

Degree Project in Technology Second cycle, 30 credits

Evaluating retrieval and summarisation performance of Al-Assistants built with Large Language Models and RAG-techniques (Retrieval Augmented Generation) in the domain of a LMS (Learning Management System)

A subtitle in the language of the thesis

**LUDWIG KRISTOFFERSSON** 

Evaluating retrieval and summarisation performance of Al-Assistants built with Large Language Models and RAG-techniques (Retrieval Augmented Generation) in the domain of a LMS (Learning Management System)

A subtitle in the language of the thesis

LUDWIG KRISTOFFERSSON

Master's Programme, Computer Science, 120 credits

Date: May 2, 2024

Supervisors: Michael Welle, Fredrik Enoksson

Examiner: Danica Jensfelt

School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Host company: KTH IT

Swedish title: Detta är den svenska översättningen av titeln

Swedish subtitle: Detta är den svenska översättningen av undertiteln

## **Abstract**

Foobar

## Keywords

Canvas Learning Management System, Docker containers, Performance tuning

# Sammanfattning

Foobar

## Nyckelord

Canvas Lärplattform, Dockerbehållare, Prestandajustering

iv | Sammanfattning

# **Acknowledgments**

I would like to thank FEN for having yyyy. Or in the case of two authors: We would like to thank xxxx for having yyyy.

Stockholm, May 2024 Ludwig Kristoffersson vi | Acknowledgments

# **Contents**

1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
		1.1.0.1 Where the research is taking place	1
	1.2	Problem	2
		1.2.1 Original problem and definition	4
	1.3	Purpose	4
	1.4	Goals	4
	1.5	Research Methodology	5
		1.5.1 System Design and Implementation	5
		1.5.2 Evaluation Design	6
		1.5.3 Analysis Techniques	6
	1.6	Delimitations	6
	1.7	Structure of the thesis	7
2	Bac	kground	10
	2.1		10
			10
	2.2		10
	2.3		10
	2.4	_	10
			10
			11
	2.5		11
	2.6		11
	2.7	_	11
	2.8		11
			11
			11
			11

		2.8.4 Minor related work n	11					
	2.9	Summary	11					
3	Met	Method or Methods						
	3.1	Research Process	14					
	3.2	Research Paradigm	14					
	3.3	Data Collection	14					
		3.3.1 Sampling	14					
		3.3.2 Sample Size	14					
		3.3.3 Target Population	14					
	3.4	Experimental design/Planned Measurements	14					
		3.4.1 Test environment/test bed/model	14					
		3.4.2 Hardware/Software to be used	14					
	3.5	Assessing reliability and validity of the data collected	14					
		3.5.1 Validity of method	14					
		3.5.2 Reliability of method	14					
		3.5.3 Data validity	14					
		3.5.4 Reliability of data	14					
	3.6	Planned Data Analysis	14					
		3.6.1 Data Analysis Technique	14					
		3.6.2 Software Tools	14					
	3.7	Evaluation framework						
	3.8	System documentation						
4	Wha	at you did	15					
	4.1	Hardware/Software design/Model/Simulation model &						
		parameters/	15					
	4.2	Implementation/Modeling/Simulation/	15					
		4.2.1 Some examples of coding	15					
		4.2.2 Some examples of figures in tikz	15					
		4.2.2.1 Azure's Form Recognizer	15					
5	Resi	ılts and Analysis	17					
	5.1	Major results	17					
	5.2	Reliability Analysis	17					
	5.3	Validity Analysis	17					
6	Disc	eussion	19					

7	Con	clusions and Future work	21		
	7.1	Conclusions	21		
	7.2	Limitations	21		
	7.3	Future work	21		
		7.3.1 What has been left undone?	21		
		7.3.1.1 Cost analysis	21		
		7.3.1.2 Security	21		
		7.3.2 Next obvious things to be done	22		
	7.4	Reflections	22		
Re	References				
A	Supporting materials				
В	Something Extra				

