

# Rank-Preserving Two-Level Caching for Scalable Search Engines

Patricia Correia Saraiva<sup>1,3</sup> Edleno Silva de Moura<sup>2</sup> Nivio Ziviani<sup>1</sup>

Wagner Meira<sup>1</sup> Rodrigo Fonseca<sup>1</sup> Berthier Ribeiro-Neto<sup>1</sup>

{pcs,edleno,nivio,meira,rfonseca,berthier}@dcc.ufmg.br

<sup>1</sup> Federal Univ. of Minas Gerais    <sup>2</sup> Akwan Information Technologies    <sup>3</sup> Federal Univ. of Amazonas  
Belo Horizonte, Brazil                      Belo Horizonte, Brazil                      Manaus, Brazil

## ABSTRACT

We present an effective caching scheme that reduces the computing and I/O requirements of a Web search engine without altering its ranking characteristics. The novelty is a two-level caching scheme that simultaneously combines cached query results and cached inverted lists on a real case search engine. A set of log queries are used to measure and compare the performance and the scalability of the search engine with no cache, with the cache for query results, with the cache for inverted lists, and with the two-level cache. Experimental results show that the two-level cache is superior, and that it allows increasing the maximum number of queries processed per second by a factor of three, while preserving the response time. These results are new, have not been reported before, and demonstrate the importance of advanced caching schemes for real case search engines.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Popular search engines receive millions of queries daily, a load never experienced before by any IR system. Additionally, search engines have to deal with a growing number of Web pages to discover, to index and to retrieve, and must handle very large databases. To compound the problem, search engine users want to experience small response times as well as precise and relevant results for their queries. In this scenario, the development of techniques to improve the performance and the scalability of search engines without degrading the quality of the results becomes a fundamental topic of research in IR. One effective alternative for improv-

\*This work has been partially supported by CNPq grant 300188/95-1, CNPq grant 520916/94-8, CNPq scholarship 133149/2000-5, PRONEX grant 76.97.1016.00 and AMYRI Project.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

SIGIR'01, September 9-12, 2001, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA..

Copyright 2001 ACM 1-58113-331-6/01/0009 ...\$5.00.

ing performance and scalability of information systems is caching. The effectiveness of caching strategies depends on some key aspects, such as the presence of reference locality in the access stream and the frequency at which the database being cached is updated.

In this paper we describe and evaluate the implementation of caching schemes that improve the scalability of search engines without altering their ranking characteristics. The starting point of the work is TodoBR [28], a state-of-the-art full scale operational search engine that crawls the Brazilian Web. We enhanced the current implementation of TodoBR by integrating three caching schemes. The first one implements a cache of query results, allowing the search engine to answer recently repeated queries at a very low cost, since it is not necessary to process those queries. The second one implements a cache of the inverted lists of query terms, thus improving the query processing time for the new queries that include at least one term whose list is cached. The third caching scheme combines the two previous approaches and will be called two-level cache.

Each of the first two strategies presents advantages and disadvantages. A hit in the cache of query results avoids query processing, while a hit in the cache of inverted lists reduces the amount of I/O associated with answering a query, but does not avoid the query processing costs. On the other hand, the hit ratio associated with inverted lists is usually higher than the hit ratio for whole queries, which may pay off the query processing cost. The motivation behind the third strategy is to exploit the advantages of the first two strategies to improve even further the overall performance and scalability of the search engines.

Our experimental evaluation yields some key results. The two-level cache is superior and allows increasing the maximum throughput (the number of queries processed per second) by a factor of three, relative to an implementation with no cache. Furthermore, the throughput of the two-level cache is up to 52% higher than the implementation using just cache of inverted lists and up to 36% higher than the cache of query results.

Our work is distinct from previous ones because it presents experimental results on the effectiveness of different caching strategies implemented on a real case search engine. Our main contribution is the two-level caching scheme we proposed which yields superior performance. Our results can

be replicated to other Web search engines since there is high similarity between workload characteristics present in the logs of TodoBR search engine and in the logs of other large search engines [11, 16, 26].

## 2. RELATED WORK

The work in [16] has studied the problem of caching search engine query results. The study uses a log containing one million queries submitted to the search engine Excite [8]. It proposes policies to cache query results that are based on the reference locality observed in the Excite log. Our approach is different from the work in [16] as follows. While their work includes no implementation of the proposed cache policies, we implemented and thoroughly evaluated the cache for query results on a real case search engine.

