Now, we subtract 1 from both sides.

$$n = 2k_1$$

Which shows that n is even. If we add 2 to both sides,

$$n + 2 = 2k_1 + 2$$

An even number plus 2 is still even, so we could write $2k_1 + 2$ as $2k_2$.

$$n + 2 = 2k_2$$

Now we add 1 to each side to reach our conclusion.

$$n + 3 = 2k_2 + 1$$

Any number in the form $2k + 1$ is odd. We have proven that if $n + 1$ is an odd integer, then $n + 3$ is also an odd integer. \square

4.2 Indirect Proof

An **indirect proof** is, basically, any proof that is not a *direct proof*. There are a variety of ways of accomplishing a proof that are not direct proofs, and many of them are dramatically simpler or easier to understand than the direct proof for a given theorem would be.

4.2.1 Proof by Contrapositive

The first method of indirect proof we will discuss is the **proof by contrapositive**. It is often more useful to work with the contrapositive something than the original statement.

Remembering our definition of the contrapositive from Section 1.1, let's cover some examples.

DEFINITION: The **contrapositive** of the statement $P \rightarrow Q$ is the statement $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$.

EXAMPLE 4.2.1 Find the contrapositive of the following:

“If it is snowing then it is cold.”

Solution.

Assign propositional variables to both of the statements.

Let s be “it is snowing.” Let c be the statement “it is cold.” The statement we are trying to prove is

$$P(x) ::= s \rightarrow c.$$

Its contrapositive, therefore, is

$$C(x) ::= \neg c \rightarrow \neg s$$

which is the statement “if it is not cold then it is not snowing.” This is logically equivalent to our original statement.

$$P(x) \equiv C(x)$$

EXAMPLE 4.2.2 Prove the following, by contrapositive:

“If a number squared is odd, then the number itself is odd.”

Proof. We will use proof by contraposition.

Let $S(x)$ be “ x squared is odd.”

Let $O(x)$ be “ x is odd.”

In mathematical language, this is¹

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &::= x^2 = 2k_2 + 1 \\ O(x) &::= x = 2k_1 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

where k is any integer.

So we are trying to prove

$$S(x) \rightarrow O(x).$$

The contrapositive of this statement is

$$\neg O(x) \rightarrow \neg S(x).$$

Now, remembering that all even integers can be expressed in the form $n = 2k$, let’s find the negations of each of our propositional functions.

$$\begin{aligned} \neg S(x) &::= x^2 \neq 2k_2 \\ \neg O(x) &::= x \neq 2k_1 \end{aligned}$$

So the statement we are trying to prove is

$$\begin{aligned} \neg O(x) &\implies \neg S(x) \\ x \neq 2k_1 &\implies x^2 \neq 2k_2 \end{aligned}$$

¹ $2k + 1$ is the simplest mathematical expression for a generic odd integer.

36 4.3 Proof by Contradiction

From the first proposition,

$$x = 2k_1$$

Square both sides of the equation.

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 &= 2k_1^2 \\x^2 &= (2k_1)(2k_1) \\x^2 &= 4k_1\end{aligned}$$

Because all even integers are divisible by 2, $4k_1$ could also be written as $2k_2$.

$$x^2 = 2k_2$$

which is the conclusion we were trying to reach. From this, we can conclude that

$$\neg O(x) \rightarrow \neg S(x)$$

and therefore, by contrapositive,

$$S(x) \rightarrow O(x).$$

□

4.3 Proof by Contradiction

To use proof by contradiction to prove a proposition P , we start by assuming P is false. From this, we derive a logical contradiction. Because $\neg P$ leads to a contradiction, we conclude that P must be true.

Chapter 5

Sets

A **set** is an unordered collection of objects, called its *elements*. We generally write sets in capital letters, such as A , to contrast them from the elements contained in them.

We write $a \in A$ to state that a is an element of the set A .

The most basic way to define a set is to write out all of its elements, like:

$$A ::= \{n, z, k, d\},$$

or to write out a few of them, creating a pattern which is obvious:

$$B ::= \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots 999\}.$$

However, in mathematics, we often use **set builder notation**. This associates all elements of a set with a certain propositional function, which is true for all elements of the set. We construct sets using this method as follows:

$$S ::= \{x \in \mathbb{R} | P(x)\}$$

This constructs a set S which contains all real numbers x for which $P(x)$ is true.

Some important sets in mathematics are listed in Table 5.1.

| | |
|----------------|---|
| \mathbb{N} | the set of <i>natural numbers</i> |
| \mathbb{Z} | the set of <i>integers</i> |
| \mathbb{Z}^+ | the set of <i>positive integers</i> |
| \mathbb{Q} | the set of <i>rational numbers</i> |
| \mathbb{R} | the set of <i>real numbers</i> |
| \mathbb{R}^+ | the set of <i>positive real numbers</i> |
| \mathbb{C} | the set of <i>complex numbers</i> |

Table 5.1: Important sets.

5.1 Properties of Sets

What are some of the properties of sets? How do we compare them? How do we write about these relationships? These are the questions that are answered in this section.

Two sets are **equal** iff¹ they have the same elements. Therefore, if A and B are sets, then they are equal iff

$$\forall(x \in A \iff x \in B).$$

In English, two sets A and B are equal if and only if every element in A lies in B , and vice versa. We then write $A = B$ to show that they are equal sets.




Figure 5.1: $A = B$.

We have two ways to write an **empty set**. We could write \emptyset or $\{\}$.

A set with only one element is called a **singleton set**.

The set A is a **subset** of set B iff

$$\forall x(x \in A \implies x \in B)$$

We then write $A \subseteq B$ to show that A is a subset of B . To demonstrate that A is a subset of B , show that if x belongs to A then it also belongs to B . To show that A is not a subset of B , that is, $A \not\subseteq B$, find a single element $x \in A$ such that $x \notin B$.²




Figure 5.2: $A \subseteq B$

If the set A is a subset of the set B but $A \neq B$, we write $A \subset B$ and say that A is a **proper subset** of B . That is, A is a proper subset of B iff

$$\forall x(x \in A \implies x \in B) \wedge \exists x(x \in B \wedge x \notin A).$$

¹ “If and only if,” see Section 1.1.

² The symbol \notin means *not in*.

This means, if the element x exists in set A , then it also exists in set B , but there is at least one element x in B that is not in A .

5.1.1 Set Operations

For a set A and a set B , the following operations apply:

The **cartesian product** of A and B , denoted $A \times B$, is the set of all ordered pairs (a, b) where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Hence,

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) | a \in A \wedge b \in B\}.$$

EXAMPLE 5.1.1 Find $A \times B$ when $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{a, b, c\}$.

Solution.

$$A \times B = \{(1, a), (1, b), (1, c), (2, a), (2, b), (2, c)\}.$$

The **intersection** of A and B , denoted $A \cap B$, is the set containing those elements in both A and B , but not just one. If the intersection of A and B is \emptyset , we say the two sets are **disjoint**:

$$A \cap B = \{x \in A | x \in B\}.$$




Figure 5.3: $A \cap B$

The **union** of A and B , denoted $A \cup B$, is the set containing all elements in either or both A and B :

$$A \cup B = \{x | x \in A \vee x \in B\}.$$




Figure 5.4: $A \cup B$

The **complement** of A and B , denoted $A \setminus B$, is the set containing those elements in A but not in

40 5.2 The Well-Ordering Principle

| Proposition | Name |
|--|-------------------|
| $A \cap U = A$ | |
| $A \cup \emptyset = A$ | identity laws |
| $A \cup U = U$ | |
| $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ | domination laws |
| $A \cup A = A$ | |
| $A \cap A = A$ | idempotent laws |
| $(A^c)^c = A$ | involution law |
| $A \cup B = B \cup A$ | |
| $A \cap B = B \cap A$ | commutative laws |
| $A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$ | |
| $A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cup C$ | associative laws |
| $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ | |
| $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ | distributive laws |
| $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ | |
| $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$ | DeMorgan's laws |
| $A \cup (A \cap B) = A$ | |
| $A \cap (A \cup B) = A$ | absorbtion laws |
| $A \cup A^c = U$ | |
| $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$ | complement laws |

Table 5.2: Useful set identities.

B :

$$A \setminus B = \{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}.$$

It is sometimes called the *component of B with respect to A* . This phrasing is used because the difference of A and B is different from the difference of B and A .

The **absolute complement** of A is denoted A^c , and exists only if a universal set³ \mathbf{U} is defined, and is found by

$$A^c = \mathbf{U} \setminus A.$$

5.2 The Well-Ordering Principle

The **well-ordering principle** states that

Theorem 1. *Every nonempty set of nonnegative integers has a smallest element.*

We can use this to prove propositional statements associated with universal quantifiers.

To prove that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n))$$

³A set containing all sets, including itself.

First, we define a set C such that

$$C ::= \{n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid \neg P(n)\}$$

That is, we define the set C to be the set of integers for which $P(n)$ is false. We assume that this set is nonempty—that is, there is at least one nonnegative integer for which $P(n)$ is false. By the well-ordering principle, there is a smallest element, n , in C . From this, we reach a contradiction. For example, we could show that n can be used to find an element smaller than itself. Now, we conclude that the set C must be empty.

EXAMPLE 5.2.1 Prove that the sum of all integers from 1 to n is

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

In basic algebraic notation, we could write this sum as

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n$$

But we have a way of simplifying this using something called **sigma notation**. We would write it as follows:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n \sum_1^n \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Proof. Now, we define a set C such that

$$C ::= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n i \neq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right\}$$

By the *well-ordering principle*, C has a smallest element, which we will call c . Since c is the smallest counterexample,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

holds for all $n < c$ but not for $n = c$. So, that sum should hold for $c - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) &= \frac{(c-1)[(c-1)+1]}{2} \\ 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) &= \frac{(c-1)c}{2} \\ 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) &= \frac{c^2 - c}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we add c to both sides.

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) + c = \frac{c^2 - c}{2} + c$$

42 5.2 The Well-Ordering Principle

Simplify.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) + c &= \frac{c^2 - c}{2} + (c) \left(\frac{2}{2} \right) \\ 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) + c &= \frac{c^2 - c + 2c}{2} \\ 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) + c &= \frac{c^2 + c}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Factor out c from the right-hand side.

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (c-1) + c = \frac{c(c+1)}{2}$$

Which means that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

holds for c , which contradicts our definition of C . Therefore $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

[5]

A few theorems come from the *well-ordered principle*:⁴

Theorem 2. Any set of integers with a lower bound is well-ordered.

Theorem 3. Any nonempty set of integers with an upper bound has a maximum integer.

⁴Proofs will come. From [5, 29].

Chapter 6

Counting

The basis of much of counting is the idea of the *binomial coefficient*.

DEFINITION: The **binomial coefficient** of n and k , read “ n choose k ,” is written

$$\binom{n}{k}$$

and refers to the number of subsets with k elements that we could find for a set of n elements.

We will often see these with the binomial formula,

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{n-k} b^k. \quad (6.1)$$

Part II

Mathematical Analysis

 ere we are going to study functions, series, sequences, and applications of these concepts. The numbers we will find this section are almost always going to be *real numbers*, which basically means they behave the way we expect numbers to behave and we are able to plot them on number lines, graph them, and all that fun stuff.

Most of this part is going to focus on what schools typically call “calculus,” and it covers the mathematics I have learned in my first three semesters as an undergraduate at Christopher Newport University.

Chapter 7

Basics of Functions

7.1 Definition of a Function

DEFINITION: A **function** f from a set D to a set R is an assignment of exactly one element $f(x) \in R$ to each element $x \in D$. If f is a function from D to R , we write

$$f : D \rightarrow R, \quad (7.1)$$

and say that f maps D to R .¹




Figure 7.1: A function f mapping from D to R . Note how multiple x values share the same $f(x)$ value.

One technique that can help us understand functions is by describing them with words, including mathematical language. We can discuss the behavior of a function in general, describing its properties, or we can discuss its behavior in more specific terms, like when we evaluate a function at a certain value.

We can also talk about functions using visual language, as in graphs, arrow diagrams, and tables.

¹Sets and set builder notation are explained in more detail in Chapter 5.

50 7.2 Properties of Functions

We will find graphs, in conjunction with the respective mathematical language, to be the most cohesive way to represent functions. We should therefore attempt to be able to graph our functions whenever we wish to achieve the best possible understanding of them.

We will combine these techniques in an effort to paint a complete picture of its behavior.

7.2 Properties of Functions

Here, we will discuss a number of the properties that will be of interest when we are describing functions. Not all of these properties will exist for every type of function. For example, not all functions will have an inverse (described in Section 7.4), but the nonexistence of an inverse then becomes an important property of the function.

7.2.1 Domain and Range

DEFINITION: The set D of all possible input values is called the **domain** of the function.

DEFINITION: The set of all values $f(x)$ as x varies throughout D is called the **range** of the function, representing the output value of f at x .

DEFINITION: The **natural domain** of a function is the largest set of real x -values for which a function returns real y -values. A function is said to be **real-valued** when $D = \mathbb{R}$.

That is, the domain is equal to the set of real numbers. This means that we can put an arbitrary real number into the function and it will return a real y -value.

Remark. When we define a function $y = f(x)$ with a formula and the domain is not stated explicitly or restricted by context, the domain is assumed to be the *natural domain*.

The **vertical line test** for a function is based on the idea that if a is in the domain of the function f then the vertical line $x = a$ will intersect the graph of f at a single point $(a, f(a))$.




Figure 7.2: Examples of the vertical line test.

7.2.2 Dependent and Independent Variables


The letter x in the notation $y = f(x)$ is called the **independent variable** of the function, representing the input value of f .

The letter y in the notation $y = f(x)$ is called the **dependent variable**. It varies with respect to change in the dependent variable of the function.

7.2.3 Even and Odd Functions

For a function f in the form $y = f(x)$, we describe its type of symmetry by calling the function **even** or **odd**.

An **even function** means $f(-x) = f(x)$. An example of an even function is the function $f(x) = x^2$.

Figure 7.3: $f(x) = x^2$ is an *even function*.

52 7.2 Properties of Functions

An **odd function** means $f(-x) = -f(x)$. An example of this is the function $f(x) = x^3$.




Figure 7.4: $f(x) = x^3$ is an *odd function*.


7.2.4 Surjective, Injective, and Bijective Functions

DEFINITION: If each $f(x)$ value produced by a function f can only be obtained by one unique x value, then we say f is **injective**, or **one-to-one**.

$f : D \rightarrow R$ is injective or one-to-one iff

$$\forall(x_1 \wedge x_2 \in D)[f(x_1) = f(x_2) \rightarrow x_1 = x_2].$$

Remark. This also means that for injective functions, $x_1 \neq x_2 \rightarrow f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.




(a) The function $f(x) = x^2$ is not *one-to-one* because there are two possible x -values that can produce each given y -value.

(b) The function $f(x) = x^3$ is *one-to-one* because every given y -value is mapped from a unique x -value.


A function $y = f(x)$ is one-to-one iff its graph intersects each horizontal line at most once.

DEFINITION: $f : D \rightarrow R$ is **surjective** or **onto** iff

$$\forall(y \in R)\exists(x \in D)[f(x) = y].$$




- (c) The function $f(x) = x^2$ is not *surjective* because the values $(-\infty, 0)$ are never reached in its range.




- (d) The function $f(x) = x^3$ is *one-to-one* because all y values from $(-\infty, \infty)$ have corresponding x -values.

DEFINITION: A function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is **bijective** iff it is *both injective and surjective*.



- (e) The function $f(x) = x^2$ is not bijective.



- (f) The function $f(x) = x^3$ is bijective.

7.2.5 Graphs


DEFINITION: If f is a function with a domain D , then its **graph** is the set

$$\{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in D\},$$

that is, it is the set of all points $(x, f(x))$ where x is in the domain of the function.²

If (x, y) is a point on f , then $y = f(x)$ is the height of the graph above point x . This height might be positive or negative, depending on the sign of $f(x)$. We use this height relationship to plot functions.

²Here, the difference between the words *graph* and *plot* is sometimes confusing. Technically speaking, a *graph* is the set defined explicitly here, while a function's *plot* refers to any pictorial representation of a data set. However, since the usage is inconsistent in this text, these formal definitions will usually not apply. It can be safely assumed that as long as we are within the realm of real numbers, all uses of either *graph* or *plot* hereafter simply refer to the pictorial representation of a function's graph in the form of a curve on the cartesian plane.

Figure 7.5: A plot of the function $f(x) = x + 2$

7.3 Composition of Functions

DEFINITION: If f and g are functions, then the **composite** function $f \circ g$, “ f composed with g ”, is defined by

$$(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)).$$

Remark. The domain of $f \circ g$ consists of the numbers x in the domain of g for which $g(x)$ lies in the domain of f . See figure 9.2 for an example of a function which produces indefinite y -values for real x -values around $x = 0$.

EXAMPLE 7.3.1 If $f(x) = x^2$ and $g(x) = 1 - \sqrt{x}$, find $(f \circ g)(x)$ and $(g \circ f)(x)$.

Solution.

We know that $(f \circ g)(x)$ is just $f(g(x))$ so

$$f(g(x)) = (1 - \sqrt{x})^2.$$

For $(g \circ f)(x)$ it is the opposite and

$$g(f(x)) = 1 - \sqrt{x^2},$$

which is equivalent to saying

$$g(f(x)) = 1 - |x|.$$

7.4 Inverse Functions


An inverse function undoes, or inverts, the effects of an original function. They are useful for producing inverse trigonometric functions—functions that are transcendental.

DEFINITION: Suppose that f is a one-to-one function on a domain D with range R . The **inverse function** f^{-1} is defined by

$$f^{-1}(b) = a \text{ if } f(a) = b.$$

The domain of f^{-1} is R and the range of f^{-1} is D .

In order for an inverse function $f^{-1}(x)$ to exist for a function $f(x)$, the original function $f(x)$ must be one-to-one. Otherwise, the resulting “inverse function” would not be a function: more than one output would be produced from only one input, and it would not pass the vertical line test.



Remark. By definition, either composite of a function and its inverse will return the identity function, where $y = x$. For example:

$$(f \circ f^{-1})(x) = f(f^{-1}(x)) = x,$$

or

$$(f^{-1} \circ f)(x) = f^{-1}(f(x)) = x.$$

7.4.1 Finding Inverse Functions

To find the inverse of a function $f(x)$, replace $f(x)$ with y and solve for x in terms of y . Then, interchange x and y .

EXAMPLE 7.4.1 Find the inverse of $y = \frac{1}{2}x + 1$, expressed as a function of x .

Solution.

First, we solve the function for x in terms of y .

$$y = \frac{1}{2}x + 1$$

Multiply both sides by 2.

$$2y = x + 2$$

Now subtract 2 from both sides, and swap the left and right sides of the equation.

$$x = 2y - 2$$

Now we swap x and y .

$$y = 2x - 2$$

56 7.4 Inverse Functions

The inverse of the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + 1$ is the function $f^{-1}(x) = 2x - 2$.




Figure 7.6: Plots of functions from Example 7.4.1.

Chapter 8

Trigonometry

8.1 Sine, Cosine, and Tangent




Figure 8.1: A right triangle.

DEFINITION: The **hypotenuse** is the side opposite the right angle.

DEFINITION: The **sine** of θ , $\sin \theta$, is equal to the length of the opposite side divided by the length of the hypotenuse.

DEFINITION: The **cosine** of θ , $\cos \theta$, is equal to the adjacent side divided by the hypotenuse.

DEFINITION: The **tangent** of θ , $\tan \theta$, is equal to the opposite over the adjacent side.

58 8.2 Trigonometric Identities

In Figure 8.1:

$$\sin \theta = \frac{b}{c} \quad \cos \theta = \frac{a}{c} \quad \tan \theta = \frac{b}{a}$$

8.2 Trigonometric Identities

Our first pythagorean identity is just derived from the pythagorean theorem.

Theorem 4. *In any right triangle, the area of the square whose side is the hypotenuse (the side opposite the right angle) is equal to the sum of the areas of the squares whose sides are the two legs (the two sides that meet at a right angle).*

Because the radius of the circle is 1, then the length of side c must also equal 1. From this, we can conclude that $\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x$ must, indeed, equal 1.

Why? From the picture, it is clear that both c and r are equal to 1. Using the pythagorean theorem (Theorem 4):

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 + b^2 &= c^2 \\ a \cdot a + b \cdot b &= c \cdot c \end{aligned}$$

we divide both sides by 1

$$\frac{b}{1} \cdot \frac{b}{1} + \frac{a}{1} \cdot \frac{a}{1} = \frac{c \cdot c}{1}$$

because $c = 1$

$$\frac{b}{c} \cdot \frac{b}{c} + \frac{a}{c} \cdot \frac{a}{c} = 1$$

remembering our definitions of sine and cosine

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta \cdot \sin \theta + \cos \theta \cdot \cos \theta &= 1 \\ \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta &= 1 \end{aligned}$$
□

We now have our first trigonometric identity.

$$\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1 \tag{8.1}$$

The other ones, we either have to memorize or learn to derive (described in Section 8.4).

$$\sin(x + y) = \sin x \cos y + \sin y \cos x \tag{8.2}$$

$$\sin(x - y) = \sin x \cos y - \sin y \cos x \tag{8.3}$$

$$\cos(x + y) = \cos x \cos y - \sin x \sin y \quad (8.4)$$

$$\cos(x - y) = \cos x \cos y + \sin x \sin y \quad (8.5)$$

$$\sin -x = -\sin x \quad (8.6)$$

$$\sin -x = -\sin x \quad (8.7)$$

$$\sin 2x = 2(\sin x \cos x) \quad (8.8)$$

$$\cos 2x = \cos^2 x - \sin^2 x \quad (8.9)$$

8.3 Reciprocal functions

The reciprocals of sin, cos, and tan all have names as well:

DEFINITION: The **cosecant**, $\csc \theta$ is the reciprocal of $\sin \theta$:

$$\csc \theta = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \quad (8.10)$$

DEFINITION: The **secant**, $\sec \theta$ is the reciprocal of $\csc \theta$:

$$\sec \theta = \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \quad (8.11)$$

DEFINITION: The **cotangent**, $\cot \theta$ is the reciprocal of $\tan \theta$:

$$\cot \theta = \frac{1}{\tan \theta} \quad (8.12)$$

8.4 Deriving Trigonometric Identities

Recall in Figure 8.1, $\sin \theta = b/c$ and $\cos \theta = a/c$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} = \frac{b/c}{a/c},$$

which we may simplify into

$$\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} = \frac{bc}{ac},$$

60 8.4 Deriving Trigonometric Identities

and cancel like terms to get

$$\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} = \frac{b}{a}.$$

Recall that $\tan \theta = b/a$ and we see that

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta}. \quad (8.13)$$

Now, taking the reciprocal of both sides of Eq. (8.13):

$$\frac{1}{\tan \theta} = \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}.$$

Finally, remembering Eq. (8.12),

$$\cot \theta = \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}. \quad (8.14)$$

We recall that using the pythagorean theorem and a triangle with a hypotenuse of length 1, we can obtain Eq. (8.1):

$$\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1.$$

Dividing by $\sin^2 x$ gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin^2 x}{\sin^2 x} + \frac{\cos^2 x}{\sin^2 x} &= \frac{1}{\sin^2 x}, \\ 1 + \cot^2 x &= \csc^2 x, \end{aligned}$$

and dividing by $\cos^2 x$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin^2 x}{\cos^2 x} + \frac{\cos^2 x}{\cos^2 x} &= \frac{1}{\cos^2 x}, \\ \tan^2 x + 1 &= \sec^2 x. \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

We should memorize the following two identities:

$$\sin a + b = \sin a \cos b + \sin b \cos a, \quad (8.16)$$

and

$$\cos a + b = \cos a \cos b + \sin a \sin b. \quad (8.17)$$


From equation (8.16) we can infer that if both a and b are the same then

$$\sin 2\theta = 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta, \quad (8.18)$$

and using the same reasoning, (8.17) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \cos 2\theta &= \cos \theta \cos \theta - \sin \theta \sin \theta, \\ \cos 2\theta &= \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (8.19)$$

We can generalize the pythagorean theorem by deriving the **law of cosines**:



In the above figure, we use the distance formula

$$d = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2} \quad (8.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \sqrt{(b - a \cos \theta)^2 + (0 - a \sin \theta)^2} \\ c &= \sqrt{(b - a \cos \theta)^2 + (a \sin \theta)^2} \\ c^2 &= (b - a \cos \theta)^2 + (a \sin \theta)^2 \\ c^2 &= (b - a \cos \theta)(b - a \cos \theta) + (a \sin \theta)(a \sin \theta) \\ c^2 &= b^2 - ab \cos \theta - ab \cos \theta + (a \cos \theta)^2 + (a \sin \theta)^2 \\ c^2 &= b^2 - 2ab \cos \theta + (a \cos \theta)^2 + (a \sin \theta)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the distance formula to find the length of a ,

$$a = \sqrt{(a \cos \theta)^2 + (a \sin \theta)^2}$$

and square our result

$$a^2 = (a \cos \theta)^2 + (a \sin \theta)^2$$

which now substitutes nicely into our original equation

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 &= b^2 - 2ab \cos \theta + (a \cos \theta)^2 + (a \sin \theta)^2 \\ c^2 &= b^2 - 2ab \cos \theta + a^2 \\ c^2 &= a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos \theta \end{aligned} \quad (8.21)$$

This is the *law of cosines*, a general form of the pythagorean theorem that doesn't only work with right triangles.

