Variance Estimators of North Sea International Bottom Trawl

Survey Indices

4 Abstract

### 1 INTRODUCTION

- <sup>7</sup> The North Sea International Bottom Trawl Surveys (NS-IBTS) was formed in 1991, which is a combination
- 8 of the International Young Herring Survey (IYHS) and eight national surveys in the North Sea, Skagerrak
- and Kattegat areas. These surveys began in the 1960's, and the 1970's and 1980's, respectively. The IYHS
- was developed with the aim of obtaining annual recruitment indices for the combined North Sea herring Clu-
- pea harengus stock (ICES 2012), but yielded valuable information on other fish species such as cod Gadus
- 12 morhua and haddock Melanogrammus aeglefinus.

6

19

- The NS-IBTS began with quarterly surveys providing information on seasonal distribution of stocks sam-
- pled, which is necessary for monitoring changes in stock and determining abundance of all fish species (Table
- 15 1), and information on hydrography and the environment. However, these quarterly surveys became difficult
- to sustain as countries experienced budget cuts making it impossible to maintain high levels of research vessel
- effort, and in 1997 countries carried out a survey only twice a year; a first quarter survey (January-February)
- and a third quarter survey (August-September).

Table 1: Species fished in IBTS 1991-2017.

Standard Pelagic	Standard Roundfish	By-Catch Gadoid
Clupea harengus (Herring)	Gadus morhua (Cod)	Pollachius (Pollock)
Sprattus sprattus (Sprat)	Melanogrammus aeglefinus (Haddock)	Trisopterus luscus (Pouting)
Scomber scombrus (Mackerel)	Trisopterus esmarkii (Norway Pout )	Trisopterus minutus (Poor Cod)
	Pollachius virens (Saithe)	${\bf Micromesistius\ pout as sou\ (Blue\ Whiting)}$
	Merlangius merlangus (Whiting)	Lysing (Hake)
		Molva molva (Ling)
		Brosme brosme (Tusk)

- objectives of paper (including species of interest)
- indices and current estimators
- structure of paper

## 1.1 Survey Vessels, Timing and Geographic Coverage

Research vessels from seven (7) nations in the first quarter (Q1) and six (6) nations in the third quarter (Q3) are used for conducting surveys on all finfish species in the North Sea during January-February and July-August, respectively, in 1997-2017 (Table 2). The sampling frame is defined by the ICES index or roundfish areas (RFA) as shown in Figure ??, which we refer to as superstrata (??). These roundfish areas were substratified into small strata defined by non-overlapping statistical rectangles of roughly  $30 \times 30$  nautical miles (1° Longitude  $\times$  0.5° Latitude), and were convenient to use for NS-IBTS as they were already being used for fisheries management purposes. Most statistical rectangles contain a number of possible tows that are deemed free of obstructions, and vessels are free to choose any position in the rectangles as long as the hauls are separated by at least 10 nautical miles within and between rectangles. In some rectangles, sampling may be further stratified due to significant changes in seabed depth which may, in turn, cause variations in the fish population. In particular, the NS-IBTS herring, saithe and sprat data are weighted by depth strata in the statistical rectangle (Table ??). It is also a requirement that countries avoid clustering their stations between adjacent rectangles in order to reduce positive serial correlation, and thereby maximize survey precision. The latest major reallocation of rectangles occurred in 1991, but since then the survey has tried to keep at least one vessel in every subarea in which it had fished in the most recent years. Each rectangle is typically sampled twice by two different countries, so that at least two hauls are taken per rectangle (Figure ??). But in statistical rectangles 30F1, 32F2, 32F3, 33F2, and 33F3 more than two hauls per ship per rectangle should be made if possible. The Skagerrak and Kattegat is fished solely by Sweden, who sample more than once in every rectangle while the west of Shetland (in Q1 and Q3) and inshore areas (Q3) is fished solely by Scotland. The edge of the Norwegian Trench is fished solely by Norway, but inshore areas near Denmark is

fished by Denmark. The southern North Sea is fished by Denmark, Germany and England. France, typi-

cally, is the only country that surveys the western English Channel. Areas are surveyed by a single country

because of the large proportion of untrawalable area (and subsequent gear damage issues experienced by

other nations) for efficient logistical purposes.