# **List of Figures**

# **List of Tables**

# List of acronyms and abbreviations

LLMs Large Language Models

LMS Learning Manegement System

RAG Retrieval Augmented Generation

xvi | List of acronyms and abbreviations

# **Chapter 1**

## Introduction

### 1.1 Background

This degree project will investigate Large Language Models (Large Language Models (LLMs)) and Retrieval Augmented Generation (Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG)) systems in the form of deploying an AI-Assistant in canvas course rooms. The degree project will investigate how to evaluate these systems in very specialised domains and benchmark various models, approaches and techniques.

The reason this research is important is that LLMs have gained widespread attention and we are likely to see large-scale adoption of these models into various applications. Understanding how to benchmark and evaluate these systems in specialised domains will be crucial to understand how to build these systems, which techniques to use, and which models work well.

Many organisations need to, due to commercial and regulatory compliance, host all AI-models themselves. This aspect is also interesting to evaluate, i.e. how well open source and commercially licensed models compare against the closed source models, such as GPT-4 by OpenAI.

#### 1.1.0.1 Where the research is taking place

The research will be carried out within the e-learning management object at KTH, who are responsible for the digital learning environment at KTH. The object consists of two teams at the KTH IT department and one team at the digital learning unit at the ITM-school. The university hosts thousands of courses with domain specific information, such as assignments, lectures and schedules, that aren't part of the public domain and therefore not part of the training set of LLMs.

All the work done by KTH IT aims to improve the operations at the university. Among this is reducing the administrative burden undertaken by teachers and teaching assistants (TAs). KTH IT wants to investigate if AI-assistants can be deployed into the canvas course rooms to reduce the workload of teachers and TAs which would help them focus on teaching, helping students and improve the quality of the education. KTH IT wants to see if it's feasible to deploy an AI assistant into the canvas course rooms.

#### 1.2 Problem

Large Language Models (LLMs) have gained widespread use since its popularisation by ChatGPT. Their abilities to summarise large bodies of text and follow user instructions have proven very useful in many contexts. However, considering their limited context window (and drawbacks of models with larger context window [1]) deploying useful applications with a chat based interface still rely upon integrating a RAG system, introduced by Lewis et al. [2]. These can retrieve relevant information needed to answer a user's query from outside data sources and inject them into the conversation.

Some unreleased models, such as the gemini family of models [?], have been reported to show great recall performance and reasoning abilities over millions of tokens. This could significantly reduce the importance of RAG systems in applications which utilise LLMs and external datasets to create intelligent systems with domain specific knowledge. However, even though no exact figures are presented by the Gemini time, inference speed (the time taken to produce a response to a prompt) seems to be significantly slower than shorter contexts. This would again highlight the importance of efficient RAG systems. Still, other approaches than traditional GPUs have been shown recently [3] by the Groq team to greatly increase inference speed.

Evaluating large language models is notoriously difficult. There are objective and automated metrics that can be used for tasks such as evaluating a model's summarisation capabilities, as shown by Basyal and Sanghvi [4]. However, for more complicated evaluations it gets trickier. In their seminal instructGPT paper Ouyang et al. at OpenAI try to evaluate "how well a model can follow instructions" [5] which is a very subjective question. They essentially relied upon human labelers to judge the overall quality of each response generated by the model.

In their Gemini-paper the Gemini team discuss the benchmarks used for their largest model. The team states that benchmarks are often designed to test shorter prompts whereas their longer prompts challenge tests used in traditional evaluation methods that rely heavily on manual evaluation. This highlights the relevance of good evaluation metrics. Regardless of context size or inference speed, evaluation of models tends to be very general. Which makes sense, when considering their general application.

