Project 2 Getting Shit Done and Doin' Work

Numpy Nat Hawkins, Venv Victor Ramirez, Matplotlib Mike Roosa, Pandas Pranjal "Danger" Tiwari 27 February, 2017

Abstract

The goal of this project is to explore a model of quantum dots. We will be investigating the behavior of two electron in a 3-D simple harmonic potential while comparing the models with and without the particles interacting. To do this we will be solving the Schrdinger equation using the Jacobi method. What we found is that with our Jacobi eigensolver, one of the many issues surrounding it is that we do not know the maximum number of iterations needing to be performed on the matrix in question in order to get the eigenvalues. This lead to some issues in our attempts at writing the program for the eigensolver. We were able to calculate the eigenvalues for a square symmetric matrix that agree with the eigenvalues of standard python library solvers (i.e. numpy.linalg.eig). The eigensolver was also used to compute eigenvalues for a specific value of frequency, ω , which we then compared to the analytic results. Our numerical values were within 0.5% of the analytic value.

1 Introduction

Given a system, we can extract information from it such as energies or spin by solving for the eigenvalues. We can then predict the Hamiltonian of a system using the Shrödinger equation. If we have a system with many particles, it is impractical to solve for eigenvalues by hand as the dimensions of the matrix become large. Computationally solving for eigenvalues make this task faster and easier. This project explores the method of solving for eigenvalues and applies them to a system of electrons in a harmonic oscillator.

1.1 Mathematical Motivation

The aim of this project is to solve Schroedinger's equation for two electrons in a three-dimensional harmonic oscillator well with and without a repulsive Coulomb interaction. We aimed to solve this equation by reformulating it in a discretized form as an eigenvalue equation to be solved with Jacobi's method.

Electrons confined in small areas in semiconductors, so-called quantum dots, form a hot research area in modern solid-state physics, with applications spanning from such diverse fields as quantum nano-medicine to the contraction of quantum gates.

Here we will assume that these electrons move in a three-dimensional harmonic oscillator potential (they are confined by for example quadrupole fields) and repel each other via the static Coulomb interaction. We assume spherical symmetry.

We are first interested in the solution of the radial part of Schroedinger's equation for one electron. This equation reads

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}r^2\frac{d}{dr}-\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)R(r)+V(r)R(r)=ER(r).$$

In our case V(r) is the harmonic oscillator potential $(1/2)kr^2$ with $k = m\omega^2$ and E is the energy of the harmonic oscillator in three dimensions. The oscillator frequency is ω and the energies are

$$E_{nl} = \hbar\omega \left(2n + l + \frac{3}{2}\right),$$

with $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

Since we have made a transformation to spherical coordinates it means that $r \in [0, \infty)$. The quantum number l is the orbital momentum of the electron. Then we substitute R(r) = (1/r)u(r) and obtain

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dr^2}u(r)+\left(V(r)+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right)u(r)=Eu(r).$$

The boundary conditions are u(0) = 0 and $u(\infty) = 0$.

We introduce a dimensionless variable $\rho = (1/\alpha)r$ where α is a constant with dimension length and get

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m\alpha^2}\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2}u(\rho) + \left(V(\rho) + \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{2m\alpha^2}\right)u(\rho) = Eu(\rho).$$

We will set in this project l=0. Inserting $V(\rho)=(1/2)k\alpha^2\rho^2$ we end up with

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m\alpha^2}\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2}u(\rho) + \frac{k}{2}\alpha^2\rho^2u(\rho) = Eu(\rho).$$

We multiply thereafter with $2m\alpha^2/\hbar^2$ on both sides and obtain

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2}u(\rho) + \frac{mk}{\hbar^2}\alpha^4\rho^2u(\rho) = \frac{2m\alpha^2}{\hbar^2}Eu(\rho).$$

The constant α can now be fixed so that

$$\frac{mk}{\hbar^2}\alpha^4 = 1,$$

or

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{mk}\right)^{1/4}.$$

Defining

$$\lambda = \frac{2m\alpha^2}{\hbar^2}E,$$

we can rewrite Schroedinger's equation as

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2}u(\rho)+\rho^2u(\rho)=\lambda u(\rho).$$

This is the first equation to solve numerically. In three dimensions the eigenvalues for l=0 are $\lambda_0=3,\lambda_1=7,\lambda_2=11,\ldots$

We use the by now standard expression for the second derivative of a function u

$$u'' = \frac{u(\rho + h) - 2u(\rho) + u(\rho - h)}{h^2} + O(h^2), \tag{1}$$

where h is our step. Next we define minimum and maximum values for the variable ρ , $\rho_{\min} = 0$ and ρ_{\max} , respectively. You need to check your results for the energies against different values ρ_{\max} , since we cannot set $\rho_{\max} = \infty$.

With a given number of mesh points, N, we define the step length h as, with $\rho_{\min} = \rho_0$ and $\rho_{\max} = \rho_N$,

$$h = \frac{\rho_N - \rho_0}{N}.$$

The value of ρ at a point i is then

$$\rho_i = \rho_0 + ih$$
 $i = 1, 2, ..., N$.

We can rewrite the Schroedinger equation for a value ρ_i as

$$-\frac{u(\rho_i+h)-2u(\rho_i)+u(\rho_i-h)}{h^2}+\rho_i^2u(\rho_i)=\lambda u(\rho_i),$$

or in a more compact way

$$-\frac{u_{i+1}-2u_{i}+u_{i-1}}{h^{2}}+\rho_{i}^{2}u_{i}=-\frac{u_{i+1}-2u_{i}+u_{i-1}}{h^{2}}+V_{i}u_{i}=\lambda u_{i},$$

where $V_i = \rho_i^2$ is the harmonic oscillator potential.