In principle, the trawl tow locations are selected using a semi-random approach with at least two primary

- sampling units (PSU) per stratum, where PSUs are standardized swept-area trawl hauls. All countries,
- 51 except England (in Q3) and Norway (in Q1 and Q3) randomly select hauling positions from a list of "clear"
- 52 (and in many circumstances previously visited) haul positions. The same haul positions are used by Norway
- 53 and England every year. In the unusual event that no "clear" tow exists the cruise leader, who select the
- <sup>54</sup> haul positions, may select to undertake a "blind" tow on unknown ground after checking the proposed trawl
- track for hazardous seadbed obstructions with acoustic methods.

Table 2: Survey country, vessel name, and period research vessels participating in first quarter (Q1) and third quarter (Q3) during 1997-2017.

	First Quarter (Q1)		Third Quarter (Q3)	
Country	Vessel name	Period	Vessel name	Period
Denmark	Dana	January-February	Dana	July-August
France	Thalassa II	January-February	-	-
Germany	Walther Herwig III	January-February	Walther Herwig III	July-August
Netherlands	Tridens 2	January-February	-	-
Norway	G.O. Sars	January-February	Johan Hjort	July
UK England	-	-	Endeavour	August-September
UK Scotland	Scotia III	January-February	Scotia III	July-August
Sweden	Dana	January-February	Dana	August

#### <sub>7</sub> 1.2 Trawl Sampling and Protocols

The mulitpurpose chalut à Grande Ouverture Verticale (GOV) trawl (ICES 2012) is the recommended standard gear of the IBTS and has been used on all participating vessels since 1992, while different pelagic and bottom trawls suitable for fishing finfish species were used before 1992. Standardized trawling protocols were adopted with a towing speed of 4 knots but depending on vessel performance, tide and weather conditions the average towing speed can be at minimum 3.5 and maximum 4.5 knots. GOV with standard groundrope with rubber discs (groundgear A) for normal bottom conditions has been used throughout the survey area by all nations, except Scotland who since 1985 have used a hard ground gear for rough ground (groundgear B) on all stations north of 52° 30" North (ICES 2012). During the tow it is imperative that the net geometry of the gear is within the acceptable limits for the depth of water (Figure ??). The trawls are towed in waters at a maximum depth of 200m in the North Sea and 250m in Division IIIa with help of an "Exocet" kite and five floats attached to this kite. Rigging and trawl operation are described in (ICES 2012). The catching efficiency of the gear is assumed to be identical for every vessel. The tow duration was standardized to 30 minutes in 1978-2014 for all nations, except Scotland who maintained the tow duration of 60 minutes until 1998 (ICES 2015). Though the reduced time allows more hauls to be conducted during the survey time, and does not have a significant affect on the length composition of catches, mean lengths of fish and catch per unit effort (God et al. 1990, Walsh, 1991), Ehrich and Stransky (2001) found that the reduced time resulted in a slight decrease in the number of observed species but this was comparable with the reduced mean number of observed species from subsampling of very large hauls. 75

In the third quarter (Q3) of 2015, an experiment on tow duration of NS-IBTS hauls was conducted in the
North Sea to investigate the effect on the composition of catches, and which continued into the first quarter
of 2016 (ICES 2015). In this paper we have not consider the NS-IBTS dataset for these periods.

Trawling is done during the day by all participating vessels from 2000-2017 while countries who did not participate in the sampling of herring larvae in Q1 trawled at night before 2000. Daylight hours are considered 15 minutes before sunrise to 15 minutes after sunset. After each trawl the total catch of the different species is weighed on board and biological parameters such as length for all fish species caught (to 0.1cm below for shellfish, to 0.5cm below for herring and sprat and to 1cm below for all other species) are collected. Where

- the numbers of individuals are too large for all of them to be measured to obtain the length distribution,
- a representative subsample of 75 fish is selected. If a representative subsample cannot be selected further
- sorting of the species into two or more size grades or categories is necessary (ICES 2015). Otoliths are col-
- 87 lected on board from a small fraction of all the target species from all RFA (Figure ??) to retrieve age reading.

### 2 METHODS

- The estimators used for the NS-IBTS data are haul time-based for computing indices. The indices are com-
- 91 puted per roundfish area (superstrata), which are specific for each species. Indices are computed as mean
- 92 per stratum (statistical rectangle) and then as mean of the strata over the superstrata. The NS-IBTS data
- 93 is registered as follows: 1) data calculated as catch in numbers per hour trawled (denoted as C type), 2)
- data by haul (denoted as R type), and 3) sub-sampled data (denoted as S type).

95

- ALK estimator how is it tested?
- Borrowing closest neighbour ALK for imputation?
- how efficiency of estimator is tested?
- compare methods of variance estimation nonparameteric bootstrap methods (simple, stratified, hierarchical?)