62 8.4 Deriving Trigonometric Identities

By rearranging (8.19) and substituting from (8.1) we can derive power reduction identities for $\cos^2 \theta$

$$\begin{aligned}\cos 2\theta &= \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \\ \cos 2\theta + \sin^2 \theta &= \cos^2 \theta \\ \cos 2\theta + (1 - \cos^2 \theta) &= \cos^2 \theta \\ \cos 2\theta + 1 &= \cos^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta \\ \cos 2\theta + 1 &= 2 \cos^2 \theta \\ \cos^2 \theta &= \frac{\cos 2\theta + 1}{2}\end{aligned}\tag{8.22}$$

and for $\sin^2 \theta$

$$\begin{aligned}\cos 2\theta &= \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \\ \sin^2 \theta &= \cos^2 \theta - \cos 2\theta \\ \sin^2 \theta &= (1 - \sin^2 \theta) - \cos 2\theta \\ 2 \sin^2 \theta &= 1 - \cos 2\theta \\ \sin^2 \theta &= \frac{1 - \cos 2\theta}{2}\end{aligned}\tag{8.23}$$

8.4.1 Examples

EXAMPLE 8.4.1 Find the value of

$$\cos \frac{11\pi}{12}.$$

Solution.

We first rewrite the problem to fit one of our trigonometric identities, then use equation (8.4) to break apart $\cos(11\pi/12)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \frac{11\pi}{12} &= \cos \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \\ &= \cos \frac{\pi}{4} \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} - \sin \frac{\pi}{4} \sin \frac{2\pi}{3}\end{aligned}$$

Unlike in the original problem, we can easily simplify our new expression.

$$\begin{aligned}&= \cos \frac{\pi}{4} \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} - \sin \frac{\pi}{4} \sin \frac{2\pi}{3} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{-1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ &= \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} \\ &= \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{3}\right)\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 8.4.2 Prove the following trigonometric identity:

$$\frac{1 - \cos x}{\sin x} = \frac{\sin x}{1 + \cos x}$$

Proof. We first cross-multiply:

$$(1 - \cos x)(1 + \cos x) = \sin^2 x$$

then, using equation (8.1), we realize that we can replace $\sin^2 x$ with $1 - \cos^2 x$.

$$(1 - \cos x)(1 + \cos x) = 1 - \cos^2 x$$

Now we simplify.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \cos x + \cos x - \cos^2 x &= 1 - \cos^2 x \\ 1 - \cos^2 x &= 1 - \cos^2 x \end{aligned}$$

□

64 8.4 Deriving Trigonometric Identities






Figure 8.2: The unit circle, thanks to [2].



(a) The Pythagorean Theorem states that the sum of the areas square a and square b is equal to the area of square c .



(b) The equation for a unit circle is $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. This creates a circle with a radius of 1.




Figure 8.3: The pythagorean squares on a unit circle. Note that the length of side c must be 1 because the radius of the circle is 1.

66 8.4 Deriving Trigonometric Identities




Figure 8.4: *Busto di Pitagora. Copia romana di originale greco. Musei Capitolini, Roma.* Original uploader was *Galilea* at <http://de.wikipedia.org>. File used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported license.

Chapter 9

Limits

9.1 Understanding Limits

If we have a function f , defined such that

$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1} \quad (x \neq 1), \tag{9.1}$$

this function is not defined at $x = 1$, so we cannot directly discuss its behavior at $x = 1$. However,




Figure 9.1: A plot of Eq. (9.1). Note the hole at $x = 1$.

we may still wish to know how the function behaves *around* $x = 1$. This is where limits come in handy. They discuss the behavior of a function near a specific point, without any consideration for how the function behaves exactly *at* that point. To find the behavior of f around $x = 1$, we take the limit of f as x approaches 1.

DEFINITION: $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ if and only if $f(x)$ gets *arbitrarily* close to L as x gets *sufficiently* close to a .

In this case, **arbitrarily close** implies that we can make the number as close as we could possibly

68 9.1 Understanding Limits

request it. **Sufficiently close** means that we can find a number x where, for every number after (or before) it, we are past our arbitrary value of closeness. We would write this as:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1}$$

In this case, we can evaluate this using basic algebra to simplify the original function,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1}, & x \neq 1 \\ &= \frac{(x + 1)(x - 1)}{x - 1}, & x \neq 1 \\ &= x + 1, & x \neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. We must make the statement that $x \neq 1$ whenever $x + 1$ is in the denominator of a function, because if x could ever be equal to 1, it would make the value of $f(x)$ undefined at this point.

Then we define a new function equivalent to the above, but where $1 \in D$. We then evaluate our new function at $x = 1$.

$$g(x) = x + 1$$

Which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2 - 1}{x - 1} &= g(1) \\ &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out we have a number of rules that describe how we can go about evaluating a limit.

Theorem 5 (Limit Laws). *If L, M , and k are real numbers and*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = M$$

then we have the following rules:

Sum Rule:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f(x) + g(x)) = L + M$$

Difference Rule:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f(x) - g(x)) = L - M$$

Constant Multiple Rule:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} (k \cdot f(x)) = k \cdot L$$

Quotient Rule:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{L}{M}, \quad m \neq 0$$

Power Rule:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} [f(x)]^n = L^n, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$$

Root Rule:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \sqrt[n]{f(x)} = \sqrt[n]{L} = L^{1/n}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$$

If n is even, we assume that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = L > 0$. This is because for the rules including exponents, for $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} cf(x) = L < 0$ to be true we would require imaginary numbers.

Not all functions have limits defined everywhere on their domain. For example, $h(x) = \sin \frac{1}{x}$ oscillates indefinitely as $x \rightarrow 0$, as shown in Figure 9.2.




Figure 9.2: The limit of $h(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ does not exist.

As $x \rightarrow 0$, it is impossible to say whether $f(x)$ is approaching 1 or -1 . This is an example of an **oscillating discontinuity**. There are more situations in which a limit does not exist.

In a **infinite discontinuity**, the graph jumps to ∞ or $-\infty$ at certain x – values. No limit can exist here.

70 9.1 Understanding Limits




Figure 9.3: An infinite discontinuity at $x = 0$.

The other situation which can cause a limit to not exist at a point is a **jump discontinuity**.




Figure 9.4: A jump discontinuity.

9.1.1 Side Limits

In places where a limit does not exist, we can still talk about the limit from just one side or another. Note, however, that if $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ at a point, then the left and right limits also exist and must be equal to one another.

Theorem 6. $\lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x) = L$ iff $f(x)$ gets arbitrarily close to L as x comes sufficiently close to a , but $x < a$.




Figure 9.5: The lefthand limit at $x = 2$ exists, though the righthand limit does not.

Theorem 7. $\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x) = L$ iff $f(x)$ gets arbitrarily close to L as x gets sufficiently close to a , but $x > a$.

9.1.2 The Sandwich Theorem

Let us look at a more complicated example of a limit. Suppose we have the functions

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= |x|, \\ f(x) &= x \sin \frac{1}{x}, \\ \text{and } g(x) &= -|x|, \end{aligned}$$

where at $x = 0$, $g(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x)$ at 0.

72 9.2 Epsilon-Delta Definition of a Limit




Figure 9.6: A plot of $h(x)$, $f(x)$, and $g(x)$

We can conclude, intuitively, that the limit of $f(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ must be 0 even though we cannot evaluate $f(x)$ at 0, as seen in Figure 9.2. We can generalize this kind of behavior as a theorem, the *sandwich theorem*.

Theorem 8 (The Sandwich Theorem). *If*

$$g(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x)$$

holds for all $x \neq c$ in some open interval containing a number c , and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} h(x) = L,$$

then $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ also equals L .

9.2 Epsilon-Delta Definition of a Limit

The statement

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$$

is the statement

$$\forall(\varepsilon > 0) \exists(\delta > 0) \forall x \left(0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \right), \quad (9.2)$$

where the domain for the variables for δ and ε consists of all positive real numbers and for x consists of all real numbers.

9.3 Evaluating Limits

Let's look at a really complicated example of a limit,¹ to make sure we truly understand them. For piecewise-defined functions, limits can get especially interesting. Here's an example:

EXAMPLE 9.3.1

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [-1, 0), \\ -x & \text{if } x \in (0, 1), \\ x - 1 & \text{if } x \in [1, 2]. \end{cases}$$




Figure 9.7: A graph of $f(x)$

1. Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ exist?

Solution.

Yes.

2. Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$?

Solution.

Yes.

3. Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 1$?

Solution.

No. $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$.

4. Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 1$?

Solution.

No. $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$ does not exist.

¹Credit for this example goes to Dr. Dobrescu's Math 140 class at CNU, Spring 2011.

74 9.3 Evaluating Limits

5. Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = 0$?

Solution.

No. The limit does not exist.

6. Can we take $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ for every $(x_0 \in (-1, 1))$?

Solution.

Yes.

7. Does $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$ exist?

Solution.

Yes.

EXAMPLE 9.3.2 Find the value of

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{4x - x^2}{2 - \sqrt{x}}.$$




Figure 9.8: A plot of $f(x) = \frac{4x - x^2}{2 - \sqrt{x}}$.

Solution.

First we multiply by the conjugate of the denominator to remove the radical from it. For more detail on conjugates, see Section 19.3.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{4x - x^2}{2 - \sqrt{x}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{4x - x^2}{2 - \sqrt{x}} \cdot \frac{2 + \sqrt{x}}{2 + \sqrt{x}}$$

Then we simplify.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{x(4-x)(2+\sqrt{x})}{4-x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \frac{x(2+\sqrt{x})}{1} \\ &= 16 \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 9.3.3 Evaluate

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 8} - 3}{x + 1}.$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 8} - 3}{x + 1} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 8} - 3}{x + 1} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 8} + 3}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8} + 3} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \frac{x^2 + 8 - 9}{(x + 1)(\sqrt{x^2 + 8} + 3)} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \frac{(x - 1)(x + 1)}{(x + 1)(\sqrt{x^2 + 8} + 3)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -1} \frac{x - 1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 8} + 3} \\ &= \frac{-1}{3}\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 9.3.4 Suppose we wished to evaluate the limit

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin \theta}{5\theta}.$$

Solution.

Well, first we should recognize that $\sin \theta$ is not the kind of function that is growing indefinitely: it oscillates forever between two values, 1 and -1 .




Figure 9.9: A plot of $\sin \theta$.

But dividing by larger and larger values is going to make this function's **amplitude**, its distance from the y -value of 0, much smaller.

Using Theorem 8,² we can see that this limit approaches 1.

9.4 Indeterminate Forms

If we want to know how the function

$$F(x) = \frac{x - \sin x}{x^3} \tag{9.3}$$

²How?

76 9.5 Continuity of Functions

behaves *near* $x = 0$ (where it is undefined), we can examine the limit of $F(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. We cannot apply the Quotient Rule for limits because the limit of the denominator is 0. Moreover, in this case, *both* the numerator and denominator approach 0, and $0/0$ is undefined. Such limits may or may not exist in general, but the limit does exist for the function $F(x)$ under discussion by applying l'Hospital's Rule, which is detailed further in Section 10.5.

If the continuous functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are both zero at $x = a$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$$

cannot be found by substituting $x = a$. The substitution produces $0/0$, a meaningless expression, which we cannot evaluate. We use $0/0$ as a notation for an expression known as an **indeterminate form**. Other meaningless expressions often occur, such as ∞/∞ , $\infty \cdot 0$, $\infty - \infty$, 0^0 , and 1^∞ , which cannot be evaluated in a consistent way; these are called indeterminate forms as well.




Figure 9.10: A plot of Eq. (9.3).

9.5 Continuity of Functions

DEFINITION: A function is **continuous** at $x = a$ iff

- $f(a)$ is defined.
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ exists.
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$.

9.6 The Mean Value Theorem

The following is a simplified case of the mean value theorem: The **mean value theorem** states that, for a continuous function f , if we have an $f(a)$ which is negative and a $f(b)$ which is positive, then f crosses the x -axis somewhere on the interval (a, b) .

Chapter 10

Derivatives

Say we are trying to find the rate of change of the function $f(x) = x^3$. That is, essentially, its slope.






Figure 10.1: A plot of $f(x) = x^3$.


We'd like to be able to do this with just a straight line, but that's simply not possible. We could, however, draw a straight line that approximates this function around a specific value, say $x = 1$. We can just draw a line that approximates the function, to try to get it right:




But that's not a very accurate impression of our slope. To make it better, we could draw a line between two points that are *even closer* to $x = 1$.




And to improve this further, we can continue to draw lines closer and closer to that point.





Until we are drawing lines between two points which are an *infinitely small* distance apart from one another.



The slope of this line is the *derivative* of our function at $x = 1$.

We see that, around $x = 1$, our slopes are very close. But as we get further from that x -value, we don't have a very good approximation of the slope anymore. This is because a derivative describes the *instantaneous rate of change* of the function *at that point*. It's not the slope of the entire function, it's just the slope at one, specific, selected point.

The **derivative** of a function $f(x)$ at $x = a$ is used to describe its *instantaneous slope* at the value $x = a$.

10.1 Slopes

The entire idea of a derivative is based on the idea behind the slope of a graph.




Figure 10.2: The slope-intercept form equation for a line.

The slope, m , of a given straight line $f(x) = mx + b$ is given by its *change in y values divided by its change in x values*

$$m = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}, \quad (10.1)$$

which we can find using the formula

$$m = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}, \quad (10.2)$$

which is equivalent to

$$m = \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}. \quad (10.3)$$




Figure 10.3: How we determine slope in a graph.

10.2 The Difference Quotient

The *difference quotient* works the same way as the slope formula, except we treat how far apart our two x values are as a variable.

$$\frac{\Delta f(x)}{\Delta x} = \frac{f(x + \Delta x) - f(x)}{\Delta x} \quad (10.4)$$

So $\Delta x = x_2 - x_1$, and $x = x_1$.




Figure 10.4: A visual representation of the difference quotient on a line.

You'll often see the difference quotient written with $\Delta x \rightarrow h$ as

$$\frac{\Delta f(x)}{\Delta x} = \frac{f(x + h) - f(x)}{h} \quad (10.5)$$

10.2.1 Limit Definition of Derivatives

The difference quotient is used in the **limit definition of a derivative**, which is

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) - f(x)}{h} \quad (10.6)$$

10.3 Notation Used with Derivatives

There are a number of ways to denote the derivative of a function $y = f(x)$. The most common notation early on in calculus classes is the *prime* notation y' and f' because it is the simplest. Later on, you often see d/dx style notation, because it is more specific. It tells us not only which function we are differentiating, but with respect to which variable. A notable characteristic of this “d notation” is the similarity it provides to our equation for slope:

$$m = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} = \frac{\Delta f(x)}{\Delta x} \qquad \qquad y' = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{df(x)}{dx}$$

In the case of slope, we're talking about specific y and x values, but in the case of a derivative, we're talking about *infinitesimals*, and that particular distinction allows us to discuss the behavior of functions that aren't linear, but change their behavior over time.

10.4 Tangent Lines

Remember our line that gave us the slope of $f(x) = x^3$ at $x = 1$? That was called a *tangent line* to $f(x)$ at that point. How do we find out what the equation for that line is?

Well, we use the derivative of the function, calculated at $x = 1$, to determine the slope of our tangent line.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^3 \\ f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

Remembering back to Section 7.3,

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x+h)^3 - x^3}{h}$$

Expand $(x+h)^3$.

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x+h)(x+h)(x+h) - x^3}{h} \\ f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x^2 + hx + hx + h^2)(x+h)}{h} \\ f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x^2 + 2hx + h^2)(x+h)}{h} \\ f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^3 + 2hx^2 + h^2x + x^2h + 2h^2x + h^3 - x^3}{h} \\ f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{3hx^2 + 3h^2x + h^3}{h} \\ f'(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 3x^2 + 3hx + h^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since we are taking the limit as $h \rightarrow 0$,

$$f'(x) = 3x^2$$

If we calculate this derivative at $x = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) \Big|_{x=1} &= 3(1)^2 \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

So the slope of our tangent line is 3.

84 10.4 Tangent Lines

Note. The symbol $|_{x=1}$ means to evaluate the preceding term at the value $x = a$. It is often seen referring to a function's derivative at a particular point, which is different from the function's derivative in general.

Now we use the point-slope formula (Section 19.2) with $m = 3$ to find the equation for our tangent line.

$$\begin{aligned}y - y_1 &= m(x - x_1) \\y &= mx - mx_1 + y_1 \\y &= 3x - 3 \cdot 1 + 1 \\y &= 3x - 2\end{aligned}$$

Which tells us that the equation for the tangent line to our function at $x = 1$ is $y = 3x - 2$.




Figure 10.5: A plot of x^3 and $3x - 2$.

10.4.1 Derivative Rules

But that was an awful lot of work just to get one little derivative! It would be handy if we could generalize the derivative of functions like this, so that we don't have to do it again in the future. Luckily, we can, and this generalization is what we call the *power rule*.

The **power rule** states that

$$\frac{d}{dx}x^n = nx^{n-1} \quad (10.7)$$

EXAMPLE 10.4.1 Find the derivative of $f(x) = x^9$ with respect to x .

Solution.

We subtract 1 from the value of our exponent and make the original exponent the coefficient of the variable. This means that

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = 9x^8.$$

EXAMPLE 10.4.2 The power rule also works for negative exponents. Let's try finding the derivative of $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ with respect to x .

Solution.

To find this derivative, we first recognize that $x^{-1} \equiv 1/x$. Meaning

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} = x^{-1}.$$

We may now simply use the power rule to find that

$$f'(x) = -1 \cdot x^{-2},$$

Which we may now simplify:

$$f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}.$$

Derivative rules are just shorthands for working things out manually using the limit definition in equation (10.6). They all come from equation (10.6) and greatly simplify the work we have to do in calculating derivatives.

Before we go further, let's cover some important properties to know when finding derivatives. First, the *derivative of a sum* is the same as a *sum of derivatives*.

Theorem 9 (Sum Rule for Derivatives). *For two differentiable functions $u(x)$ and $v(x)$,*

$$\frac{d}{dx}[u(x) + v(x)] = \frac{d}{dx}u(x) + \frac{d}{dx}v(x).$$

Proof. Start with the limit definition of a derivative.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}[u(x) + v(x)] &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{[u(x+h) + v(x+h)] - [u(x) + v(x)]}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+h) - u(x) + v(x+h) - v(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+h) - u(x)}{h} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x+h) - v(x)}{h} \\ &= \frac{d}{dx}u(x) + \frac{d}{dx}v(x) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

EXAMPLE 10.4.3 Find the derivative of $f(x) = x^2 + x$.

Solution.

We now know that

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(x^2 + x)$$

is equivalent to

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}x^2 + \frac{d}{dx}x.$$

86 10.4 Tangent Lines

Simply use the power rule to differentiate.

$$f'(x) = 2x + 1 \cdot x^0$$

Since any number raised to the power 0 is just 1,

$$f'(x) = 2x + 1.$$

When differentiating functions involving constant multiples, we may move the constants out of the derivative. This means that, if $g(x)$ is a differentiable function and k is a constant multiple,

$$\frac{d}{dx}(kg(x)) = k \frac{d}{dx}(g(x)).$$

This is known as the **constant multiple rule**.

The derivative of a constant is always zero, because constant has no rate of change.

Theorem 10 (Constant Rule for Derivatives). *For any constant number k , we know that*

$$\frac{d}{dx}k = 0.$$

This is called the **constant rule for derivatives**.

We will use both of these new rules in the following example.

EXAMPLE 10.4.4 Find the derivative of the function $f(x) = 3x^3 + 1$.

Solution.

We just learned to differentiate sums, so we know to differentiate this in separate pieces.

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}3x^3 + \frac{d}{dx}1$$

We use the power rule on the first term and the constant rule on the second term to reach our derivative.

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= 9x^2 + 0 \\ &= 9x^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now, say we wished to differentiate this function $f(x)$ a second time. We have a couple of ways we could write this:

$$\frac{d^2f(x)}{dx} \qquad \qquad \qquad f''(x)$$

and we would call this the **second derivative** of $f(x)$ with respect to x .

$$f''(x) = 18x$$

Theorem 11 (Product Rule for Derivatives). *The **product rule** tells us that for two differentiable functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$,*

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(x) \cdot g(x) = f(x) \frac{dg(x)}{dx} + g(x) \frac{df(x)}{dx}. \quad (10.8)$$

It's worth noting that because of the commutative law for addition, it doesn't matter which derivative we place first.

Theorem 12 (Quotient Rule for Derivatives). *The **quotient rule** states that for a ratio of two differentiable functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$,*

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) = \frac{g(x) \frac{df(x)}{dx} - f(x) \frac{dg(x)}{dx}}{g(x)^2}. \quad (10.9)$$

We should be careful with this one. Unlike the with the product rule, there is no leniency offered with the quotient rule's order of operations:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) \neq \frac{f(x) \frac{dg(x)}{dx} - g(x) \frac{df(x)}{dx}}{g(x)^2}. \quad (10.10)$$

The **chain rule** is used to differentiate composite functions, which look like $(f \circ g)(x)$ and mean $f(g(x))$. This type of functions is introduced and further explained in Section 7.3.