When releasing their Mixtral model [6] the Mistral AI team used a range of benchmark tests, such as MMLU, PIQA, GSM8K etc. MMLU (Measuring Massive Multitask Language Understanding) [7] benchmarks a LLMs proficiency in understanding and reasoning across various subjects such as humanities, STEM, and professional and everyday knowledge, by evaluating its performance on 57 tasks, to test its ability to generalise and apply knowledge. PIQA (Physical Interaction: Question Answering) [8], evaluates a language models understanding of physical commonsense by asking them to predict the outcome of physical interactions in various scenarios through multiple-choice questions. GSM8K (The Grade School Math) [9] tests the ability to solve elementary-level mathematics word problems.

Evaluation of how well LLMs perform is an open research question. As shown above LLM developers often utilise multiple testsuites. These are oftentimes, as shown above, very general tests. When implementing LLMs in practical applications good performance often relies upon very good raw summarisation performance and reasoning abilities. Since the domain specific knowledge is provided to the model, raw built-in knowledge isn't crucial. It is more important for the model to learn the task at hand using very few examples and within the given domain understand the question being asked by a user. Further, as argued by by Siriwardhana et al., the training data of LLMs include the knowledge of datasets such as Wikipedia [10] which means that evaluation methods in very specialised domains hold higher value than generalised domains. These brand new domains, that with certainty haven't been seen during training, tests the models zero-shot, and depending on the implementation, few-shot learning abilities.

The research question for this project is Which language model and which retrieval techniques do students prefer using? and Is it possible to deploy an AI-assistant using a completely open source toolchain?.

I believe the answer to the first question is that the closed source alternatives will be preferred by the students, however, I think the results will show it is possible to deploy an open source based AI assistant too.

#### 1.2.1 Original problem and definition

The core challenge addressed in this thesis is the effective deployment and evaluation of AI-assistants powered by LLMs and RAG techniques in a specialised domain, specifically within the Learning Manegement System (LMS) of Canvas course rooms at KTH. This involves assessing the practicality and efficiency of integrating AI-Assistants built upon LLMs and RAG techniques into the educational settings to aid in reducing administrative burdens on educators and enhancing student interaction with course materials.

The original problem stems from the need to understand whether AI-assistants can effectively handle the domain-specific data intrinsic to educational platforms that are not included in their initial training datasets. Furthermore, the project aims to compare the efficacy and acceptability of open-source versus proprietary AI models in real-world educational applications.

## 1.3 Purpose

The purpose of this thesis is two-fold: firstly, to innovate within the educational technology space by integrating AI-assistants to potentially reduce workload and improve informational access within Canvas course rooms. Secondly, the thesis aims to contribute to academic knowledge by providing empirical data on the performance of these AI systems in a controlled educational setting. This dual purpose ensures the project not only addresses the immediate needs of KTH's digital learning environment but also enriches the scientific community's understanding of applied AI in education.[a]

This research is intended to benefit educational institutions by potentially offering a tool that improves operational efficiency and students by providing an alternative, possibly more effective way of interacting with course content. In addition the research will bring benefit for researchers within AI and education. Ethically, the study focuses on the sustainable development of AI technologies by emphasising open-source solutions, aiming to democratise advanced technological developments and reduce reliance on proprietary models.

#### 1.4 Goals

The goals of this degree project are organised to comprehensively assess the deployment of AI-assistants within the educational framework of KTH's Canvas LMS, focusing on technological effectiveness and user receptiveness:[b]

- Technological Efficacy: To evaluate the accuracy, speed, and reliability
  of responses by AI-assistants utilising both proprietary and open-source
  models in handling domain-specific content.
- 2. **User Preference:** To ascertain the preferences of different user groups (students, faculty) regarding the usability, information quality, and overall experience of interacting with various AI-assistant models and retrieval techniques.
- 3. **Operational Feasibility:** To assess the feasibility of integrating a fully open-source AI-assistant within an academic setting, considering logistical, technical, and regulatory constraints.
- 4. **Educational Impact:** To explore the potential of AI-assistants to reduce administrative burdens on educators and improve information accessibility for students.
- 5. **Comparative Analysis:** To perform a comparative study between open-source and proprietary models concerning their deployment costs, maintenance needs, and infrastructure requirements.