The problem of caching of inverted lists is studied in [5, 13]. The work in [13] is complementary to ours, since they investigate other replacement policies and a modification to the query processing that takes into consideration the contents of the cache [21]. Their experiments were based on query refinement workloads that they developed from the TREC collection [10]. Our work differs from the works in [5, 13] in the following aspects. First, they have studied the use of cache of inverted lists alone, while we compare the cache of inverted lists with two other different caching schemes. Second, their work were based in a context where the users commonly perform query refinements and most of the queries are composed of many words. In our work we are interested in study the impact of caching inverted lists in the context of Web search engine workloads, where the average query size is close to two words per query [26, 16] and query refinement is not common.

The caching of inverted lists on distributed information retrieval systems is studied in [27, 17]. In [27], the cache is implemented in each client, and in [17] a cache for inverted lists of a hypothetical search engine is placed in a Web proxy server, exploiting the reference locality in the queries submitted by a community of users. In [29] the impact of a cache of inverted lists on a distributed system implemented on a network of workstations is evaluated. None of these works have studied the possibility of combining the cache of inverted lists with a cache of query results.

A caching mechanism called semantic caching is presented in [6, 7, 9]. It is based on the following assumptions: (a) queries submitted to IR systems are boolean queries and (b) answers of previous queries can be used to compose answers of new queries by using boolean algebra. Semantic caching produces better hit rate results than conventional caching of query results since it can compose an answer for a query not yet submitted to the system by using answers of other related previous queries. A drawback of semantic caching is that it changes the original ranking produced by the search engine. As we are interested in improving the scalability of real case Web search engines without changing the original search engine ranking, we have not considered semantic caching mechanisms in this work.

Another technique proposed to improve the performance of large scale information retrieval systems is the partial replica [14, 15]. A partial replica is a small database collection composed by documents of queries previously submitted to the system. An algorithm called replica selector examines each new query submitted to the system in order to decide whether it can be answered by the partial replica or not. In [15] it was shown that partial replica can

be combined with cached query results to obtain even better results. The partial replica can also be combined with cache of inverted lists since the system still needs to read inverted lists from disk when partial replica is used. Therefore, the caching mechanisms proposed in our work can be used together with partial replica mechanisms to improve the scalability of distributed information retrieval systems.

Most important, our work is distinct from the above related works due to the fact that we implement a two-level caching scheme that simultaneously combines cached query results and cached inverted lists on a real case search engine.

## 3. SEARCH ENGINE ARCHITECTURE

Web search engines are IR systems that take a query as input and produce as a result a set of links to relevant Web pages related to the query. Search engines seek, collect and index Web pages on a massive scale. To speed up query processing, all queries are answered using the index and without accessing the text directly.

Efficient query evaluation requires specialized index techniques when the text collection is large. Our search engine server implementation uses an inverted file as index structure, a popular choice to implement large scale IR systems [24, 2, 30]. An inverted file is typically composed of a *vocabulary*, which contains the set of all distinct terms in the collection, and an *inverted list* for each term of the vocabulary. The inverted list of a term  $t$  is a list of the identifiers of the documents containing  $t$  with the respective frequency of occurrences of  $t$  on each document.

The ranking method used for the experiments is based on the vector space model [23, 2]. In the vector space model, the documents and the queries are represented as vectors in a space with dimensions given by the size of the vocabulary. The answers to the queries are the documents with the highest similarity values, where the similarity is computed by the cosine of the angle between the query vector and each document vector. The inverted file is used during query processing time to compute the similarities of each document of the collection against the query.

For large document databases, the cost of evaluating the cosine measure may be potentially high, because it assigns a similarity measure to every document containing any of the query terms, requiring a read and some processing on the whole inverted list of each term of the query. This task may be expensive since some of the terms can occur in a high proportion of the documents present in the database.

An effective technique to compute an approximation of the cosine measure without significant changes in the final ranking for each query is proposed in [21]. We use it to process the queries submitted to the search engine server. This query evaluation technique uses early recognition of which documents are likely to be highly ranked to reduce costs of query processing. Queries are evaluated in 2% of the memory of the standard cosine implementation without degradation in retrieval effectiveness. Disk traffic and CPU time are also reduced because the algorithm processes only portions of the inverted lists which have information that can change the ranking.

## 4. CACHE DESIGN

In this section, we describe in detail the strategies for implementing the three caches in a search engine, that is, caching of query results, caching of inverted lists, and a two-level cache that combines both.

