**Evolution of mycorrhizal symbiosis in land plants**

**Alternatives:**

**A phylogenetic reconstruction of mycorrhizal symbiosis evolution**

**The mycorrhizal partners of the earliest land plants**

**The deep evolution of the mycorrhizal symbiosis**

**The phylogenetic roots of the mycorrhizal symbiosis**

**A phylogenetic reconstruction of the mycorrhizal partners of the earliest land plants**

**Mycorrhizal symbiosis with Mucoromycotina facilitated the colonization of land by plants**

***Authors***

Frida A.A. Feijen1,2, Rutger A. Vos3,4, Jorinde Nuytinck3 & Vincent S.F.T. Merckx3,5\*

***Affiliations***

1 Department of Aquatic Ecology, Eawag: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology Dübendorf, Switzerland

2 Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH-Zürich, Universitatstrasse 16, 8092 Zürich Switzerland

3 Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Vondellaan 55, 2332 AA Leiden, The Netherlands

4 Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

5 Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands

\*Corresponding author: vincent.merckx@naturalis.nl

Abstract (can only be c. 150 words)

**The mycorrhizal symbiosis between soil fungi and land plants is one of the most widespread and ecologically important mutualisms on earth. It has long been hypothesized that the Glomeromycotina, the mycorrhizal symbionts of the majority of plants, facilitated colonization of land by plants in the Ordovician. This view was recently challenged by the discovery of mycorrhizal associations with Mucoromycotina in several early diverging lineages of land plants. Utilizing a large species-level database of mycorrhizal associations and a Bayesian approach to state transition dynamics we here show that the recruitment of Mucoromycotina is the primary transition from a non-mycorrhizal state. We further found that transitions between different combinations of either or both of Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycotina occur at high rates and found similar promiscuity among combinations that include either or both of Glomeromycotina and Ascomycota with a nearly fixed association with Basidiomycota. Our results demonstrate that under the most likely scenario symbiosis with Mucoromycotina enabled the establishment of early land plants.**

Land plants diverged from aquatic algae in the Neoproterozoic as a lineage that would eventually undergo the ecological transition to terrestrial life (Hedges et al. 2015). This transition – a major turning point in the history of life on earth – reshaped the global climate and the biosphere through biotic chemical weathering of rocks, carbon fixation, and an increase in atmospheric oxygen levels (Selosse et al. 2015; Lenton et al. 2016). Terrestrial life requires plants to extract nutrients and moisture from the substrate, while photosynthesizing organs remain above the surface. As roots only evolved after the transition to land (Brundrett et al. 2002), initial plant colonization of the terrestrial environment was likely facilitated through interactions with symbiotic fungi such that the fungus provided inorganic nutrients and water to the host plant and in return received carbohydrates from the host (Pirozynski & Malloch 1975; Selosse et al. 2015). The mycorrhizal symbiosis is found in over 90% of extant land plant species, and most major lineages of land plants (Wang & Qiu 2006). Land plants form associations with members of three different fungal phyla: Mucoromycota, Basidiomycota, and Ascomycota (van der Heijden et al. 2015; Spatafora et al. 2016). The great majority of land plants associate with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from the Mucoromycota subphylum Glomeromycotina, while other types of mycorrhizal associations, such as ectomycorrhiza, ericoid mycorrhiza and orchid mycorrhiza, belong to the Basidiomycota or Ascomycota (van der Heijden et al. 2015). Fossil evidence suggests that Glomeromycotina have coevolved with land plants for at least 407 Myr, as vesicles, spores, intracellular coils, and arbuscule-like structures resembling extant mycorrhizal infections were found in Rhynie Chert fossils of *Horneophyton lignieri* (Strullu-Derrien et al. 2014). Further support for ancient origin of these interactions comes from genomics, as genes involved in the formation of arbuscular mycorrhizal infections are homologs and were acquired in a stepwise manner, with potentiation starting as early as the last common ancestor of Charophytes and Embryophytes (Karandashov et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2010; Delaux et al. 2015).

