
## Planarity

**Problem:** Let G be a graph. Can G be drawn in such a manner so that no two edges intersect?

**Example 1.** Any drawing of  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$  have (at lease) 2 edges which cross (Proof to come)



**Definition 1.** A graph G is said to be planer if it can be drawn in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  so that no two edges cross. Such a drawing is called a plane drawing. The graph associated with a plane drawing is usually referred to as a plane graph.

**Remark.** 1. Any subgraph of a planer graph is planer.

2. Every plane graph is planar.


graph here is a planer but not plane.

Our aim is to determine condition which ensures that a graph G is planar. Let G be a plane graph. Consider the set S obtained from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by deleting (the vertices and edges) of G. We observe that S is the disjoint union of finitely many subsets  $F_1, F_2, \ldots F_l$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  having the following two properties:

- 1. Any two points of  $F_i$  can be joined by a curve not crossing G.
- 2. Any curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which joins a point of  $F_i$  to one of  $F_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , must cross G

**Definition 2.** Let G be a plane graph. The sets  $F_1, \ldots F_l$  described above are called the <u>faces</u> of G.

Example 2. Faces



Remark. One face is always unbounded, with the remaining faces all bounded.

**Definition 3.** Let F be a face of a plane graph G. The boundary of F consists of a finite # of vertices and edges of G

The length of a closed walk around the boundary of F is called the <u>degree of F</u>, usually denoted deg F

Example 2. (Cont.)

- 1.  $\deg F_1 = \deg F_2 = 5$
- 2. deg  $F_2 = 2$  the closed walk is  $x \to y \to x$
- 3.  $\deg F_1 = \deg F_2 = 3$  $\deg F_3 = 6$


**Theorem 1.** (Euler's Formula, 1780) Let G be a connected plane graph. If G has n nodes and f faces then

$$n - m + f = 2$$

proof of **Theorem 1** requires a couple of preliminarily lemmas.


**Lemma.** Let G be a plane graph. G contains a cycle if and only if the number of faces of  $G \ge 2$ .

*Proof.*  $\to$  Let C be a cycle of G. Let x and y be in the interior and exterior of C, respectively, then any curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  connecting x and y must cross C, have cross G



Choosing x, y not lying on G x and y belong to different faces of G.

 $\leftarrow$  The absence of a cycle, G is a forest. On induction on the number of components of G shows G has only one (unbounded) face.




**Lemma.** Let e be an edge of a plane graph G.


1. If e is a bridge then it lies on boundary of exactly one face.

2. if e is not a bridge then it lies on the boundary of exactly two faces of G

1. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be the components of Gne. The edge e lies in face  $F_1$  of  $H_1$ , as well as a face  $F_2$  of  $H_2$ . The intersetion  $F_1 \cap F_2$  contains a unique face  $F_o$  of G. e lies on the boundary of  $F_o$  and is the unique face of G.



2. Let C be a cycle containing e. The edge e lies on the boundary of one face lying in the interior of C and one face lying in the exterior of C. Thus, e lies on the boundary of at least 2 faces of G.



The fact faces are disjoint can be used to show that e lies on the boundary of at most 1 face lying in the interor of C, and one face lying in the exterior of C. Then e lies in the boundary of at most 2 faces.

Corollary. (Handshaking Lemma for Planar Graphs) If G is a plane graph of size m then

$$2m = \sum_{faces F} \deg F$$

*Proof.* Each edge e of G contributes 2 to the sum on the right:

If e is a bridge lying on the face  $F_o$  then it contributes 2 to deg  $F_o$  and 0 to the remaining deg F.

If e is not a bridge then it contributes 1 to the degree of two distinct faces of G, and 0 to the remaining.

Proof. Proof of Euler's Formula

We proved by induction on # of faces of G on the case f=1. Lemma 2 asserts G is a forest, where G is a tree. Thus, m=n-1, and hence n-m+f=n-(n-1)+1=2

Assume the result is true f connected plane graph H having f faces, and let G have f+1 faces, say  $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_l, F_{l+1}$ . If n = |G|, m = e(G) then we are required to show

$$n - m + (f + 1) = 2$$

Since  $f + 1 \ge 2$ , G contains a cycle C (**Lemma 2**). Fix an edge e lying on C. Assume e is not a bridge of G. **Lemma 2** ensures that e lies on the boundary of 2 distince faces of G, say  $F_l$  and  $F_{l+1}$ .



Construst the subgraph H = Gne. We note H is a connected plane graph of order n and size m-1. Furthermore, the number of faces of H is f. denoted each of the faces  $F_1, f_2, \ldots F_{l-1}$  of G occur on faces of H. Assume e does not appear in the boundary of any of these faces.

Teh remaining faces of H is obtained by joining  $F_l$  and  $F_{l+1}$  along the edge e.

$$\hat{F}_l = F_l \cup F_{l+1} \cup e$$

Since H has f faces, the induction hypotheses allows in G to conclude that

$$2 = n - (m-1) + f = n - m + (f+1)$$

as required

**Corollary.** Let G be a connected planer graph. Each plane drawing of G has the same number of faces, namely 2 + m - n

Euler's formula can be used to obtain a necessary condition for a simple connected graph to be planar.