## 4.1 Cache of Query Results

Our strategy for caching query results is to keep in memory the list of documents associated with a given query. For each document we store its URL, its title, and a 250-character abstract. The very first implementation issue of this caching strategy is determining the number of document references that should be cached for each query. It is remarkable that the number of documents that match a given query is often huge (thousands or even millions). However, the great majority of the users (86%) request at most the first 30 references that match a query [11]. In TodoBR we also observe the same behavior, since most of the users (70%) do not request more than 10 references, and 90% of the query requests are for at most the first 50 references. Thus, we limited our cache of query results to 50 references, resulting in a storage requirement of 25 kilobytes per query result cached. This implementation decision allows our cache to satisfy most of the queries without wasting memory, and also exploits the spatial locality among queries.

Figure 1 (a) shows the architecture of the search engine including the cache of query results. Whenever a user submits a query to the search engine, it checks whether the cache is storing the associated query results and the reference rank is below the caching threshold, in our case 50. If there is a cache hit, the query result is immediately returned to the user, at a very low cost, since the response only needs to be formatted and sent to the user, a cost inherent to any query. Otherwise, the search engine processes the query normally, occasionally caching it, whenever the reference rank is below the threshold.

The second major issue is the replacement policy for the query results, that is, how we determine which query results should be evicted from the cache whenever a new set of results is to be cached and the cache is full. In this first implementation we adopted LRU (least recently used) as replacement policy, since the TodoBR logs present a good temporal locality, as discussed in Section 5. Markatos [16] has proposed alternative cache replacement policies for caching query results, such as SLRU (segmented LRU) and FBR (frequency based replacement), but they did not improve the cache hit ratio significantly. Furthermore, Markatos did not exploit spatial locality in his work, in the sense that a query result for the first ten documents is handled independently from the result for the next ten documents of the same query.

## 4.2 Cache of Inverted Lists

Our strategy for caching inverted lists is to keep in memory the list of Web documents associated with a given query term. In practice, our enhanced search engine caches the inverted lists for each term as they are accessed, and uses these lists to answer further queries that contain the same terms. In this case, the integration with the search engine is straightforward, since it acts as a specialized buffer for the index, which is usually stored in secondary memory. The main motivation for caching inverted lists is the good reference locality that is usually observed among individual search terms [17]. Since the term locality is even greater than the query locality, and thus may attain a higher cache hit ratio, caching inverted lists is a good strategy for improving the scalability of search engines. The implementation of caches of inverted lists has to face two issues related to the high variance in the size of the inverted lists: the size of the cached lists and the internal organization of the cache. These issues are discussed in the remaining of this section.

The size of the inverted lists is a function of both the term popularity in the collection and the number of documents being indexed. For large collections, these lists may also become very large, making cache of inverted lists to fail in practice, since they require considerable cache space to store the whole list. To address this problem, we turn to an important characteristic of the filtered vector model processing technique suggested in [21]. In this technique, the inverted lists are sorted by the frequency of occurrence of the term in each document, and the query processing exploits the frequency variance by using just the documents in which the term is most frequent. As a consequence, the lists are not fully traversed or are not traversed at all, depending on the relevance of the term on the collection and on the query itself. In summary, the vector model allows naturally handling the problem associated with large inverted lists.

Since lists are almost always partially processed, we set out to cache parts of lists. The frequency-sorted inverted lists can be partitioned in different ways, with different trade-offs. The lists are naturally divided into *blocks* of documents in which the term appears with the same frequency, and these are the smallest units of algorithm processing. These blocks present interesting properties regarding their size and access pattern. The first blocks of each list are small, consisting of few documents, and are much more frequently accessed than the blocks at the end of the lists, which contain the documents in which the term appears a few times. In fact, the distribution of the sizes of the blocks is modeled as a power law in [21]. In the model, given an inverted list of a term  $t$ , for some integer  $v$  (usually 2 to 4), a fraction  $(v-1)/v$  of the document identifiers have frequency 1 ( $f_{d,t} = 1$ ); of the remainder a fraction  $(v-1)/v$  have  $f_{d,t} = 2$ , and so on. If  $v$  is 2, for example, half of the list will correspond to the block of documents in which the term appears only once.