Theorem 13 (Chain Rule for Derivatives). *The **chain rule** states that if $y = f(u)$ and $u = g(x)$, then*

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx}. \quad (10.11)$$

We will want to develop an intuitive understanding for when to use the chain rule. Some derivatives appear to require the chain rule, when in fact they can be differentiated in much simpler ways.

Here is an example of such a problem:

EXAMPLE 10.4.5 Find the derivative of $f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^3}.$$

Solution.

This is not a chain rule problem. Although you could think of it as one with $f(x) = 1/x$ and $g(x) = x^3$, it is easier to remember that factors can be moved from the numerator to the denominator simply by multiplying their exponents by -1 .

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^3}$$

88 10.4 Tangent Lines




Figure 10.6: A plot of $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^3}$.

$$= x^{-3}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(x) = -3x^{-4}$$

EXAMPLE 10.4.6 Find the derivative of $f(x)$, where

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right)^5$$

This problem combines the chain rule and product rule. We use the chain rule with

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \quad f(x) = (g(x))^5$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} f(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right)^5 \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} (g(x))^5 \\ &= 5g(x)^4 \cdot \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now we find $\frac{d}{dx} g(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} g(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} = \frac{d}{dx} x^{-1/2} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2} x^{-3/2} = \frac{-1}{2x^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}} \end{aligned}$$

and plug that back into our original derivative, along with $g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx}f(x) &= 5g(x)^4 \cdot \frac{d}{dx}g(x) \\ &= 5\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)^4 \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}}\end{aligned}$$

and simplify

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx}f(x) &= 5\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)^4 \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}} = 5\left[\frac{1^4}{(\sqrt{x})^4}\right] \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}} \\ &= 5\left[\frac{1}{(x^{1/2})^4}\right] \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}} = 5\left[\frac{1}{x^{4/2}}\right] \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}} \\ &= 5\left[\frac{1}{x^2}\right] \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}} = \frac{5}{x^2} \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{x^3}} \\ &= \frac{-5}{2x^2\sqrt{x^3}} = \frac{-5}{2x^2 \cdot x^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{-5}{2x^{4/2} \cdot x^{3/2}} \\ &= \frac{-5}{2x^{7/2}}\end{aligned}$$

Trigonometric Derivative Rules

$$\frac{d}{dx} \sin x = \cos x \quad \frac{d}{dx} \sec x = \sec x \tan x \tag{10.12}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \cos x = -\sin x \quad \frac{d}{dx} \csc x = -\cot x \csc x \tag{10.13}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tan x = \sec^2 x \quad \frac{d}{dx} \cot x = -\csc^2 x \tag{10.14}$$

Many of these trig derivatives, such as the derivative of $\tan x$, can be found from simpler derivative rules.

EXAMPLE 10.4.7

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tan x$$

Solution.

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tan x = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\sin x}{\cos x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\frac{d}{dx}(\sin x) \cos x - \frac{d}{dx}(\cos x) \sin x}{(\cos x)^2} \\
&= \frac{\cos^2 x + \sin^2 x}{\cos^2 x} \\
&= \frac{1}{\cos^2 x} \\
&= \sec^2 x
\end{aligned}$$

10.4.2 Differentiability

A function $f(x)$ is **differentiable** at a point x_0 if a tangent line to its curve exists at that point and is not vertical. Functions are not differentiable at breaks, immediate bends, cusps, or places with vertical tangents.

10.4.3 Linearization of Functions

One application of derivatives is *linearization* of functions. This is handy for performing calculations that, otherwise, would be ridiculously difficult without a calculator. The key is to treat the derivative of the function as the slope of a straight line, and then to consider the y -values of that line *good enough* approximations of the original function within a small enough interval.

In order to linearize a function $f(x)$, we must be capable of calculating its derivative at some other, nearby value $x = a$. Then, we use the point-slope formula (see Section 19.2) to find the equation for a line that approximates our function around that value.

We then write the linearization of this function $f(x)$ at $x = a$ as $L_a(x)$, and state that

$$L_a(x) \approx f(x)$$

on some interval I .

EXAMPLE 10.4.8 Find a linearization of the function $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and use it to approximate the value of $f(4.001)$.

Solution.

We must first find a value on $f(x)$ close to $x = 4.001$ that shouldn't be a problem for us. The obvious solution is to use $a = 4$, such that $f(a) = \sqrt{4} = 2$. This gives us the point $(4, 2)$ on the plot of $f(x)$.

Great, but we still need the slope of our new line. To find it, we must take the derivative of our original function. Convert the square root into an exponent.

$$f(x) = \sqrt{x} = x^{1/2} \tag{10.15}$$

Use the power rule.

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(x) = \frac{1}{2x^{1/2}} \quad (10.16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \quad (10.17)$$

Now we evaluate this at $x = a = 4$ to get the slope at our known point.

$$\left. \frac{d}{dx} f(x) \right|_{x=4} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{4}} \quad (10.18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} \quad (10.19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \quad (10.20)$$

This is the slope of $f(x)$ at $x = a$.

Now, we use this slope in the point-slope formula (Section 19.2) to write our linearized function.

$$\begin{aligned} y - y_1 &= m(x - x_1) \\ L_a(x) - f(a) &= f'(a)(x - a) \\ L_a(x) - 2 &= \frac{1}{4}(x - 4) \\ L_a(x) &= \frac{1}{4}x - 1 + 2 \\ L_a(x) &= \frac{x}{4} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now that we have our linearized function, which should approximate $f(x)$, we can find $L_a(4.001)$.


$$\begin{aligned} L_a(4.0001) &= \frac{4.001}{4} + 1 \\ &= 1.00025 + 1 \\ &= 2.00025 \end{aligned}$$

This means that $f(4.001)$ either equals, or is extremely close to 2.00025. In our case, it just so happens that our approximation was perfect: $f(4.001) = 2.00025$. Not bad for what could've been a nasty calculation—we found it easily, entirely by hand!

10.4.4 Graphing Functions

To graph a function:¹

¹This section is copied from my notes from Dr. Dobrescu's Math 140 class, taken in Fall 2011 at Christopher Newport University.

Figure 10.7: A plot of $f(x)$ and $L_a(x)$.

1. Find all **critical values**. Critical values are locations where $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$ or is undefined.²
2. Find all **points of inflection**. These are locations where the second derivative ($\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$) is zero or undefined.
3. Determine where $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is *positive* to find where $f(x)$ is *increasing*. Remember that a derivative signifies the slope of a graph, so a positive derivative implies that the graph is increasing. This can be achieved either by testing values on each side of a *critical value*, or by intuitive understanding. For example, $\frac{dy}{dx} = 3x^2$ is positive everywhere except at $x = 0$, so $f(x) = y$ is increasing everywhere except for at its horizontal tangent at $x = 0$.³
4. Determine where $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is *negative* to find where $f(x)$ is *decreasing*.
5. Determine the sign of $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$ on both sides of all *points of inflection*. The graph is *concave up* where $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$ is positive, and *concave down* where $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$ is negative.

10.5 l'Hospital's Rule

l'Hospital's Rule uses derivatives to calculate limits of fractions whose numerators and denominators both approach the same indeterminate form, which can be either zero or ∞ . Limits involving transcendental functions often require some use of the rule for their calculation.

Theorem 14 (L'Hospital's Rule). *Suppose that $f(a) = g(a) = 0$, that f and g are differentiable on an open interval I containing a , and that $g'(x) \neq 0$ on I if $x \neq a$. Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \stackrel{H}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} \quad (10.21)$$

assuming that the limit on the right side of this equation exists.

² At locations where $\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$, the tangent line is horizontal.

³ x^2 can never produce a negative number, because a negative times a negative or a positive times a positive is always positive

Remark. L'Hopital's Rule does not apply when either the numerator or the denominator has a finite nonzero limit.

The proof of this theorem is found in Section 20.3.



Figure 10.8: Augustin Louis Cauchy, 1901.

Theorem 15 (Cauchy's Mean Value Theorem). *Suppose functions f and g are continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable throughout (a, b) and also suppose $g'(x) \neq 0$ throughout (a, b) . Then there exists a number c in (a, b) at which*

$$\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}. \quad (10.22)$$

10.6 Derivatives of Inverses of Differentiable Functions

Theorem 16 (The Derivative Rule for Inverses). *If f has an interval I as domain and $f'(x)$ exists and is never zero on I , then f^{-1} is differentiable at every point in its domain (the range of f). The value of $(f^{-1})'$ at a point b in the domain of f^{-1} is the reciprocal of the value of f' at the point $a = f^{-1}(b)$:*

$$(f^{-1})'(b) = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(b))}, \quad (10.23)$$

Which may also be written

$$\left. \frac{df^{-1}}{dx} \right|_{x=b} = \frac{1}{\left. \frac{df}{dx} \right|_{x=f^{-1}(b)}}. \quad (10.24)$$

Proof. Because a function applied to the inverse of itself should return its own input value, we can start with this relationship.

$$f(f^{-1}(x)) = x$$

94 10.7 Antiderivatives

From here, take the derivative of both sides. Since the derivative of a variable representing a constant is always 1,

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(f^{-1}(x)) = 1.$$

Applying the chain rule to the lefthand side of the equation gives us

$$f'(f^{-1}(x)) \cdot \frac{d}{dx} f^{-1}(x) = 1$$

Now, we divide each side of the equation by $f'(f^{-1}(x))$ to solve for the derivative only.

$$\frac{d}{dx} f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(x))}. \quad \square$$

10.7 Antiderivatives

DEFINITION: A function F is an **antiderivative** of f on an interval I if

$$\forall(x \in I) [F'(x) = f(x)]. \quad (10.25)$$

EXAMPLE 10.7.1 Find the antiderivative of

$$f(x) = 3x^2. \quad (10.26)$$

Solution.

We should think of a function whose derivative yields the function $3x^2$. It seems clear that this function is related to the power rule, and reversing that rule would give us x^3 . Now let's take the derivative of this and see if it works:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= x^3, \\ F'(x) &= 3x^2. \end{aligned}$$

What's interesting here is that $3x^2$ is not the only function for which its derivative is $3x^2$. We know from Theorem 10, the constant rule for derivatives, that the derivative of any constant is zero. From this, we know that

$$F(x) = 3x^2 + 1,$$

or

$$F(x) = 3x^2 + 20,$$

or even

$$F(x) = 3x^2 + 39250,$$

all satisfy our definition for an antiderivative of $f(x)$. How do we write this?

DEFINITION: The **generalized antiderivative** of a function $f(x)$ is written

$$F(x) + c, \quad (10.27)$$

where c is an *arbitrary constant*—that is, any number whatsoever that does not contain a variable. This “function,” $F(x) + c$, therefore, does not actually refer to one function in particular, or even a *handful* thereof, but rather a *family of functions*, all of which have a derivative equal to $f(x)$.

For our example, the generalized antiderivative of Eq. (10.26) is

$$F(x) = x^3 + c.$$

We would plot this using **integral curves**, which represent a variety of possible versions of the function for different values of c .




Figure 10.9: A few possible integral curves for $F(x) = x^3 + c$.

Chapter 11

Transcendental Functions

A **transcendental function** is a function that does not satisfy a polynomial equation whose coefficients are themselves polynomials, in contrast to an algebraic function, which does satisfy such an equation.

In other words, a transcendental function is a function that “transcends” algebra in the sense that it cannot be expressed in terms of a finite sequence of the algebraic operations of addition, multiplication, and root extraction.

Examples of transcendental functions include the *exponential function*, the *logarithm*, and the *trigonometric functions*.

Formally,

DEFINITION: An analytic function $f(z)$ of the real or complex variables z_1, \dots, z_n is **transcendental** if the $n + 1$ functions z_1, \dots, z_n are algebraically independent. [13]

11.1 Natural Logarithms




Figure 11.1: A plot of $f(x) = \ln x$.

98 11.2 Logarithmic Identities

DEFINITION: The **natural logarithm** is the function given by

$$\ln x = \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{N} \quad (11.1)$$

DEFINITION: The **number e** is that number in the domain of the natural logarithm satisfying

$$\ln e = 1$$

It is roughly equal to

$$2.7182818284590452353602874713526624977572470936999595\dots$$

11.1.1 Algebraic Properties of the Natural Logarithm

For any numbers $b > 0$ and $x > 0$, the natural logarithm satisfies the following rules:

Product Rule

$$\ln bx = \ln b + \ln x$$

Quotient Rule

$$\ln \frac{b}{x} = \ln b - \ln x$$

Reciprocal Rule

$$\ln \frac{1}{x} = -\ln x$$

Power Rule

$$\ln x^r = r \ln x \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$$

11.2 Logarithmic Identities

$$a^x a^y = a^{x+y}$$

$$\log_a(uv) = \log_a u + \log_a v$$

$$(a^x)^y = a^{xy}$$

$$\log_a(u^y) = y \log_a u$$

$$a^{-x} = \frac{1}{a^x}$$

$$\log_a\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) = -\log_a u$$

$$\frac{a^x}{a^y} = a^{x-y}$$

$$\log_a \frac{u}{v} = \log_a u - \log_a v$$

The number e and its relationship to logarithms becomes especially important in integration, where we manipulate its properties in calculus to solve equations and integrate functions we would not otherwise be able to handle.

The inverse equations for e^x and $\ln x$ are

$$\forall(x > 0) [e^{\ln x} = x] \quad (11.2)$$

$$\forall x [\ln(e^x) = x] \quad (11.3)$$

The derivative of e^x is very special, and it is

$$\frac{d}{dx} e^x = e^x dx. \quad (11.4)$$

11.3 Hyperbolic Functions

Both $\cos x$ and $\sin x$ come from the formula for a circle.

$$x^2 + y^2 = r^2 \quad (11.5)$$

But we can define other useful functions using the equation for a hyperbola.

$$x^2 - y^2 = 1 \quad (11.6)$$

Namely, $\cosh x$ and $\sinh x$.

In 11.6, let

$$y \rightarrow \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2}$$

to get $\sinh x$. Let

$$x \rightarrow \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}$$

to find $\cosh x$.

We can prove that these still satisfy equation 11.6:

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= x^2 - y^2 \\ 1 &= \left(\frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2} \right)^2 \\ 1 &= \frac{e^{2x} + 2e^x e^{-x} + e^{-2x}}{4} - \frac{e^{2x} - 2e^x e^{-x} + e^{-2x}}{4} \end{aligned}$$

□

100 11.3 Hyperbolic Functions

Chapter 12

Integration

If we have a function, $f(x)$, whose graph is shown in Figure 12.1. and we wish to find the area under its curve, we can do so using a technique called *integration*. As in Chapter 10, where we approximated the slope of a curve using straight lines, we are going to approximate the area of this irregular shape using simple rectangles.




Figure 12.1: A curved line.




Figure 12.2: Approximating the area under the curve with one box.

A better estimate would use two boxes.





Figure 12.3: Approximating the area under the curve with two boxes.

Then we could sum the area of both boxes for a closer approximation. Use more boxes for more and more accurate approximations of the area under the curve.



So, if we want to actually calculate something like this, it's handy to define a value Δx and let this represent the width of your boxes. This way we are just measuring different heights, and our width is always constant.




Figure 12.4: Approximating the area under the curve with two boxes.

12.1 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

Theorem 17 (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, part 1). *If f is continuous on $[a, b]$, then $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) and its derivative is $f(x)$:*

$$F'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_a^x f(t) dt = f(x). \quad (12.1)$$

[6, p. 276]

Theorem 18. *If f is continuous at every point in $[a, b]$ and F is any antiderivative of f on $[a, b]$,*

then

$$\int_b^a f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a). \quad (12.2)$$

[6, p. 277]

12.2 Basic Integration Formulas

The following are our basic integrals. We can use this table for reference from time to time, but as we develop our integration skills, we will become increasingly proficient at using them on-the-spot.

Some are more important than others. For a more complete understanding of these rules, refer to a calculus textbook.

| | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------|
| $\int k dx = kx + c$ | (any number k) | $\int x^n dx = \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} + c$ | $(n \neq -1)$ |
| $\int \frac{dx}{x} = \ln x + c$ | | $\int e^x dx = e^x + c$ | |
| $\int a^x dx = \frac{a^x}{\ln a} + c$ | $(a > 0, a \neq 1)$ | $\int \sin x dx = -\cos x + c$ | |
| $\int \cos x dx = \sin x + c$ | | $\int \sec^2 x dx = \tan x + c$ | |
| $\int \csc^2 x dx = -\cot x + c$ | | $\int \sec x \tan x dx = \sec x + c$ | |
| $\int \csc x \cot x dx = -\csc x + c$ | | $\int \tan x dx = \ln \sec x + c$ | |
| $\int \cot x dx = \ln \sin x + c$ | | $\int \sec x dx = \ln \sec x + \tan x + c$ | |
| $\int \csc x dx = -\ln \csc x + \cot x + c$ | | $\int \sinh x dx = \cosh x + c$ | |
| $\int \cosh x dx = \sinh x + c$ | | $\int \frac{dx}{a^2+x^2} = \frac{1}{a} \arctan \frac{x}{a} + c$ | |
| $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{a^2+x^2}} = \arcsin \frac{x}{a} + c$ | $(a > 0)$ | $\int \frac{dx}{x\sqrt{x^2-a^2}} = \frac{1}{a} \operatorname{arcsec} \left \frac{x}{a} \right + c$ | |
| $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{a^2+x^2}} = \sinh^{-1} \frac{x}{a} + c$ | $(a > 0)$ | $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^2-a^2}} = \cosh^{-1} \frac{x}{a} + c$ | $(x > a > 0)$ |

[6, p. 435]

12.3 Integration by Substitution

Integration by substitution is our simplest technique for evaluating integrals that don't seem to fit into one of our basic rules. To do these, we must have a grasp on usage of the fundamental integrals

from Section 12.2. Let's look at an example.

EXAMPLE 12.3.1 Integrate

$$\int 2e^{2x} dx.$$

Initially, we don't know how to solve this. We know that $\int e^x dx$ is just $e^x + C$, but the constant multiplier in the exponent throws us off. Notice, however, that the derivative of $2x$, the offending element, is clearly present in the problem: it is 2. This allows us to use a handy trick to make the integrand resemble one that we know how to evaluate.

Simply let $u = 2x$. Note that if we perform this substitution, we would get half the equation in terms of u and the other half in terms of x . We obviously don't know how to handle this, so we take the derivative of u , giving us $du = 2$.

Now we may make our substitutions.

$$\begin{aligned}\int 2e^{2x} dx &= \int e^u du \\ &= e^u + C\end{aligned}$$

Now we just write this in terms of our original variables.

$$= e^{2x} + C$$

And we're done.

The concept of u -substitution isn't tricky. We should know how to perform substitutions at this point. What's hard, and what we'll need to practice, is which values to select for our u and du . Remember: look for a piece of the integrand whose derivative is also present in the integrand, and just give it a shot.

If your first substitution fails, don't be discouraged—just try again.

Oftentimes, we won't be lucky enough to see the *exact* derivative in our integrand. If we're lucky, however, we can usually get within a constant of it, and then we can tweak the integrand to suit our needs. Let's see what this means.

EXAMPLE 12.3.2 Integrate

$$\int x(x^2 + 3/2)^9 dx.$$

Solution.

We can try $u = x^2$, $du = 2x$. Unfortunately, we still don't know how to evaluate $(u + 3/2)^9$ if we do that. It was a good guess, though, and got us closer to an answer.

Try letting $u = x^2 + 3/2$ and $du = 2x dx$ instead. This gets us *really* close, except there's no 2 in our integrand.

From here, there are two ways to fix this. One is to simply make the righthand side of $du = 2x dx$

106 12.4 Integration By Parts Formula

look how we want it. To do this, we simply divide both sides by two. This gives us

$$u = x^2 + 3/2 \frac{du}{2} = x \, dx$$

Now we're getting somewhere. We can substitute this into our original integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int x(x^2 + 3/2)^9 \, dx &= \int u^9 \frac{du}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int u^9 \, du, \end{aligned}$$

which we know how to integrate. It becomes

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{u^{10}}{10} + C.$$

Finally, we just replace the u terms with their equivalents in terms of x , making our answer

$$= \frac{x^2 + 3/2}{20} + C.$$

Which is our final answer.

EXAMPLE 12.3.3 Integrate

$$\int (1-x)^9 \, dx.$$

Solution.

Let $u = 1 - x$ and $du = -dx$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int (1-x)^9 \, dx &= - \int u^9 \, du \\ &= -\frac{u^{10}}{10} + C \\ &= -\frac{(1-x)^{10}}{10} + C \end{aligned}$$

12.4 Integration By Parts Formula

Integration by parts is a method of separating out elements of integrals that we don't know how to evaluate, and taking their derivative instead.

Its formula comes from our definition for the derivative product rule, Eq. (10.8):

$$\frac{d}{dx}[f(x)g(x)] = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x) \quad (12.3)$$

If we take the indefinite integral of each side,

$$\int \frac{d}{dx} [f(x)g(x)] dx = \int [f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)] dx$$

Now separate out the terms in the righthand side.

$$\int \frac{d}{dx} [f(x)g(x)] dx = \int f'(x)g(x) dx + \int f(x)g'(x) dx$$

From here, we note that the integral and derivative in the lefthand side cancel each other out, if we use the fundamental theorem of calculus.

$$f(x)g(x) = \int f'(x)g(x) dx + \int f(x)g'(x) dx$$

Now, subtract the first integral from both sides.

$$f(x)g(x) - \int f'(x)g(x) dx = \int f(x)g'(x) dx$$

Swap the left and righthand sides, and we have the formula for integration by parts!

$$\int f(x)g'(x) dx = f(x)g(x) - \int f'(x)g(x) dx \quad (12.4)$$

For convenience, we often rewrite this formula in terms of u , du , dv , and v as follows:

$$\int u dv = uv - \int v du \quad (12.5)$$

The real goal, here, is to always make $\int v du$ easier to evaluate than $\int u dv$. It doesn't really matter what u and v are. Learning when to use integration by parts can be tricky, and learning which parts are best assigned where is even more difficult. Generally, this takes a fair amount of examples, coupled with considerable practice for one to develop an efficient intuitive understanding.

EXAMPLE 12.4.1 Integrate

$$\int x \cos x dx.$$

Solution.

We use integration by parts with

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = x & dv = \cos x \\ du = dx & v = \sin x \end{array}$$

108 12.4 Integration By Parts Formula

So our integral becomes something we can integrate.

$$\begin{aligned}\int x \cos x \, dx &= x \sin x - \int \sin x \, dx \\ &= x \sin x + \cos x + C\end{aligned}$$

A note on selecting u and dv : it is usually easiest to pick dv first to be everything we know how to integrate, including the dx , and then let u be the rest. This is just a guideline, however, and one we will frequently have to bend.

EXAMPLE 12.4.2

$$\int x^3 \cos 3x \, dx$$

Solution.

