
# **Evaluating solutions to the label-switching issue when estimating latent variable models with the NUTS algorithm**

Nathan DePuy, Jonathan Templin

#### Introduction

- <u>Label switching</u>: convergence of MCMC onto differing modes in posterior densities (Qiu & Yuan, 2023)
- Issue arises in Bayesian item response models that parameterize factor loadings  $(\lambda_i)$  or item discrimination  $(a_i)$




#### Methods


- Parameters sampled with the NUTS algorithm in Stan (Gabry, Češnovar, Johnson, & Bronder, 2024)
- Chain convergence determined using  $\hat{R} \le 1.05$  (Vehtari et al., 2021)
- Parameter recovery performance evaluated using bias and RMSE estimates
- Each condition replicated 100 times in parallel using clusters within a High-performance computing environment

### References



View article and citations by scanning the QR code above





## Findings

2PL λ (True vs. EAP)					
	Bias	RMSE	$N_{\widehat{R}} \ge 1.05$		
All Positive					
True/Inits	0.114	0.256	0		
Random					
True/Inits	-1.585	2.521	2595		
ADVI/α	-1.827	2.632	1553		
ADVI/+µ	-0.639	1.472	2135		
Random/α	-1.514	2.386	1995		
Random/+µ	-1.185	2.081	2517		
Std. Sum					
Score/a	0.097	0.25	0		
Std. Sum Score/+µ					
Score/+µ	0.018	0.201	0		

Bifactor $\lambda_g$ (True vs. EAP)				
	Bias	RMSE	$N_{\widehat{R}} \ge 1.05$	
<b>All Positive</b>				
True/Init.	0.093	0.275	340	
Random				
True/Init	-0.332	1.225	1231	
ADVI/α	-1.524	2.396	2406	
ADVI/+µ	-0.694	1.501	2438	
Random/α	-1.588	2.469	1993	
Random/+µ	-0.235	0.919	1538	
Std. Sum				
Score/a	0.082	0.271	0	
Std. Sum				
Score/+µ	-0.221	0.963	473	

### **Further Information**

Scan the QR code below to access reproducible code, additional visualizations, and more on GitHub