**Definition 4.** Let G be a graph, If G contains a cycle then the **girth** gr(G) is defined as the length of the smallest cycle in G. If G is a forest then we set  $gr(G) = \infty$ 

Example 3. Girth

**Remark.** If G is simple then  $gr(G) \geq 3$ 

**Theorem 4.** Let G be a connected simple planar graph. If G has order n and size m then

$$m \le \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } gr(G) = \infty\\ \frac{gr(G)}{gr(G)-2}(n-2) & \text{if } gr(G) \text{ is finite} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

*Proof.* If  $gr(G) = \infty$  then G is a tree, hence m = n - 1 if gr(G) is finite then G has  $\geq 2$  faces. In this case, the boundary of each face of G contains a cycle, hence

$$\deg(F) \ge gr(G)$$

If each face F of G. therfore, if f = # of faces of G then the handshaking lemma of planer graphs

$$2m = \sum_{\text{faces } F} \deg F \ge \sum gr(G) = gr(G)f$$

From Euler's formula, f = 2 + m - n, substitues  $f_i$  in the preceding yields

$$2m \ge gr(G)(2+m-n)$$

Example 4. 1. Does there exist a simple connected planar graph G of order 12 and size 40?

**Solution 1.** Suppose such a graph G exists, Note that G cannot be a tree, hence gr(G)is finite. Thus, **Theorem 3** asserts

$$40 \ge \frac{gr(G)}{gr(G) - 2}(12 - 2)$$

Solving for gr(G)

$$gr(G) \le \frac{8}{3} < 3$$

This contradicts that  $gr(G) \geq 3$  No such G exists.

2. Let G be a planar graph of size and girth 5. What can one say about n = |G|

#### Solution 2. From Theorem 2

$$14 \le \frac{5}{5-2}(n-2)$$

solving f n yields  $n \ge 52/5$  some n is an integer  $n \ge 11$ .

Corollary.  $K_5$  and  $K_{3,3}$  are non-planar

## Proof here

#### Exercise Show that Peterson is non-planar

Corollary. Let G be a simple connected planar graph.

- 1. if G has order  $n \ge 3$  then  $e(G) \le 3n 6$
- 2. Furthermore, if G contains no triangles then  $e(G) \leq 2n 4$

#### proof here


**Remark.** The preceding two results are often used as tools for non-planarity, they are weakter then the full theorem 4.

Corollary. Every simple planar graph G contains a vertex of degree at most  $5(\delta(G) \le 5)$ 

## proof here

**Example 5.**  $K_n$  is non-planar of  $n \geq 7$ . Each vertex of  $K_n$  has degree  $n-1 \geq 6$ 

**Example 6.** The condition of theorem 4 (as well as it corollories) is only necessary for planarity, not sufficient. For example, the following graph G is non-planar, as it contains a copy of  $K_{3,3}$ 



On the other hand, |G| = 8, e(G) = 12 and qr(G) = 4

$$\frac{4}{4-2}(8-2) = 12$$

**Remark.** Euler's formula can be extended to disconnected plane graphs using induction on the # of components. If G is aplnar graph of order n size m with f faces and k components then


$$n - m + f = k + 1$$

There are also analogue for Theorem 3 and its corollories.

We have already observed that Theorem 3 and its corollories present many necessary conditions for a graph to be planar.


**Definition 5.** Let G and H be graphs. H is said to be a <u>subdivion</u> of G if the formal graph can be constructed from the latter by introduction a finite # of new vertices along existing edges.

6



Example 7.

**c.)** Each cycle graph  $C_n$  is a subdivision of  $C_1$ . $C_n$  can be obtained from  $C_1$  by the addition of n-1 new vertices on the single edge of  $C_1$ 



**Remark.** 1. The process of subdivion only introduces new vertices of degree 2

2. Note that if H is a subdivion of G then H has the same shape as G.


**Definition 6.** Two graphs G and H are said to be homeomorphism if they are both subdivision of a common graph.

**Example 8.** 1. If  $n, m \ge 1$  then  $C_n$  is homeomorphism to  $C_m$  as both are subdivion of  $C_1$ .

2. If  $n, m \geq 2$  then  $P_n$  and  $P_m$  are homoeomorphism as both are subdivion of  $P_2$ 

**Remark.** 1. G and H are homeomorphic if the latter can be obtained from the former by a finite sequence of following 2 operations:


- (a) addition of new vertex along an existing edge.
- (b) filling in of an existing verted of degree 2.



2. homeomorphic graphs have the same shape.

**Theorem 5.** A graph is planar if and only if it contains no subgraph homeomorphic to  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$ .

Example 9. The graph




an alternate characterization of non-planar graphs can be obtained using the notion of contradictions.