We define first the diagonal matrix element

$$d_i = \frac{2}{h^2} + V_i,$$

and the non-diagonal matrix element

$$e_i = -\frac{1}{h^2}.$$

In this case the non-diagonal matrix elements are given by a mere constant. All non-diagonal matrix elements are equal. With these definitions the Schroedinger equation takes the following form

$$d_i u_i + e_{i-1} u_{i-1} + e_{i+1} u_{i+1} = \lambda u_i$$

where u_i is unknown. We can write the latter equation as a matrix eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_0 & e_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ e_1 & d_1 & e_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 & d_2 & e_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & e_{N-1} & d_{N-1} & e_{N-1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & e_N & d_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ u_N \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ u_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(2)$$

Since the values of u at the two endpoints are known via the boundary conditions, we can skip the rows and columns that involve these values. Inserting the values for d_i and e_i we have the a matrix form we can now use in Jacobi's Algorithm to solve for the energies. [2] The Hamiltonians that we will be concerned with will be in the form of a tridiagonal matrix and tridiagonal matrices are simple to get eigenvalues from, but if the matrix were 100x100, it would be too much to compute by hand. Therefore, the discretized method we will implement will allow for ease of computation via numerical methods.

2 Solution

2.1 Setup

We know that the Hamiltonian is a tridiagonal matrix, where the diagonals are $2/\hbar^2 + V_N$ and the elements on either side of the diagonals are $-1/\hbar^2$ for an NxN matrix, is given by multiplying out the matrix from the mathematical motivation (2), is given as:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{h^2} + V_1 & -\frac{1}{h^2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{h^2} & \frac{2}{h^2} + V_2 & -\frac{1}{h^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{h^2} & \frac{2}{h^2} + V_3 & -\frac{1}{h^2} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{h^2} & \frac{2}{h^2} + V_4 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -\frac{1}{h^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{h^2} & \frac{2}{h^2} + V_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix is what we need to get the eigenvalues of. There is a function in python which tells us the eigenvalues of a matrix and we use this at the beginning of our code to see what eigenvalues to expect, so that once we create out Jacobi solver, we know whether the values returned are correct or not.

2.2 Jacobi Algorithm

We chose the Jacobi method to solve for our eigenvalues. The Jacobi method is an iterative method that transforms a symmetric tridiagonal matrix by rotating the matrix until it converges to a solution. The algorithm is as follows:

- 1. Search for the largest matrix element $|a_{pq}|$, where indices p and q denote the row and column of the max non-diagonal element of the matrix.
- 2. Given p and q, we will perform the Jacobi rotation. We define the quantities s, c, t as $\sin \theta$, $\cos \theta$, and $\tan \theta$ respectively. To obtain s and s, we use the following relationships:

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}$$
$$s = tc$$

We also define a quantity τ as:

$$\tau = \frac{a_{qq} - a_{pp}}{a_{pq}}$$
 where $t^2 + 2\tau t - 1 = 0$.

Truncation errors occur when τ is very large which skew the value of t and thus s and c. To avoid this, we redefine t as:

$$t = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\tau + \sqrt{1 + \tau^2}}, & \text{for } \tau > 0\\ \frac{1}{-\tau + \sqrt{1 + \tau^2}}, & \text{for } \tau < 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

3. We use t and τ to compute c and s. With values for c and s and indices p and q, we calculate the elements of our new matrix as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ip} &= a_{ip}c - a_{iq}s & i \neq p, i \neq q \\ b_{iq} &= a_{iq}c + a_{ip}s & i \neq p, i \neq q \\ b_{pp} &= a_{pp}c^2 - 2a_{pq}cs + a_{qq}s^2 \\ b_{qq} &= a_{pp}s^2 + 2a_{pq}cs + a_{qq}c^2 \\ b_{pq} &= 0 \\ b_{pi} &= b_{ip} \\ b_{ai} &= b_{iq} \end{aligned}$$

The first two expressions transform the tridiagonal elements to converge to 0. The following two expressions are further corrections to the max elements remaining in the matrix. The last expression "forces" the matrix to stay symmetric as the Jacobi method only works for symmetric matrices.

4. We then repeat 1. and 2. until the largest non-diagonal element a_{pq} is less than some desired accuracy ϵ . We can then read off the eigenvalues as the diagonal elements of the transformed matrix A.

This method is a straightforward, albeit inefficient way to solve for the eigenvalues. We chose this method for its simplicity as it allowed us to easier understand the nuances of eigenvalue solvers. For future work that requires solving for eigenvalues, it's best to stick to faster algorithms such as the Householder algorithm or use libraries such as numpy's linalg module for Python or armadillo for C++.

2.3 Preservation of Orthogonality and Unit Testing

A unitary transformation preserves the orthogonality of the obtained eigenvectors. To see this consider first a basis of vectors \mathbf{v}_i ,

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \begin{bmatrix} v_{i1} \\ \dots \\ v_{in} \end{bmatrix}$$

We assume that the basis is orthogonal, that is

$$\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v}_i = \delta_{ij}$$
.

We set out to show that an orthogonal or unitary transformation

$$\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{v}_i$$

preserves the dot product and orthogonality.

In the code, which will be provided at the end of the report, we implemented multiple unit tests, one of which having to do with the preservation of orthogonality. Out[17] shows the unit test that we performed for a 3×3 matrix we created. This is found on page 8 of 14 in the attached python notebook. The goal was to extract the eigenvectors from the intermediate matrices while we were implementing transformations on the initial 3×3 matrix. The iterative Jacobi solver then returned various dot products every other iteration. If the dot products returned values approximately equal to 0, then the vectors are still orthogonal.

The outputs in Out[17] show that the dot products in our unit test yielded values of the order 10^{-16} or smaller. There is one random output of 0.00372, but this can be explained by a lagging dot product associated with loss of numerical precision at the end of the matrix before the "clean" function is implemented. The clean function merely scans the matrix and removes points set below a certain tolerance. I set said tolerance to approximately 10^{-9} . The values in the matrix were small prior to this cleaning, and the carrying forward floating points, which we found to be a problem in our error analysis in Project 1.