We use integration by parts.

$$\begin{aligned}\int x^3 \cos 3x \, dx &= \frac{1}{3}x^3 \sin 3x - \int x^2 \sin 3x \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{3}x^3 \sin 3x - \left[\frac{-1}{3}x^2 \cos 3x + \frac{2}{3} \int x \cos 3x \, dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{3}x^3 \sin 3x + \frac{1}{3}x^2 \cos 3x - \frac{2}{3} \left[\frac{1}{3}x \sin 3x - \frac{1}{3} \int \sin 3x \, dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{3}x^3 \sin 3x + \frac{1}{3}x^2 \cos 3x - \frac{2}{9}x \sin 3x - \frac{2}{27} \cos 3x + C\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.4.3 A tough integration by parts problem. I originally could not integrate this myself, and presented the problem to Dr. James Martin¹, Ph.D. in Applied Mathematics from Brown University, and my professor at CNU of the time. Not surprisingly, he managed to perform the integration. I have chosen to include the problem here, for the surprising beauty of the cancellation of terms that resulted.

$$\int \frac{xe^{2x}}{(2x+1)^2} \, dx$$

Solution.

We use integration by parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}u &= e^{2x} & dv &= \frac{x}{(2x+1)^2} \\ du &= 2e^{2x} & v &= \frac{1}{4+8x} + \frac{\ln(1+2x)}{4}\end{aligned}$$

¹www.pcs.cnu.edu/~jamie/

To get:

$$\int \frac{xe^{2x}}{(2x+1)^2} dx = \frac{e^{2x}}{4+8x} + \frac{e^{2x} \ln|1+2x|}{4} - \int 2e^{2x} \left[\frac{1}{4+8x} + \frac{\ln(1+2x)}{4} \right] dx$$

Then we simplify the integrand.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{xe^{2x}}{(2x+1)^2} dx &= \frac{e^{2x}}{4+8x} + \frac{e^{2x} \ln|1+2x|}{4} - \int \frac{2e^{2x}}{4+8x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int e^{2x} \ln|1+2x| dx \\ &= \frac{e^{2x}}{4+8x} + \frac{e^{2x} \ln|1+2x|}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{e^{2x}}{1+2x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int e^{2x} \ln|1+2x| dx \end{aligned}$$

We use integration by parts again on the second integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \ln|1+2x| & dv &= e^{2x} \\ du &= \frac{2}{1+2x} dx & v &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2x} \\ &= \frac{e^{2x}}{4+8x} + \frac{e^{2x} \ln|1+2x|}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} e^{2x} \ln|1+2x| - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{2e^{2x}}{1+2x} dx \right] - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{e^{2x}}{1+2x} dx \end{aligned}$$

We simplify our result, and all but one of the terms cancel:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{e^{2x}}{4+8x} + \frac{e^{2x} \ln|1+2x|}{4} - \frac{1}{4} e^{2x} \ln|1+2x| + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{e^{2x}}{1+2x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{e^{2x}}{1+2x} dx \\ &= \frac{e^{2x}}{4+8x} + \frac{e^{2x} \ln|1+2x|}{4} - \frac{e^{2x} \ln|1+2x|}{4} + C \\ &= \frac{e^{2x}}{4+8x} + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.4.4 Integrate

$$\int \ln x dx.$$

Solution.

Since $\int \ln x dx$ can be written as $\int \ln x \cdot 1 dx$, we can use integration by parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \ln x & dv &= dx \\ du &= \frac{dx}{x} & v &= x \end{aligned}$$

Which gives us the integral

$$\int \ln x dx = x \ln x - \int \frac{x dx}{x}$$

110 12.4 Integration By Parts Formula

$$\begin{aligned} &= x \ln x - \int dx \\ &= x \ln x - x + C \end{aligned}$$

12.4.1 Integration by Parts Cheat Sheet

This is a set of guidelines² advising whichever part of an integral comes first in this list should be assigned to u .

1. Logarithms
2. Inverse functions
3. Algebraic
4. Trigonometric
5. Exponential

EXAMPLE 12.4.5 Integrate:

$$\int 3x^2 \cos x^3 dx$$

Solution.

This is not an integration by parts problem. Because we can spot the derivative of x^3 clearly in the integrand, we can let $u = x^3$ and $du = 3x^2 dx$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int 3x^2 \cos x^3 dx &= \int \cos u du \\ &= \sin u + C \\ &= \sin x^3 + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.4.6 Integrate

$$\int x \cos x dx$$

Solution.

Use integration by parts with

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = x & dv = \cos x dx \\ du = dx & v = \sin x \end{array}$$

²Proposed by Herbert Kasube of Bradley University.

Which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\int x \cos x \, dx &= x \sin x - \int \sin x \, dx \\ &= x \sin x + \cos x + C\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.4.7 Integrate

$$\int xe^x \, dx$$

Solution.

Use integration by parts with

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = x & dv = e^x \, dx \\ du = dx & v = e^x \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int xe^x \, dx &= xe^x - \int e^x \, dx \\ &= xe^x - e^x + C\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.4.8 Integrate

$$\int x \ln x \, dx$$

Solution.

Use integration by parts with

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = \ln x & dv = x \, dx \\ du = \frac{dx}{x} & v = \frac{x^2}{2} \end{array}$$

Which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\int x \ln x \, dx &= \frac{x^2 \ln x}{2} - \int \frac{x^2 \, dx}{2x} \\ &= \frac{x^2 \ln x}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int x \, dx \\ &= \frac{x^2 \ln x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4} + C\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.4.9

$$\int xe^{x^2} \, dx$$

Solution.

112 12.5 Trigonometric Integrals

This looks like an integration by parts problem at first, but again it is just a regular u -substitution problem. Let $u = x^2$ and $du = 2x \, dx$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int xe^{x^2} \, dx &= \frac{1}{2}e^u \, du \\ &= \frac{1}{2}e^u + C \\ &= \frac{1}{2}e^{x^2} + C\end{aligned}$$

12.5 Trigonometric Integrals

To solve trigonometric integrals (that is, integrals already involving trigonometric functions), we often have to do a fair amount of playing around with trigonometric identities in order to make them possible. A technique we can use to further this is separating out trigonometric operators that are in powers, such that we may perform substitution on them.

Take the following integral, for example.

EXAMPLE 12.5.1 Integrate.

$$\int \cot^2 x \csc^4 x \, dx$$

Solution.

Separate a $\csc^2 x$ from the integrand.

$$\int \cot^2 x \csc^4 x \, dx = \cot^2 x \csc^2 x \csc^2 x \, dx$$

Remembering our basic trig identity

$$\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$$

we can divide through by $\sin^2 x$ to get

$$1 + \cot^2 x = \csc^2 x$$

and substitute that into our new integral

$$\int \cot^2 x \csc^2 x \csc^2 x \, dx = \int \cot^2 x (1 + \cot^2 x) \csc^2 x \, dx$$

Now we let $u = \cot x$ and $du = -\csc^2 x \, dx$.

$$= \int u^2 (1 + u^2) \, du$$

which we can do.

$$= \frac{u^3}{3} + \frac{u^5}{5} + C$$

Now replace our old u -substitutions

$$= \frac{\cot^3 x}{3} + \frac{\cot^5 x}{5} + C$$

We can also use trigonometric identities to eliminate square roots in integrals:

EXAMPLE 12.5.2

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{1 + \cos 4x} dx$$

To eliminate the square root, we use the identity

$$\cos^2 \theta = \frac{1 + \cos 2\theta}{2}$$

With $\theta = 2x$, this becomes:

$$1 + \cos 4x = 2 \cos^2 2x$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{1 + \cos 4x} dx &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{2 \cos^2 2x} dx = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\cos^2 2x} dx \\ &= \sqrt{2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} |\cos 2x| dx = \sqrt{2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \cos 2x dx \\ &= \sqrt{2} \left[\frac{\sin 2x}{2} \right]_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} [1 - 0] \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.5.3

$$\int \tan x \sec^4 x dx$$

Solution.

Set aside a $\sec^2 x$

$$\int \tan x \sec^4 x dx = \int \tan x \sec^2 x \sec^2 x dx$$

Remembering our basic trig identity

$$\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$$

114 12.5 Trigonometric Integrals

we can divide through by $\cos^2 x$ to get

$$\tan^2 x + 1 = \sec^2 x$$

and substitute that into our new integral

$$\int \tan x \sec^2 x \sec^2 x dx = \int \tan x (\tan^2 x - 1) \sec^2 x dx$$

Now let $u = \tan x$ and $du = \sec^2 x dx$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \tan x (\tan^2 x - 1) \sec^2 x dx &= \int u(u^2 - 1) du \\ &= \frac{u^3}{3} - \frac{u^2}{2} + C \\ &= \frac{\tan^3 x}{3} - \frac{\tan^2 x}{2} + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.5.4

$$\int \sin^7 x \cos^2 x dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sin^7 x \cos^2 x dx &= \int \sin^6 x \cos^2 x \sin x dx \\ &= (\sin^2 x)^3 \cos^2 x \sin x dx \end{aligned}$$

because $\sin^2 x = 1 - \cos^2 x$

$$= (1 - \cos^2 x)^3 \cos^2 x \sin x dx$$

let $u = \cos x$ and $du = -\sin x$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \int (1 - u^2)^3 u^2 du \\ &= - \int (1 - u^2)(1 - u^2)(1 - u^2)u^2 du \\ &= - \int (1 - 2u^2 + u^4)(1 - u^2)u^2 du \\ &= - \int (u^2 - 2u^4 + u^6 - u^4 + 2u^6 - u^8) du \\ &= \frac{-u^3}{3} + \frac{2u^5}{5} - \frac{u^7}{7} + \frac{u^5}{5} - \frac{2u^7}{7} + \frac{u^9}{9} + C \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{-\cos^3 x}{3} + \frac{2\cos^5 x}{5} - \frac{\cos^7 x}{7} + \frac{\cos^5 x}{5} - \frac{2\cos^7 x}{7} + \frac{\cos^9 x}{9} + C$$

EXAMPLE 12.5.5

$$\int \cos^7 x \sin^2 x \, dx$$

Solution.

$$\int \cos^7 x \sin^2 x \, dx = \int \cos^6 x \sin^2 x \cos x \, dx$$

Because $\cos^2 x = 1 - \sin^2 x$:

$$= \int (1 - \sin^2 x)^3 \sin^2 x \cos x \, dx$$

Now let $u = \sin x$ and $du = \cos x \, dx$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int (1 - u^2)^3 u^2 \, du \\ &= \int (u^2 - 2u^4 + u^6 - u^4 + 2u^6 - u^8) \, du \\ &= \frac{u^3}{3} - \frac{2u^5}{5} + \frac{u^7}{7} - \frac{u^5}{5} + \frac{2u^7}{7} - \frac{u^9}{9} + C \\ &= \frac{\sin^3 x}{3} - \frac{2\sin^5 x}{5} + \frac{\sin^7 x}{7} - \frac{\sin^5 x}{5} + \frac{2\sin^7 x}{7} - \frac{\sin^9 x}{9} + C \\ &= \frac{\sin^3 x}{3} - \frac{\sin^5 x}{5} + \frac{3\sin^7 x}{7} - \frac{\sin^9 x}{9} + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.5.6

$$\int \sin^{15} x \, dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sin^{15} x \, dx &= \int \sin^{14} x \sin x \, dx = \int (\sin^2 x)^7 \sin x \, dx \\ &= \int (1 - \cos^2 x)^7 \sin x \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = \cos x$ and $du = -\sin x \, dx$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \int (1 - u^2)^7 \, du = - \int du + \int u^{14} \, du = -u + \frac{u^{15}}{15} + C \\ &= -\cos x + \frac{\cos^{15} x}{15} + C \end{aligned}$$

116 12.5 Trigonometric Integrals

EXAMPLE 12.5.7

$$\int \sin^2 x \cos^2 x \, dx$$

Solution.

Remembering our power reduction identities from (8.22) and (8.23):

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sin^2 x \cos^2 x \, dx &= \int \frac{1 - \cos 2x}{2} \cdot \frac{1 + \cos 2x}{2} \, dx = \frac{1}{4} \int (1 - \cos^2 2x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int \left(\frac{2}{2} - \frac{1 + \cos 4x}{2} \right) \, dx = \frac{1}{4} \int \left(\frac{2 - (1 + \cos 4x)}{2} \right) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int \left(\frac{1 - \cos 4x}{2} \right) \, dx = \frac{1}{8} \int 1 - \cos 4x \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \int dx - \frac{1}{8} \int \cos 4x \, dx \\ &= \frac{x}{8} - \frac{1}{32} \sin 4x + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.5.8

$$\int \tan^6 x \sec^4 x \, dx$$

Solution.

$$\int \tan^6 x \sec^4 x \, dx = \int \tan^6 x \sec^2 x \sec^2 x \, dx$$

Remembering that $\sec^2 x = 1 + \tan^2 x$:

$$= \int \tan^6 x (1 + \tan^2 x) \sec^2 x \, dx$$

Now, letting $u = \tan x$ and $du = \sec^2 x \, dx$:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int u^6 (1 + u^2) \, du = \int u^6 \, du + \int u^8 \, du = \frac{u^7}{7} + \frac{u^9}{9} + C \\ &= \frac{\tan^7 x}{7} + \frac{\tan^9 x}{9} + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.5.9

$$\int \cot^8 x \csc^4 x \, dx$$

Solution.

We integrate this in the same way as the previous example, by setting aside $\csc^2 x$.

$$\int \cot^8 x \csc^4 x \, dx = \int \cot^8 x \csc^2 x \csc^2 x \, dx$$

Now we substitute with the identity $1 + \cot^2 x = \csc^2 x$.

$$= \cot^8 x \csc^2 x (1 + \cot^2 x) \, dx$$

Now we let $u = \cot x$ and $du = -\csc^2 x \, dx$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int u^7 (1 + u^2) \, du \\ &= \int u^8 \, du + \int u^{10} \, du \\ &= \frac{u^9}{9} + \frac{u^{11}}{11} + C \\ &= \frac{\cot^9 x}{9} + \frac{\cot^{11} x}{11} + C \end{aligned}$$

12.6 Trigonometric Substitution

Trigonometric substitution is the substitution of trigonometric functions for other expressions. One may use the trigonometric identities to simplify certain integrals containing radical expressions.

There are two important trig identities for us to know in this section:

$$1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta, \tag{12.6}$$

and

$$1 + \tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta. \tag{12.7}$$


We may find Eq. (12.6) and Eq. (12.7) using the process Section 8.4 and simple algebraic rearrangement of the terms.

We will find trigonometric substitution useful for problems that look this:


$$\int \frac{dx}{x\sqrt{x^2 - 4}}$$

For integrands with $\sqrt{x^2 - a^2}$, let $x = a \sec \theta$ and let $dx = a \sec \theta \tan \theta \, d\theta$.


118 12.6 Trigonometric Substitution



For integrands with $\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}$, let $x = a \sin \theta$ and $dx = a \cos \theta d\theta$.



For integrands with $\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}$, let $x = a \tan \theta$ and $dx = \sec^2 \theta d\theta$.



EXAMPLE 12.6.1 Integrate

$$\int \frac{dx}{x\sqrt{x^2 - 4}}$$

Solution.

Let $x = 2 \sec \theta$ and $dx = 2 \sec \theta \tan \theta d\theta$.


$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dx}{x\sqrt{x^2 - 4}} &= \int \frac{2 \sec \theta \tan \theta d\theta}{2 \sec \theta \sqrt{4 \sec^2 \theta - 4}} \\ &= \int \frac{\tan \theta d\theta}{\sqrt{4 \sec^2 \theta - 4}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the trigonometric identity $1 + \tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \frac{\tan \theta d\theta}{\sqrt{4(1 + \tan^2 \theta) - 4}} \\ &= \int \frac{\tan \theta d\theta}{\sqrt{4 \tan^2 \theta}} \\ &= \int \frac{\tan \theta d\theta}{2 \tan \theta} \\ &= \int \frac{1}{2} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\theta + C \end{aligned}$$

Now we draw a triangle, using the numbers from our original substitution:

$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arcsec} \frac{x}{2} + C$$



EXAMPLE 12.6.2

$$\int x^3 \sqrt{4 - x^2} dx$$

Solution.

Although perhaps solvable using integration by parts, we will use trigonometric substitution.

$$\text{let } x = 2 \sin \theta$$

$$\text{let } dx = 2 \cos \theta d\theta$$

120 12.7 Partial Fraction Decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\int x^3 \sqrt{4-x^2} dx &= \int 8 \sin^3 \theta 2 \cos \theta 2 \cos \theta d\theta = 32 \int \sin^3 \theta \cos^2 \theta d\theta \\&= 32 \int \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta d\theta \\&= 32 \int (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta d\theta\end{aligned}$$

Let $u = \cos \theta$ and $du = -\sin \theta d\theta$.

$$\begin{aligned}&= -32 \int (1 - u^2) u^2 du \\&= \frac{-32u^3}{3} + \frac{32u^5}{5} + C \\&= \frac{-32 \cos^2 \theta}{3} + \frac{32 \cos^5 \theta}{5} + C \\&= \frac{-32}{3} \left(\frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{2} \right) + \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{2} \right)^5 + C\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.6.3 Integrate

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}} dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5}} dx &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 1 + 4}} \\&= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x+1)^2 + 4}}\end{aligned}$$

Let $u = x + 1$ and $du = dx$.

$$\begin{aligned}&= \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{u^2 + 4}} \\&= \arcsin \frac{u}{4} + C\end{aligned}$$

12.7 Partial Fraction Decomposition

Multiply the denominator by both sides of the new equation:

$$denominator * \left[\frac{x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 8}{(x^2 + 4)^2} \right] = \left[\frac{Ax + B}{(x^2 + 4)^2} + \frac{Cx + D}{(x^2 + 4)^1} \right] * denominator$$

Now solve for A , B , and C .

$$\begin{aligned}x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 8 &= (Ax + B) + (Cx + D)(x^2 + 4) \\x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 8 &= (Ax + B) + Cx^3 + 4Cx + Dx^2 + 4D\end{aligned}$$

Now:

$$\begin{array}{l|l}x^2 \text{ terms} & 2 = D \\x \text{ terms} & 1 = A + 4C \\\text{const terms} & 8 = B + 4D\end{array}$$

12.7.1 Factoring

$$(F)^3 + (L)^3 = (F + L)(F^2 - FL + L^2) \quad (12.8)$$

$$(F)^3 - (L)^3 = (F - L)(F^2 + FL + L^2) \quad (12.9)$$

Note: you cannot factor a sum of perfect squares.

Remark. When factoring quadratics, consider the discriminant (from the quadratic formula at Eq. (19.1)):

$$\begin{aligned}10x^2 - x - 2 \\b^2 - 4ac \\(-1)^2 - 4(10)(-2)\end{aligned}$$

12.7.2 Examples

EXAMPLE 12.7.1 Use partial fraction decomposition on the expression

$$\frac{x+3}{x^2 - 3x + 2}.$$

Solution.

First, we must factor the denominator, finding that

$$x^2 - 3x + 2 = (x + 3)(x - 1). \quad (12.10)$$

Partial fraction decomposition tells us that we can break apart our original expression into terms of the form

$$\frac{A}{x+3} + \frac{B}{x-1}.$$

122 12.7 Partial Fraction Decomposition

To solve for A and B , we multiply our original factored denominator by Equation (12.10), which gives us the equation

$$x + 3 = A(x - 1) + B(x + 3). \quad (12.11)$$

We use the distributive property on the right side of this equation, then collect common terms and factor, giving us

$$x + 3 = x(A + B) + (3B - a). \quad (12.12)$$

Algebra tells us that we can equate the coefficients of terms with like factors, giving us the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 3 &= 3B - A, \\ 1 &= A + B. \end{aligned}$$

This system contains two equations and two variables, so we can solve for A and B . We find that $A = -1$ and $B = 2$, making our final answer

$$\frac{x + 3}{x^2 - 3x + 2} = \frac{2}{x - 1} - \frac{1}{x + 3}. \quad (12.13)$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x + 3}{x^4 + 3x^3 + 6x^2 + 12x + 8} &= \frac{x + 3}{(x^2 + 4)(x + 1)(x + 2)} \\ &= \frac{A}{x + 1} + \frac{b}{x + 2} + \frac{Cx + D}{x^2 + 4} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.3

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x + 3}{x^4 + 5x^2 + 4} &= \frac{x + 3}{(x^2 + 4)(x^2 + 1)} \\ &= \frac{Ax + B}{x^2 + 4} + \frac{Cx + D}{x^2 + 1} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.4

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^2 - 1} &= \frac{1}{(x - 1)(x + 1)} \\ &= \frac{A}{x + 1} + \frac{B}{x - 1} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.5

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^2 - x} &= \frac{1}{x(x - 1)} \\ &= \frac{A}{x} + \frac{B}{x - 1} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.6

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \frac{9x^2 + 7x - 4}{x^3 - 3x^2 - 4x} \\
 \frac{9x^2 + 7x - 4}{x(x^2 - 3x - 4)} \\
 \frac{9x^2 + 7x - 4}{x(x-4)(x+1)} \\
 \frac{A}{x} + \frac{B}{x-4} + \frac{C}{x+1}
 \end{array}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.7

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \frac{x+3}{x^2-4} \neq \frac{A}{x+2} + \frac{B}{x-2} \\
 \frac{x+3}{x^2-4} = \frac{x^3 + 0x^2 + 0x + 4}{x^2-4} \\
 \frac{x+3}{x^2-4} = x + \underbrace{\frac{4x+4}{x^2-4}}_{\frac{A}{x+2} + \frac{B}{x-2}}
 \end{array}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.8

$$\int \frac{8x^4 + 6x^2 - 3x + 1}{2x^2 - x + 2}$$

Solution.

Use long division to simplify as follows:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 4x^2 + 2x \\
 2x^2 - x + 2) \overline{8x^4 + 6x^2 - 3x + 1} \\
 - 8x^4 + 4x^3 - 8x^2 \\
 \hline
 4x^3 - 2x^2 - 3x \\
 - 4x^3 + 2x^2 - 4x \\
 \hline
 - 7x
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{8x^4 + 6x^2 - 3x + 1}{2x^2 - x + 2} = 4x^2 + 2x + \frac{-7x + 1}{2x^2 - x + 2}$$

So

$$\int \frac{8x^4 + 6x^2 - 3x + 1}{2x^2 - x + 2} = \int 4x^2 + 2x + \frac{-7x + 1}{2x^2 - x + 2}$$

Then solve.

124 12.7 Partial Fraction Decomposition

EXAMPLE 12.7.9

$$\int \frac{dx}{x^2 + 2x}$$

Solution.

Note that

$$\left[\frac{1}{x^2 + 2x} \right] \text{ is } \left[\frac{A}{x} + \frac{B}{x+2} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dx}{x^2 + 2x} &= \int \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x+2} dx \\ &= \int \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x} dx + \int \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{x+2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln|x| + \frac{-1}{2} \int \frac{du}{u} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln|x| + \ln|x+2| \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.10 Write the partial fraction decomposition of

$$\frac{1}{x^4 + 2x^2 + 1}$$

Solution.

