## Homework 5 (Final Exam) COSE212, Fall 2020

## Hakjoo Oh

Due: 12/14, 23:59

## **Problem 1** Consider the language $ML^-$ from HW3:

```
P \rightarrow E
E \rightarrow
                                                                                      unit
           true | false
                                                                                booleans
                                                                                 integers
                                                                                variables
           E + E | E - E | E * E | E / E
                                                                               arithmetic
           E = E \mid E < E
                                                                             comparison
          \mathtt{not}\ E
                                                                                negation
          nil
                                                                               empty list
           E :: E
                                                                                 list cons
           E \ \mathbf{0} \ E
                                                                             list append
          \mathtt{head}\ E
                                                                                list head
           \mathtt{tail}\ E
                                                                                  list tail
           \mathtt{isnil}\ E
                                                                   checking empty list
           \mathtt{if}\ E\ \mathtt{then}\ E\ \mathtt{else}\ E
           \mathtt{let}\ x = E\ \mathtt{in}\ E
                                                                                        let
           letrec f(x) = E in E
                                                                                recursion
           letrec f(x_1) = E_1 and g(x_2) = E_2 in E
                                                                      mutual recursion
           \mathtt{proc}\;x\;E
                                                                    function definition
           E E
                                                                  function application
           \mathtt{print}\ E
                                                                                     print
           E; E
                                                                                sequence
```

## In OCaml datatype:

```
type program = exp
and exp =
    | UNIT
    | TRUE
    | FALSE
```

```
| CONST of int
  | VAR of var
  | ADD of exp * exp
  | SUB of exp * exp
  | MUL of exp * exp
  | DIV of exp * exp
  | EQUAL of exp * exp
  | LESS of exp * exp
  | NOT of exp
  | NIL
  | CONS of exp * exp
  | APPEND of exp * exp
  | HEAD of exp
  | TAIL of exp
  | ISNIL of exp
  | IF of exp * exp * exp
  | LET of var * exp * exp
  | LETREC of var * var * exp * exp
  | LETMREC of (var * var * exp) * (var * var * exp) * exp
  | PROC of var * exp
  | CALL of exp * exp
  | PRINT of exp
  | SEQ of exp * exp
and var = string
Types for the language are defined as follows:
type typ =
    TyUnit
  | TyInt
  | TyBool
  | TyFun of typ * typ
```

```
| TyList of typ
  | TyVar of tyvar
and tyvar = string
```

Implement a sound type checker, typeof, for the language (the notion of soundness is defined with respect to the dynamic semantics of the language defined in HW3):

which takes a program and returns its type if the program is well-typed. When the program is ill-typed, typeof should raise an exception TypeError.

Examples:

• The program

PROC ("f",

```
PROC ("x", SUB (CALL (VAR "f", CONST 3),
                     CALL (VAR "f", VAR "x"))))
 is well-typed and has type TyFun (TyFun (TyInt, TyInt), TyFun (TyInt,
 TyInt)).
• The program
 PROC ("f", CALL (VAR "f", CONST 11))
 is well-typed and has type TyFun (TyFun (TyInt, TyVar "t"), TyVar
 "t"), where t can be any type variable.
• The program
 LET ("x", CONST 1,
   IF (VAR "x", SUB (VAR "x", CONST 1), CONST 0))
 is ill-typed, so typeof should raise an exception TypeError.
• The program
   LETMREC
     (("even", "x",
       IF (EQUAL (VAR "x", CONST 0), TRUE,
        CALL (VAR "odd", SUB (VAR "x", CONST 1)))),
     ("odd", "x",
     IF (EQUAL (VAR "x", CONST 0), FALSE,
       CALL (VAR "even", SUB (VAR "x", CONST 1)))),
    CALL (VAR "odd", CONST 13))
 is well-typed and has type TyBool.
• The program
   LETREC ("reverse", "l",
    IF (ISNIL (VAR "1"), NIL,
     APPEND (CALL (VAR "reverse", TAIL (VAR "1")), CONS (HEAD (VAR "1"), NIL))),
    CALL (VAR "reverse", CONS (CONST 1, CONS (CONST 2, CONS (CONST 3, NIL)))))
 is well-typed and has type TyList TyInt.
• The program
   LETREC ("reverse", "1",
    IF (ISNIL (VAR "1"), NIL,
     APPEND (CALL (VAR "reverse", TAIL (VAR "1")), CONS (HEAD (VAR "1"), NIL))),
```

CONS (CONS (CONST 2, NIL), CONS (CONS (CONST 3, NIL), NIL))))

CALL (VAR "reverse",

CONS (CONS (CONST 1, NIL),

is well-typed and has type TyList (TyList TyInt).

• The program

```
LETREC ("factorial", "x",

IF (EQUAL (VAR "x", CONST 0), CONST 1,

MUL (CALL (VAR "factorial", SUB (VAR "x", CONST 1)), VAR "x")),

LETREC ("loop", "n",

IF (EQUAL (VAR "n", CONST 0), UNIT,

SEQ (PRINT (CALL (VAR "factorial", VAR "n")),

CALL (VAR "loop", SUB (VAR "n", CONST 1)))),

CALL (VAR "loop", CONST 10)))
```

is well-typed and has type TyUnit.

• Equality should support integers and booleans. For example, both EQUAL (TRUE, FALSE) and EQUAL (CONST 1, CONST 2) are well-typed. But EQUAL (CONST 1, TRUE) or EQUAL (CONST 1, PROC ("x", CONST 1)) are ill-typed.

Unfortunately, our language now rejects the following programs, which worked well in HW3, due to the incompleteness of the type system.

• Polymorphic functions are not supported. The following program has a well-defined semantics but is rejected by the type system:

```
LET ("f", PROC("x", VAR "x"),
IF(CALL (VAR "f", TRUE), CALL (VAR "f", CONST 1), CALL (VAR "f", CONST 2)))
```

• Recursion is not a syntactic sugar any more, as our type system rejects programs that use the fixed point combinator. For example, the following program is ill-typed according to our type system.

• List elements should be all the same type. For example, the untyped interpreter in HW3 evaluates expression CONS (CONST 1, CONS (CONST 2, CONS (TRUE, NIL))) to the following list value

List [Int 1; Int 2; Bool true]

but such a polymorphic list is now rejected by our type system.