This evidence has led to the wide acceptance of the view that Glomeromycotina were the ancestral mycorrhizal symbionts of land plants (Ligrone et al. 2007; Bidartondo et al. 2011). The ancestral symbiosis is assumed to have been replaced in several plant lineages by other types of mycorrhizal associations in multiple independent shifts (Wang & Qiu 2006). However, the recent discovery that many members of early diverging lineages of land plants, including liverworts, hornworts, and basal vascular plants, engage in mycorrhizal symbiosis with the Mucoromycota subphylum Mucoromycotina, challenged this hypothesis and suggests that Mucoromycotina could also have facilitated terrestrialisation (Bidartondo et al. 2011; Field et al. 2014, 2015; Rimington et al. 2015). After this discovery, Rhynie Chert fossils where re-evaluated, revealing mycorrhizal infections resembling both Glomeromycotina and Mucoromycotina (Strullu-Derrien et al. 2014). Moreover, mycorrhiza-formation genes from Mucoromycotina associated liverworts recover the Glomeromycotina mycorrhizal phenotype in a transformed mutant of the angiosperm *Medicago truncatula*, which reveals that the genes required for symbiosis have been conserved between the liverworts that associate exclusively with Mucoromycotina and higher plants that associate exclusively with Glomeromycotina (Wang et al. 2010; Field et al. 2014).

Given that Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, Glomeromycotina, and Mucormycotina diverged prior to the divergence of land plants (Chang et al. 2015), it is possible to treat mycorrhizal associations with these phyla in different combinations as character states on the plant phylogeny and analyse transition dynamics between the states in a Bayesian phylogenetic comparative context. Considering the uncertainty of the evolutionary relationships of early embryophytes (Cox et al. 2014; Wickett et al. 2014), we assessed the probability of all possible combinations of mycorrhizal associations for the most recent common ancestor of land plants.

**Results**

We obtained a dataset of 732 species of land plants for which the mycorrhizal fungi have been identified with molecular methods. 45 species were added to represent non-mycorrhizal lineages. Plant chloroplast DNA data was used to reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships between these species. Our estimate of phylogeny corresponds well with the prevailing understanding of the systematics of the land plants at least so far as the monophyly of major groups and the relative branching order of these groups under the different rooting scenarios are concerned (Ruhfel et al. 2014).

Optimising the observed repertoires of mycorrhizal association as an evolving categorical state on our phylogenetic results resulted in a general pattern of phylogenetic conservatism: major plant groups associate quite uniformly with major fungal groups (Figure 1). Our ancestral state reconstructions recover strong support for the presence of a mycorrhizal association for the most recent common ancestor of the land plant. However, the particular state for the root was equivocal, showing comparable levels of support for no mycorrhizal association at all, for an association just with Mucoromycotina, and for a repertoire comprising Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycotina. In addition, the relative levels of support, and the inclusion of additionally supported root states, was influenced by the different rooting scenarios (SI Figure 1). The pattern of transitions between different repertoires of mycorrhizal association as optimised on our phylogeny suggests two main paths along which individual associations within a larger repertoire are gained and lost relatively promiscuously (Figure 2). The first of these paths involves Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycotina: the association with Glomeromycotina is added to, and subtracted from, the association with Mucoromycotina at relatively high instantaneous transition rates. The association with Mucoromycotina within a repertoire that spans both is also lost at relatively high rates, but gained at much lower rates, suggesting that the association with Glomeromycotina is relatively more facultative within this repertoire. The second path includes gains and losses of Ascomycota, and losses of Glomeromycotina (but gains less so), at high rates within repertoires in which the association with Basiodiomycota appears more obligate. Explicit hypothesis testing to quantify which initial gain starting from a state of no mycorrhizal association is best supported strongly prefers Mucoromycotina under all four rooting scenarios: in three out of four, the Bayes Factor (BF) was larger than 10, in the fourth scenario (hornworts sister to all other land plants) the BF was ~8.35, which is still generally interpreted as strong support (ref?; SI Table X). Placing the evolution of mycorrhizal associations on a temporal axis (Figure 3) qualitatively shows a general pattern in which Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycotina dominate early associations, while associations that include Basidiomycota and Ascomycota become more pervasive later in land plant evolution.