It's a trinomial, so we know we have to try binomial times binomial—and that works.

$$\frac{1}{x^4 + 2x^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{(x^2 + 1)(x^2 + 1)} = \frac{Ax + B}{(x^2 + 1)^2} + \frac{Cx + D}{x^2 + 1}$$

Multiply both sides by the denominator

$$= Ax + B + (x^2 + 1)(Cx + D)$$

Now, solve for A, B, C, and D.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= Ax + B + (x^2 + 1)(Cx + D) \\ 1 &= B + D \\ 0 &= A + C \\ 0 &= C \\ 0 &= D \end{aligned}$$

So A is 0, B is 1, C is 0, and D is 0.

EXAMPLE 12.7.11 Integrate

$$\int \frac{1}{(x+1)(x-1)}$$

Solution.

$$\int \frac{1}{(x+1)(x-1)} = \int \frac{A}{x+1} + \frac{B}{x-1} dx$$

Solve for A and B .

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \frac{-1/2}{x+1} + \frac{1/2}{x-1} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2} \int \frac{dx}{x+1} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dx}{x-1} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.12 Integrate

$$\int \frac{x^2}{x+8} dx$$

Solution.

Use long division to simplify the integrand:

$$\begin{array}{r} x - 8 \\ x + 8) \overline{x^2} \\ - x^2 - 8x \\ \hline - 8x \\ - 8x \\ \hline 64 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{x^2}{x+8} dx &= \int x - 8 + \frac{64}{x-8} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}x^2 - 8x + 64 \ln|x+8| + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.7.13 Integrate

$$\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 5x - 6} dx$$

Solution.

Use partial fraction decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^2 - 5x - 6} &= \frac{1}{(x-6)(x+1)} \\ \frac{1}{(x-6)(x+1)} &= \frac{A}{x-6} + \frac{B}{x+1} \\ 1 &= A(x+1) + B(x-6) \\ 1 &= Ax + A + Bx - 6B \end{aligned}$$

126 12.8 Simpson's Rule

$$1 = Ax + Bx + A - 6B$$

If $x = 0$, then $A - 6B = 1$. If $x = 1$, then $2A - 5B = 1$. We can substitute the first equation into the second to get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1 + 6B) - 5B &= 1 \\ 2 + 12B - 5B &= 1 \\ B &= -1/7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A - 6B &= 1 \\ A - 6(-1/7) &= 1 \\ A &= 1/7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^2 - 5x - 6} &= \frac{1/7}{x - 6} - \frac{1/7}{x + 1} \\ \int \frac{1}{x^2 - 5x - 6} dx &= \int \frac{1/7}{x - 6} - \frac{1/7}{x + 1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{7} \int \frac{1}{x - 6} - \frac{1}{x + 1} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{7} (\ln|x - 6| - \ln|x + 1|) + C \end{aligned}$$

12.8 Simpson's Rule

EXAMPLE 12.8.1 Estimate

$$\int_1^5 \frac{1}{x} dx$$

using the trapezoidal rule with four trapezoids.

Remark. For one trapezoid:

$$\frac{\Delta x}{2}(y_0 + y_1)$$

For the entire Trapezoid Rule:

$$\frac{\Delta x}{2}(y_0 + 2y_1 + 2y_2 + 2y_3 + \dots + y_n)$$

For full-blown Simpson's Rule:

$$\frac{\Delta x}{3}(y_0 + 4y_1 + 2y_2 + 4y_3 + \dots + 2y_{n-2} + 4y_{n-1} + y_n)$$

Remark. The question might be seen as: “...using the trapezoidal rule with $n = 4$.”

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^5 \frac{1}{x} dx &\approx \overbrace{\frac{\Delta x}{2}}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{1} + 2\frac{1}{2} + 2\frac{1}{3} + 2\frac{1}{4} + 1\frac{1}{5} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2^{1/2} + 2/3 + 1/5) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(5/2 + 13/15) \\ &= \frac{101}{60}\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.8.2 Estimate

$$\int_1^5 \frac{1}{x} dx$$

using Simpson’s Rule with $n = 8$.

Solution.

$$\int_1^5 \frac{1}{x} dx \approx \overbrace{\frac{\Delta x}{3}}^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{1} + 4\frac{1}{3/2} + 2\frac{1}{2} + 4\frac{1}{5/2} + \dots \right)$$

Not a real problem, so not finished.

12.9 Improper Integrals

DEFINITION: *Improper integrals* occur in cases where you are integrating across an infinite domain or infinite range.

128 12.9 Improper Integrals




Figure 12.5: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ is an example of a function that can produce improper integrals.

For most integrals of this type, we can usually rewrite the integral in terms of something we know how to evaluate. For example, in

$$\int_2^6 \frac{1}{(x-6)^2} dx, \quad (12.14)$$

we have a vertical asymptote at $x = 6$, as shown in Figure 12.6.




Figure 12.6: A plot of $\frac{1}{(x-6)^2}$.

So instead of integrating from 2 to 6, we simply integrate from 2 to t , and then take the limit as $t \rightarrow 6^-$ of our answer.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^t \frac{1}{x+6} dx &= \frac{(x-6)^{-1}}{-1} \Big|_2^t \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 6^-} \frac{(t-6)^{-1}}{-1} - \frac{(2-6)^{-1}}{-1} \end{aligned}$$

this limit diverges to ∞ , so our integral is undefined.

12.9.1 Infinite Range

EXAMPLE 12.9.1

$$\int_1^9 \frac{1}{x-4} dx$$

Right and left approach problem spot.

EXAMPLE 12.9.2

$$\int_4^{39} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-4}} dx$$

Right approach problem spot.

EXAMPLE 12.9.3

$$\int_{-4}^0 \ln|x| dx$$

Left approach problem spot.

EXAMPLE 12.9.4

$$\int_{-6\pi}^{10\pi} \sec x dx$$

Problems with right and left approach.

EXAMPLE 12.9.5 This is considered a “Classic.”

$$\int_a^b \frac{1}{(b-x)^p} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b \frac{1}{(x-a)^p} dx$$

EXAMPLE 12.9.6 Integrate:

$$\int_{-6}^{-2} \frac{1}{(x+6)^1} dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{-2} \frac{1}{x+6} dx &= \ln|x+6| \Big|_t^{-2} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -6^+} \ln|-2+6| - \underbrace{\ln|-6^+ + 6|}_{\text{Diverges.}} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.9.7 Integrate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-1}} &= \frac{(x-1)^{1/2}}{1/2} \Big|_1^3 \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{(3-1)^{1/2}}{1/2} - \frac{(t-1)^{1/2}}{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

130 12.9 Improper Integrals

EXAMPLE 12.9.8 Integrate.

$$\int_4^{39} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-4}} dx$$

Substitute with $4 \rightarrow t$:

$$\int_t^{39} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-4}} dx$$

And evaluate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{39} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x-4}} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 4^+} \frac{(x-4)^{1/2}}{1/2} \Big|_t^{39} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 4^+} \frac{(39-4)^{1/2}}{1/2} - \frac{(t-4)^{1/2}}{1/2} \\ &= \frac{(39-4)^{1/2}}{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.9.9 Integrate.

$$\int_1^{29} \frac{1}{x-4} dx$$

Solution.

Substitute $29 \rightarrow t$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{29} \frac{1}{x-4} dx &= \int_1^t \frac{1}{x-4} dx + \int_t^{29} \frac{1}{x-4} dx \\ &= \ln|x-4| \Big|_1^t + \ln|x-4| \Big|_t^{29} \\ &= \ln|t-4| - \ln|1-4| + \ln|29-4| - \ln|t-4| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 4^-} \left[\underbrace{\ln|t-4|}_{-\infty} - \ln|1-4| \right] + \lim_{t \rightarrow 4^+} \left[\ln|29-4| - \underbrace{\ln|t-4|}_{\infty} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Diverges.

EXAMPLE 12.9.10 Integrate:

$$\int_0^5 \ln x dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^5 \ln x dx &= x \ln x - x \Big|_t^5 \\ &= 5 \ln 5 - 5 - t \ln t + t \end{aligned}$$

Now evaluate the limit as:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^5 \ln x \, dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} 5 \ln 5 - 5 - t \ln t + t \\ &= 5 \ln 5 - 5\end{aligned}$$

12.9.2 Infinite Domain

For these, the integrand is well behaved throughout the integration interval. The problem is that we are integrating across an interval $[a, b]$ where either a or b is ∞ or $-\infty$.

$$\int_a^\infty f(x) \, dx \quad (12.15)$$

We would treat this an indefinite integral:

$$\int f(x) \, dx = F(x)$$

Then evaluate it as follows:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(x) \Big|_a^t$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^b f(x) \, dx \quad (12.16)$$

Like the first one, we would treat this as an indefinite integral:

$$\int f(x) \, dx = F(x)$$

Then evaluate it as follows:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) \Big|_{-\infty}^b$$

Others, still, look like this:

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x) \, dx \quad (12.17)$$

Treat this as a combination of two of the above integrals. Rewrite it as:

$$\int_{-\infty}^a f(x) \, dx + \int_a^\infty f(x) \, dx$$

132 12.9 Improper Integrals

choosing some arbitrary a , which we usually choose to be 0.

EXAMPLE 12.9.11 For $p > 1$, does the following integral converge or diverge?

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^p} dx$$

Solution.

The integral converges.

EXAMPLE 12.9.12

$$\int_1^\infty x^{-3} dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^\infty x^{-3} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{-2}}{2} \Big|_1^t \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{-2}}{-2} - \frac{1^{-2}}{-2} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{-2t^2} + \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

The integral converges to $1/2$.

EXAMPLE 12.9.13

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{1/2}}{\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_1^t \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{1/2}}{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1^{1/2}}{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} 2t^{1/2} - 2\end{aligned}$$



The integral diverges.

EXAMPLE 12.9.14

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \arctan x \Big|_0^t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \arctan t - \arctan 0 \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \arctan t \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \arctan t \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

(a) $f(x) = \tan x$.(b) $f(x) = \arctan x$.Figure 12.7: $\tan x$ compared with $\arctan x$.

EXAMPLE 12.9.15

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x^2}{x^3 - 1}$$

Remark. If $0 \rightarrow 1$, this would be a Type I integral.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x^2}{x^3 - 1} dx &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{3} \frac{du}{u} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3} \ln|x^3 - 1| \Big|_t^0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3} \ln|-1| - \frac{1}{3} \ln|t^3 - 1| \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3} \ln 1 - \frac{1}{3} \ln|t^3 - 1| \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{3} \ln|t^3 - 1|
\end{aligned}$$

The integral diverges.

EXAMPLE 12.9.16

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^x du$$

Remark. This is likely to converge, because of the $e^{-\infty}$.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^0 e^x du &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^x \Big|_t^0 \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^0 - e^t
\end{aligned}$$

The integral converges to 1.

EXAMPLE 12.9.17

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx$$

Solution.

First, break this into two parts.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-x} \cos x dx + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx$$

Then, treat it as an indefinite integral and evaluate using integration by parts:

$$\int e^{-x} \cos x dx = \frac{e^{-x} \sin x - e^{-x} \cos x}{2}$$

Now, return to our original function.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} \cos x dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-x} \sin x - e^{-x} \cos x}{2} \Big|_t^0 - \frac{e^{-x} \sin x - e^{-x} \cos x}{2} \Big|_0^t$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{e^{-0} \sin -e^{-0} \cos 0}{2} - \frac{e^{-t} \sin t - e^{-t} \cos t}{2} \right] - \left[0 + \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

Because the left half of this diverges, the entire integral diverges.

EXAMPLE 12.9.18 According to James E. Martin, “this is one of the classics:”

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} dx \quad (12.18)$$

Solution.

Consider:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^{1.000000001}} dx = x^{-0.000000001} \Big|_1^\infty = 0 - \frac{1}{1^{10.000000001}}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ln|x| \Big|_1^t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ln|t| - \ln|1| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ln|t| \end{aligned}$$

The integral diverges.

EXAMPLE 12.9.19

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{-1}}{-1} \Big|_1^t$$

This integral converges.

EXAMPLE 12.9.20

$$\int x^2 e^x dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \int x^2 e^x dx &= x^2 e^x - 2 \int x e^x dx \\ &= x^2 e^x - 2 \left(x e^x - \int e^x dx \right) \\ &= x^2 e^x - 2 x e^x + 2x + C \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.9.21

$$\int_2^4 \frac{1}{x-4} dx$$

136 12.9 Improper Integrals

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_2^4 \frac{1}{x-4} dx &= x \ln|x-4| \Big|_2^t \\ &= \ln|t-4| - \ln|-2|\end{aligned}$$

Now we go back to the original integral

$$\int_2^4 \frac{1}{x-4} dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow 4^-} \ln|t-4| - \ln 2$$

Remembering the graph of $\ln|x|$




Figure 12.8: A plot of $f(x) = \ln|x|$

we can conclude that the integral diverges toward $-\infty$.

EXAMPLE 12.9.22

$$\int_5^6 \frac{1}{(x-5)^{1/2}} dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^6 \frac{1}{(x-5)^{1/2}} dx &= 2\sqrt{x-5} \Big|_t^6 \\ &= 2\sqrt{6-5} - 2\sqrt{t-5}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_5^6 \frac{1}{(x-5)^{1/2}} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 5^+} 2\sqrt{6-5} - \underbrace{2\sqrt{t-5}}_0 \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 5^+} 2\sqrt{6-5} \\ &= 2\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 12.9.23

$$\int_{\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{3}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 + 64} dx$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{1}{x^2 - 64} dx &= \int \frac{1}{64(\frac{x^2}{64} + 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \arctan \frac{x}{8} + C\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{3}}^t \frac{1}{x^2 + 64} dx = \frac{1}{8} \arctan \frac{x}{8} \Big|_{\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{3}}^t$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{3}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 + 64} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8} \arctan \frac{t}{8} - \frac{1}{8} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{6} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{24}\end{aligned}$$

Chapter 13

Sequences

A **sequence** is an ordered list of numbers. They are mostly important because of their application to **series**, detailed in Chapter 14.

13.1 Representing a Sequence

DEFINITION: A **sequence** is a list of numbers

$$a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, \dots$$

in a given order. This order is important: The sequence $2, 4, 6, 8, \dots$ is not the same as the sequence $4, 2, 8, 6, \dots$.

When we write sequences like $\{a_n\}$, we are talking about the entire sequence. A sequence like this is described as “starting at” a certain *index*, usually $n = 1$. In the context of the series we’ll be using, they are normally infinite in length.

We can also write sequences using rules that describe their terms, as follows:

EXAMPLE 13.1.1

$$a_n = \frac{n!}{2n! + 1}$$

We can write out a few terms of the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{6}{13}, \frac{24}{49}, \frac{120}{241}, \dots \right\}$$

and then plot them to get a feel that the sequence is getting closer and closer to a certain number:

$$\frac{1}{2}.$$

140 13.1 Representing a Sequence

We would thus describe this sequence as *converging to* the number $\frac{1}{2}$

DEFINITION: The sequence $\{a_n\}$ **converges** to the number L if for every positive number ε there corresponds an integer N such that for all n

$$n > N \rightarrow |a_n - L| < \varepsilon$$

If $\{a_n\}$ converges to L , we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, and call L the *limit* of the sequence.

To really understand this, try thinking of it this way: pick a number ε . It can be very large


$$\varepsilon = 1000$$

or really small


$$\varepsilon = 0.0001$$

but no matter which one we pick, we can find an index N on the sequence such that for every index past it, we are always within ε of the limit L for the sequence. Note that we don't have to know what this number L is, nor what ε is for that matter, just that these numbers exist to state that a sequence is *converging*.

EXAMPLE 13.1.2 Let us examine the following imaginary sequence:




Note that for a large ε , our corresponding N occurs very early in the sequence:



However, if we shrink our ε , the number N needed such that every element in the sequence after it

is within ε of L gets larger. The real key, however, is that it *always exists*.




DEFINITION: The sequence $\{a_n\}$ **diverges** if the sequence does not converge to any number L .


That is, this number L does not exist.

13.1.1 Bounded Sequences

DEFINITION: If there exists a number m such that $m \leq a_n$ for every n we say the sequence is **bounded below**. The number m is called a **lower bound** for the sequence.



DEFINITION: If there exists a number M such that $a_n \leq M$ for every n we say that the sequence is **bounded above**. The number M is called an **upper bound** for the sequence.



142 13.1 Representing a Sequence

DEFINITION: If the sequence is both bounded below and bounded above we call the sequence **bounded**.

13.1.2 Nondecreasing and Nonincreasing Sequences

DEFINITION: Given a sequence $\{a_n\}$, we call the sequence **nondecreasing** if

$$\forall n (a_n \geq a_{n-1}).$$

This means that across the entire sequence, a given element in the sequence is either greater than or equal to the preceding element. A nondecreasing sequence is different from a strictly *increasing* sequence in that it allows for two elements to be equal.




Figure 13.1: This sequence is not *increasing* everywhere, although it is *nondecreasing*.

DEFINITION: Given a sequence $\{a_n\}$, we call the sequence **nonincreasing** if

$$\forall n (a_n \leq a_{n-1}).$$

DEFINITION: If $\{a_n\}$ is either nondecreasing or nonincreasing we call it **monotonic**.




Figure 13.2: An example of a nonincreasing sequence.

EXAMPLE 13.1.3

$$a_n = \frac{n+1}{2n-1}$$

Let's take a more detailed look at an example of a sequence.

To get a feel for the behavior of the sequence, let's find a few of its values:

$$\frac{n+2}{2n-1} = \left\{ 3, \frac{4}{3}, 1, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{11}, \dots \right\}$$

We could take the derivative of the similar function

$$f(x) = \frac{x+2}{2x-1}$$

to try to figure out what is happening. Since we can assume $n \geq 1$ for our sequence, for $x \geq 1$ the derivative of $f(x)$ should also be somewhat representative of a_n .

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{(2x-1)\left(\frac{d}{dx}(x+2)\right) - (x+2)\left(\frac{d}{dx}(2x-1)\right)}{(2x-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{2x-2(x+2)-1}{(2x-1)^2} \\ &= -\frac{5}{1-4x+4x^2} \end{aligned}$$

Based on Table 13.1, we can conclude that the sequence is nonincreasing. We can therefore describe

| | | | |
|---------|---|-----------|---|
| x | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| $f'(x)$ | - | undefined | - |

Table 13.1: A sign diagram for $f'(x)$.




Figure 13.3: A plot of $f'(x)$.

this sequence as *monotonic*.

Furthermore, note the end-behavior of this derivative:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f'(x) = 0$$

This implies that, eventually, the rate of change evens off to an indefinitely small number. Presumably, this would mean that $f(x)$ has a horizontal asymptote. Based on this information, it seems reasonable

144 13.1 Representing a Sequence

to conclude that the sequence a_n is converging upon a number. We do not, however, know to what number it converges.

To find that, we will need to take the following limit:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$$

How do we evaluate limits for sequences? As it turns out, we usually treat them exactly the same as limits of functions, which are described in Chapter 9.

THEOREM 19. Suppose that $f(x)$ is a function defined for all $x \geq n_0$ and that $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $a_n = f(n)$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L$. Then for each positive number ε there is a number M such that for all x ,

$$x > M \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon.$$

Let N be an integer greater than M and greater than or equal to n_0 . Then

$$n > N \implies a_n = f(n),$$

and

$$|a_n - L| = |f(n) - L| < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

[6, p. 537]

Theorem 19 allows us to use *l'Hospital's Rule* to find the limits of some sequences. We can state that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$$


assuming

$$f(x) = \frac{n+1}{2n-1}.$$

And thus evaluate the following limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{2n-1} &\stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{d}{dx}(n+1)}{\frac{d}{dx}(2n-1)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

This shows us that the sequence a_n also converges to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Figure 13.4: A graph of the sequence $a_n = \frac{n+1}{2n-1}$.

EXAMPLE 13.1.4 Demonstrate that $\{n!\}$ is nondecreasing.

Solution.

First let us look at the definition for a factorial.

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ n(n-1)! & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases} \quad (13.1)$$

Proof. Remember our definition for a nondecreasing sequence from 13.1.2:

$$\forall n (a_n \geq a_{n-1}).$$

Let us assume that this holds true for our sequence $\{n!\}$ when $n > 1$.

$$n! \geq (n-1)! \quad n > 1$$

Divide each side by $(n-1)!$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n!}{(n-1)!} &\geq \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1)!} & n > 1 \\ \frac{n!}{(n-1)!} &\geq 1 & n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now, remembering our definition for the factorial,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n(n-1)!}{(n-1)!} &\geq 1 & n > 1 \\ n &\geq 1 & n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

146 13.1 Representing a Sequence

EXAMPLE 13.1.5 Show that the sequence

$$\{a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{n+2}{2n-1} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is nonincreasing.

Solution.

This example is very similar to Example 13.1.3, with only a change of constant in the numerator. We will demonstrate this sequence's behavior using a different method than before, however.

Another way we can demonstrate that a sequence is decreasing is by using the definition of a *decreasing sequence*: $\forall n (a_n < a_{n-1})$. We simply substitute in n and $n - 1$ on the respective sides of the inequality.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \forall n (a_n < a_{n-1}) && n > 1 \\
 & \frac{n+2}{2n-1} < \frac{(n-1)+2}{2(n-1)-1} && n > 1 \\
 & \frac{n+2}{2n-1} < \frac{n+1}{2n-3} && n > 1 \\
 & \left(\frac{2n-3}{2n-3} \right) \left(\frac{n+2}{2n-1} \right) < \left(\frac{n+1}{2n-3} \right) \left(\frac{2n-1}{2n-1} \right) && n > 1 \\
 & \frac{2n^2 - 3n + 4n - 6}{4n^2 - 6n - 2n + 3} < \frac{2n^2 + 2n - n - 1}{4n^2 - 6n - 2n - 3} && n > 1 \\
 & \frac{2n^2 + n - 6}{4n^2 - 8n + 3} < \frac{2n^2 + n - 1}{4n^2 - 8n - 4} && n > 1 \\
 & 2n^2 + n - 6 < 2n^2 + n - 1 && n > 1 \\
 & -6 < -1 && n > 1
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \square$$

EXAMPLE 13.1.6 Show that

$$\left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} \right\}$$

is monotonic increasing.

Solution. *Proof.*

$$\forall n > 1 (a_n > a_{n-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_n > a_{n-1} \\
 & \frac{n}{n+1} > \frac{n-1}{n-1+1} \\
 & \frac{n}{n+1} > \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{n+1}{n+1} \\
 & n^2 > (n-1)(n+1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 &> n^2 - 1 \\ 0 &> -1 \end{aligned}$$

□

EXAMPLE 13.1.7 Does

$$a_n = \frac{n+1}{2^n}$$

converge or diverge?

Solution.

Remembering that we can rewrite 2^n as $e^{n \cdot \ln 2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{2^n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{e^{n \cdot \ln 2}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ln 2 \cdot e^{n \ln 2}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ln 2 \cdot 2^n} \end{aligned}$$

Using the constant multiple rule for limits of sequences, we can pull out the $\ln 2$.

$$= \frac{1}{\ln 2} \cdot \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \right)$$

$\{\frac{1}{2^n}\}$ is converging very quickly toward 0, so a_n as well must converge to 0.




Figure 13.5: A plot of $\{\frac{1}{2^n}\}$.

EXAMPLE 13.1.8 Does

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{n!} \right\}$$

converge or diverge?

Solution.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n!} = 0$$

Alternatively, we could demonstrate that the sequence is bounded and monotonic.