Blocks could be the objects to be cached, but their size distribution spans several orders of magnitude, making caching much more complex. This is shown in the Web caching literature [19], since the objects cached by a Web cache (html files, images, etc), also present extremely high variable sizes. Using blocks as cacheable objects presents some advantages, but requires prefetching strategies and specific admission and replacement policies. For example, the first blocks of the lists tend to be very small and are generally accessed together. If no prefetching is done when the first block of a list is requested by the cache to the disk, there is a large number of disk seek operations to retrieve several small objects. Another issue arises when the cache requests the last block of some large list. This is likely to be a large block, and its admission into the cache could cause the eviction of several other smaller but much more accessed blocks. These mechanisms and policies are certainly worthy of further study, but in this work we conjecture that much of the advantages of caching blocks can be attained by using a simpler alternative approach, namely to “page” the lists, i.e., to divide them into equally sized pages (in terms of bytes). We should observe that, based on the the aforementioned distribution of sizes of blocks, the first pages of an inverted list may contain several blocks, while the last blocks of the list may span several pages. In this work we employed a page of 4 kilobytes which is also the disk block size.

In our implementation, the cache only has knowledge of pages, and this makes for much simpler cache design. Furthermore, by varying the size of the pages, we can balance the tradeoff between the number of seek operations and the

volume of bytes transferred from the disk. At one extreme, in which each byte of the inverted list is considered to be a page, there will be at least as many misses in the cache as the amount of bytes needed to answer a given workload of queries. The number of seek operations is maximal, while the volume of bytes transferred is minimal.

At the other extreme we consider a large page size, such that each list requires at most one miss in the cache. In this case, the number of seek operations is minimal, but the volume of bytes transferred is much larger than what is needed to answer the queries. Large pages have an amortizing effect on the disk seek time, and implicitly exploit spatial locality among list blocks, but may, on the other hand, cause the cache to store irrelevant parts of lists. Depending on the combination of factors, such as the costs associated with a disk seek operation and with the transferring of a byte, one can find an optimal page size. Other factors that should be taken into consideration are the disk block size, and some operating system cache in effect.

Figure 1 (b) illustrates the architecture of a search engine that embeds the cache of inverted lists. The query is processed as in the implementation with no cache up to a request to read a block, which is mapped to a page, from the inverted list, when the cache is checked. The disk is accessed only in the case of a miss in the cache of inverted lists. Again, we employed LRU as replacement policy. Although the cache of inverted lists avoids disk accesses, every query submitted to the system must still be processed, and gains in performance depend on the computational platform where the search engine runs.

### 4.3 Two-Level Cache

As discussed in the previous sections, each of the two cache architectures present advantages and disadvantages. The cache of query results avoids processing queries which are already in the cache, while a hit in the cache of inverted lists only avoids disk accesses. On the other hand, the hit ratios obtained for the query results are smaller than the hit ratios obtained by the cache of inverted lists. These observations led us propose and test a third cache option, which combines the two caching strategies. We call this option two-level cache.

Figure 1 (c) shows the architecture of the search engine with a two-level cache system. Each request for the search engine is checked first in the cache of query results. If it is a hit, the query is answered immediately, otherwise the query is processed and the cache of inverted lists is used to reduce the number of disk accesses.

## 5. WORKLOAD CHARACTERIZATION

In order to assess the behavior of the three cache implementations we consider in this paper, we perform an analysis of a partial log of queries submitted to TodoBR, comprising 100,256 queries. There is a total of 37,450 unique queries, and 23,751 unique terms in the log. We focus on aspects relevant to both levels of caching we consider, namely the characteristics of the stream of queries present in the log – relevant to the cache of query results – and of the stream of page references generated by the query processor – influencing the behavior of the cache of inverted lists.

In the case of the cache of inverted lists, we study its behavior under two different workloads, the first one with all the queries (henceforth called ‘All Queries’), and the second one with only the unique queries (henceforth referred




Figure 1: Search engine cache implementations: (a) query results, (b) inverted lists, and (c) two-level


to as ‘Unique Queries’). To understand the reasons for this consideration, let us examine what happens to the cache of inverted lists under different configurations of the cache of query results (the first level). When used stand alone (see Figure 1 (b)), the cache of inverted lists receives from the query processor a page workload originated from all of the queries received by the search engine. This is precisely the workload represented by the ‘All Queries’ workload.

On the other hand, suppose a two-level implementation (see Figure 1 (c)) in which the cache of query results is large enough not to have any miss caused by eviction from the cache, i.e., it can store the results of every query that it receives. In this situation, the query processor, and thus the cache of inverted lists, will only process the unique queries, for all the repetitions will be handled by the cache of query results. The workload the cache of inverted lists will be subject to is well represented by the ‘Unique Queries’ workload. There will be a smooth transition from one workload to the other for varying sizes of the cache of query results, meaning that we can have valuable insight of the performance of the cache of inverted lists for a wide range of situations. A very small cache of query results will generate a workload at the cache of inverted lists similar to the ‘All Queries’ workload, while a large cache of query results will generate a workload close to the ‘Unique Queries’ workload.