We also conducted testing to see whether or not orthogonality was preserved in the matrix that we used to compare to the analytic results. The dot products yield ≈ 0 values. Thus, we could see this preservation of orthogonality in the larger matrices as well. See last page of ipython notebook.

This unit testing proved that the orthogonality was conserved carrying forward through multiple transformations. Multiple other unit tests were performed throughout our analysis. The first 8 input cells of the ipython notebook are actually unit tests of the individual functions before we compiled them into one large function that could fulfill all of the needed tests. We then repeated unit tests of the fully compiled functions for a 2×2 matrix and two 3×3 matrices. This was to test whether or not the eigenvalue solver was achieving the correct eigenvalues without scaling up to the large size matrices. These tests can be seen in outputs, In[8] (page 4 of 14), Out[15] (page 7 of 14), and Out[19] (page 9 of 14). We found that implementing unit tests was critically important for our overall solutions because it allowed for a more step-by-step natured problem-solving environment as well as checking our results before we were no longer able to debug.

Moving forward in whatever projects we work on, implementing unit testing and small scale trial runs of functions and numerical methods in our programs will be included for the sake of ensuring proper functionality and properties, as we were able to show with the preservation of orthogonality and proper functionality carrying forward from small scale to large scale matrices.

2.4 Comparison to Analytic Solution

Following the completion of our Jacobi eigenvalue solver, one of the tasks we wanted to complete was to look at how our numerically calculated eigenvalues compared to the analytic solutions provided by Taut [1].

The example that we chose to evaluate to compare to the analytic solutions was the case where $\omega = 0.25$. Taut describes this as $\frac{1}{\omega}$, but in our case it was much simpler to define the value of ω as we had previously.

In this analytic result, the ground state energy, corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue, was given as $\epsilon_s = 0.6250$. Through our numerical analysis, the Jacobi eigenvalue we created yielded an eigenvalue of 1.2436. There was an ancillary factor of two involved in the way that they defined the rearranged Schrödinger equation. Thus, we yield a final ground state energy, or lowest eigenvalue, of $\epsilon = 0.6218$. This gives a 0.5% error when compared to the published analytic result.

From this, we can conclude that with proper rescaling of our ρ_{max} value, we can achieve the same results proposed by Taut. With respectably low percent error values as well. In short, our numerical methods are successful in comparison to the published analytic results.

3 Conclusion

We have found that the Jacobi method is a slower way of finding the eigenvalues of a matrix compared to the built in eigenvalue solver function. But when we have large matrices, simply storing it could take up a significant amount of space, which is one of the downfalls of using the built in functions, they require a defined matrix to work, which may be impractical with a sufficiently large matrix. The Jacobi method is one solution to a matrix so large that it is not feasible to store it, where we can create a matrix using smaller vectors, while still being able to find reasonably close eigenvalues to what is returned from the built in functions.

References

- [1] M. Taut. Two Electrons in an External Oscillator Potential: Particular Analytic Solutions of a Coulomb Correlation Problem. Physical Review A, November, 1993.
- [2] Morten Hjorth-Jenson. *PHY 480 Github*. https://github.com/CompPhysics/ComputationalPhysicsMSU. 2016-2017.

```
In [1]: import time
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline
   import numpy as np
   from scipy import linalg as la
   import pandas
   import math
   import copy
   import time
```

Just to note, any sort of randomly placed number is the timing value for how long the program took to run.

```
In [2]:
        This will intialize the kind of matrix we want to work with in our proje
        ct.
        #define the size of the system
        n = 40
        #define values
        omega = 1
        A = np.zeros(shape=(n,n))
        V = np.zeros(n)
        #harmonic oscillation potential
        #rho max is 10, rho0 is 0
        p = np.linspace(0,10,n)
        for i in range(n):
            V[i] = p[i]**2
        #define step size
        h = (p[-1] - p[0])/n
        #create the matrix A
        const = -1/(h**2)
        const2 = 2/(h**2)
        #Make Matrix A
        #we evaluate until n-1 so that we eliminate the issues of indexing with
         the endpoints
        A[0][0] = const2+V[0]
        for i in range(n-1):
            A[i][i] = const2+V[i+1]
        #index until n-2 to avoid the rox and column associated with the endpoin
        t which we are trying to ignore
        for i in range(n-2):
            A[i][i+1] = const
            A[i+1][i] = const
        eigenvalues = la.eigvals(A)
        print(sorted(eigenvalues)[1:5])
```

```
[(3.0562261623224041+0j), (7.075201124214856+0j), (11.025434589877651+0j), (14.905007782815206+0j)]
```

The above using scipy.linalg.eigvals to solve for the eigenvalues of the matrix, A. Now we need to use the Jacobi Algorithm in order to make our own eigenvalue solver. We will start with a 4×4 case first

Our method needs to yield the same values for our test Matrix.

The dot product above shows that the eigenvectors are indeed orthogonal at the beginning. I will test this again after the transformation.

```
p = 0
        q = 0
        for i in range(0,len(Matrix)):
             for j in range(0,len(Matrix)):
                 if abs(Matrix[i][j])>=val and i!=j:
                     val = abs(Matrix[i][j])
                     p = j
                     q = i
        val, p, q #Returns the maximum value and indexing
Out[6]: (4, 0, 1)
In [7]: #This will provide the values for sin, cos, and tan that we need in loop
         ing over our algorithm.
        tau = (Matrix[q][q]-Matrix[p][p])/(2*Matrix[p][q])
        tau
        if tau<0:</pre>
             tan = 1/(-tau+math.sqrt((1+tau**2)))
             tan = 1/(tau+math.sqrt((1+tau**2)))
        cos = (1+tan**2)**(-1/2.)
        sin = tan*cos
        tan, cos, sin
```