EXAMPLE 13.1.9 Does

$$a_n = \frac{2^n}{n!}$$

converge or diverge?

Solution.

We can show this, intuitively, as follows:

$$a_n = \left\{ \frac{2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times \dots}{1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + \dots} \right\}$$

But the actual proof requires the *sandwich theorem for sequences*[6, p. 536]:

Theorem 20 (The Sandwich Theorem for Sequences). Let $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, and $\{c_n\}$ be sequences of real numbers. If $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ holds for all n beyond some index N , and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = L$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L$ also.

A proof of this theorem is found in 20.2. By Theorem 20,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{n!} = 0.$$

EXAMPLE 13.1.10

$$a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$$

Solution.

Consider

$$b_n = \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \right| = \frac{1}{n!} = 0$$

Intuitively, we can also state that a_n should converge. Using Theorem 20, we can determine that this sequence converges.

EXAMPLE 13.1.11

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n - 0.9}$$

Solution.

A sequence can be unbounded, and still converge.

0.9 is very close to 1, close enough that we can treat it as 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Doing so, it becomes clear that this sequence converges, as $\frac{1}{n-1}$ converges. Consider, however, the very first term, where $n = 1$.

This term is *gigantic*, essentially ∞ . The sequence is not bounded, yet it still manages to converge. This sequence converges to 0.

EXAMPLE 13.1.12 Does the following sequence converge?

$$a_n = \left(\frac{n+8}{9n} \right) \left(1 - \frac{8}{n} \right)$$

Find the limit if the sequence is convergent.

Solution.

Remembering that a limit of products is a product of limits,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n+8}{9n} \right) \left(1 - \frac{8}{n} \right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n+8}{9n} \right) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{8}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \end{aligned}$$

The sequence converges to $\frac{1}{9}$.

EXAMPLE 13.1.13 Show that the sequence

$$a_n = \frac{2}{n^2}$$

is monotonic and bounded.

Solution.

Treat the sequence as a function $f(x)$ and take the derivative.

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{x^2}$$

$$f'(x) = -4x^{-3}$$

$f'(x)$ is negative on the interval $[1, \infty)$, so $f(x)$ is decreasing on the interval $[1, \infty)$. Since the sequence goes from 1 to ∞ and is always decreasing, its upper bound must be at $n = 1$. $a_1 = 2$, the upper bound for the sequence. To find the lower bound, we take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{n^2} = 0$$

Which shows us that 0 is the lower bound of the sequence.

Thus, we can conclude that the sequence is monotonic and bounded, and therefore converges.

EXAMPLE 13.1.14 Find a formula for the n th term of the sequence where a_n is calculated directly from n .

$$\frac{1}{1}, \frac{4}{2}, \frac{7}{6}, \frac{10}{24}, \frac{13}{120}, \dots$$

Solution.

150 13.1 Representing a Sequence

We basically have to just take close guesses and see if we can make a sequence that follows this. Doing so, we will find that the answer is

$$a_n = \frac{3n - 2}{n!}.$$

Chapter 14

Infinite Series

We produce an infinite series by taking the sum of an infinite sequence.

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots$$

Given a sequence $\{a_n\}$, the number a_n is the ***n*th term** of the series. The sequence $\{s_n\}$ is the **sequence of partial sums** of the series, defined by [6, p. 544]

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= a_1 \\ s_2 &= a_1 + a_2 \\ &\vdots \\ s_n &= a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

The number s_n represents the ***n*th partial sum** of the infinite series.

The partial sum s_n of a series can also be given by

$$s_n = s_{n-1} + a_n.$$

If the sequence of partial sums converges to a limit L , we say that the series **converges** and that its **sum** is L . In this case, we also write

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = L$$

If the sequence of partial sums of the series does not converge, then we say that the series **diverges**.

152 14.1 Limit Test

[6, p. 544]

Given a series, we will usually want to know whether it converges or diverges, and if it converges, then to what number?

For converging series, there are some rules that can aid us:

Theorem 21 (Rules for combining series). *If $\sum a_n = A$ and $\sum b_n = B$ are convergent series, then the sum rule states that*

$$\sum(a_n + b_n) = \sum a_n + \sum b_n = A + B.$$

The difference rule for series states that

$$\sum(a_n - b_n) = \sum a_n - \sum b_n = A - B.$$

And the constant multiple rule tells us

$$\sum k a_n = k \sum a_n = kA,$$

where k is a constant number.

[6, p. 549]

14.1 Limit Test

When presented with a series, such as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{2n! + 1},$$

if we wish to know whether it converges or diverges, we should generally first confirm that the series does not diverge before trying to determine its convergence.

For a series like this, it is better to think of it as a sum of numbers in a sequence, $\{a_n\}$, than as a sum operator operating on a function. In this case, $\{a_n\}$ would equal

$$\frac{n!}{2n! + 1}$$

Now think about it—if this $\{a_n\}$ is converging to any number other than 0, then as our n goes toward ∞ , we will always have numbers to add. The sequence will continue growing. That is, the sequence will diverge.

So we should take the following limit:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{2n! + 1}$$

Our limit rules tell us nothing about evaluating limits involving factorials. We can, however, use a creative little trick common in evaluating limits of polynomials: divide each term in both the

numerator and denominator by the term of the highest power.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{2n! + 1} &= \frac{\frac{n!}{n!}}{\frac{2 \cdot n!}{n!} + \frac{1}{n!}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{n!}}\end{aligned}$$

Now, think about where the term $\frac{1}{n!}$ is heading as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The denominator is getting huge, and very quickly, as if there were a high degree power of x in the denominator of a limit. In that case, $1/x^k$ would become meaningless—it would essentially disappear, so $1/n!$ must also go to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}&= \frac{1}{2 + 0} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

So the limit of $\{a_n\}$ as n approached ∞ is not zero. Thus, the *nth term* of the series, no matter how large n becomes, will never be zero. The series is always adding more numbers, and that means it is **diverging**.

It is worth noting that if, indeed, the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ of $\{a_n\}$ did equal 0, this would tell us nothing about the convergence or divergence of the series.

Theorem 22. *The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \neq 0$.*

Proof. We prove this by contrapositive, described in Section 4.2.1. The statement we are trying to prove is:

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \neq 0$ then the series diverges.

We could write this as $P \rightarrow Q$ where P represents “The limit of a_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is nonzero” and Q represents “the series diverges.” Thus, the contrapositive of this proposition would be $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$. If we can prove the contrapositive, then the original statement must be true. We would $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ as:

“If a series is convergent, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.”

Now, let L represent the series’ sum.

$$\begin{aligned}s_n &= s_{n-1} + a_n \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n-1} + a_n \\ L &= L + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Because we have proven this statement, we know that our original remark,

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \neq 0$ then the series diverges,

must be true. □

154 14.2 Harmonic Series

Let's try to put this theorem to use.

EXAMPLE 14.1.1 Does the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6n}{6n+1}$$

diverge or converge?

Solution.

Take $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{6n}{6n+1}$. This gives us

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{6n}{6n+1} \stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{6}{6 + \frac{1}{n}} \neq 0$$

By Theorem 22, which we just proved, the series diverges.

14.2 Harmonic Series

EXAMPLE 14.2.1 The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$

is called the **harmonic series**. How does this series behave?¹

We can try finding some of its partial sums:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= 1 \\ s_2 &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \\ s_3 &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \\ s_4 &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \\ &\vdots \\ s_n &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + a_n \end{aligned}$$

Initially, it might appear as if the harmonic sequence converges. However, we would be wrong to assume as much.

Notice that as we continue to add terms, we could group them in the following way:

$$s_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{10} + \cdots + \frac{1}{16} \right) + \cdots$$

¹Note that Theorem 22 is useless to us here. The fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}$ is zero does not imply anything about convergence or divergence.

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{7}{12}\right) + \left(\frac{533}{840}\right) + \left(\frac{95549}{144144}\right) + \dots$$

Calculating the exact values of these terms,

$$\approx 1 + 0.5 + (0.583333) + (0.635424) + (0.662872) + \dots$$

we can see that the series is increasing indefinitely, just doing it very slowly.

14.3 Geometric Series

Geometric Series are series of the form

$$a + ar + ar^2 + \dots + ar^{n-1} + \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ar^{n-1}$$

in which a and r are fixed real numbers and $a \neq 0$. The series can also be written as $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ar^n$, where the only difference is the starting value of n . The ratio r can be positive or negative.

If $r = 1$, the n th partial sum of the geometric series is

$$s_n = a + a(1) + a(1)^2 + \dots + a(1)^{n-1} = na$$

and the series diverges because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \pm\infty$.

If $r = -1$, the series diverges because the n th partial sums alternate between a and 0.

If $|r| \neq 1$, we can determine the convergence or divergence of the series in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= a + ar + ar^2 + \dots + ar^{n-1} \\ rs_n &= ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + \dots + ar^{n-1} + ar^n \end{aligned}$$

Multiply s_n by r .

$$s_n - rs_n = [a + ar + ar^2 + \dots + ar^{n-1}] - [ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + \dots + ar^{n-1} + ar^n]$$

Subtract rs_n from s_n .

$$s_n - rs_n = (a) + (ar - ar) + (ar^2 - ar^2) + \dots + (ar^{n-1} - ar^{n-1}) - ar^n$$

Rearrange and the inner terms cancel.

$$\begin{aligned} s_n - rs_n &= a - ar^n \\ s_n(1 - r) &= a(1 - r^n) \end{aligned}$$

156 14.3 Geometric Series

Factor.

$$s_n = \frac{a(1 - r^n)}{1 - r}, \quad (r \neq 1).$$

If $|r| < 1$, then $r^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $s_n \rightarrow a/(1 - r)$. If $|r| > 1$, then $|r^n| \rightarrow \infty$ and the series diverges.[6, p. 546]

EXAMPLE 14.3.1

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n + 2^n}{6^n}$$

Solution.

This is a sum of two series. Theorem 21 tells us that a series of a sum of converging series is a sum of series.

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n + 2^n}{6^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{6^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{6^n},$$

assuming both of these series converge.

Let us look at the first series:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{6^n}$$

If we write out some of the terms:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \dots \\ s_n &= 0 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

we can see that we are handling a geometric series with $a = 0$ and $r = 1/2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \cdot s_n &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \\ s_n - \frac{1}{2} \cdot s_n &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3\right) + \dots + \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

The inner terms cancel:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n - \frac{1}{2} \cdot s_n &= \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \\ s_n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \\ s_n &= \frac{1/2 - (1/2)^n}{1 - 1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Now we take the limit of both sides of the equation.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/2 - 0}{1 - 1/2}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(1/2)^n \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2(1 - 1/2)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 - 1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

From this, we realize that that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{6^n}$ converges to 1.

The second series is quite similar:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{6^n}$$


Also a geometric series, we will find that

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{27} + \frac{1}{81} + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n-1} \\ s_n (1 - 1/3) &= (1/3 - (1/3)^n) \\ s_n &= \frac{1/3 - (1/3)^n}{1 - 1/3} \end{aligned}$$


Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s_n \rightarrow \infty} s_n &= \lim_{s_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/3 - (1/3)^n}{1 - 1/3} \\ &= \lim_{s_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3(1 - 1/3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$


158 14.3 Geometric Series



(a) A plot of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{6^n}$.



(b) A plot of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{6^n}$.



(c) A plot of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n + 2^n}{6^n}$.

Finally,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n + 2^n}{6^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{6^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{6^n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The sequence converges to $\frac{3}{2}$.

14.4 The Comparison Test

Theorem 23 (The Comparison Test). *Let $\sum a_n$, $\sum c_n$, and $\sum d_n$ be series with nonnegative terms. Suppose that for some integer N*

$$\forall n > N \left(d_n \leq a_n \leq c_n \right)$$

(a) *If $\sum c_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ also converges.*

(b) *If $\sum d_n$ diverges, then $\sum a_n$ also diverges.*

[6, p. 559]

14.5 The Integral Test

Another helpful tool for discovering the behavior of a series is the *integral test*. In simple terms, given the following two statements:

1. $f' < 0$ over some interval $[a, \infty)$
2. $f(n)$ is always positive.²

The integral test states that:

(a) $\sum_{n=a}^{\infty} f(n)$ converges if $\int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx$ converges.

(b) $\sum_{n=a}^{\infty} f(n)$ diverges if $\int_a^{\infty} f(x) dx$ diverges.

²We can often see this by writing out some terms.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^\infty f(x) dx &\approx f(1)\Delta x + f(2)\Delta x + f(3)\Delta x + \dots + \text{with } \Delta x = 1 \\ &\approx f(1) + f(2) + f(3) + \dots \\ &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)\end{aligned}$$

□

Here is the official wording for the integral test, copied from *Thomas' Calculus* [6, p. 554]:

Theorem 24 (The Integral Test). *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive terms. Suppose that $a_n = f(n)$, where f is a continuous, positive, decreasing function of x for all $x \geq N$ ($N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$). Then the series $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n$ and the integral $\int_N^{\infty} f(x) dx$ both converge or both diverge.*

EXAMPLE 14.5.1 Use the integral test to show that the harmonic series diverges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$

Solution.

First, we test it with Theorem 22.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$$

Because this limit is zero, the test proves inconclusive.

Let's check the two prerequisites for the integral test. If we let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ be $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, and $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, then we can treat a_n as a function and make sure it is positive and always decreasing.

The function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

is always positive on $[1, \infty)$. Its derivative,

$$f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}$$

is always negative. Thus, we can use the integral test to describe the behavior of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ln x \Big|_1^{\infty}$$

This integral diverges, so by Theorem 24 the series also diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.5.2 Determine whether the following series is convergent or divergent.

$$\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} k e^{-k^2}$$

Solution.

We can use the integral test.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{k=4}^{\infty} ke^{-k^2} dk &= \frac{-1}{2} \int_{k=4}^{\infty} -2ke^{-k^2} dk \\ &= \frac{-1}{2} e^{-x^2} \Big|_4^{\infty}\end{aligned}$$




Figure 14.1: A plot of $-\frac{1}{2}e^{-k^2}$

We can tell that this integral converges, so the series converges.

14.6 p Series

A p series is of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$$

At $p = 1$, it is a harmonic series and we could say it diverges because of Theorem 24. Meanwhile, at $p = 2$, the series converges. If we take $p = -1$, the series diverges. At $p = -2$, the series diverges by Theorem 22. At $p = 1.0000000000001$, the series converges by the integral test.

We can get a feeling that $p > 1$ leads to convergence, and $p \leq 1$ leads to a diverging series.

14.7 Series Comparison Test

14.7.1 Converging Series

EXAMPLE 14.7.1

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5+2\sqrt{n}}{n^3}$$

Solution.

Let $f(x) = \frac{5+2\sqrt{x}}{x^3}$, which is always positive on $x \geq 1$. Then $f'(x) = -\frac{5(\sqrt{x}+3)}{x^4}$, which is always negative on $x \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{5+2\sqrt{x}}{x^3} dx &= \int_1^{\infty} \frac{5}{x^3} dx + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{x^3} dx \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} 5x^{-3} dx + \int_1^{\infty} 2x^{1/2} \cdot x^{-3} dx \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t 5x^{-3} dx + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^t 2x^{-5/2} dx \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{5x^{-2}}{-2} \right]_1^t + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{2x^{-3/2}}{-3/2} \right]_1^t \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{5t^2}{2} + \frac{4(1)^{-3/2}}{3} \right] + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{4t^{-5/2}}{3} + \frac{4(1)^{-3/2}}{3} \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{5t^{-2}}{2} + \frac{5}{2} \right] + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{4t^{-3/2}}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{5t^{-2}}{2} + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{2} + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{4t^{-3/2}}{3} + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{3} \\ &= \frac{23}{6} - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{5t^{-2}}{2} + \frac{4t^{-5/2}}{5} \right] \\ &= \frac{23}{6} - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{5}{2t^2} + \frac{4}{3t^{3/2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{23}{6} + 0 \\ &= \frac{23}{6} \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 24, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5+2\sqrt{n}}{n^3}$ converges.

EXAMPLE 14.7.2

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5+2\sqrt{n}}{n^3+1}$$


We can tell that this is quite similar to Example 14.7.1, except we are making the denominator larger. We can tell by comparison with the original problem that this must also converge. Likewise,

if we made the numerator smaller, we could treat this as converging. For a proper solution, we would write


Solution.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5+2\sqrt{n}}{n^3+1}$$

Converges by comparison with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5+2\sqrt{n}}{n^3}$.



(a) A plot of $s_n = \frac{5+2\sqrt{n}}{n^3}$



(b) A plot of $s_n = \frac{5+2\sqrt{n}}{n^3+1}$

Make note, however, that making the denominator smaller does not help us in making these comparisons for convergence.

EXAMPLE 14.7.3

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4}$$

This is a p -series with $p = 4$, and therefore converges.

164 14.7 Series Comparison Test

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4 + 2}$$

This series converges by comparison with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4}$.

EXAMPLE 14.7.4

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2 + 4n + 3}$$

Note. We can use partial fraction decomposition to handle this, and it becomes a telescoping sum.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2 + 4n + 6}$$

Remark. Imagine we were dealing with one series that converges to $\frac{1}{2}$. In turn, we were comparing this with another series that “shoots off into the negatives.”

We would have issues comparing these in any way.

Thus, we must check that a_n and b_n are exclusively positive.

14.7.2 Diverging Series

EXAMPLE 14.7.5

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n+5}$$

Using theorem 22, we know that this series diverges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n+5-1}$$

Here, we are decreasing the size of the denominator for a divergent series. We can state that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n+5-1}$ diverges by comparison with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n+5-1}$.

EXAMPLE 14.7.6

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^2}{n(n+2)}$$

Diverges by theorem 22.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^2}{n(n+2)}$$

Again, making the denominator smaller. This diverges by comparison with the above series.

EXAMPLE 14.7.7

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3n+1}$$

Diverges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{15}{3n+1}$$

Diverges by comparsion with the above.

EXAMPLE 14.7.8

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+2}{n+1}$$

Diverges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+2)}{n+1}$$

It doesn't have to be a constant multiple for the comparison test to work. We can still state that this diverges by comparison with the above.

14.8 Root Test

Theorem 25 (Root Test). *Let $\sum a_n$ be a series with $a_n \geq 0$ for $n \geq N$, and suppose that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = \rho$$

then (a) the series converges if $\rho < 1$, (b) the series diverges if $\rho > 1$ or if ρ is infinite, or (c) the test is inconclusive if $\rho = 1$. [6, p. 565]

EXAMPLE 14.8.1 Use the root test to determine if the following series converges or diverges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(5n+7)^n}$$

Solution.

The root test tells us that

$$\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{5n+7}$$

EXAMPLE 14.8.2

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{12}{\left(3 + \frac{1}{n^{4n}}\right)}$$

Solution.

166 14.9 Ratio Test

Use the root test. Take the n th root of s_n .

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{12}{\left(3 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^4} \right]^{1/n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{12^{1/n}}{\left(3 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^4} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{12^{1/n}}{3^4} \\ &= \frac{1}{81}\end{aligned}$$

14.9 Ratio Test

Theorem 26 (The Ratio Test). *Let $\sum a_n$ be a series with positive terms and suppose that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \rho$$

Then (a) the series converges if $\rho < 1$, (b) the series diverges if $\rho > 1$ or ρ is infinite, (c) the test is inconclusive if $\rho = 1$.

EXAMPLE 14.9.1 Use the ratio test to determine if the following series converges or diverges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^n + n}{n!}$$

Solution.

First, let's look at the series:

$$\frac{4}{1} + \frac{11}{3} + \frac{30}{6} + \dots$$

Just use the ratio test, which we can do because this series has exclusively positive terms.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{3^{n+1} + (n+1)}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{3^n + n}{n!}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n!)(3^{n+1} + (n+1))}{(3^n + n)(n+1)!}$$

It is important to note here that by factoring a $n+1$ term out of $(n+1)!$, we find that $(n+1)! = (n+1)n!$. This allows us to manipulate our limit so that some terms will cancel.

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n!)(3^{n+1} + n + 1)}{(3^n + n)(n + 1)(n!)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3^{n+1} + n + 1}{(3^n + n)(n + 1)} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3^{n+1} + n + 1}{n3^n + n^2 + 3^n + n} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{3^{n+1}}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{n}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{3^{n+1}}}{\frac{n(3^n)}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{n^2}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{3^n}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{n}{3^{n+1}}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{n}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{3^{n+1}}}{\frac{n}{3} + \frac{n^2}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{3^n}{3^{n+1}} + \frac{n}{3^{n+1}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ many of the terms disappear. $n3^n/3^{n+1}$ becomes $n/3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{3}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3}{n + 1} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by 26, this series converges.

Remark.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{3^n} \stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \frac{1}{\ln 3 e^{n \ln 3}}$$

EXAMPLE 14.9.2 Use the ratio test to determine if the following series converges or diverges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{19}}{19^n}$$

Solution.

Use the ratio test.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^{19}}{\frac{19^{n+1}}{n^{19}}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{19^n \cdot (n+1)^{19}}{19^{n+1} \cdot n^{19}}$$

$19^n/19^{n+1}$ becomes just 19.

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^{19}}{19 \cdot n^{19}}$$

Note that there's no way the $(n+1)^{19}$ and n^{19} can in any way cancel. However, were we to foil the $(n+1)^{19}$ nineteen times, the leading term would be n^{19} . The limit is, therefore, just the limit

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{19}}{19n^{19}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{19}$$

14.9.1 Examples

EXAMPLE 14.9.3 Does

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$$

converge or diverge?

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}s_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \\s_2 &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \\s_3 &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} \\s_4 &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} \\s_n &= \frac{2^n - 1}{2^n}\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 14.9.4 Does

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n+10}{10n+1}$$

converge or diverge?

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+10}{10n+1} =$$

Divide all terms by n .

$$\frac{\frac{n}{n}}{\frac{10n}{n}} = \frac{1}{10} \neq 0$$

Therefore, the series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.5

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4}{2^n}$$

Solution.

Theorem 22 gets us nowhere with this one. In other words,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{2^n} = 0$$

is inconclusive.

We have a geometric series.

$$4 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \dots \right) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}$$

So

$$\sum n = 0^\infty \frac{4}{2^n}$$

converges to 8.

EXAMPLE 14.9.6 Does

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n-2}$$

converge or diverge?

Solution.

Check the limit.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n-2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-2}{n(n-2)} - \frac{n}{n(n-2)}$$

$$\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} + \dots$$

This is called a telescoping sum that converges to $\frac{3}{2}$.

EXAMPLE 14.9.7

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{2n-1}$$

Solution.

Check the limit.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{2n-1} \neq 0$$

The series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.8

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3n-1}{2n+1}$$

170 14.9 Ratio Test

Check the limit.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3n - 1}{2n + 1} \neq 0$$

The series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.9

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n}$$

Solution.

$$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \dots$$

Converges to $\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{4}}$.

EXAMPLE 14.9.10

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1.075)^n$$

Solution.

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1.075)^n \neq 0$ and the series diverges because of Theorem 22.

EXAMPLE 14.9.11

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{100}$$

Solution.

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{100} \neq 0$ so by Theorem 22 the series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.12

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n^2}$$

Solution.

2^n is geometric but the n^2 denominator means we can't treat it like a geometric series.

