National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior



Bingham, S. N., C. C. Young, J. L. Haack-Gaynor, L. W. Morrison, and G. A. Rowell. 2016. Wetland monitoring protocol for Cuyahoga Valley National Park: Narrative. Natural Resource Report NPS/HTLN/NRR—2016/1336. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Standard Operating Procedure 4: Ohio Rapid Assessment Method

Version 1.00 (12/27/2016)

()					
Previous	Revision	Author	Changes Made	Reason for Change	New
Version #	Date				Version #

Contents

	Page
Standard Operating Procedure 4: Ohio Rapid Assessment Method	3
Step-by-Step Procedures	3
References	4
Attachment 4.1. Quantitative Rating Field Form for Ohio Rapid Assessment Method	5
Figures and Tables	Page
Table 4.1. Cross-walk between Ohio Rapid Assessment Method scores and regulatory categories (Mack 2000). Categories with an asterisk are rounded up to the next category for regulatory purposes.	

Standard Operating Procedure 4: Ohio Rapid Assessment Method

Step-by-Step Procedures

The Ohio Rapid Assessment Method (ORAM) for Wetlands (v. 5.0) is the primary tool used in rapidly-assessed wetlands (Mack 2000). Ideally, all ORAM users should attend a two-day certification course provided by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency's Wetland Ecology Section. However - these classes are announced early in the season and fill quickly. At a minimum, users must receive an in-depth overview of the manual and intensive field training given by the Wetland Program Manager. Calibrations should be completed to verify that user error is minimal (variation in scoring is less than 5 points). In addition to formal training, the entire ORAM Manual should be read over at the beginning of each field season, with special attention given to Section 7.0 (Quantitative Rating) of the ORAM Manual. Section 7 should be referenced carefully when completing the Quantitative Rating Field Form (Attachment 4.1). An ORAM can typically be completed in 0.5 to 1 hour.

- 1. Use waypoints for rapidly-assessed wetlands (SOP 2) to navigate to wetland.
- 2. Using the digital data entry form on the Yuma 2 GPS unit, record ocular assessments of the six metrics shown on the Quantitative Rating Field Form (Attachment 4.1). Each metric has slightly different scoring instructions that are described on the form.
- 3. The following metrics can be improved through the use of remotely sensed imagery in the office: buffer distance and surrounding land use, and horizontal interspersion. Adjust metric scores as needed.
- 4. Based on the ORAM score, determine the regulatory category (Table 4.1)

Table 4.1. Cross-walk between Ohio Rapid Assessment Method scores and regulatory categories (Mack 2000). Categories with an asterisk are rounded up to the next category for regulatory purposes.

ORAM v 5.0 Score	Regulatory Category
0 - 29.9	1 (low quality)
30 – 34.9	1 or 2 gray zone*
35 – 44.9	Modified 2
45 – 59.9	2
60 – 64.9	2 or 3*
65 - 100	3 (high quality)

References

Mack, John J. 2001. Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands, Manual for Using Version 5.0. Ohio EPA Technical Bulletin Wetland/2001-1-1. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Surface Water, 401 Wetland Ecology Unit, Columbus, Ohio.