In [6]: val = 0

```
In [8]: B = np.zeros((Matrix.ndim, Matrix.ndim))
        B[p][q] = 0
        B[q][p] = 0
        for i in range(0,Matrix.ndim):
            if i!=p and i!=q:
                B[i][p] = Matrix[i][p]*cos - Matrix[i][q]*sin
                B[i][q] = Matrix[i][q]*cos + Matrix[i][q]*sin
                B[p][i] = B[i][p]
                B[q][i] = B[i][q]
            else:
                B[p][p] = Matrix[p][p]*cos**2-2*Matrix[p][q]*cos*sin+Matrix[q]
        [q]*sin**2
                B[q][q] = Matrix[p][p]*sin**2+2*Matrix[p][q]*cos*sin+Matrix[q]
        [q]*cos**2
        print(la.eigvals(Matrix))
        print(B)
        [ 5.53112887+0.j -2.53112887+0.j]
        [[ 5.53112887 0.
         [ 0.
                      -2.53112887]]
In [9]: eval2, evecs2 = la.eig(B)
        print(evecs2[0],evecs2[1])
        np.dot(evecs2[0],evecs2[1])
        [ 1. 0.] [ 0. 1.]
Out[9]: 0.0
```

The dot product orthogonality is preserved through 1 transformation according to the dot product above. Since this succeeds for this unit test of orthogonality, then I will trust this moving forward.

```
In [10]:
         This function, jacobi, is the function that we will loop over multiple t
         imes until whatever tolerance we set is met
         for the maximum off diagonal elements. The function begins by looping th
         rough the matrix, and it finds the maximum
         value and stores its index. Then, that enters into the jacobi algorithm
          and the function returns the matrix after
         one transformation and the maximum off diagonal value. This way, when it
          enters into the function for a seocnd
         iteration, we have a value to test against our tolerance and the matrix,
          which we run through the jacobi function once
         more. It combines the pieces from the above functions into one function.
         def jacobi(Matrix):
             #print(Matrix)
             val = 0.0
             p = 0
             q = 0
             for i in range(0,len(Matrix[0])):
                 for j in range(i+1,len(Matrix[1])):
```

if Matrix[p][q] !=0:

if abs(Matrix[i][j])>=val:

q = j

val = abs(Matrix[i][j])

```
tau = (Matrix[q][q]-Matrix[p][p])/(2*Matrix[p][q])
                 #print(val,p,q)
                 if tau<0:</pre>
                      tan = -1/(-tau+math.sqrt((1+tau**2)))
                 else:
                      tan = 1/(tau + math.sqrt((1+tau**2)))
                 cos = 1/math.sqrt(1+tan**2)
                 sin = tan*cos
             else:
                 cos = 1.0
                 sin = 0.0
             B = copy.copy(Matrix)
             B[p][p] = Matrix[p][p]*cos**2-2*Matrix[p][q]*cos*sin+Matrix[q][q]*si
         n**2
             B[q][q] = Matrix[p][p]*sin**2+2*Matrix[p][q]*cos*sin+Matrix[q][q]*co
         s**2
             B[p][q] = 0
             B[q][p] = 0
             #print(la.eigvals(Matrix))
             for i in range(0,len(B[0])):
                 if i!=p and i!=q:
                      B[i][p] = Matrix[i][p]*cos - Matrix[i][q]*sin
                     B[i][q] = Matrix[i][q]*cos + Matrix[i][p]*sin
                     B[p][i] = B[i][p]
                     B[q][i] = B[i][q]
             return B, val
In [11]: jacobi(np.array([[2.0,-4.0],[-4.0,1.0]]))
Out[11]: (array([[ 5.53112887, 0.
                             , -2.53112887]]), 4.0)
                 [ 0.
In [12]: #Testing Function through our original matrix. Another unit test for a 3
         x3 case
         test3d = np.array([[3.0,2.0,1.0],[2.0,4.0,1.0],[1.0,1.0,5.0]])
         test3d, la.eigvals(test3d)
Out[12]: (array([[ 3., 2., 1.],
                 [ 2., 4., 1.],
                             5.]]),
                 [ 1., 1.,
          array([ 6.71447874+0.j, 1.42879858+0.j, 3.85672268+0.j]))
```

```
In [13]:
          This is just an ancillary function that I defined. Since, in the end, we
          expect to get some values that are very close
         to 0, but not quite so, then I want to clean up the matrix and get rid o
         f the matrix elements that are negligibly small
         so that we can neatly read off our eigenvalues.
          , , ,
         def clean(Matrix):
             for i in range(len(Matrix[0])):
                  for j in range(len(Matrix[1])):
                      if Matrix[i][j] <= 1.0e-9:</pre>
                          Matrix[i][j] = 0
             return Matrix
In [14]:
          This takes our jacobi function and loops through it multiple times until
          the maximum off diagonal value is \geq 10^{(-7)}.
         def jacobi_iteration(Matrix):
             start_time = time.time()
             A = Matrix
             val = 1.0e-5
             while val >= 1.0e-7:
                  A, val = jacobi(A)
             clean(A)
             print(time.time()-start time)
             return A
In [15]: #Runnning our 3D unit test matrix through this
         result = jacobi iteration(test3d)
```

```
In [15]: #Runnning our 3D unit test matrix through this
    result = jacobi_iteration(test3d)
    clean(result)
    0.0018649101257324219
```

We get the expected eigenvalues for our test3d matrix.