### 5.1 Popularity

We start our workload characterization by analyzing the popularity of both queries and pages of the cache of inverted lists. We define popularity of an object as the number of references to the object, and the popularity rank as a list of all objects sorted by decreasing popularity, that is, the most popular object is the first in the rank.

For a reference stream to offer good opportunity for caching, it ought to exhibit **temporal locality** among its references. In [12, 3] it was shown that there is a strong relationship between long term **popularity and inter-reference times** for a given reference stream. In fact, the authors conclude




**Figure 2: Popularity versus rank for whole queries, relative to a TodoBR log of roughly 100 thousand queries. The distribution is well fit by a Zipf-like distribution with  $\alpha = 0.59$ .**

that popularity is the main source of locality, specially in dealing with reasonably sized caches, and that a reference stream whose objects popularity follow a Zipf-like distribution exhibit a high degree of temporal locality. Zipf's law [31] relates the popularity rank  $\rho$  of an object, to the probability  $P$  that it is requested, by  $P \sim 1/\rho$ , and has been applied to several distinct contexts, such as words in natural language and accesses to web pages. We call a Zipf-like popularity distribution the one in which the relation between  $P$  and  $\rho$  is given by  $P \sim 1/\rho^\alpha$ . This is a generalization of Zipf's law and in a log-log plot of popularity versus rank appears as a straight line with slope  $-\alpha$ . The smaller  $\alpha$  is, the less skewed the distribution is, showing weaker temporal locality and worse cacheability [12].

We verified that the references to queries follow a Zipf-like distribution. In Figure 2 we plot the relative popularity, i.e., the probability of accessing each query, versus the popularity rank for the queries stream, together with a Zipf-like distribution with an  $\alpha$  parameter of 0.59, obtained by a least-squares fitting of the data.

In Figure 3 we examine the popularity distribution for both workloads of the cache of inverted lists. We can notice a pair of similar curves, labelled 'All Queries' and 'Unique Queries'. There are two regions in these two curves, one up to roughly the rank 2,500, with large flat segments, and one after this point, which is approximately an straight line in the log-log plot with inclination of  $-1$ . The flat region occurs due to the page access pattern. The first pages of each list are accessed in group, meaning that they should have approximately the same probability of being accessed. This suggests, for caching effects, that the pages making up the flat region should necessarily be stored in the cache if it is to have a good level of efficiency. The second region, which comprises more than 90% of the pages (note the logarithmic scale), exhibit a Zipf-like behavior, and is well fit by one such distribution with  $\alpha = 1$ . This indicates that the distribution is much more skewed than that of the queries' popularities, resulting in greater temporal locality.

The distribution does not vary much for both workloads, meaning that there is opportunity for caching inverted lists even if this caching is to be done after a fully efficient first level cache of query results. In order to further investigate this opportunity, we collected statistics of the number of distinct queries in which each term appears. In the situation of a fully effective cache of query results, resulting in the 'Unique Queries' workload to the cache of inverted lists, the



**Figure 3: Popularity versus rank for the stream of pages generated by the query processor, for all the queries and for unique queries only, relative to a TodoBR log of roughly 100 thousand queries. Shown also is the curves for a Zipf-like distribution with  $\alpha = 1$ .**

terms that appear in only one query shall not generate a hit, because their pages will only be seen once by the cache of inverted lists. We found out that approximately 40% of the terms appear in more than one query, evidencing the extra locality that can be exploited by the cache of inverted lists.

## 5.2 Cache Miss Ratios


To assess the behavior of a cache under a LRU replacement policy, we generated the successive stack distances from the log, based on the work in [1]. The marginal distribution of stack distances can be used to determine the miss ratio for a cache at different sizes. Let  $D$  be the random variable corresponding to stack distance, and let  $F_D$  be the cumulative distribution function for  $D$ . From [1], the miss ratio  $m(x)$  for a cache holding  $x$  objects is given by

$$P[D > x] = 1 - F_D(x) = m(x)$$


Figure 4 shows the miss ratio at the cache of query results as a function of the cache size. The first observation from the graph is the minimum miss ratio we can obtain under this query workload, which is around 40%. This is the miss ratio that an infinite cache would exhibit, and is due to the first occurrence of each query. The most important fact the graph shows is how fast the miss ratio decreases as we increase the capacity of the cache, relative to the TodoBR log we considered. We can observe a 'knee' in the curve close to 10 megabytes, indicating that a relatively small fraction of the queries accounts for a significant portion of the accesses. This is a good indicator of the cache size that offers a good compromise between space and hit ratio. After this point, small decreases in the miss ratio come at the expense of large increases in cache size.