Using Theorem 22, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{n^2} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{n \ln 2}}{n^2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln 2 e^{n \ln 2}}{2n} \\ &\stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \ln 2 e^{n \ln 2}}{2} \\ &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

so the series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.13

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+3)}$$

Solution.

Checking with 22 proves inconclusive.

We can use partial fraction decomposition on this fraction.

$$\frac{1}{n(n+3)} = \frac{A}{x} + \frac{B}{x+3}$$

We find that $A = \frac{1}{3}$ and $B = -\frac{1}{3}$

$$= \frac{1/3}{x} - \frac{1/3}{x+3}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+3)} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+3} \right)$$

EXAMPLE 14.9.14

$$\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-3)^2}$$

Solution.

Check it with Theorem 22:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n-3)^2} = 0$$

Which is inconclusive, so we will attempt to use the integral test.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(x-3)^2}$$

Check the derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \frac{(x-3)^2 \frac{d}{dx}(1) - 1 \frac{d}{dx}(x-3)^2}{(x-3)^4} \\ &= \frac{-2(x-3)}{(x-3)^4} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{-2}{(x-3)^3}}_{\text{DNE when } x=3} \end{aligned}$$

172 14.9 Ratio Test

$f(x)$ is always positive, and $f'(x)$ is always negative, so the first two prerequisites for theorem 24

| | | | |
|---------|----|-----------|---|
| x | −∞ | 3 | ∞ |
| $f(x)$ | | + | |
| $f'(x)$ | − | undefined | − |

Table 14.1: A sign diagram of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$

are passed.

Now consider

$$\int_4^\infty \frac{1}{(x-3)^2} dx$$

First evaluate this as an indefinite integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{1}{(x-3)^2} dx &= \int \frac{1}{u^2} du = \int u^{-2} du \\ &= \frac{u^{-1}}{-1} \\ &= \frac{-1}{(x-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Now evaluate it in terms of the original definite integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_4^\infty \frac{1}{(x-3)^2} dx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left. \frac{-1}{(x-1)} \right|_4^t \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(t-1)} + \frac{1}{3} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

This integral converges, so the $\sum_{n=4}^\infty \frac{1}{(n-3)^2}$ must converge (though we don't know to what).

EXAMPLE 14.9.15 Does the following series converge or diverge?

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n}$$

Solution.

Check Theorem 22 first and foremost.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{n} &\stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{1} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Next, check with the integral test, theorem 24.

We know that the $\ln x$ is positive past $x = 1$, so the second condition for use of the theorem is satisfied.

The first condition is slightly more difficult:

$$\begin{aligned} f' &= \frac{x \cdot \frac{1}{x} - \ln x}{x^2} \\ &= \frac{1 - \ln x}{x^2} \end{aligned}$$

Which is > 0 , so we can use the integral test.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_1^\infty \frac{\ln n}{n} dx \\ &\left. \frac{(\ln x)^2}{2} \right|_1^\infty \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude that the series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.16 Does the following series converge or diverge?

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Solution.

Recognize this as a p -series with $p = 1/2$.

Note that, without the 3, we would be looking at a definitely diverging p -series.

However, multiply that diverging series by 3 and we are dealing with an even *bigger* diverging p -series.

The series, therefore, diverges by comparison with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

EXAMPLE 14.9.17 Determine if this geometric series converges or diverges. If it converges, find its sum.

$$(1/5) + (1/5)^2 + (1/5)^3 + (1/5)^4 + \cdots$$

Solution.

Treat it as a geometric series and multiply it as such.

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{5}\right) \left(\frac{1}{5} + (1/5)^2 + (1/5)^3 + (1/5)^4 + \dots\right) = \frac{1}{5}$$

So now we look for our final answer:

$$\frac{\frac{1}{5}}{1 - \frac{1}{5}} = \frac{1}{4}$$

EXAMPLE 14.9.18 If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos 9n\pi$$

converges, then what is its sum.

Solution.

This turns out to just be a fancy way of writing

$$\sum 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \dots$$

Alternatively, we are talking about a cosine function. The cosine function never settles down, it constantly jumps back and forth between values. Thus, we could take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of s_n to find that it diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.19 Find a formula for the n th partial sum of the series and use it to determine if the series converges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\ln \sqrt{n+1} - \ln \sqrt{n})$$

Solution.

$$s_1 = \ln \sqrt{2} - \ln \sqrt{1}$$

$$s_2 = \ln \sqrt{3} - \ln \sqrt{2} + \ln \sqrt{2} - \ln \sqrt{1}$$

$$s_3 = \ln \sqrt{4} - \ln \sqrt{3} + \ln \sqrt{3} + \ln \sqrt{2} - \ln \sqrt{1}$$

$$s_4 = \ln \sqrt{5} - \ln \sqrt{4} + \ln \sqrt{4} - \ln \sqrt{3} + \ln \sqrt{3} - \ln \sqrt{2} + \ln \sqrt{2} - \ln \sqrt{1}$$

$$s_5 = \ln \sqrt{6} - \ln \sqrt{5} + s_4$$

$$s_n = \ln \sqrt{n+1} - \ln \sqrt{1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is } s_{\infty}$$

We can conclude that the series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.20 Use the limit comparison test to determine convergence or divergence.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^2 + 7n + 5}$$

Solution.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{n}{n^2 + 7n + 5}}{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$$

This is about the same order of magnitude as our original problem. The numerator and the denominator balance, and the limit takes us to 1, so the series diverges.

EXAMPLE 14.9.21 Tell whether the series converges or diverges. If it converges, give its sum.

$$1 + \frac{8}{9} + \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^3 + \cdots + \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^n + \cdots$$

Solution.

Treat this as a geometric series.

We will find the answer converges to 9.

EXAMPLE 14.9.22 Does the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{5}{n^2 + 36}$$

converge or diverge? If so, to what?

EXAMPLE 14.9.23 If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{13}}\right)^n$$

converges, what is its sum?

Solution.

$$\left(1 - \frac{3}{\sqrt{13}}\right) \left[1 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{13}} + \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{13}}\right)^2 + \dots\right]$$

EXAMPLE 14.9.24 Find a formula for the n th partial sum of the series.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)$$

Solution.

176 14.10 Alternating Series Test

$$s_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

EXAMPLE 14.9.25 Does the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4e^n}{1+e^{2n}}$$

converge or diverge?

Solution.

This series is a variation of

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4e^n}{e^{2n}} &= 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^n}{e^{2n}} \\ &= 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

Which is a geometric series.

The original series converges by comparison with the above.

EXAMPLE 14.9.26 Use the limit comparison test to determine if the following series converges or diverges by comparison with $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$.

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ln n}$$

Solution.

Remember that the limit comparison test is far different from the comparison test.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\ln n}}{\frac{1}{n}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\ln n} \\ &\stackrel{\text{H}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \end{aligned}$$

n diverges as it goes toward ∞ .

14.10 Alternating Series Test

Theorem 27 (Leibniz's Test). [6, p. 568] *The series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} u_n = u_1 - u_2 + u_3 - u_4 + \dots$$

converges if all three of the following conditions are satisfied:

1. The u_n 's are all positive.
2. The positive u_n 's are (eventually) nonincreasing: $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq N$, for some integer N .
3. $u_n \rightarrow 0$

Note. Also known as the alternating series test.

Proof. Let's write out some of the terms of an alternating series, see how it's playing out:

$$u_1 - u_2 + u_3 - u_4 + \dots$$

Remark. These could start negative, too, and we would just factor that out of the sum to make it positive and fit the theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 &= u_1 - u_2 \geq 0 \\ s_4 &= s_2 + (u_3 - u_4) \geq s_2 \\ s_6 &= s_4 + (u_5 - u_6) \geq s_4 \end{aligned}$$

So we see, at each step, that the sequence of partial sums is monotonic increasing. To know that it converges, we must first show that it is bounded.

The sequence of partial sums gets larger and larger so the lower bound is

$$s_n = u_1 - \overbrace{(u_2 - u_3)}^{\dagger} - \overbrace{(u_4 - u_5)}^{\dagger}$$

The sequence of partial sums is monotonic and bounded by $M = u$ and $m = s_2$.

Therefore, the series converges. □

EXAMPLE 14.10.1

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{3n}{4n-1}$$

Although at first this appears to be an alternating series, it actually diverges by Theorem 22, the limit test.

EXAMPLE 14.10.2

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{3n+2}{4n^2+3} \right)$$

Solution.

Check with Theorem 22, which proves inconclusive.

Take the derivative of $f(x) = (-1)^n \frac{3n}{4n-1}$. We find $f'(x)$ is negative everywhere.

$$\begin{aligned}f'(x) &= 12x^2 - 9 - 24x^2 - 16x \\f'(x) &= -12x^2 - 16x - 9\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 27, this series converges.

So far, we've been able to tell to what number very few infinite sums converge. Geometric and telescoping sums, for example. For convergent alternating series, we can always estimate the limit, L , and measure the error of our estimation, the discrepancy between this estimate and the actual theoretical value of L .³

This error is given by

$$\pm|L - S_n| \quad (14.1)$$

14.11 Power Series

A **power series** about $x = 0$ is the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \dots + c_n x^n + \dots$$

And a power series about $x = a$ is of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (x-a)^n = c_0 + c_1 (x-a) + c_2 (x-a)^2 + \dots + c_n (x-a)^n + \dots \quad (14.2)$$

in which the center a and the coefficients c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots are constants.

Taking all the coefficients to be 1 in equation (14.2) gives us the **geometric series**

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + \dots + x^n + \dots \quad (14.3)$$

[6, p. 575]

³There's an awesome diagram about this in the textbook. Check out [6, p. 569 Fig. 10.13].




Figure 14.2: A plot of Eq. (14.3).

This is the geometric series with the first term 1 and the ratio x . It converges to $1/(1-x)$ for $|x| < 1$. As shown in Figure 14.2, the series has a vertical asymptote at $x = 1$ and we cannot approximate it at $x \geq 1$.

Theorem 28 (Reciprocal Power Series).

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n, \quad |x| < 1 \quad (14.4)$$

EXAMPLE 14.11.1

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^3 x^n$$

An example of a **power series**, where the coefficient depends on where you are adding the polynomial. Otherwise described as a *power series about $x = 0$* .

EXAMPLE 14.11.2

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^3 (x - 5)^n$$

This is nothing more than example 14.11.1 shifted 5 to the right. Otherwise described as a *power series about $x = 5$* .

Solution.

Ratio test.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(n+1)^3 (x - 5^{n+1})}{n^3 (x - 5)^n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(n+1)^3 (x - 5^1)}{n^3} \right|$$

Factor out the polynomial and take the limit by dividing each term by the highest power.

$$= |x - 5| \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1}{n^3}$$

180 14.12 Taylor and Maclaurin Series

$$= |x - 5| \cdot 1 \\ = |x - 5|$$

$$|x - 5| < 1$$

This has an interval of convergence from 4 to 6.

Note. For this to be correct, we **must** consider:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^3 (6 - 5)^n$$

This one diverges by the *nth term test*.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^3 (4 - 5)^n$$

because the ratio test is inconclusive at $x = 1$. Although this is an alternating series, this one diverges by the *nth term test*.

The interval $(4, 6)$ is the interval of convergence. This interval is *about* $x \approx 5$.

This is sometimes called a “radius of convergence,” drawn as a circle on a number line.

We want to talk about what kind of x values will result in a finite sum, or infinite sum.

Note. An interval of convergence can be $-\infty < x < \infty$. The radius of convergence would thus be ∞ .

EXAMPLE 14.11.3 An interval of convergence from $3 < x < 7$. The radius of convergence is 2.

14.12 Taylor and Maclaurin Series

A **Taylor Series** is an approximation of a function using a power series.

We do so by treating this function as the sum of a power series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (x - a)^n$$

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1(x - a) + a_2(x - a)^2 + a_3(x - a)^3 + \cdots a_n(x - a)^n + \cdots$$

If we differentiate this power series, we get

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1(x - a) + a_2(x - a)^2 + a_3(x - a)^3 + \cdots a_n(x - a)^n + \cdots$$

$$f'(x) = 0 + a_1 + 2a_2(x - a) + 3a_3(x - a)^2 + \cdots n a_n(x - a)^{n-1} + \cdots$$

Differentiate it again, and we get

$$f''(x) = 1 \cdot 2a_2 + 2 \cdot 3a_3(x - a) + 3 \cdot 4a_4(x - a)^2 + \cdots + (n - 1)n a_n(x - a)^{n-2} + \cdots$$

Further differentiation leaves us

$$f'''(x) = 2 \cdot 3a_3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4a_4(x - a) + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5a_5(x - a)^2 + \dots$$

So the n^{th} derivative, for all n , is

$$f^n(x) = n!a_n + \text{a sum of terms with } (x - a) \text{ as a factor.}$$

All these equations are perfect approximations of $f(x)$ at $x = a$, though repeated differentiation makes them more accurate as we get further from that value. This property allows us to state that $f'(a) = a_1$, $f''(a) = 1 \cdot 2a_2$, $f'''(a) = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3a_3$, and

$$f^{(n)}(a) = n!a_n.$$

This shows us that, if $f(x)$ has a power series representation, then its n^{th} term coefficient is

$$a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}.$$

[6, p. 584]

Theorem 29 (Taylor's Theorem). *Let f be a function with derivatives of order k for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ in some interval containing a as an interior point. Then $\forall(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ from 0 through N , the Taylor polynomial of order n generated by f at $x = a$ is the polynomial*

$$P_n(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + \frac{f''(a)}{2!}(x - a)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!}(x - a)^k + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(x - a)^n \quad (14.5)$$

[6, p. 586]

We don't normally treat these as infinite series, as that defeats the purpose of using a series produce a simplified version of a function.

Theorem 30. *The Taylor series of a function around a point $x = a$ is*

$$f(x) \approx f(a) + \frac{f'(a)}{1!}(x - a) + \frac{f''(a)}{2!}(x - a)^2 + \frac{f^{(3)}(a)}{3!}(x - a)^3 + \dots$$

If this Taylor Series is calculated about $x = 0$, we call it a **Maclaurin Series**.

EXAMPLE 14.12.1 Say we are trying to approximate the function

$$f(x) = e^x$$

around $x = 1$.

182 14.12 Taylor and Maclaurin Series




Figure 14.3: A plot of $f(x) = e^x$.

For the simplest possible approximation, we would just calculate the function at $x = 1$ and that would be our solution. This is a constant function and is called a **0th order approximation**.

$$f(x) \approx e$$

To improve our approximation, we increase the power of our Taylor series.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\approx a_0 + a_1(x - a) \\ f(x) &\approx e + e(x - 1) \end{aligned}$$




Figure 14.4: A plot of e^x and $e + e(x - 1)$.

We can improve it even further by increasing our number of terms:

$$f(x) \approx a_0 + a_1(x - a) + a_2(x - a)^2$$

We already know the first two coefficients, so

$$f(x) \approx e + e(x - a) + a_2(x - a)^2$$

To find a_2 , we take the second derivative of $f(x)$ at $x = 1$ and divide that by $2!$.

$$f(x) \approx e + e(x - a) + \frac{e}{2!}(x - a)^2$$




Figure 14.5: A plot of e^x and $e + e(x - 1) + \frac{e}{2!}(x - 1)^2$.

EXAMPLE 14.12.2 Find a 4th order Taylor series approximation of

$$f(x) = \ln x$$

at $x = 2$.

Solution.

The first two terms are easy

$$f(x) \approx \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2}(x - 2) + \dots$$

but then we must take the second derivative of $f(x)$ at $x = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \ln x &= \frac{1}{x} = x^{-1} \\ \frac{d}{dx} x^{-1} &= -2x^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

184 14.12 Taylor and Maclaurin Series

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx}x^{-1}\Big|_{x=2} &= \frac{-2}{4} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

and now we can get the third term

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &\approx \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2}(x-2) - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2!}(x-2)^2 + \dots \\ f(x) &\approx \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2}(x-2) - \frac{1}{8}(x-2)^2 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Now we find the third derivative of $f(x)$ at $x = 2$:

$$f^{(3)}(x)\Big|_{x=2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$f(x) \approx \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2}(x-2) - \frac{1}{8}(x-2)^2 + \frac{1}{24}(x-2)^3 + \dots$$

And the fourth

$$f^{(4)}(x)\Big|_{x=2} = \frac{8}{3}$$

Making our final answer

$$f(x) \approx \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2}(x-2) - \frac{1}{8}(x-2)^2 + \frac{1}{24}(x-2)^3 - \frac{1}{64}(x-2)^4$$




Figure 14.6: A plot of $\ln x$ and our Taylor approximation.

Chapter 15

Complex Numbers

Earl A. Coddington, professor of mathematics at UCLA, offers an extremely helpful crash-course in complex numbers in his book *An Introduction To Ordinary Differential Equations*, Chapter 0 [4]. Most of the initial knowledge in this chapter comes from my notes on that chapter, but I will attempt to provide pictures and examples where I found the source text lacking.

DEFINITION: A **complex number** is an ordered pair of real numbers (x, y) . If z is a complex number, we write

$$z = (x, y). \quad (15.1)$$

DEFINITION: The **sum** $z_1 + z_2$ is the complex number given by

$$z_1 + z_2 = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2). \quad (15.2)$$

DEFINITION: If $z = (x, y)$, the **negative** of z , denoted $-z$, is defined to be the number

$$-z = (-x, -y). \quad (15.3)$$

DEFINITION: The **zero** complex number, written simply 0, is defined as

$$0 = (0, 0). \quad (15.4)$$

Since Eq. (15.2) defines complex sums in terms of just real number addition operations, and we know that these real number operations are commutative, it follows that

$$z_1 + z_2 = z_2 + z_1. \quad (15.5)$$

Likewise does the associative property of addition for real numbers hold for complex numbers:

$$(z_1 + z_2) + z_3 = z_1 + (z_2 + z_3). \quad (15.6)$$

And the number 0 provides our additive identity:

$$z + 0 = z. \quad (15.7)$$

Finally, we have an additive inverse for complex numbers

$$z + (-z) = 0. \quad (15.8)$$

For additional information on these properties as they apply to the set of real numbers, I will direct the reader to Michael Spivak's *Calculus, Third Edition*, perhaps the single greatest introduction to "real mathematics" ever written. These properties, and their importance with regard to real numbers, is detailed extensively in the first chapter.

DEFINITION: The **difference**, $z_1 - z_2$, is defined by

$$z_1 - z_2 = z_1 + (-z_2). \quad (15.9)$$

DEFINITION: The **product** $z_1 z_2$ is defined by

$$z_1 z_2 = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2, x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1). \quad (15.10)$$

Remark. Eq. (15.10) can be found by performing basic multiplication on the following form of the numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= x_1 + iy_1 \\ z_2 &= x_2 + iy_2 \\ z_1 z_2 &= (x_1 + iy_1)(x_2 + iy_2) \end{aligned}$$

In order to use this, however, we must define the following units:

DEFINITION: The **unit** complex number is the number $(1, 0)$. This may be multiplied by any complex number $z = (x, y)$ and the product will always be z .

DEFINITION: The **imaginary unit** is defined to be the number

$$i = (0, 1).$$

From those definitions, we see that if $z = (x, y)$ we can write it in terms of its real and imaginary parts as follows:

$$z = x(1, 0) + y(0, 1), \quad (15.11)$$

which is equivalent to stating

$$z = x + iy. \quad (15.12)$$

Chapter 16

Ordinary Differential Equations

DEFINITION: A **differential equation** is an equation involving derivatives.

DEFINITION: A **direction field** tells us the slope of a function at any given place.

EXAMPLE 16.0.3 In physics, we often define acceleration to be a vector relative to another vector, velocity. Here, we will just consider them as scalars for the sake of argument. Acceleration is a change in velocity, so

$$a = \frac{dv}{dt}, \quad (16.1)$$

where v represents velocity and t represents time. Now we integrate both sides of Eq. (16.1) with respect to t ,

$$\int a dt = \int \frac{dv}{dt} dt. \quad (16.2)$$

Now, assuming¹

$$\int \frac{dv}{dt} dt = \int dv, \quad (16.3)$$

then using Eq. (16.3), we see that

$$\int a dt = \int dv. \quad (16.4)$$

From here we simplify, finding that

$$at + c_1 = v + c_2. \quad (16.5)$$

The constants in Eq. (16.5) are simply constants and may be combined into another constant, C . Also, the equation may be rearranged to put it in more familiar form, yielding

$$v = at + C, \quad (16.6)$$

which we recognize as the classical mechanics equation for velocity. Integrating once more, and

¹This must be explained later, but as a warning: no, the dt in the derivative operation and the dt in the integration operation do not simply cancel.

replacing v with the definition of velocity as change in position, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{dx}{dt} dt &= \int (at + C) dt, \\ \int \frac{dx}{dt} dt &= \int at dt + \int C dt, \\ x + c_3 &= a\frac{t^2}{2} + Ct + c_4.\end{aligned}$$

Now we may simply combine the constants once more, defining C_1 to constitute the difference of c_4 , and c_3 ,

$$x = a\frac{t^2}{2} + Ct + C_1. \quad (16.7)$$

Eq. (16.7) may be rewritten in its more common form:

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2}at^2 + v_0t + x_0. \quad (16.8)$$

Part III

Physics

Système International Prefixes

| Power | Prefix | Abbreviation |
|------------|--------|--------------|
| 10^{-24} | yocto | y |
| 10^{-21} | zepto | z |
| 10^{-18} | atto | a |
| 10^{-15} | femto | f |
| 10^{-12} | pico | p |
| 10^{-9} | nano | n |
| 10^{-6} | micro | μ |
| 10^{-3} | milli | m |
| 10^{-2} | centi | c |
| 10^{-1} | deci | d |
| 10^3 | kilo | k |
| 10^6 | mega | M |
| 10^9 | giga | G |
| 10^{12} | tera | T |
| 10^{15} | peta | P |
| 10^{18} | exa | E |
| 10^{21} | zeta | Z |
| 10^{24} | yotta | Y |

Table 16.1: Prefixes for powers of ten.

Significant Figures

When computing results from measured numbers, pay careful attention to the number of **significant figures**. When multiplying or dividing, the number of significant digits in the answer is the same as that of the factor with the least significant figures. When adding or subtracting, the number of decimal places should equal the least number of decimal places of any term.

Coordinate Systems

In two dimensions, we place our mathematical description of an object's motion within a **Cartesian coordinate system**, also called *rectangular coordinates*.

Other times, we establish a coordinate system using **plane polar coordinates**, given in the form (r, θ) . Here, r is the distance from the origin to the point with cartesian coordinates (x, y) and θ is the angle between a fixed axis and a line drawn from the origin to that point. By convention, we usually choose the fixed axis to be positive \hat{x} and measure θ counterclockwise from that axis. This lets us easily switch between the two systems using

$$x = r \cos \theta \tag{16.9}$$

$$y = r \sin \theta \quad (16.10)$$

From here, we can find that

$$\tan \theta = \frac{y}{x} \quad (16.11)$$

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad (16.12)$$

Vector and Scalar Quantities

A **scalar quantity** is completely specified by a single value with an appropriate unit and has no direction.

A **vector quantity** is completely specified by a number and appropriate units plus a direction.