Each goal is designed to address a specific aspect of AI technology integration within educational practices, ensuring that the project outcomes are relevant and useful for both academic research and educational administration.

## 1.5 Research Methodology

This project employs a hybrid research methodology combining empirical data collection with qualitative insights to evaluate the implementation of AI-assistants in an educational setting effectively:

### 1.5.1 System Design and Implementation

**Model Selection** Different models, including proprietary and open-source, with different training data/methods, will be evaluated to determine their performance in educational environments.

**RAG Integration** Various configurations of Retrieval Augmented Generation systems will be tested to identify the most effective method for enhancing the AI's responses with relevant information from KTH's course-specific data.

#### 1.5.2 Evaluation Design

- **Study Participants** The study will involve students using the AI-assistant and providing feedback on their experiences. There are various types of students participating in the study.
- **Experimental Setup** Controlled experiments will be conducted where participants use different configurations of the AI-assistant for typical student questions.
- **Data Collection Methods** Data will be collected through integrated survey tools within the chat interface, capturing real-time feedback on the AI-assistant's performance and student satisfaction.

#### 1.5.3 Analysis Techniques

- **Quantitative Analysis** Statistical methods will analyse usage data and response accuracy to quantitatively assess the AI-assistant's performance.
- **Qualitative Analysis** Feedback and open-ended responses will be analysed textually to understand user perceptions and contextual effectiveness of the AI-assistant.

This methodology was chosen for its ability to provide a comprehensive evaluation of both the technical capabilities and the practical usability of AI-assistants, offering insights into their potential benefits and limitations in the specific context for this study.

#### 1.6 Delimitations

This project has several delimitations that define the scope and boundaries of the research to ensure a focused and manageable study. The key delimitations are;

- Model Scope: The project will not involve the development of new models or the fine-tuning of existing models. This includes LLMs and embedding functions. The study will utilise pre-trained models offered by bigger vendors or the open source community.
- **Data Limitations:** Only existing courses within KTH's Canvas LMS will be utilised for the study. No new course content will be created, and no modifications will be made to existing course materials beyond what is necessary for the integration and testing of the AI-assistants.
- Course Data Access: The project will not use Canvas APIs for data integration. All interactions with the Canvas platform will be through existing interfaces, or data will be scraped and used from the Canvas web interface.
- Geographic and Cultural Constraints: The study is limited to the KTH environment, which may not represent other educational settings in different cultural or geographic contexts. The findings might not be directly transferable to other institutions or countries without additional localization and adaptation.[c]

[d]

These delimitations are set to clarify the focus of the research and define what is outside the scope of this thesis project. They help in managing expectations and provide a clear framework within which the study operates.

#### 1.7 Structure of the thesis

Chapter 2 presents relevant background information about xxx. Chapter 3 presents the methodology and method used to solve the problem. ...

[a]formulera om [b]formulera om? [c]expand upon this [d]maybe add more here?

# Chapter 2

# **Background**

This chapter provides the necessary background for understanding the research conducted within this thesis. This chapter also showcase the related work for this thesis and how the research relates to it.

#### 2.1 Neural Networks

#### 2.1.1 Large Language Models

Mention transformers. Mention OpenAI and Mistral. How they can be used.

## 2.2 Prompt engineering

Explain it.

## 2.3 Crawling

#### 2.4 Information Retrieval

Some stuff here

## 2.4.1 Embedding functions

Explain it. How it relates to LLMs.

#### 2.4.2 **TFIDF**

#### 2.5 **RAG**

Explain it.

## 2.6 Al Agents

Explain it.

#### 2.7 User research methods

Explain it. The relevant ones that will be in this project.

#### 2.8 Related work area

...