### 2.1 Estimators of length composition of fish

An estimator for the catch in numbers of fish per unit effort for a target species per haul h in length class l by quarter, year, and stratum s is expressed as the sum of the product of the number of fish in length class l in a subsample u and .......

$$CPUE_{h,l} = \left(\sum_{u \in U_h} n_{u,l} f_u\right) \times \frac{60}{d_h}$$
(2.1)

where  $n_u$ ,  $f_u$  and  $d_h$  are defined in Table 3. An estimator for the mean catch per unit effort for length class l over hauls  $H_s$  in stratum s can be expressed as

$$mCPUE_{s,l} = \sum_{h \in H_s} \frac{CPUE_{h,l}}{|H_s|}.$$
(2.2)

where  $|H_s|$  is the number of hauls in s. Similarly, an estimator for the mean catch per unit for length class l in superstratum p can be expressed as

$$mCPUE_{p,l} = \sum_{s \in S_p} \frac{CPUE_{s,l}}{|S_p|}.$$
(2.3)

where  $|S_p|$  is the number of strata in p (Table 3). ICES (2006) provides a nonparametric bootstrap variance estimator for equation (2.3), which we describe in Section 2.4.1.

Table 3: List of symbols and parameters used.

Symbol	Definition	
L	The set of length classes	
A	The set of age groups	
A	The number of age groups	
P	The set of superstrata	
P	The number of superstrata	
$S_p$	The set of strata in superstrata $p$	
$ S_p $	The number of strata in $p$	
$H_s$	The set of hauls in strata $s$	
$ H_s $	The number of hauls in $s$	
$U_h$	The set of subsamples from haul $h$	
$ f_u $	The subfactor for the subsample $u$ . The subfactor $ f_u $ is always 1 for C-type data	
$n_{u,l}$	The number of fish of target species in length class $l$ in subsample $u$	
$d_h$	The duration (minutes) for haul $h$	
ALK	The age-length key for the target species is	

#### 2.2 Imputation for missing age samples

### 112 2.3 Estimators of age composition of fish

An estimator of the catch per unit effort for length class l and age group a in haul h is expressed as the ratio

$$CPUE_{h,a,l} = \frac{CPUE_{h,l} \times ALK_{a,l}}{\sum_{a \in A} ALK_{a,l}}.$$
(2.4)

where  $ALK_{a,l}$  is ....... and  $CPUE_{h,l}$  is the catch per unit effort for length class l in haul h in stratum s in equation (2.1). The mean catch per unit effort for length class l over hauls  $H_s$  in stratum s by year and quarter is therefore expressed as

$$mCPUE_{s,a,l} = \sum_{h \in H_s} \frac{CPUE_{h,a,l}}{|H_s|}.$$
(2.5)

An estimator of the mean catch per unit effort for length class l in superstratum p is expressed as

$$mCPUE_{p,a,l} = \sum_{s \in S_p} \frac{mCPUE_{s,a,l}}{|S_p|}.$$
(2.6)

#### 118 2.4 Variance estimation

The variance of the estimated CPUEs are calculated with bootstrap procedures. In this section we elaborate
the bootstrap procedures used in this paper. We have implemented two procedures for simulating the data
for uncertainty quantification. The first procedure is called the *simple bootstrap procedure* and is based on
simple random sampling from the RFA. The second procedure is called the *stratified bootstrap procedure* and
is based on stratified sampling of the data. Note that the statified bootstrap procedure do not account for
that the ALK may be trawl dependent, e.g. due to fine spatial or spatio-temporal structure in the ALK,
and thereby may this procedure underestimate the variance.

#### 126 2.4.1 Simple bootstrap

When I now looked trough the code I saw that we bootstrapped a little bit different from what I 127 remember I implemented in November/December last year. So this is a little bit different from what I wrote 128 in the documentation previous week. I advise you to also read the code to understand what is being done, I 129 have tried to document the code while I wrote it so that it shall be easy to read and to jump to the parts of 130 interest without understanding every line. Some lines may however be difficult to understand, but just skip 131 a lot of lines in the beginning, the important thing is to get an overall picture of what is done in the code. 132 In this subsection we describe the simple bootstrap procedure used to quantify the uncertainty of the 133 CPUEs estimates in a given RFA. Assume there are  $N_{\text{RFA}}$  trawl hauls in the given RFA, where  $N_{\text{RFA}}^{\text{age}}$  of 134 them consists of age information. The simple bootstrap procedure works like this: 135