I want to confirm that orthogonolaity is preserved throughout.

```
In [34]:
         This will be a unit test for the 3x3 case. I have updated my iteration f
         unction to include an argument for the eigen-
         vectors that will do the dot products between the various eigenvectors.
          If the values of the dot product are reasonably
         close to approximately 0, then I can consider these to be orthogonal. Th
         is will show that orthogonality is preserved
         throughout this transformation.
         def jacobi_iteration_ortho(Matrix):
             start time = time.time()
             A = Matrix
             val = 1.0e-5
             i=0
             while val>=1.0e-7:
                 A, val = jacobi(A)
                 values, vectors = la.eig(A)
                 i+=1
                 if i%5==0:
                     values, vectors = la.eig(A)
                     print(np.dot(vectors[0], vectors[1]))
                     print(np.dot(vectors[1], vectors[2]))
                     print(np.dot(vectors[0], vectors[2]))
             clean(A)
             print(time.time()-start_time)
             return A
```

```
In [17]: jacobi iteration ortho(test3d)
         8.76848506998e-18
         1.00613961607e-16
         4.16333634234e-17
         -2.24993126614e-22
         4.33680868994e-18
         3.38813178902e-20
         0.0
         -8.07793566946e-28
         -4.81482486097e-35
         6.15486959691e-31
         -1.74017104831e-16
         2.80964162649e-19
         0.004377126693725586
Out[17]: array([[ 1.42879858,
                                0.
                                                        ],
                [ 0.
                                6.71447874,
                                             0.
                [ 0.
                                0.
                                             3.85672268]])
```

The values printed in the above cells along with the diagonal matrix are the dot products of the eigenvectors of the matrix as the transformations are being applied. The dot products show that the orthogonality is preserved across multiple transformations. This unit test proves that our algorithm preserves orthogonality. Since this unit test passes for the 3×3 case, then I can trust my algorithm to do so in larger size matrices with more repetitions of the algorithm.

```
In [18]:
         #For safety sake, I will do another test.
         testnumber2 = np.array([[2.0,1.0,0.0],[1.0,3.0,0.0],[0.0,0.0,4.0]])
         la.eigvals(testnumber2)
Out[18]: array([ 1.38196601+0.j, 3.61803399+0.j,
                                                    4.00000000+0.j])
In [19]:
         jacobi iteration(testnumber2)
         0.0003590583801269531
Out[19]: array([[ 1.38196601,
                                                        ],
                                3.61803399,
                 [ 0.
                                             0.
                                                        ],
                 [ 0.
                                0.
                                                        ]])
In [20]:
         harmonic is the matrix we created in the very beginning of our python no
          tebook. It will make the matrix which has
          -1 on the off diagonals and 2+V[i] on the diagonals. The potential for t
         his matrix is the potential in a harmonic
         oscialltor potential.
         harmonic = jacobi_iteration(A)
         testdiags = []
         for i in range(len(harmonic)):
             testdiags.append(harmonic[i][i])
         0.9854481220245361
In [21]: sorted(testdiags)[1:5]
Out[21]: [3.0562261623224143,
          7.0752011242148791,
          11.025434589877747,
          14.905007782815277]
In [22]:
         la.eigvals(A)
Out[22]: array([
                   3.05622616+0.j,
                                       7.07520112+0.j,
                                                         11.02543459+0.j,
                   14.90500778+0.j,
                                      18.71181322+0.j,
                                                         22.44352205+0.j,
                  26.09754298+0.j,
                                      29.67096982+0.j,
                                                         33.16051320+0.j,
                  36.56240995+0.j,
                                      39.87229963+0.j,
                                                         43.08505152+0.j,
                  46.19451319+0.j,
                                      49.19312869+0.j,
                                                         52.07132476+0.j,
                                                         59.82706045+0.j,
                  54.81644692+0.j,
                                      57.41071834+0.j,
                  62.02674008+0.j,
                                      64.02273028+0.j,
                                                         66.03428517+0.j,
                  68.27912989+0.j,
                                      70.77267310+0.j,
                                                         73.48105039+0.j,
                   76.38132773+0.j,
                                      79.45923248+0.j,
                                                         82.70537658+0.j,
                  86.11405774+0.j,
                                      89.68486488+0.j,
                                                         93.42938120+0.j,
                  97.38425773+0.j,
                                     101.62192739+0.j,
                                                        106.24361197+0.j,
                 111.36203536+0.j,
                                     117.10106954+0.j,
                                                        123.62187797+0.j,
                 131.17874510+0.j,
                                     152.08908137+0.j,
                                                        140.25471007+0.j,
                    0.00000000+0.j1
```

Thus, we have created an eigenvalue solver for the harmonic oscillator potential!

```
In [23]: #This cell will create the array for the 2 electron case. This is a unit
          test for the kinds of matrices we get when
         #there is interaction between the two electrons.
         #define the size of the system
         n=40
         #define values
         omega=0.25
         twoelec = np.zeros(shape=(n,n))
         V = np.zeros(n)
         #harmonic oscillation potential
         #rho max is 10, rho0 is 0
         p = np.linspace(0,40,n)
         for i in range(n):
             V[i] = omega**2*p[i]**2+1/p[i]
         #define step size
         h = (p[-1] - p[0])/n
         #create the matrix A
         const = -1/(h**2)
         const2 = 2/(h**2)
         #Make Matrix A
         #we evaluate until n-1 so that we eliminate the issues of indexing with
          the endpoints
         twoelec[0][0] = const2+V[0]
         for i in range(n-1):
             twoelec[i][i] = const2+V[i+1]
         #index until n-2 to avoid the rox and column associated with the endpoin
         t which we are trying to ignore
         for i in range(n-2):
             twoelec[i][i+1] = const
             twoelec[i+1][i] = const
In [24]: la.eigvals(twoelec)/2
Out[24]: array([
                  0.62181583+0.j,
                                     1.06526775+0.j,
                                                       1.47963271+0.j,
                  1.85087367+0.j,
                                     2.14990337+0.j,
                                                       2.40874635+0.j,
                  2.77068912+0.j,
                                     3.22976528+0.j,
                                                       3.76667366+0.j,
                                     5.05435585+0.j,
                  4.37568805+0.j,
                                                       5.80137175+0.j,
                  6.61596051+0.j,
                                     7.49762833+0.j,
                                                       8.44604449+0.j,
                  9.46097880+0.j,
                                   10.54226605+0.j,
                                                      11.68978461+0.j,
                 12.90344296+0.j,
                                    14.18317098+0.j,
                                                      15.52891409+0.j,
                 16.94062916+0.j,
                                    18.41828171+0.j,
                                                      19.96184385+0.j,
                 21.57129279+0.j,
                                    23.24660973+0.j,
                                                      24.98777904+0.j,
                 26.79478762+0.j,
                                   28.66762441+0.j,
                                                      30.60628002+0.j,
                 32.61074643+0.j,
                                                      36.81708493+0.j,
                                    34.68101672+0.j,
```