#### 2.8.1 Major related work 1

Carrier clouds have been suggested as a way to reduce the delay between the users and the cloud server that is providing them with content. However, there is a question of how to find the available resources in such a carrier cloud. One approach has been to disseminate resource information using an extension to OSPF-TE, see Roozbeh, Sefidcon, and Maguire [?].

- 2.8.2 Major related work n
- 2.8.3 Minor related work 1

. . .

#### 2.8.4 Minor related work n

## 2.9 Summary

# **Chapter 3**

# **Method or Methods**

0	1	Da		<b>40</b>	Dua	
.5	1	Re	sea	rc:n	rn	cess

- 3.2 Research Paradigm
- 3.3 Data Collection
- 3.3.1 Sampling
- 3.3.2 Sample Size
- 3.3.3 Target Population

# 3.4 Experimental design and Planned Measurements

- 3.4.1 Test environment/test bed/model
- 3.4.2 Hardware/Software to be used

# 3.5 Assessing reliability and validity of the data collected

- 3.5.1 Validity of method
- 3.5.2 Reliability of method
- 3.5.3 Data validity
- 3.5.4 Reliability of data

## 3.6 Planned Data Analysis

- 3.6.1 Data Analysis Technique
- 3.6.2 Software Tools

# **Chapter 4**

# What you did

- 4.1 Hardware/Software design . . . / Model/Simulation model & parameters/. . .
- 4.2 Implementation . . . / Modeling/Simulation/. . .
- 4.2.1 Some examples of coding
- 4.2.2 Some examples of figures in tikz
- 4.2.2.1 Azure's Form Recognizer

# **Chapter 5**

# **Results and Analysis**

In this chapter, we present the results and discuss them.

### 5.1 Major results

Some statistics of the delay measurements are shown in table... The delay has been computed from the time the GET request is received until the response is sent.

## 5.2 Reliability Analysis

## 5.3 Validity Analysis

# Chapter 6 Discussion

diskussion här

# **Chapter 7**

## **Conclusions and Future work**

#### 7.1 Conclusions

#### 7.2 Limitations

#### 7.3 Future work

Due to the breadth of the problem, only some of the initial goals have been met. In these section we will focus on some of the remaining issues that should be addressed in future work. ...

#### 7.3.1 What has been left undone?

The prototype does not address the third requirment, *i.e.*, a yearly unavailability of less than 3 minutes; this remains an open problem. ...

#### 7.3.1.1 Cost analysis

The current prototype works, but the performance from a cost perspective makes this an impractical solution. Future work must reduce the cost of this solution; to do so, a cost analysis needs to first be done. ...

#### 7.3.1.2 Security

A future research effort is needed to address the security holes that results from using a self-signed certificate. Page filling text mass. Page filling text mass.

#### 7.3.2 Next obvious things to be done

In particular, the author of this thesis wishes to point out xxxxxx remains as a problem to be solved. Solving this problem is the next thing that should be done. ...

#### 7.4 Reflections

One of the most important results is the reduction in the amount of energy required to process each packet while at the same time reducing the time required to process each packet.