It is with these considerations that we choose, for the following experiments, a cache size of 20 megabytes for query results. We point out that the fact that a cache of this size holds most of the working set of the workload is much more important than the size itself, which should be determined in a case by case basis, by analyzing the miss ratio curve for the workload.

In Figure 5 we can see similar miss ratio versus cache size curves for the cache of inverted lists under the two workloads considered. One can notice that the cache size at which there is a significant decrease in the miss ratio is much larger than in the case of the cache of query results, suggesting



**Figure 4:** Miss ratio at the cache of query results as a function of cache size, relative to a TodoBR log of roughly a 100 thousand queries. The ‘knee’ indicates that after 10 megabytes a large portion of the working set of the query results fits in the cache.



**Figure 5:** Page miss ratios at the cache of inverted lists for the stream of all queries and for the stream of the unique queries, relative to a TodoBR log of roughly a 100 thousand queries. Although with a larger cache size, lower miss ratios are observed.

that the working set of the pages requires more cache space. However the asymptotic miss ratio observed is much lower in the case of the cache of inverted lists, even for the ‘Unique Queries’ workload. This shows the greater temporal locality present in the reference to pages, as was inferred from the popularity distributions. The miss ratio of the ‘All Queries’ workload is considerably lower than the one of the ‘Unique Queries’ workload, because in the latter only the repetition of terms across different queries do cause hits at the cache. Still, a 250 megabytes cache of inverted lists subject to the ‘Unique Queries’ workload, i.e., the worst case workload for the second level cache, can achieve hit ratios of 80% on top of the misses at the first level.

We have a final word on the scalability of the characteristics presented herein. As we increase the length of the request stream submitted to the cache, the popularity distribution of queries and thus the marginal distribution of stack distances tend not to change much, meaning that a relatively small cache size should still be effective. Furthermore, the miss ratio tends to decrease as we increase the length of the request stream. This can be verified if we take the results from [26], in which a log of almost 1 billion requests made to the Altavista search engine is analyzed. The number of unique queries correspond to 26% of the total number of queries submitted, and this is exactly the miss

ratio that an infinite cache of query results would achieve, which is much better than the analogous metric in our case, i.e. close to 40%. On the other hand, as the indexed base grows in size, so should the lists, requiring a larger cache of inverted lists. However, this should not affect the hit ratio attained in the cache. We intend to verify these conjectures in depth with larger document collections and longer request streams.

## 6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We present in this section experimental results that show the practical impact of the three caching schemes discussed on the scalability and on the average response time of the search engine as a whole.

The experimental environment comprises two machines running Linux operating system version 2.2.16. The search engine runs on a Pentium III 550 MHz machine with 512 megabytes of main memory, and a 36 gigabytes SCSI disk. The client runs on a AMD K6 450 MHz machine with 256 megabytes of main memory. The two machines are connected directly (using a cross cable) by an 100-megabit fast Ethernet.

We employ the software Httperf [18] to read a log of 100,256 queries submitted to TodoBR and to generate workload to the various server implementations at controlled rates. It measures the performance of the server from a client perspective, reporting, among other information, the average response time for the client to receive an answer, the throughput of the server, and occasional error rates (when the server is overloaded).

The overall amount of server main memory used for the various cache implementations was set to 270 megabytes, based on the results presented in Section 5. In the two-level cache the memory was divided into two partitions: 20 megabytes for caching query results and 250 megabytes for caching inverted lists. A cache of 270 megabytes shows to be enough to achieve good performance in all cache schemes studied in this work and accounts for only 6.5% of the overall index size of TodoBR.

Table 1 shows the counts for submitted queries and inverted list pages retrieved from disk, as an indication of CPU and disk demands for the four implementations. We can observe that caching query results reduces significantly (up to 62%) the number of queries that need to be processed. On the other hand, caching inverted lists reduces the number of page reads by an order of magnitude. The two-level cache shows to be a good compromise in terms of performance, since it gets close to the best results, that is, the number of queries processed increases by only 21% (when compared to the cache of query results), and the number of pages retrieved increases by only 3% (when compared to the cache of inverted-lists).