Attachment 4.1. Quantitative Rating Field Form for Ohio Rapid Assessment Method

Site:		Rater(s):		Date:
Oite.	<u></u>	rtater(3).		Date.
	Metric 1. Wetland A	Area (size).		
max A pts subcotal	Select one size class and assign score. >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts) 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2h 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (3 3 to <10 acres (0.12 to <4ha) (3 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12 <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)	a) (5 pts) 4 pts) ots) (2pts)		
	Metric 2. Upland bu	iffere and currou	ındina land u	20
may 14 cfs — subcotal	2a. Calculate average buffer width. Sele WIDE. Buffers average 50m (1' MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m NARROW. Buffers average 10:		ot double check. eter (7) land perimeter (4) etland perimeter (1)	56.
	LOW. Old field (>10 years), shr MODERATELY HIGH. Residen	elect one or double check and avera er forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife i ubland, young second growth fores itial, fenced pasture, park, conserva pasture, row cropping, mining, const	area, etc. (7) st. (5) stion tillage, new fallow field.	(3)
	Metric 3. Hydrology	/ .		
max 30 cts. subvital	3a. Sources of Water. Score all that appling high prigoroundwater (5) Other groundwater (3) Precipitation (1) Seasonal/Intermittent surface were perennial surface water (lake or or or of the precipitation (2) 3c. Maximum water depth. Select only or 0.7 (27.6in) (3) 0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2) 40.4m (<15.7in) (1) 3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic recipitation (2) Recovered (7) Recovering (3) Recent or no recovery (1)	ater (3) r stream) (5) 3d. [ne and assign score.	Connectivity. Score all that a 100 year floodplain (1) Between stream/lake an Part of wetland/upland (Part of riparian or upland Duration inundation/saturation Semi- to permanently in Regularly inundated/satu Seasonally inundated (2 Seasonally saturated in nd average. point source (nonstormy filling/grading road bed/RR track dredging other	d other human use (1) e.g. forest), complex (1) d corridor (1) n. Score one or dbl check. undated/saturated (4) urated (3)) upper 30cm (12in) (1)
	 Metric 4. Habitat Al	teration and Dev	elopment.	
max 20 cts subbotal	4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or None or none apparent (4) Recovered (3) Recovering (2) Recent or no recovery (1) 4b. Habitat development. Select only one Excellent (7) Very good (6) Good (5) Moderately good (4) Fair (3) Poor to fair (2) Poor (1) 4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or doub	double check and average. e and assign score.		
suctotal this p:	None or none apparent (9) Recovered (6) Recovering (3) Recent or no recovery (1)	Check all disturbances observed mowing grazing clearcutting selective cutting woody debris removal toxic pollutants	shrub/sapling removal herbaceous/aquatic bed sedimentation dredging farming nutrient enrichment	removal

last revised 1 February 2001 jjm

ite:		R	ater(s):	Date:
		1		
		1		
SI	uctotal this pay			
		Matric E Consid Ma	Alanda	
		Metric 5. Special We	uanus.	
w 1 ìnts	s ubtotal	Check all that apply and score as indicated.		
		Bog (10)		
		Fen (10) Old growth forest (10)		
		Mature forested wetland (5)		
		Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland	-unrestricted hydrolog	v (10)
		Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland		
		Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Ope		
		Relict Wet Praires (10)		
		Known occurrence state/federal th	reatened or endanger	ed species (10)
		Significant migratory songbird/wate	-	
		Category 1 Wetland. See Question	n 1 Qualitative Rating	(-10)
		Matria 6 Blant samn	aunitiaa ir	staranaraian miaratanaaranbu
		4		nterspersion, microtopography.
k 3.) Ups	s ubtotal	6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.	Vegetation Commi	
		Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.	0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area Present and either comprises small part of wetland's
		Aquatic bed Emergent	ı	vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a
		Shrub		significant part but is of low quality
		Forest	2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's
		Mudflats		vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small
		Open water		part and is of high quality
		Other	3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's
		6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersion.		vegetation and is of high quality
		Select only one.		
		High (5)		ion of Vegetation Quality
		Moderately high(4)	low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or
		Moderate (3)	mod	disturbance tolerant native species
		Moderately low (2) Low (1)	mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp
		None (0)		can also be present, and species diversity moderate to
		6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer		moderately high, but generallyw/o presence of rare
		to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add		threatened or endangered spp
		or deduct points for coverage	high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp
		Extensive >75% cover (-5)		and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually
		Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)		absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always,
		Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)		the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp
		Nearly absent <5% cover (0)		
		Absent (1)		Water Class Quality
		6d. Microtopography. Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.	0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres) Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
		Vegetated hummucks/tussucks	2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
		— *	- 3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more
		Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)		
		Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh		
		Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh	Microtopography (
			Microtopography 0	
		Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh		Cover Scale
		Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh	0	Cover Scale Absent
		Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh	0	Cover Scale Absent Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest
		Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh	1 2	Absent Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
		Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh	0	Cover Scale Absent Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest

Refer to the most recent ORAM Score Calibration Report for the scoring ideal-points between wet and categories at the following address. http://www.epaistateiohius/ds///401/html

last revised 1 February 2001 jjm