**Definition 7.** Let G and H be graphs. G is said to be <u>contractible to H</u> if H can be obtained from G by successivily contracting a finite number of edges.

**Theorem 6.** A graph G is planar if and only if it contains no subgraph which is contractible to  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$ .


**Example 10.** Peterson graph is contractible to  $K_5$ .



Thus peterson is non-planar.


We have observed that  $K_5$  and  $K_{3,3}$  are non-planar. What happens if we consult other surface?

Example 11. Torous (Donut)



**Definition 8.** A non-planar graph G is said to be <u>toroidal</u> if it can be drawn on the torus so as no two edges cross.

Example 12. 1.  $K_5$  is torodial



# 2. $K_{3,3}$ is torodial

**Question:** Is there analogous of Euler's Formula for the toros?

**Theorem 7.** Let G be a simple connected torodial graph of order n, size m and f faces, Then

$$n - m + f = 0$$

Furthermore,

$$m \le \frac{gr(G)}{gr(G) - 2}n$$

**Definition 9.** A graph G is said to have genus g if G can be drawn on a surface of genus g with no edges crossing, but no drawing on a surface of genus g-1 exists. (i.e planar = genus g, torodial = genus g)

**Theorem 8.** Let G be a connected graph of genus g, order n, size m, and face f. Then

$$n - m + f = 2 - 2g$$

Furthermore, if G is simple of finite girth then

$$m \le \frac{gr(G)}{gr(G) - 2}(n + 2g - 2)$$

**Corollary.** Let G be a connected simple graph of genus g, order  $n \geq 3$  and size m then,

$$m \le 3(n+2g-2)$$

$$m \leq 2(n+2g-2)$$
 if no triangle present

**Corollary.** Let G be a connected simple graph of order  $n \geq 4$  and size m. Then the genus g satisfies

$$g \ge \lceil \frac{m - 3n}{6} + 1 \rceil$$

[x] = least integer greater than or equal to x

**Remark.** Let G be a graph. The <u>crossing number</u> cr(G) is the minimum # of crossing that can occur when G is drawn in the <u>plane</u>.

## Example 13. graph here


**Theorem 9.** The genus of the graph G is  $\leq cr(G)$ 

#### **Dual Graphs**

**Definition 10.** Let G be a planar graph. The <u>geometric dual</u>  $G^*$  of G is the graph constructed as follows:

- 1. denote each face of G, choose a point  $v^*$ . The  $v^*$  forms the vertex set  $V(G^*)$
- 2. For each edge e of G, join the vertices  $v^*$  and  $w^*$  in the adjacent face by a curve  $e^*$  that crosses e and no other edge of G. The collection of  $e^*$  from the edge set  $E(G^*)$

## Example 14. Platonic Graphs



Graphs	Geometric Dual	
tetrahedron	tetrahedron	self-dual
cube	octahedron	
octahedron	cube	
dodecahedron	icosahedron	
icosahedron	dodecahedron	

**Remark.** 1. The contrustion of  $G^*$  depends on the plane drawing of G. For example, the grphs G in example 13 (i) and (ii) are isomorphic, but their geometric duals are not. One has vertex of degree 5 but the other has no such vertex.

2.  $G^*$  is planar and connected.

**Lemma.** Let G be a connected planar graph of order n, size m, and face f. If  $G^*$  is a geometric dual then the nodes  $n^*$  size  $m^*$  and number of faces  $f^*$  satisfies

$$n^* = f, m^* = m, f^* = f$$

**Theorem 10.** Let G be a connected planar graph. Then  $G^{**}$  is isomorphic to G.

**Theorem 11.** Let G be a connected planar graph and  $G^*$  a geometric dual of G, A subset of E(G) forms a cycle of G if and only if the corresponding subset of  $E(G^*)$  is a cutset of  $G^*$ .

**Remark.** If e is an edge of G then there is a unique edge  $e^*$  of  $G^*$  which crosses a


Corollary. Let G be a connected planar graph. A set of edges of G forms a cutset if and only if the corresponding edges of  $G^*$  forms a cycle.

**Theorem 12.** Let G be a connected planar graph G is bipartite if and only if  $G^*$  is eulerian.

**Theorem 13.** Let G be a connected planar graph. If G is 3-edge connected then  $G^*$  is simple (of order  $\geq 3$ )

**Definition 11.** Let G be a graph. A graph  $G^*$  is said to be an <u>abstract dual</u> of G if there exists a one-one correspondence between the edge of G and the of  $G^*$  with the property that a subset of E(G) forms a cycle  $\leftrightarrow$  correspong subset of  $E(G^*)$  forms a cutset

**Example 15.** If G is plane then its geometric dual  $G^*$  is an abstract dual (Theorem 11)



**Theorem 14.** If  $G^*$  is an abstract dual of G then G is an abstract dual of  $G^*$ . Abstract duals provide another characterization of planar graphs.

**Theorem 15.** A graph G is planar if and only if G has an abstract dual.