## References

- [1] N. F. Liu, K. Lin, J. Hewitt, A. Paranjape, M. Bevilacqua, F. Petroni, and P. Liang, "Lost in the Middle: How Language Models Use Long Contexts," Nov. 2023, arXiv:2307.03172 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.03172 [Page 2.]
- [2] P. Lewis, E. Perez, A. Piktus, F. Petroni, V. Karpukhin, N. Goyal, H. Küttler, M. Lewis, W.-t. Yih, T. Rocktäschel, S. Riedel, and D. Kiela, "Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks," Apr. 2021, arXiv:2005.11401 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.11401 [Page 2.]
- [3] D. Abts, G. Kimmell, A. Ling, J. Kim, M. Boyd, A. Bitar, S. Parmar, I. Ahmed, R. DiCecco, D. Han, J. Thompson, M. Bye, J. Hwang, J. Fowers, P. Lillian, A. Murthy, E. Mehtabuddin, C. Tekur, T. Sohmers, K. Kang, S. Maresh, and J. Ross, "A software-defined tensor streaming multiprocessor for large-scale machine learning," in *Proceedings of the 49th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*. New York New York: ACM, Jun. 2022. doi: 10.1145/3470496.3527405. ISBN 978-1-4503-8610-4 pp. 567–580. [Online]. Available: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3470496.3527405 [Page 2.]
- [4] L. Basyal and M. Sanghvi, "Text Summarization Using Large Language Models: A Comparative Study of MPT-7b-instruct, Falcon-7b-instruct, and OpenAI Chat-GPT Models," Oct. 2023, arXiv:2310.10449 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.10449 [Page 2.]
- [5] L. Ouyang, J. Wu, X. Jiang, D. Almeida, C. L. Wainwright, P. Mishkin, C. Zhang, S. Agarwal, K. Slama, A. Ray, J. Schulman, J. Hilton, F. Kelton, L. Miller, M. Simens, A. Askell, P. Welinder, P. Christiano, J. Leike, and R. Lowe, "Training language models to follow instructions

- with human feedback," Mar. 2022, arXiv:2203.02155 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2203.02155 [Page 2.]
- [6] A. Q. Jiang, A. Sablayrolles, A. Roux, A. Mensch, B. Savary, C. Bamford, D. S. Chaplot, D. d. l. Casas, E. B. Hanna, F. Bressand, G. Lengyel, G. Bour, G. Lample, L. R. Lavaud, L. Saulnier, M.-A. Lachaux, P. Stock, S. Subramanian, S. Yang, S. Antoniak, T. L. Scao, T. Gervet, T. Lavril, T. Wang, T. Lacroix, and W. E. Sayed, "Mixtral of Experts," Jan. 2024, arXiv:2401.04088 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.04088 [Page 3.]
- [7] D. Hendrycks, C. Burns, S. Basart, A. Zou, M. Mazeika, D. Song, and J. Steinhardt, "Measuring Massive Multitask Language Understanding," Jan. 2021, arXiv:2009.03300 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2009.03300 [Page 3.]
- [8] Y. Bisk, R. Zellers, R. L. Bras, J. Gao, and Y. Choi, "PIQA: Reasoning about Physical Commonsense in Natural Language," Nov. 2019, arXiv:1911.11641 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.11641 [Page 3.]
- [9] K. Cobbe, V. Kosaraju, M. Bavarian, M. Chen, H. Jun, L. Kaiser, M. Plappert, J. Tworek, J. Hilton, R. Nakano, C. Hesse, and J. Schulman, "Training Verifiers to Solve Math Word Problems," Nov. 2021, arXiv:2110.14168 [cs]. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14168 [Page 3.]
- [10] S. Siriwardhana, R. Weerasekera, E. Wen, T. Kaluarachchi, R. Rana, and S. Nanayakkara, "Improving the Domain Adaptation of Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) Models for Open Domain Question Answering," *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 11, pp. 1–17, Jan. 2023. doi: 10.1162/tacl<sub>a0</sub>0530.[Online]. Available: [Page 3.]