Unit Vectors

A **unit vector** is a dimensionless vector having a magnitude of exactly 1.

Chapter 17

Newton's Laws of Motion

The following is an excerpt from [7, p. 20], written by Sir Isaac Newton himself:

Law I. *Every body perseveres in its state of rest, or of uniform motion in a right line, unless it is compelled to change that state by forces impres'd thereon.*

Projectiles persevere in their motions, so far as they are not retarded by the resiliance of air, or impell'd downwards by the force of gravity. A top, whole parts by their cohesion are preptually drown aside from rectilinear motions, does not cease its rotation, otherwise than it is retarded by air. The greater bodies of the Planets and Comets, meeting with less resistance in more free spaces, preserve their motions both progressive and circular for a much longer time.

Law II. *The alteration of motion is ever proportional to the motive force impres'd; and is made in the direction of the right line in which that force is impres'd.*

If any force generates a motion, a double force will generate double motion, a triple force triple the motion, whether that force be impres'd altogether and at once, or gradually and successively. And this motion (being always directed the same way with the generating force) if the body moved before, is added to or subducted from the former motion, according as they directly conspire with or are directly contrary to each other; or obliquely joyned, when they are oblique, so as to produce a new motion compounded from the determination of both.

Law III. *To every Action there is always opposed an equal Reaction: or the mutual actions of two bodies upon each other are always equal, and directed to contrary parts.*

Whatever draws or presses another is as much drawn or pressed by that other. If you press a stone with your finger, the finger is also pressed by the stone. If a horse draws a stone tyed to a rope, the horse (if I may so say) will be equally drawn back toward the stone: for the distended rope, by the same endeavour to relax or unbend it self, will draw the horse as much towards the stone, and will obstruct the progress of the stone as much as it advances that of the other. If a body impinge upon another, and by its force change the motion of the other; that body also (because of the equality of the mutual pressure) will undergo an equal change, in its own motion, towards the contrary part. The changes made by these actions are qual, not in the velocities, but in the motions of bodies; that is to say, if the bodies are not hinder'd

by any other impediments. For because the motions are equally changed, the changes of the velocities made towards contrary parts, are reciprocally proportional to the bodies. This Law takes place also in Attractions, as will be proved in the next Scholium.

Chapter 18

Circuits

18.1 Capacitors

A **capacitor** is a device used for *storing charge*. It's different from a battery in that it does not produce charge, only holds it.

In order to describe things like these capacitors and batteries, we are going to use **circuit diagrams** to represent them visually in a way that provides logical connection between the objects.




Figure 18.1: Elements that make up a circuit diagram.

On a battery, the *positive* terminal is at the *higher potential* and is represented by the *longer line*.

The idea behind your most basic capacitor is that you have two plates with an equal area, a , and some distance, d , between them. The plates are charged with an equal but opposite charge, Q and $-Q$, respectively. The air between them serves as an insulator. Thus, there is a potential difference, ΔV , between the two plates.

We describe capacitors as having **capacitance**, the ability to store charge. This capacitance is given by

$$C = \frac{Q}{|\Delta V|} \quad (18.1)$$

and its units are in **Farads** (F), named after Michael Faraday.

It is worth noting that when analyzing circuits, current flows in the opposite direction of the actual electron movement (shown in Figure 18.2). This is purely a result of convention; flow of positive




Figure 18.2: Current flow to a capacitor.

charge would have the same effect on a circuit as negative flow of negative charge, so this “coordinate system” must be established for circuits just like we establish one for everything else.

On metals, only the electrons may move charge through a “wire.” However, other materials allow the **charge carrier** to be *protons* instead of electrons¹, and if these protons are moving in the positive direction then the current vector would point in the same direction of the movement of charge carriers.

For capacitors in a parallel circuit, the individual potential differences (voltages) are equal. This is because of the layout of the physical objects: physically (i.e. on a circuit board), a battery has opposite poles on each end. Capacitors, by contrast, have both poles on one side. So on a parallel circuit, the negative terminals on the capacitors are both on the same side as the negative terminal of the battery. *Ergo*, they have the same voltages.

$$\Delta V_1 = \Delta V_2 = \Delta V \quad (18.2)$$

The total charge on capacitors connected in parallel is the sum of the charges on the individual capacitors[10, p. 728].

$$Q_{tot} = Q_1 + Q_2 \quad (18.3)$$

18.1.1 Equivalent Capacitors in Parallel

Assume that in, say, Figure 18.4 we want to replace C_1 and C_2 by one equivalent capacitor, C_{eq} . We found out in Equation 18.2 that the voltage is equal for each capacitor. Therefore, for the equivalent capacitor,

$$Q_{tot} = C_{eq}\Delta V$$

Using equation 18.3, we know that $Q_{tot} = Q_1 + Q_2$.

$$Q_1 + Q_2 = C_{eq}\Delta V$$

¹or both protons and electrons, in either direction at once!




Figure 18.3: The voltages on the capacitors are the same.

From our definition for capacitance, equation 18.1, we find that $Q_n = C_n |\Delta V_n|$.

$$C_1 |\Delta V_1| + C_2 |\Delta V_2| = C_{eq} \Delta V$$

But since ΔV is equivalent across all the capacitors,

$$C_{eq} = C_1 + C_2$$

We can generalize this for capacitors in parallel:

$$C_{eq} = C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + \dots \quad (18.4)$$

This equivalent capacitance of a parallel combination of capacitors is the algebraic sum of the individual capacitances and greater than any of the individual capacitances.[10, p. 728]

18.1.2 Equivalent Capacitors in Series

In series, **the charges on capacitors connected in series are the same**:

$$Q_1 = Q_2 = Q \quad (18.5)$$

Voltage, therefore, would be

$$\Delta V_{tot} = \Delta V_1 + \Delta V_2 \quad (18.6)$$

The **total potential difference across any number of capacitors connected in series is the sum of the potential differences across the individual capacitors**.[10, p. 729] If we





Figure 18.4: The charges on the capacitors differ.



(a) The voltages on the capacitors vary. (b) The charges on the capacitors are the same.

recognize that

$$\Delta V_{tot} = \frac{Q}{C_{eq}}$$

we can apply this to our series circuit to find that

$$\frac{Q}{C_{eq}} = \frac{Q}{C_1} + \frac{Q}{C_2}$$

Which we can generalize to find that **the inverse of the equivalent capacitance is the algebraic sum of the inverses of the individual capacitances and the equivalent capacitance of a series is always less than any individual capacitance in the combination.**[10, p. 730]

Part IV

Appendix

Chapter 19

Important Concepts

19.1 Quadratic Formula

Quadratic formula

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \quad (19.1)$$

19.2 Point-slope Formula

The **point-slope formula** allows us, given a point (x_1, y_1) and a slope m , to solve for y as a function of x .

$$y - y_1 = m(x - x_1) \quad (19.2)$$

19.3 Conjugate

In algebra, the **conjugate** of a *binomial* is another binomial formed by taking the opposite of the second term of the first binomial. For the initial binomial

$$a + b$$

its conjugate would be

$$a - b.$$

Meanwhile, for the expression

$$a^2 + b^2$$

we can factor this to produce

$$(a - b)(a + b)$$

202 19.3 Conjugate

where one expression is the conjugate of the other.

Chapter 20

Proofs

20.1 Power Rule for Derivatives

The *power rule for derivatives* states that

$$\frac{d}{dx}x^n = nx^{n-1} \quad (20.1)$$

Proof. To prove this, we use the limit definition of a derivative:

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad (20.2)$$

And assume that $f(x)$ is of the form $f(x) = x^n$.

Look at the term $(x + h)^n$. Take $n = 1$. In this case:

$$(x + h)^1 = x + h$$

Try it for $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x + h)^2 &= (x + h)(x + h) \\ &= x^2 + 2hx + h^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now for $n = 3$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x + h)^3 &= (x + h)(x + h)^2 \\ &= (x + h)(x^2 + 2hx + h^2) \\ &= x^3 + 2hx^2 + h^2x + hx^2 + 2h^2x + h^3 \\ &= x^3 + 3hx^2 + 3h^2x + h^3 \end{aligned}$$

204 20.1 Power Rule for Derivatives

We are beginning to see a pattern in each of these sums: the first term is x^n , and each term after that has a common factor of h . Furthermore, it looks like there is always a term in the sum that has only one h within. Let's find this for $n = 4$ to be sure:

$$\begin{aligned}(x+h)^4 &= (x+h)(x+h)^3 \\ &= (x+h)(x^3 + 3hx^2 + 3h^2x + h^3) \\ &= x^4 + 3hx^3 + 3h^2x^2 + h^3x + x^3h + 3hx^2x^2 + 3h^3x + h^4\end{aligned}$$

Simplify.

$$(x+h)^4 = x^4 + 4hx^3 + 6h^2x^2 + 4h^3x + h^3x + x^3h + h^4$$

It looks like the pattern follows for $n = 4$. We can claim that this holds for any value n , supposing that $f(x)$ is of the form x^n .

What does this mean for our limit equation (20.2)?

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

Well, it means that the first term of our expanded polynomial should always cancel with the $\dots - f(x)$ term if $n \neq 1$. It also means that we should always be able to divide our numerator by h to calculate the limit, assuming, again, that $n \neq 1$. Our limit would then look like this:

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x^n + n \cdot hx^{n-1} + \dots + h^n) - x^n}{n} \quad n \neq 1$$

x^n terms cancel.

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{n \cdot hx^{n-1} \dots + h^n}{h} \quad n \neq 1$$

Divide by h

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} nx^{n-1} \dots + h^{n-1} \quad n \neq 1, \quad h \neq 0$$

All terms following the first go to 0 as $h \rightarrow 0$, and we are left with

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = nx^{n-1}$$

Which is the same as (20.1). □

20.2 Sandwich Theorem for Sequences

I didn't write any of this. Check the citations for my sources.

Theorem 31 (The Sandwich Theorem for Sequences). *Let $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, and $\{c_n\}$ be sequences of real numbers. If $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ holds for all n beyond some index N , and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = L$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L$ also.*

Theorem 32. *If $|x| < 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = 0$.*

Proof. We need to show that to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there corresponds an integer N so large that $\forall n > N (|x^n| < \varepsilon)$. Since $\varepsilon^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$, while $|x| < 1$, $\exists N (\varepsilon^{1/n} > |x|)$. In other words,

$$|x^N| = |x^N| < \varepsilon. \quad (20.3)$$

This is the integer we seek because, if $|x| < 1$, then

$$\forall n > N (|x^n| < |x^N|) \quad (20.4)$$

Combining (20.3) and (20.4) produces $|x^n| < \varepsilon$ for all $n > N$, concluding the proof. [6, p. AP-21] \square

Theorem 33. *For any number x , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = 0$. [6, p. AP-22]*

Proof. Since

$$-\frac{|x|^n}{n!} \leq \frac{x^n}{n!} \leq \frac{|x|^n}{n!},$$

all we need to show is that $|x|^n/n! \rightarrow 0$. We can then apply theorem 31 to conclude that $x^n/n! \rightarrow 0$.

The first step in showing that $|x|^n/n! \rightarrow 0$ is to choose an integer $M > |x|$, so that $(|x|/M) < 1$. By Theorem 32, we then have that $(|x|/M)^n \rightarrow 0$. We then restrict our attention to values of $n > M$. For these values of n , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|x|^n}{n!} &= \frac{|x|^n}{1 \cdot 2 \cdots M \cdot \underbrace{(M+1) \cdot (M+2) \cdots n}_{(n-M) \text{ factors}}} \\ &\leq \frac{|x|^n}{M! M^{n-M}} = \frac{|x|^n \cdot M^M}{M^n \cdot M!} = \frac{M^M}{M!} \left(\frac{|x|}{M}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq \frac{|x|^n}{n!} \leq \frac{M^M}{M!} \left(\frac{|x|}{M}\right)^n.$$

Now, the constant $M^M/M!$ does not change as n increases. Thus Theorem 31 tells us that $|x|^n/n! \rightarrow 0$ because $(|x|/M)^n \rightarrow 0$. [6, p. AP-22] \square

20.3 L'Hospital's Rule

Theorem 34 (L'Hospital's Rule). *Suppose that $f(a) = g(a) = 0$, that f and g are differentiable on an open interval I containing a , and that $g'(x) \neq 0$ on I if $x \neq a$. Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \stackrel{H}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$$

assuming that the limit on the right side of this equation exists.

Proof. We first establish the limit equation for the case $x \rightarrow a^+$. The method needs almost no change to apply to $x \rightarrow a^-$, and the combination of these two cases establishes the result.

Suppose that x lies to the right of a . Then $g'(x) \neq 0$, and we can apply Cauchy's Mean Value Theorem to the closed interval from a to x . This step produces a number c between a and x such that

$$\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)}$$

But $f(a) = g(a)$, so

$$\frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$$

As x approaches a , c approaches a because it always lies between a and x . Therefore,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{c \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(c)}{g'(c)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$$

which establishes l'Hospital's Rule for the case where x approaches a from above. The case where x approaches a from below is proved by applying Cauchy's Mean Value Theorem (found in Section 10.5) to the closed interval $[x, a]$, $x < a$. [6] \square

Chapter 21

Logic Circuits

Look, everything we're putting into that box becomes ungrounded, and I don't mean grounded like to the earth, I mean, not tethered. I mean, we're blocking whatever keeps it moving forward and so they flip-flop. Inside the box it's like a street, both ends are cul-de-sacs. I mean, this isn't frame dragging or wormhole magic, this is basic mechanics and heat 101.

Primer, 2004

A logic circuit receives input signals p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , each a bit, and produces output signals s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n , each a bit.




Figure 21.1: Basic logic gates.

Chapter 22

The Fibonacci Sequence and the Golden Ratio

22.1 The Fibonacci Sequence




Figure 22.1: A plot of equation 22.2.

The *Fibonacci Sequence* is the first recursive number sequence known in Europe. Its first 10 numbers are

210 22.2 The Golden Ratio

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55 \dots .$$

A Fibonacci sequence, in general, is any sequence of numbers in which each number is the sum of the two preceding numbers.[8]

22.1.1 History

French mathematician Edouard Lucas coined the term “Fibonacci sequence” in the 19th century. The sequence is found throughout nature, as in the spirals of sunflower heads, pine cones, snail shells, and animal horns.[8] Because of this natural prevalence, patterns based on the Fibonacci sequence are considered aesthetically pleasing. The sequence can be found in Mozart and Beethoven’s works as well as in classical art and architecture. [? , p. 94]

22.1.2 Mathematics

DEFINITION: The *Fibonacci numbers* are the sequence of numbers $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined by the linear recurrence equation

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}. \quad (22.1)$$

Often, we will see them defined with $F_0 = 0$.

This can be represented in the *closed form*

$$F_n = \left[\frac{\Phi^n}{\sqrt{5}} \right] \quad (22.2)$$

where $[x]$ is the *nearest integer function*. [3]

22.2 The Golden Ratio

The Fibonacci sequence and the golden ratio are closely related.

DEFINITION: The *golden ratio*, denoted Φ , is given by the positive solution to the equation

$$\Phi^2 - \Phi - 1 = 0 \quad (22.3)$$




Figure 22.2: The Vitruvian Man, said to depict ideal human proportions, bases its proportions on the golden ratio.

Using the quadratic equation (19.1) we can find that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1^2 - 4(1)(-1)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+4}}{2} \\ &= \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}\end{aligned}$$

and taking the positive root

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \\ &= 1.6180339887498948\dots\end{aligned}$$

[12]

We will notice that many closed-form representations of the Fibonacci sequence use the golden ratio. For example, *Binet's Formula*

$$F_n = \frac{\Phi^n - (-\Phi)^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}} \tag{22.4}$$

212 22.2 The Golden Ratio

derived¹ by Binet in 1843 and equation 22.2 both write F_n in terms of Φ .[11]

The ratio of consecutive terms in the Fibonacci sequence approximate the golden ratio:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{1} &= 1 \\ \frac{2}{1} &= 2 \\ \frac{3}{2} &= 1.5 \\ \frac{5}{3} &= 1.\bar{6}\dots \\ \frac{8}{5} &= 1.6 \\ \frac{13}{8} &= 1.625 \\ \frac{21}{13} &\approx 1.6153846\end{aligned}$$

Through this, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_n}{F_{n-1}} = \Phi \quad (22.5)$$

[3]




Figure 22.3: Equation 22.5 converges to Φ .

¹Though not for the first time.

22.3 Culture

22.3.1 Lateralus

The song “*Lateralus*” by the American rock band Tool counts out the Fibonacci sequence in its syllables:²

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Black, |
| 1 | then, |
| 2 | white are, |
| 3 | all I see, |
| 5 | in my infancy, |
| 8 | red and yellow then came to be, |
| 5 | reaching out to me, |
| 3 | lets me see. |
| 2 | There is, |
| 1 | so, |
| 1 | much, |
| 2 | more and |
| 3 | beckons me, |
| 5 | to look through to these, |
| 8 | infinite possibilities. |
| 13 | As below so above and beyond I imagine, |
| 8 | drawn beyond the lines of reason. |
| 5 | Push the envelope. |
| 3 | Watch it bend. |

Figure 22.4: Maynard James Keenan’s vocals.

²[http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Lateralus%20\(song\)&oldid=479876017](http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Lateralus%20(song)&oldid=479876017)

Index

modus ponens, 29

modus tollens, 30

absolute complement, 40

addition, 30

alternating series test, 176

amplitude, 75

antecedent, 19

antiderivative, 94

arbitrarily close, 67

argument, 29

battery, 195

biconditional statement, 19

bijective, 53

bounded, 142

capacitance, 195

capacitor, 195

capacitors in parallel, 196

capacitors in series, 197

Cartesian coordinates, 191

Cauchy's Mean Value Theorem, 93

circuits, 195

complement, 39

complex number, 185

complex sum, 185

composition, 54, 55

conclusion, 19

conditional statement, 18

conjecture, 33

conjugate, 201

conjunction, 18, 30

consequence, 19

contingency, 20

continuous, 76

contradiction, 20

contrapositive, 19

converging sequence, 140

converse, 19

corollary, 33

cosine, 57

current, direction of, 196

DeMorgan's laws for quantifiers, 27

dependent variable, 51

derivative, 79

derivative, limit definition, 82

derivatives, constant multiples, 86

derivatives, constant rule, 86

derivatives, product rule, 87

derivatives, quotient rule, 87

derivatives, sum of, 85

deriving trigonometric identities, 59

difference, 186

difference quotient, 81

differentiable, 90

direct proofs, 33

disjoint, 39

disjunction, 18

disjunctive syllogism, 30

diverging sequence, 141

domain, 50

domain of discourse, 26

epsilon-delta definition of a limit, 72

equality of sets, 38

even functions, 51

exclusive or, 18

existential generalization, 31

existential instantiation, 31

existential quantification, 26

fact, 33

factorial, 145

Farad, 195

- function, 49
- fundamental theorem of calculus, 103
- geometric series, 155, 178
- graph, 53
- graphs, 53
- horizontal line test, 52
- hypotenuse, 57
- hypothesis, 19
- hypothetical syllogism, 30
- imaginary unit, 186
- independent variable, 51
- indirect proofs, 34
- infinite discontinuity, 69
- injective, 52
- integration by parts, 106
- intersection, 39
- inverse, 19
- inverse functions, 54, 55, 93
- jump discontinuity, 70
- l'Hospital's Rule, 92
- law of cosines, 61
- Leibniz's Test, 176
- lemma, 33
- lemmata, 33
- limit definition, 67
- limit laws, 68
- limits, 67, 92
- limits of sequences, 144
- linearization, 90
- logic circuits, 207
- logical equivalence, 20, 27
- lower bound, 141
- Maclaurin Series, 181
- mean value theorem, 76
- natural domain, 50
- natural logarithm, 98
- negation, 17
- negative, 185
- nondecreasing, 142
- odd functions, 51
- one-to-one, 52
- onto, 52
- oscillating discontinuity, 69
- piecewise function, 73
- plane polar coordinates, 191
- point-slope formula, 201
- polar coordinates, 191
- power rule, 84, 203
- Power Series, 178
- predicate, 25
- premise, 19
- product, 186
- proof by contradiction, 36
- proofs, 33
- proposition, 17
- propositional calculus, 17
- propositonal function, 25
- quadratic formula, 201
- quantification, 26
- quantifiers, order of, 28
- radius of convergence, 180
- range, 50
- reciprocal power series, 179
- reciprocal trigonometric functions, 59
- resolution, 31
- result, 33
- rules of inference, 29
- sandwich theorem, 72
- scalar quantity, 192
- second derivative, 86
- sequence, 139
- series comparison test, 159
- series integral test, 159
- series limit test, 152
- series ratio test, 166
- series root test, 165
- set builder notation, 37
- side limits, 70
- sigma notation, 41
- significant figures, 191
- simplification, 30
- sine, 57
- singleton set, 38

216 INDEX

slope, 79
subset, 38
sufficiently close, 68
surjective, 52

tangent, 57
tautology, 20
Taylor series, 180
The Sandwich Theorem for Sequences, 148
The Sandwich Theorem for Sequences, 205
theorem, 33
transcendental functions, 92
trigonometric identities, 58

union, 39
uniqueness quantifier, 26
unit, 186
unit vector, 192
universal quantification, 26
upper bound, 141

vector quantity, 192
vertical line test, 50

well-ordering principle, 40

zero, 185

Bibliography

- [1] The free lecture notes page. URL <http://www.math.wisc.edu/~angenent/Free-Lecture-Notes/>.
- [2] Supreme Aryal. Unit circle, 2010. URL <http://www.texample.net/tikz/examples/unit-circle/>. [Online; accessed 14-April-2012].
- [3] Pravin Chandra and Eric W. Weisstein. Fibonacci number, 2012. URL <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/FibonacciNumber.html>.
- [4] Earl A. Coddington. *An Introduction To Ordinary Differential Equations*. Dover Publications, 1989.
- [5] Albert R Meyer Eric Lehman, F Thompson Leighton. *Mathematics for Computer Science*. MIT OpenCourseWare, 2012.
- [6] Jr. George B. Thomas, Maurice D. Weir, and Joel Hass. *Thomas' Calculus*. Addison-Wesley, 12 edition, 2010.
- [7] Sir Isaac Newton. *The Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*. B. Motte, 1729. URL <http://books.google.com/books?id=TmOFAAAQAAJ>.
- [8] Leonardo Pisano. Encyclopædia britannica online, 2012. URL <http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/336467/Leonardo-Pisano>. [Online; accessed 20-March-2012].
- [9] Kenneth H. Rosen. *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*. McGraw-Hill, 7 edition, 2012.
- [10] Raymond A. Serway and Jr. John W. Jewett. *Physics for Scientists and Engineers with modern physics*.
- [11] Eric W. Weisstein. Binet's fibonacci number formula, 2012. URL <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/BinetsFibonacciNumberFormula.html>.
- [12] Eric W. Weisstein. Golden ratio, 2012. URL <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/GoldenRatio.html>.
- [13] Wikipedia. Transcendental function — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2012. URL http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Transcendental_function&oldid=478129834. [Online; accessed 3-April-2012].
- [14] Richard C. DiPrima William E. Boyce. *Elementary Differential Equations and Boundary Value Problems*. Laurie Rosatone, 9th edition, 2009.