**Discussion**

For each scenario of land plant evolution, our ancestral state reconstruction strongly supports the hypothesis that most recent common ancestor of land by plants was involved in symbiotic interactions with fungi. This result is in accordance with evidence from the fossil record (Strullu-Derrien et al. 2014) and genomics (Karandashov et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2010; Delaux et al. 2015). For the herbaceous vegetation that started colonizing the land, characterized by small, rootless, leafless plants with simple rhizoid-based absorbing systems, this alliance with fungi is hypothesized to have been essential in overcoming major issues of nutrient and water limitation in the absence of existing soil (Kenrick & Crane 1997; Kenrick & Strullu-Derrien 2014). Importantly, our analyses suggest that the fungal associates of these earliest land plants most likely belonged to Mucoromycotina, and not Glomeromycota as previously assumed (Parniske 2008; Smith & read 2008). An exclusive association with Mucoromycotina for the root of the land plants received the highest support of all possible mycorrhizal repertoires, for all hypotheses of the relationships between the main land plant lineages. Furthermore, our explicit hypothesis tests supported Mucoromycotina over Glomeromycota as the initial gain for the most recent common ancestor of the land plants. However, our reconstructions also suggest that a repertoire comprising both Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycotina cannot be ruled out, and we find high rates for transitions in which Glomeromycota are gained and lost in combination with Mucoromycotina (Figure 2), suggesting a versatile scenario for the evolution of association with bot groups. Mucoromycotina have been recorded in the rhizoids and roots of extant liverworts, hornworts, lycophytes, and ferns, but except for the liverwort lineage Haplomitriopsida they were always found simultaneously with Glomeromycotina (Bidartondo et al. 2011; Desiro et al. 2013; Rimington et al. 2015). The association with both fungal lineages was likely also present in the Devonian fossil plant *Horneophyton ligneri* (Strullu-Derrien et al. 2014), and Field et al. (2015) speculated that the ability to associate with more than one fungal partner was an ancient strategy that allowed the earliest land plants to occupy highly heterogeneous and dynamic environments. However, once the association with Mucoromycotina has been lost, reversals are rare (Figure 2) leading to a predominance of strictly Glomeromycotina associations in plants. This scenario is dependent on our current understanding of the early diversification of fungi. Both Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycota are part of the monophyletic phylum Mucoromycota (Spatafora et al. 2017), and their divergence has been estimated to predate the colonization of land by plants (Chang et al. 2015). But given the large uncertainties of the timing of both events an interaction between the common ancestors of both groups remains a possibility. Under this alternative scenario mycorrhiza formed by Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycota result from a single evolutionary event within fungi.