# Appendix A Supporting materials

26 | Appendix A: Supporting materials

# Appendix B Something Extra

#### €€€€ For DIVA €€€€

```
{
"Author1": { "Last name": "Kristoffersson",
"First name": "Ludwig",
"Local User Id": "u100001",
"E-mail": "ludwigkr@kth.se",
"organisation": {"L1": "School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science",
"Cycle": "2",
"Course code": "DA231X",
"Credits": "30.0",
"Degree1": ("Educational program": "Master's Programme, Computer Science, 120 credits"
,"programcode": "TCSCM"
,"Degree": "Masters degree"
,"subjectArea": "Technology"
 ,.
"Title": {
"Main title": "Evaluating retrieval and summarisation performance of Al-Assistants built with Large Language Models and RAG-techniques
(Retrieval Augmented Generation) in the domain of a LMS (Learning Management System)", "Subtitle": "A subtitle in the language of the thesis",
"Language": "eng" },
"Alternative title": {
"Main title": "Detta är den svenska översättningen av titeln",
"Subtitle": "Detta är den svenska översättningen av undertiteln",
"Language": "swe'
"Supervisor1": { "Last name": "Welle", "First name": "Michael",
"Local User Id": "u100003",
"E-mail: "mwelle@kth.se",
"organisation": {"L1": "School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science",
"L2": "COLLABORATIVE AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS DIVISION OF ROBOTICS, PERCEPTION AND LEARNING" }
},
"Supervisor2": { "Last name": "Enoksson",
"First name": "Fredrik",
"Local User Id": "u100003",
"E-mail": "fen@kth.se", 
"organisation": {"L1": "".
"L2": "UNIT OF DIGITAL LEARNING" }
},
"Examiner1": { "Last name": "Jensfelt",
"First name": "Danica",
"Local User Id": "u1d13i2c",
Local oser to 1. trior size, ,
"E-mail": "danik@kth.se",
"organisation": {"L1": "School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science",
"L2": "COLLABORATIVE AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS DIVISION OF ROBOTICS, PERCEPTION AND LEARNING"}
},
"Cooperation": { "Partner_name": "KTH IT"},
"National Subject Categories": "10201, 10206",
"Other information": {"Year": "2024", "Number of pages": "1,29"},
"Copyrightleft": "copyright",
"Series": { "Title of series": "TRITA-EECS-EX", "No. in series": "2023:0000" }, "Opponents": { "Name": "A. B. Normal & A. X. E. Normalè"}, "Presentation": { "Date": "2022-03-15 13:00"
,"Language":"eng"
, Language : eng
,"Room": "via Zoom https://kth-se.zoom.us/j/ddddddddddd"
,"Address": "Isafjordsgatan 22 (Kistagången 16)"
 ,"City": "Stockholm" },
"Number of lang instances": "2",
"Abstract[eng ]": €€€€
 "Keywords[eng ]": €€€€
Canvas Learning Management System, Docker containers, Performance tuning
€€€€,
"Abstract[swe ]": €€€€
€€€€.
"Keywords[swe ]": €€€€
Canvas Lärplattform, Dockerbehållare, Prestandajustering €€€€,
```

### acronyms.tex

```
%%% Local Variables:
%%% mode: latex
%%% TeX-master: t
%%% End:
% The following command is used with glossaries-extra
\setabbreviationstyle[acronym]{long-short}
% The form of the entries in this file is \newacronym{label}{acronym}{phrase}
or \newacronym{label}{acronym}{phrase}

or \newacronym[options]{label}{acronym}{phrase}

see "User Manual for glossaries.sty" for the details about the options, one example is shown below

note the specification of the long form plural in the line below

\newacronym[longplural={Debugging Information Entities}]{DIE}{DIE}{DEbugging Information Entity}
% The following example also uses options 
\newacronym[shortplural={OSes}, firstplural={operating systems (OSes)}]{OS}{OS}{Operating system}
% note the use of a non-breaking dash in long text for the following acronym \newacronym {IQL}{IQL}{Independent Q^^e2^80^^91Learning}
\newacronym{KTH}{KTH}{KTH Royal Institute of Technology}
\newacronym{WLAN}{WLAN}{Wireless Local Area Network}
\newacronym{UN}{UN}{United Nations}
\newacronym{SDG}{SDG}{Sustainable Development Goal}
\newacronym{LMS}{LMS}{Learning Manegement System}
\newacronym{RAG}{RAG}{Retrieval Augmented Generation}
\newacronym{LLMs}{LLMs}{Large Language Models}
```