41.28659509+0.j,

48.48604367+0.j,

43.62002860+0.j,

51.10945762+0.j,

39.01894590+0.j,

46.01925596+0.j,

0.00000000+0.j1

Our eigenvalues are off by a factor of two. This tells me that, while my eigenvalues are very close to the analytic solutions, I need to adjust my rhomax values in order to account for the varying values of omega.

```
In [25]: #frequency of 0.25
    twoe = jacobi_iteration(twoelec)
    testdiagselec = []
    for i in range(len(twoe)):
        testdiagselec.append(twoe[i][i]/2)
    sorted(testdiagselec)[1:5]

        0.3747251033782959

Out[25]: [0.62181583070179447,
        1.0652677454118185,
        1.4796327091107075,
        1.8508736665671786]
```

lectrons interacting in a harmonic

```
def interaction_matrix(n, omega, rhomax):
             #This cell will create the array for the 2 electron case
             #define the size of the system
             \#n = 40
             #define values
             \#omega=0.25
             matrix = np.zeros(shape=(n,n))
             V = np.zeros(n)
             #harmonic oscillation potential
             #rho max is 10, rho0 is 0
             p = np.linspace(0,rhomax,n)
             for i in range(n):
                 V[i] = omega**2*p[i]**2+1/p[i]
             #define step size
             h = (p[-1] - p[0])/n
             #create the matrix A
             const = -1/(h**2)
             const2 = 2/(h**2)
             #Make Matrix A
             #we evaluate until n-1 so that we eliminate the issues of indexing w
         ith the endpoints
             matrix[0][0] = const2+V[0]
             for i in range(n-1):
                 matrix[i][i] = const2+V[i+1]
             #index until n-2 to avoid the rox and column associated with the end
         point which we are trying to ignore
             for i in range(n-2):
                 matrix[i][i+1] = const
                 matrix[i+1][i] = const
             return matrix
In [27]: #Defined to make things easier to compare in the future. It will return
          my solved eigenvaues, and the expected values
         #as calculated by the linalg library functionality.
         def compare(matrix):
             expected = la.eigvals(matrix)
             array = jacobi_iteration(matrix)
             diags = []
             for i in range(len(array[0])):
                 diags.append(array[i][i])
             return sorted(diags)[1:5], expected[:5]
```

In [26]: #Now I can set up potential matrices much faster in the future for two e

#oscillator potential experiencing electrostatic repulsion

I now have a function that will allow me to compare multiple potentials! And see the results of my eigenvalue solver.

```
In [29]:
         I want to run a comparison between my eigenvalue solver and the analytic
          solution that Taut arrived at. With
         omega = 0.25, and the first slved eigenvalue (ground state) e' = 0.6250.
         analytic comp = interaction matrix(40, 0.25, 10/0.25)
         compare(analytic_comp)
         0.3588991165161133
Out[29]: ([1.2436316614035889,
           2.1305354908236369,
           2.9592654182214151,
           3.70174733313435711,
          array([ 1.24363166+0.j, 2.13053549+0.j, 2.95926542+0.j, 3.70174733+
         0.j,
                  4.29980673+0.j]))
In [30]: results = []
         for i in range(len(analytic comp)):
             results.append(twoe[i][i]/2)
         sorted(results)[1:5]
Out[30]: [0.62181583070179447,
          1.0652677454118185,
          1.4796327091107075,
          1.8508736665671786]
In [31]: abs(results[1]-0.6250)/(0.6250)*100
Out[31]: 0.50946708771288485
```

This shows that my eigenvalue solver yields a ground state energy within 0.5% of the expected analytic result proposed by Taut. $\omega = 0.25$. I adjusted my ρ_{max} by dividing by the value of omega that I chose. The results gave the low percent difference displayed above.

0.

In [32]: jacobi_iteration(analytic_comp) 0.3914649486541748 Out[32]: array([[2.95926542, 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.], 1.24363166, [0. 0. 0. 0. 0.], 4.29980673, ..., 0. [0. 0. 0.], 0. 0. 0. 0. 96.9720873 5, 0. 0.], 0. 0. 0. 0. 102.21891523, 0.], 0. 0. 0. 0.

0.

]])

In [35]: jacobi_iteration_ortho(analytic_comp)

- -8.32667268469e-17
- -1.17267306976e-15
- -1.11022302463e-16
- 2.22044604925e-16
- -9.02056207508e-16
- 5.55111512313e-16
- 1.13797860024e-15
- -1.12410081243e-15
- 1.27675647832e-15
- 1.66533453694e-16
- 0.0
- -2.22044604925e-16
- 2.05391259556e-15
- 1.76247905159e-15
- -1.97064586871e-15
- 6.10622663544e-16
- 1.12410081243e-15
- 3.60822483003e-16
- 2.91433543964e-16
- -5.89805981832e-17
- 6.10622663544e-16
- -3.60822483003e-16
- -9.02056207508e-17
- 0.0
- -1.80411241502e-16
- -9.02056207508e-16
- 2.15105711021e-16
- 4.57966997658e-16
- 7.04297731247e-16
- -1.51788304148e-16
- -6.80011602583e-16
- -4.10695782938e-16
- 2.79290479632e-16
- 4.16333634234e-17
- -3.05311331772e-16
- 1.70002900646e-16
- -5.9067334357e-16
- -5.03069808033e-16
- 6.93889390391e-18
- -9.59302082215e-16
- -2.82759926584e-16
- -4.51028103754e-17
- -7.1123662515e-17
- 6.76542155631e-17
- -1.11022302463e-16
- -6.40980324373e-16
- -4.51028103754e-16
- -1.47451495458e-16
- -5.47305256671e-16
- -8.72565908416e-16
- 1.4658413372e-16
- 6.61797006085e-16
- -4.92661467177e-16
- 2.60208521397e-18
- 8.23993651089e-17
- -2.58473797921e-16
- -2.21177243187e-16