- 1. sample with replacement  $N_{\text{RFA}}$  of the trawl hauls in the RFA, and define  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sim}}^{\text{length}}$  to be that sample.
- 2. Sample with replacement  $N_{\rm RFA}^{\rm age}$  of the trawl hauls with age information and define  ${\bf T}_{\rm sim}^{\rm age}$  to be the sample.
- 3. Calculate the CPUE based on  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sim}}^{\text{length}}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sim}}^{\text{age}}$
- 4. Repeat step 1-3 B times.
- Note: In the R-code I see that I let  $N_{RFA}$  be the number of trawl hauls with positive number of the species of interest, and simulate  $\mathbf{T}_{sim}^{length}$  only based on those trawl hauls. This is a minor issue, and we should

probably also included the trawl hauls with zero catch.

#### 144 2.4.2 Stratified bootstrap

- The IBTS struggle to sample trawl fish from every statistical rectangle and from every length class. Because of this I constructed the stratified bootstrap procedure in the following way:
- 1. Assume there are  $N_{\rm RFA}^{(i)}$  trawl hauls in the *i*th statistical rectangle. Sample with replacement  $N_{\rm RFA}^{(i)}$  of the trawl hauls in the statistical rectangle. If there is only one trawl haul in the statistical rectangle, sample either that trawl haul or the closest in air distance.
- 2. Repeat step 1 for each statistical rectangle with trawl hauls.
- 3. Define  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sim}}^{\text{length}}$  to be the sample constructed with step 1-2.
- 4. Assume  $O_i$  is the number of age observations from ite length class in the RFA. Sample with replacement  $O_i$  of these observations. If there are only one observed age in that length class, sample either that fish or one which is closest in "length class distance".
- 5. Repeat step 4 for each length class with observed age.
- 6. Define  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sim}}^{\text{age}}$  to be the sample constructed with step 4-5.
- 7. Calculate the CPUE based on  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sim}}^{\text{length}}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{sim}}^{\text{age}}$
- 8. Repeat step 1-7 B times.
- The stratified bootstrap procedure preserves both the number of trawl hauls within each statistical rectangle and the age observations within each length class. I believe that this is important to do since IBTS struggle to distribute the observations to every statistical rectangle and length class. Given that the ALK is trawl dependent (e.g. has a spatial structure on finer scale than the RFA), this procedure will underestimate the uncertainty.
- Note: We could have sampled the age data differently and tried to accommodate for that the ALK is
  trawl dependent. For example by sampling the age data with the same procedure as in the simple procedure.
  However, the calculation of the CPUE assumes that the ALK is not trawl dependent. I find it a bit unintuitive

- to assume that the ALK is trawl dependent when doing the simulations, and not while doing the calculations.
- 168 Here is an idea of simulating the ALK trawl dependent I just got:
- 1. Sample one trawl haul with age information
- 2. Repeat 1 with replacement and accept the new sample as a sample if the number observation of any length class does not exceed the two (e.g.) times the number of observation of that length class in the real data.
- 3. Repeat 1-2 until we have enough observations defined in some way.
- 174 4. Repeat 1-3 B times.

#### 175 2.4.3 Hierarchical bootstrap

2.5 Sampling strategies for age sampling based on (simulated data or empirical?)

178

# 3 RESULTS

Table 4: Estimated Abundance

	Gadus morhua (Cod)		Pollachius virens (Saithe)	
year	Total numbers	Total biomass	Total numbers	Total biomass
1991				
1992				
1993				
1994				
1995				
1996				
1997				
1998				
1999				
2000				
2001				
2002				
2003				
2004				
2005				
2006				
2007				
2008				
2009				
2010				
2011				
2012				
2013				
2014				
2015				
2016				
2017				

# 4 DISCUSSION

In Berg et al. (2014) the authors combined a GAM-model and a SAM-model to estimate abundance at age. This is almost my idea was when I wrote the documentation last week. My suggestion is to do this and also include a spatio-temporal-age term in the linear predictor for the GAM, and use SAM. If it works we may extend it to use XSAM instead of SAM. I suggest to estimate the parameters in GAM and SAM simultaneously in TMB. It seems that Berg et al. (2014) estimates the GAM and SAM model separately (just as I understnad ECA, STOCS ans XSAM are estimated separately as described at the XSAM-course).

I must think more on this, but I believe this could utilize a loot of the structure in the data!

References

179

187

Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A., and Kristensen, K. (2014). Evaluation of alternative age-based methods for estimating relative abundance from survey data in relation to assessment models. *Fisheries Research*, 151:91–99.