Figure 6 shows the average query response time for the client machine for the four implementations. At low request rates (up to 20 queries per second), the best performance was achieved by the cache of query results, which presents the lowest processing costs, closely followed by the two-level implementation, while the cache of inverted lists gives response times close to the implementation with no cache. This result is explained by the overhead associated with handling inverted lists and the gains inherent to the file system cache provided by the Linux operating system, which reduces the time to read a disk page.

At higher request rates (more than 20 queries per second),

Implementation	Processed queries	Fetches pages
No cache	100,256	4,508,684
Cache of inverted lists	100,256	346,267
Cache of query results	38,097	1,791,364
Two-level cache	46,118	356,267

Table 1: For a total of 100,256 submitted queries, the number of queries that had to be processed and the number of inverted list pages read from the disk




Figure 6: Average response time when varying the request rate

the disk throughput saturates and the cache of inverted lists effectively improves the engine performance when compared to the implementation with no cache. The differences in the amount of disk operations also explain the better scalability of the two-level cache. As shown in Table 1, the two-level cache presented a miss ratio in terms of query results close to the miss ratio of the cache of query results. On the other hand, the total number of disk reads in the two-level cache was only 20% of the total number of reads performed when caching only query results.

An immediate consequence of the better performance provided by the two-level cache is a better overall throughput, as can be seen in Figure 7, where we can see the throughput of the four implementations of the search engine for varying request rates. The maximum throughput obtained by the two-level cache is 64 queries per second, while the maximum for the system with no cache was 22 queries per second. For the cache of inverted lists, the maximum throughput was 42 queries per second. For the cache of query results, the maximum throughput was 47 queries per second.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we propose and evaluate experimentally an efficient two-level caching scheme which improves search-engine scalability without modifying the ranking of query results.

We have implemented and evaluated three different caching schemes on the search engine TodoBR, and compared the performance of these implementations to the original engine with no cache. The experiments show that the two-level cache provides the maximum throughput among all implementations, and that it is superior to the implementation with no cache by a factor of three. Furthermore, the throughput of the two-level cache is up to 52% higher than the implementation using just inverted lists and up to 36%




Figure 7: Throughput obtained by the search engine for the four implementations

higher than the cache of query results.

The analysis of the TodoBR logs indicates that the miss ratios of both caches tend to decrease as we consider larger request streams. Therefore, it is expected that the cache performance obtained in the experiments will be even better in real situations, where the number of query submissions for the search engine is larger, as a consequence of its continuous operation. Despite the good results obtained so far, the replacement policies employed in both caches can be enhanced in order to obtain even better results. Also, the best page size for the cache of inverted lists should be investigated, studies which we leave to future work.

We are also interested in studying the impact of caching in search engines which are based on other ranking algorithms, such as ranking based on link analysis [25]. The changes in the ranking algorithm can affect the cache system because the access pattern for the inverted lists may change and extra information may have to be retrieved from other index structures apart from the inverted lists.

Another future direction of research is to study how compression of inverted lists [30, 20, 32] affects the behavior of caching schemes. Finally, we also intend to study the impact of two-level caching on distributed information retrieval architectures, such as the ones described in [22] and in [4].

## 8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to acknowledge Cristina Duarte Murta for her constructive and useful comments to improve this work.

## 9. REFERENCES

- [1] V. Almeida, A. Bestavros, M. Crovella, and A. de Oliveira. Characterizing reference locality in the www. In *Proceedings of the 4th Int. Conf. on Parallel and Distributed Information Systems*, pages 92–103, December 1996.
- [2] R. Baeza-Yates and B. Ribeiro-Neto. *Modern Information Retrieval*. Addison Wesley, 1999.
- [3] L. Breslau, P. Cao, L. Fan, G. Phillips, and S. Shenker. Web caching and zipf-like distributions: Evidence and implications. In *Proceedings of IEEE Infocom*, April 1999.
- [4] S. Brin and L. Page. The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual web search engine. In *Proc. of the 7th Int. World Wide Web Conf.*, pages 107–117, 1998.
- [5] E. W. Brown, J. P. Callan, W. B. Croft, and J. E. B. Moss. Supporting full-text information retrieval with a