From the prevalent association with strictly Glomeromycotina, there have been multiple independent evolutionary shifts towards Ascomycota and Basidiomycota fungi, leading to an increasing relative importance/abundance of these interactions throughout plant evolution (Figure 3). Our reconstruction indicates that all of these transitions started with a gain of Basidiomycota, rather than Ascomycota (Figure 2). Subsequent gains of Ascomycota and losses of Glomeromycotina occur at high rates, leading to various association repertoires that include either or both Ascomycota and Basidiomycota. These repertoires are present in several extant land plant lineages. The ability to recruit various Ascomycota and Basidiomycota saprotrophic lineages of wood and litter decaying fungi into novel mycorrhiza was likely instrumental for plant adaptation to various abiotic challenges. For example, for Orchideaceae, the largest lineage of non-arbuscular mycorrhizal plants, the transition from Glomeromycota associations to Ascomycota and Basidiomycota orchid mycorrhiza is linked to niche expansions and radiations, which in synchrony with the development of specialized pollination syndromes, has promoted speciation in the largest family of plants on earth (Ogura-Tsujita et al. 2009; Yukawa et al. 2009). Similarly, the independent evolution of ericoid mycorrhiza in Diapensiaceae and Ericaceae, estimated to date back to the Cretaceous (Cullings 1996; Schwery et al. 2015), is a potential adaptation to nutrient poor, acidic soils (Smith & Read 2008). Lastly, transitions to ectomycorrhiza independently evolved in various gymnosperm (e.g. Pinaceae, *Gnetum*, *Taxus*) and angiosperm lineages (e.g. Nyctaginaceae, Polygonaceae, Myrtaceae, Malvales, Malpighiales, Fabaceae, Fagales; Figure 1). Parallel to the latter a shift towards fungi involved in the ectomycorrhizal symbiosis has also occurred in liverworts (Figure 1). Although relatively few plant species – mostly trees and shrubs – are ectomycorrhizal, the worldwide importance of the ectomycorrhizal association is considerable, due to its dominance in temperate forests and tropical rainforests in Southeast Asia. Ectomycorrhizal symbioses likely emerged in semi-arid forests dominated by conifers under tropical to subtropical climates and diversified in angiosperms and conifer forests driven by a change to cooler climate during the Cenozoic (Matheny et al. 2009). Transitions from diffuse Glomeromycota associations to symbiosis with Ascomycota and Basidiomycota also lead to an increase in interaction compartmentalization and specificity (van der Heijden et al. 2015). Loss of mycorrhizal symbiosis has occurred from all single association states, mostly at relatively low transition rates (Figure 3). These transitions are explained by plant adaptations to either nutrient-rich or extremely nutrient-poor soils, for which the benefits of the symbiosis does not outweigh its costs (Lambers & Teste 2013). However, transition rates towards the non-mycorrhizal state may have been underestimated here, since several non-mycorrhizal angiosperm lineages (all with recent evolutionary origin; Maherali et al. 2016) have not been included.

Our results portray an evolutionary scenario of the mycorrhizal symbiosis with a prominent role for Mucoromycotina in the early stages of land plant diversification. In most plant lineages Mucoromycotina were subsequently replaced by Glomeromycotina, the dominant mycorrhizal symbionts of extant land plants. Later on, several transitions from Glomeromycotina to various Ascomycota and Basidiomycota lineages have occurred, establishing novel mycorrhizal syndromes, such as orchid, ericoid, and ectomycorrhizas. Our findings demonstrate the importance of Mucoromycotina fungi for our understanding of the evolution of the mycorrhizal symbiosis. We still know very little about the biology of symbiotic Mucoromyctina. Their presence as mycorrhizal fungi in land plants has been overlooked until recently (Bidartondo et al. 2011), and it is likely that further screening of land plants will reveal that many more plant taxa are associated with Mucoromycotina.

**Materials & Methods**

*Data collection*

In order to compile a database of plants and their mycorrhizal fungi we searched Genbank for records of Gomeromycotina (at the time of the search Glomeromycota), Mucoromycotina, Ascomycota and Basidiomycota for which the plant host field had been entered. Subsequently, for each of these plant hosts a Genbank search was conducted and the database was reduced to all mycorrhizal records with an available rbcL sequence for the plant host. For the remaining records, the mycorrhizal status was either verified through literature study or discarded from the database. This was followed by a literature search for plant orders that were not in the database and for basal lineages of land plants. Because mycorrhizas formed by either Glomeromycotina and Mucoromycotina are difficult to segregate by morphological observations, we only included mycorrhizal associations based on DNA identification for these fungi. For lycopods, polypods, hornworts and liverworts, species which were not found to harbour mycorrhizal associations during surveys according to literature, where classified as non-mycorrhizal, although this could be a sampling artefact for some species. Furthermore, mosses and *Nymphaea alba* were included to represent major non-mycorrhizal lineages.

The final database covers 732 plant species, distributed over 78 plant orders. The databaseincludes24 hornworts, 7 mosses, 76 liverworts, 518 angiosperms, 73 gymnosperms, 16 lycopods, and 18 polypods. For these plants species, we found associations with 150 Ascomycota, 305 Basidiomycota, 385 Glomeromycotina, 28 Mucoromycotina and 45 non-mycorrhizal species(Table S1).

