

Consider the function

$$\psi_0(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m f(m) \quad (1)$$

for complex $|z| < 1$ where

$$f(m) = 2^n \prod_{k=1}^n \cos(x_k m) = \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle} \quad (2)$$

as we've already seen due to angle addition formulae. This implies

$$\psi_0(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m f(m) = \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m e^{im\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle} = \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} \frac{1}{1 - ze^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle}} \quad (3)$$

where the last equality is due to summation of geometric progression.

Integrating and dividing by $-z$, we define:

$$\psi_1(z) \equiv -\frac{1}{z} \int_z^{\infty} \psi_0(z') dz' = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{-z^m}{m+1} f(m) = - \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} \frac{1}{ze^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle}} \ln \frac{1}{1 - ze^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle}} \quad (4)$$

observe that the rhs is the Dirichlet space representation prescribed explicitly by its reproducing kernel, while (3) is on the Bergman space and kernel. Moreover:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{-z^m}{m+1} f(m) = \ln \prod_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} (1 - ze^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle})^{z^{-1}e^{-i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle}} \quad (5)$$

$$\implies \psi(z) \equiv e^{2^n z \psi_1(z)} = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp \frac{-2^n z^{m+1} f(m)}{m+1} = \prod_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} (1 - ze^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle})^{(e^{-i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle})} \quad (6)$$

is a Blaschke product, where the zeros are determined by all possible partitions. This function is holomorphic over the whole complex plane, and we wish to decide whether it vanishes at $z = 1$:

$$\psi(1) = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp \frac{-2^n f(m)}{m+1} = \prod_{\sigma \in \{-1,1\}^n} (1 - e^{-i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle})^{e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle}} \leq 4^{-2^n} \quad (7)$$

$$\prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp \frac{f(m)}{m+1} \leq 4 \quad (8)$$

since indeed

$$\left| (1 - e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle})^{e^{-i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad (9)$$

or even a stronger bound as follows, since in fact for $|z| = 1$:

$$\frac{-1}{100} \leq \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)^z \right| - z(1-z) \leq \frac{1}{40} \quad (10)$$

so

$$\prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \exp \frac{f(m)}{m+1} \leq \max_{\sigma} \left| (1 - e^{-i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle})^{e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{40} + \max_{\sigma} |e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle} (1 - e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \sigma \rangle})| = \frac{1}{40} + 2 \quad (11)$$

we observe the closeness to $\prod_k e^{\frac{(-1)^k}{k}} = 2$, so $f(m)$ must be very close to the alternating harmonic sequence, implying almost maximal entropy if the set is unpartitionable.