- persistent object store. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Extending Database Technology*, pages 365–378. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [6] B. Chidlovskii, C. Roncancio, and M. L. Schneider. Semantic cache mechanism for heterogeneous web querying. *Computer Networks*, 31(11-16):1347–1360, 1999. Proc. of the 8th Int. World Wide Web Conf.
- [7] S. Dar, M. J. Franklin, B. Jonsson, D. Srivastava, and M. Tan. Semantic data caching and replacement. In *Proc. of the 22nd Int. Conf. on Very Large Databases*, pages 330–341, 1996.
- [8] Excite. Main page: <http://www.excite.com>.
- [9] P. Godfrey and J. Gryz. Semantic query caching for heterogeneous databases. In *Proc. of the 4th Int. Workshop on Knowledge Representation Databases*, August 1997.
- [10] D. K. Harman. Overview of the third text retrieval conf. In *Proc. of 3rd Text REtrieval Conf.*, pages 1–19, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 1995. National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication.
- [11] B. J. Jansen, A. Spink, J. Bateman, and T. Saracevic. A study of user queries on the web. *ACM SIGIR Forum*, 32(1):5–17, 1998.
- [12] S. Jin and A. Bestavros. Sources and characteristics of web temporal locality. In *Proc. of the 8th Int. Symposium on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems*. IEEE Computer Society Press, August 2000.
- [13] B. T. Jónsson, M. J. Franklin, and D. Srivastava. Interaction of query evaluation and buffer management for information retrieval. In *Proc. of the ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. on Management of Data*, pages 118–129, June 1998.
- [14] Z. Lu. *Scalable Distributed Architectures for Information Retrieval*. PhD thesis, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, 1999.
- [15] Z. Lu and K. S. McKinley. Partial collection replication versus caching for information retrieval systems. In *Proc. of the 23rd Int. ACM SIGIR Conf. on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 248–255, 2000.
- [16] E. P. Markatos. On caching search engine results. In *Proc. of the 5th Int. Web Caching and Content Delivery Workshop*, May 2000.
- [17] W. Meira Jr., M. Cesário, R. Fonseca, and N. Ziviani. Integrating www caches and search engines. In *Proc. of the IEEE Global Telecommunications Conf.*, pages 1763–1769, December 1999.
- [18] D. Mosberger and T. Jin. httpperf: A tool for measuring web server performance. In *Proc. of the Internet Server Performance Workshop*, pages 59–67, June 1998.
- [19] C. D. Murta. *Modelo Particionado de Espaço para Caches da World Wide Web*. PhD thesis, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil, August 1999. In Portuguese.
- [20] G. Navarro, E. S. Moura, M. Neubert, N. Ziviani, and R. Baeza-Yates. Adding compression to block addressing inverted indexes. *Information Retrieval*, 3(1):49–77, 2000.
- [21] M. Persin, J. Zobel, and R. Sacks-Davis. Filtered document retrieval with frequency-sorted indexes. *Journal of the American Society of Information Science*, 47(10):749–764, October 1996.
- [22] B. Ribeiro-Neto and R. A. Barbosa. Query performance for tightly coupled distributed digital libraries. In *Proc. of the 3rd ACM Int. Conf. on Digital Libraries*, pages 182–190. ACM Press, June 1998.
- [23] G. Salton. *Automatic Information Organization and Retrieval*. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1968.
- [24] G. Salton. *Automatic text processing: the transformation, analysis, and retrieval of information by computer*. Addison Wesley, 1989.
- [25] I. Silva, B. Ribeiro-Neto, P. Calado, E. S. Moura, and N. Ziviani. Link-based and content-based evidential information in a belief network model. In *Proc. 23rd Int. ACM SIGIR Conf. on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 96–103, July 2000.
- [26] C. Silverstein, M. Henzinger, H. Marais, and M. Moricz. Analysis of a very large web search engine query log. *ACM SIGIR Forum*, 33(1):6–12, 1999.
- [27] P. Simpson and R. Alonso. Data caching in information retrieval systems. In *Proc. of the ACM SIGIR Conf. on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 296–305, June 1987.
- [28] TodoBR. Main page: <http://www.todobr.com.br>.
- [29] A. Tomasic and H. Garcia-Molina. Caching and database scaling in distributed shared-nothing information retrieval systems. *SIGMOD Record (ACM Special Interest Group on Management of Data)*, 22(2):129–138, 1993.
- [30] I. Witten, A. Moffat, and T. Bell. *Managing Gigabytes*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, second edition, 1999.
- [31] G. Zipf. *Human Behaviour and the Principle of Least Effort*. Addison-Wesley, 1949.
- [32] N. Ziviani, E. S. Moura, G. Navarro, and R. Baeza-Yates. Compression: A key for next-generation text retrieval systems. *IEEE Computer*, 33(11):37–44, 2000.