7.89299181569e-16 3.61364584089e-16 -7.7195194681e-17 -3.95516952523e-16 -3.48028897368e-16 1.17961196366e-16 9.87925019569e-16 1.95698492134e-16 2.22911966663e-16 -2.27248775353e-16 -1.41705223944e-16 -9.54097911787e-18 -8.50014503229e-17 8.20741044572e-17 -2.16840434497e-16 -1.2420620088e-15 5.57279916658e-17 5.37764277553e-17 -2.15973072759e-16 1.99818460389e-16 5.20417042793e-17 -2.81892564846e-16 2.9869769852e-16 4.33680868994e-18 9.67108337857e-16 -6.38120741143e-16 1.90819582357e-17 -2.14672030152e-15 -4.07673569382e-16 -6.93889390391e-18 -1.67400815432e-16 -1.06821019044e-16 4.07660016855e-17 -2.07299455379e-16 -4.77645267088e-16 -6.93889390391e-17 -1.98625837999e-16 -3.74083630825e-16 0.0 9.76649316975e-16 -4.29027269982e-16 -2.08166817117e-17 -3.98986399475e-16 8.65355963969e-16 1.56125112838e-17 -1.44849410244e-16 4.06677458636e-16 -4.85722573274e-17 1.18828558104e-16 1.43284093358e-16 3.20923843056e-17 -5.58580959265e-16 3.09329656074e-16 5.63785129692e-17 -1.56125112838e-16 -5.79644974597e-16 -3.55618312575e-17

- -5.24753851483e-16 -4.45542718388e-16 -1.56125112838e-17 -7.00828284295e-16 -1.01355284842e-15 -1.82145964978e-17 -1.5092094241e-16 -2.42861286637e-16 -3.46944695195e-18 -4.62303806348e-16 6.37199169297e-16 1.90819582357e-17 -1.03562991516e-15 8.35242248629e-16 -1.82145964978e-17 4.56232274182e-16 3.33056742992e-16 -4.33680868994e-18 -8.87311057962e-16 4.86603487539e-17 4.33680868994e-18 -3.55860140482e-16 -1.82535811892e-15 3.20923843056e-17 -3.66153708373e-16 -1.02990227837e-15 3.20923843056e-17 -1.39374189273e-16 -4.09994270251e-15 1.64798730218e-17 -9.17218097264e-17 -7.05490353636e-16 -1.22480964173e-18 2.92149879728e-15 -1.11060842462e-15 4.14707330976e-18 2.11075782368e-15 -1.00392335436e-15 -1.42470941728e-18 1.59277642413e-15 -5.01153819507e-16 -5.6751207466e-19 -2.22169118768e-15 -6.13707841261e-16 -4.67562186884e-19 -4.33648681742e-16 -1.85946881647e-15 3.46436475427e-18 -1.4396595488e-17 -1.45167353201e-15 2.77826806699e-19 1.846274327e-15
- 7.62329652529e-21

1.23495719032e-15 5.5531480022e-18 9.55204983849e-16 -8.80045088986e-16

- 9.33719407498e-17 5.74085050331e-16 -7.84776025631e-18 1.6339183033e-15 2.99673480475e-16 5.75982404133e-20 -5.83131982593e-17 -5.2052546301e-16 2.8070671872e-18 -7.05259992935e-16 -3.90583832638e-16 -4.17587242996e-19 -2.93352412403e-15 5.07677667266e-16 2.03740830085e-18 -2.80666569358e-15 4.50919683537e-16 -1.48768639963e-18 -1.41472120477e-15 -5.6602645828e-19 1.31072062015e-18 1.1116545192e-15 -6.01748813862e-16 -5.03864352235e-19 4.67781568418e-16 1.02283242385e-15 -1.19431645563e-19 -3.07154475465e-16 2.28643830397e-16 2.10911203866e-19 8.87347605283e-16 -3.4603974058e-16 -3.09067247086e-19 2.92838142139e-16 4.56732686399e-16 2.61208127808e-20 2.28091818395e-16 -1.10345183994e-16 3.25058716279e-19 1.11300466025e-15 1.13617932773e-16 8.17236273078e-19 -7.75505918489e-16 -7.11927762263e-16 2.1246000909e-19 1.65224452213e-16 -4.400403783e-17 2.73837443852e-19 -1.91595533346e-15 -1.05776394238e-15 1.89134084503e-19 1.11223300214e-15 -1.01511050855e-16 5.97800068271e-19 2.58739602898e-16 -3.19540224138e-16
- http://localhost: 8888/nbconvert/html/Project%202.ipynb?download=false

-4.18406358598e-19

- 1.88090690348e-15
- 8.29326759669e-16
- -3.1712155641e-19
- -7.75311513816e-16
- 1.13186494804e-16
- 1.88888347238e-19
- 1.000003172300 17
- 2.79234416245e-16 -7.0986092453e-17
- -6.26804380968e-19
- -0.200043007006-13
- -1.44989492711e-15
- -1.45208158476e-16
- -3.04931861012e-20
- 1.65397768498e-15
- -3.24385898322e-16
- 1.94817577868e-19
- -3.51520834048e-15
- -1.07765553425e-16
- 4.61632956254e-19
- 1.09863279358e-15
- -3.37767215282e-16
- -1.6864100956e-19
- -1.31799971697e-15
- 2.63004290964e-16
- 4.12718939356e-19
- 3.71577168947e-15
- 4.25123029196e-16
- 1.71790410885e-19
- -8.07301111664e-16
- -3.62275258977e-16
- 3.02049847435e-21
- -2.49842685565e-15
- 2.91297025457e-16
- -1.05577181327e-20
- 4.19396626218e-16
- 1.31494072285e-16
- -3.82930181557e-19
- -1.36633863461e-15
- -4.80573288609e-17
- 4.12507814429e-20
- -1.77217967233e-15
- 1.772179072330 1
- 3.53289766198e-16
- 2.19994655119e-19
- -1.38850262759e-15
- 9.3397903458e-17
- 5.14891808789e-19
- -3.60393049293e-15
- 2.09547059533e-16
- -5.73852402746e-19
- 2.22202768088e-16
- 1.02606657217e-15
- -3.05865402187e-19
- -7.03577761022e-16
- 7.65047338926e-16
- -1.59908715865e-19
- -2.31250687399e-15
- 4.31810886285e-16
- -1.91990804447e-19