DNA sequence data of the plants, including members of the Bryophytes were obtained from Genbank to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships. For liverworts, hornworts, polypods and lycopods, we added several species to the dataset to reduce the number of missing markers per group, resulting in a total of 759 species for phylogenetic analysis. For 146 species, full or partial chloroplast genomes were available, which we used to extract sequences for *psbA*, *rbcL* and *rps4*. For other species, rps4 and psbA sequences where downloaded where possible, to supplement the rbcL dataset. Accession numbers are listed in the supplementary data (Table S2).

*Phylogenetic analysis and divergence dating*

For each marker, we aligned the sequences with MAFFT v.7 (Katoh & Standley 2013) using the FFT-NS-i Iterative refinement method, and then selected the optimal partitioning scheme with Partitionfinder 2 (Lanfear et al. 2014). Except for the first and second codon position of *psbA*, which were placed in a single partition, all codon positions of the three markers were assigned to separate partitions. The GTR+I+γ model was selected for all partitions using the AICc. We performed divergence dating with BEAST2 v2.3.2 (Bouckaert et al. 2014) using four fossil calibration points and one age estimate from literature for the crown node of liverworts to date the phylogeny. We selected a uniform distribution for each of the calibration points, using the minimum and maximum estimates for these nodes from literature (Table S3).

We chose a Yule prior with an uniform birthrate for the analysis, with a lognormal relaxed clock model and estimated the clock rate. The GTR subst model was applied with a Gamma category count of 4 and estimated shape parameter 1.0. The proportion of invariant sites was estimated (initial value 0.01) and mean substitution rate fixed. We selected an exponential prior distribution for the mean substitution rate (ucld.mean).

The MCMC analyses ran for … million generations. Trace files were updated every 1000 generations, and trees sampled every 10,000 generations. To test the effect of different phylogenetic hypotheses42,43,55 for the deep-time relationships of land plants on ancestral state reconstruction, we rooted the likelihood tree according to the different hypotheses and applied our divergence dating protocol to each rooted topology (SI Figure XXX). Log Combiner was used to resample the trees every … generations with a burnin of … percent, to a total of … trees. The maximum clade credibility tree was constructed with Tree annotator v2.2.156. ATxMB burnin 5%; 14251 trees processed. Mean heights

*Comparative analysis and hypothesis tests*

The four major fungal groups to which species belong that participate in various types of mycorrhizal associations were already in existence prior to the diversification of land plants (Chang et al. 2015). Therefore, we could treat each distinct repertoire of associations that land plants form with these groups as a discrete state, whose evolutionary transition dynamics we modelled subsequent to two assumptions.

First, because there are qualitative differences between the types of mycorrhizal associations that are formed with some of the different fungal groups (e.g. intracellular versus ectomycorrhizal association), we assumed that the evolutionary adaptations required to enable such associations are not gained (or lost) instantaneously. Hence, we disallowed state shifts that implied multiple, simultaneous gains and losses such that, for example, a change from a state representing a repertoire confined to Glomeromycotina to one confined to Mucoromycotina has to pass through an intermediate state where the repertoire is broadened to include both groups. Second, because the respective adaptations that enable different types of mycorrhizal association are likely subject to evolutionary trade-offs such that repertoires of associations cannot expand infinitely we limited any intermediate states to those we observe in nature. For example, simultaneous association with both Glomeromycotina and Mucoromycotina does occur in our database of extant taxa, but complete generalism that includes all fungal groups in a single repertoire does not, which is why we allowed the former, but not the latter, as possible ancestral states.

A convenient side effect of these assumptions was that this limited the number of free parameters in the state transition (*Q*) matrix, which otherwise would have undergone a combinatorial explosion had we included all possible permutations in the repertoires of mycorrhizal association as distinct states, which would have impeded convergence in our analyses. To mitigate such proliferation of potentially unneeded, free parameters further, we performed our analyses using Reversible-Jump MCMC, as implemented in BayesTraits’s ‘multistate’ analysis mode. We ran each of our analyses in triplicate for 106 generations, as initial experimentation had demonstrated reasonable convergence in our data under these settings. In cases where we required estimates of marginal likelihoods, i.e. for hypothesis testing by Bayes factor analysis, we approximated these using a stepping stone sampler that we ran for 100 stones, with 200,000 iterations per stone.

