



Principle 01
Right to
Intelligence

Principle 02
Purpose
Driven

Principle 03
Disruption
Prevention

Principle 04
Risk
Evaluated

Principle 05
Accountable Redesign

Principle 1: Right to Intelligence

The right to protect human intellectual capabilities from being displaced by intelligent innovative systems.

When replacing human intelligence with a machine, we create social, economic, and political risk and imbalances which will inevitably lead to inequitable global chaos and discrimination.

The fear of losing jobs to technology, a declining climate, and uncertainty across the world is at an all-time high.

Q1 Does the product complete a task normally carried out by humans? *

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ N/A

Q2 What category does your innovation fall under? *

Augmentation - People assisted by AI. Assisted-Automation - AI supervised by Human. New-Automation - Was not previously carried out or cannot be done by Humans. Full-Automation - No Human dependencies anymore

☐ Augmentation

☒ Assisted-Automation

☐ New-Automation

☐ Full-Automation

☐ N/A

Q3 Is there a strategy in place to assess the impact on society and ecosystems caused by the new technology and manage displacement if there is any? *

- ☐ N/A or Planned and there is no impact
- ☐ Yes, planned but has impact
- ☒ Not planned

Q4 Will your innovation create more roles for skilled and qualified people? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ N/A

Q5 Does the innovation require replacement for current roles? *

- ☐ Yes - like for like replacement
- ☐ Yes - only to minimise harm to the replaced human
- ☒ No

Q6 How much of current human capabilities are maintained within the new innovation?

0%

50

100%

Q7 Who originally carried out the task? *

Original source of intelligence - the person who originally carried out the task, e.g. Taxi driver in the case of the driverless taxi.

☒ **Specialist professional / worker**

☐ **Consumer**

☐ **Business**

☐ **No one (New Task or N/A)**

Q8 Who will be allowed to own & operate the innovation? *

☒ **Original source of intelligence - people who originally carried out the task**

☐ **Consumer**

☐ **Manufacturer**

☐**Business Operator**☐**Regulated Agents only**☐**N/A****Q9 How much autonomy will the new innovation have?**

(0 - humans have full control, 100 - no human involvement)

0%

50

100%

Q10 Compared to the current ecosystem used; what is the percentage increase in productivity for this new innovation?

E.g. the productivity of driverless taxis over the current taxi driver industry.

0%

50

100%

Q11 If products of the innovation can be bought and used for commercial use, how many products is anybody allowed to own?

★

Limited to only pre-authorised users

☐☐

Limited one per user (anybody is eligible)

☐

Allowed multiple instances, but restricted

☐

Unrestricted to have multiple instance

☒

N/A

Q12

Can you provide public evidence of survey or diverse consensus for the above criteria?



You must organise a focus group discussion of external and diverse attendance exploring the product's proposal in light of intelligence ownership. Public feedback must be recorded and include a validated external email list of the attendees which must be provided for verification purposes.

☒

Yes

☐

No

[Previous](#)[Next](#)

Subscribe to our newsletter today

Email

[Subscribe](#)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](#).



[Privacy Policy](#)[Terms of Service](#)[Contact](#)

Public Intelligence Organisation a not-for-profit company registered in England and Wales (Company Number: 13427515).
The registered address is 32 Park Cross Street, Leeds, England, LS1 2QH

©COPYRIGHTS Public Intelligence.Org