2.20209931561e-15 -3.5144228155e-16 4.15046144155e-20 1.13534771591e-15 -1.01751322408e-15 -4.48927462045e-20 -9.35135385197e-16 1.01199967285e-16 -5.50571415715e-20 -1.62833529077e-15 -1.48649345258e-15 -6.18334051496e-20 -2.9303045518e-15 -3.04607191565e-16 5.84452733605e-20 8.95650943347e-16 4.5778738366e-16 -7.28448334639e-20 -2.0678949893e-15 7.36062264171e-16 3.64224167319e-20 2.77558969973e-16 7.30305156591e-16 3.64224167319e-20 -5.43994547798e-16 8.98188906534e-17 -2.03287907341e-20 -1.23144204638e-15 1.72952745824e-16 1.21125711457e-19 1.30264095815e-15 -4.24395964789e-16 -5.92923063078e-21 -1.57472064631e-15 -1.00557044288e-15 8.63973606199e-20 -8.51379073307e-16 7.42732716989e-16 -1.01643953671e-20 -6.56760548181e-16 1.32228106085e-15 9.40206571452e-20 -2.27966805182e-15 7.03859958079e-16 4.06575814682e-20 -5.70476689976e-17 -1.76208668611e-15 -8.63973606199e-20 8.19066460435e-16 -1.92374462889e-15 6.09863722023e-20 2.31562296069e-20 -1.98509972111e-17 1.97849912785e-21 -2.34972353873e-19

-1.81709554413e-16 -4.13676462509e-22

- -4.31966993163e-20
- -1.61654976563e-15
- 4.86594281541e-22
- 1.1556962399e-20
- -2.39441242708e-15
- -1.57904632713e-22
- 8.32623013857e-20
- -4.53935944878e-16
- 1.15294321616e-21
- 1.08078217439e-20
- -2.0870659319e-15
- -3.19725658956e-22
- 1.48087378034e-20
- 1.34030590935e-15
- 8.25088126166e-22
- -1.56563747048e-20
- -1.2595904292e-15
- 1.33038446138e-21
- 1.16256615906e-20
- -3.8647563493e-16
- -4.02710737832e-22
- 2.09922901626e-19
- -6.09902560606e-16
- -3.42064149161e-22
- -3.05105488603e-20
- 1.51001646492e-15
- 7.98683012352e-22
- -1.19427492816e-20
- -4.19559499657e-16
- -4.03396771877e-23
- -9.76199319244e-21
- 1.76495155731e-15
- 3.56746125406e-23
- -2.6706382189e-20
- 3.2303418473e-16
- 2.42682446326e-22
- -5.33595278265e-21
- 5.90038323631e-16
- -1.0972111714e-22
- 1.28231191865e-20
- -4.54575352006e-16
- -2.2224337838e-22
- 5.56782609366e-20
- -2.02010796622e-15
- 8.08527523133e-24
- 7.70268230113e-20
- 4.87424321942e-16
- -7.9920672133e-23
- -8.16527154134e-20
- 5.00766275283e-16
- 6.18669213629e-23
- 3.08736762772e-20
- 1.39831956581e-15
- -6.36178517846e-238.90549042675e-20
- -1.10469895453e-15
- 2.20555260219e-22

1.29433888501e-19

```
-8.10365566732e-16
          2.47697906618e-23
          2.80309026819e-19
          -6.37723252797e-16
          2.24625933951e-23
          -6.59500519851e-20
          -5.33385754152e-16
          1.64757840972e-22
          3.03125491276e-20
          -3.89756687537e-16
          8.84540897782e-23
          -8.36465386195e-20
          6.49801655625e-16
          2.04698625909e-22
          -1.92212106456e-19
          -1.05944862453e-15
          1.40450884469e-22
          -3.07288888116e-19
          -4.20684123993e-16
          2.89227583637e-23
          2.09063031552e-19
          1.02843381866e-16
          -2.45437851679e-23
          -2.1261708354e-19
          -5.65499784636e-16
          -3.53868739477e-23
          -8.60107169439e-21
          -1.86223430906e-15
          -5.32555705711e-23
          8.04469394182e-21
          -6.07839344865e-16
          5.35401978966e-23
          0.8875041007995605
Out[35]: array([[
                      2.95926542,
                                      0.
                                                      0.
                                                                            0.
           ,
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                 ],
                 [
                      0.
                                      1.24363166,
                                                      0.
                                                                            0.
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                 ],
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                      4.29980673, ...,
                                                                            0.
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                 ],
                                                                           96.9720873
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                      0.
          5,
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                 ],
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                      0.
                                                                            0.
                    102.21891523,
                                      0.
                                                 ],
                      0.
                                                      0.
                                                                            0.
                                      0.
                      0.
                                      0.
                                                 ]])
```

Testing the preservation of orthogonality with the matrix we used to do the analytic comparison, we see that the
orhtogonality is preserved across multiple transformation as the inner product between the vectors of the matrix
remains \approx 0. Tested every 5 iterations.

Tn [].	1.	
T11 •	1 •	