Using this approach, we reconstructed the ancestral states for the four different rootings of our phylogeny. However, although such analyses result in estimates for the posterior distribution of states at any given hypothetical node of interest (such as the root), they do not necessarily result in a single, unambiguous scenario for the order in which mycorrhizal associations are acquired, especially not when multiple states are reconstructed with similarly large posterior probabilities at deep nodes (as was the case). Given the number of fungal groups and the differences and similarities among these with respect to the types of mycorrhizal associations they participate in, we expected there to be distinct paths along which repertoires of association have evolved. Interrogation and visualisation of the *Q* matrix showed that, broadly, two such paths appear to exist: one where various permutations of association with Glomeromycota and Mucoromycotina are gained and lost, and another that traverses Ascomycota, Basidiomycota in addition to Glomeromycota. However, which of these paths was taken first was not yet evident.

We therefore constructed explicit hypothesis tests to distinguish between various plausible scenarios. To do so, in addition to the assumptions affecting the *Q* matrix outlined above, we further constrained our analyses to require the absence of any mycorrhizal association on the root node, and then tested which initial gain was best supported by the data. To quantify this, we estimated the marginal likelihood of the model where the root is constrained to have no association but without any additional constraints on the order in which subsequent associations are acquired (beyond the general assumptions already discussed), and compared this with models where, respectively, each of the initial gains of a single fungal group is disallowed. The logic here is that disallowing the initial shift that best fits the data will result in the marginal likelihood that differs most significantly from the less-constrained model.

Lastly, to place the expansion of repertoires of mycorrhizal association on a temporal axis, we placed the ancestral state reconstructions for the scenario where the root node has no mycorrhizal association in bins of 50 Myr to visualise these in a states-through-time plot (Figure 3).

**References**

[to be added]

**Acknowledgements**


We thank M. Bidartondo for advice with data compilation, A. Meade for help with BayesTraits, and XXX for valuable comments on previous versions of the manuscript.

**Author contributions**


V.S.F.T.M initiated and supervised the project. F.A.F. compiled the data with input from J.N. F.A.F and R.AV. performed the analyses. All authors wrote the manuscript.

Macintosh HD:Users:vincent:Dropbox:Papers:Frida:Mbasal_mod1.bt.rescaled.nex.ms.pdf

**Figure 1 |** **Evolution of mycorrhizal associations in land plants.** Chronogram showing the ancestral state reconstructions of mycorrhizal associations in land plants (*n* = xxx species) using a phylogenetic hypothesis in which liverworts are the sister group of all other land plant species. Branches are coloured according to the most probable state of their ancestral nodes. Main plant lineages are marked with black nodes. The silhouettes of representative plants illustrate the main land plant clades. Branch lengths represent time in million years. Bar is xx million years.


****

**Figure 2 |** **Transitions of mycorrhizal associations in land plant evolution.** Frequency of transitions between different repertoires of mycorrhizal association as optimised on our phylogeny (Fig. 1). The band size for each state (labelled next to the bands) represents the number of transitions from that state proportional to the total number of reconstructed transitions; and the width of the ribbons is proportional to the numbers of transitions starting from that state.




**Figure 3 |** **Evolution of mycorrhizal association through time.** The proportion of each mycorrhizal state relative to the total number of branches at that particular point in time, sampled at 50 million year intervals on our phylogeny with ancestral state reconstructions, where the root node has no mycorrhizal association (Fig. 1). [label on Y-axis needed?]

**Supplementary information**

****

**SI Figure 1 | XXX**

****

**SI Figure 2 | Ancestral state reconstruction of mycorrhizal associations in land plants.** Cladogram showing the ancestral state reconstructions of mycorrhizal associations in land plants (*n* = xxx species) using a phylogenetic hypothesis in which liverworts are the sister group of all other land plant species. Branches are coloured according to the most probable state of their ancestral nodes. Pie charts show the likelihood of the ancestral states for each node.