Explanations for Multinomial Classifiers

Tips and Tricks for Practitioners

Pramit Choudhary*
Los Angeles, CA
pramit.choudhary@h2o.ai

Navdeep Gill* Mountain View, CA navdeep.gill@h2o.ai Patrick Hall[†]
Washington, DC
patrick.hall@h2o.ai

Abstract

1 Introduction

Interpretability of complex machine learning models is a multifaceted, complex, and still evolving subject. Others have defined key terms and put forward general motivations for better interpretability of machine learning models (and advocated for stronger scientific rigor in certain cases) [1], [2], [3], [4]. This applied text side-steps some looming, unsettled intellectual matters to present viable practical methods for explaining the mechanisms and outputs of predictive models. In particular, this text will focus on multinomial classifiers as these type of classifiers have been absent across the machine learning interpretability literature.

Following Doshi-Velez and Kim, this discussion uses "the ability to explain or to present in understandable terms to a human," as the definition of *interpretable*. "When you can no longer keep asking why," will serve as the working definition for a *good explanation* of model mechanisms or predictions [2].

The presented explanatory methods should help practitioners make random forests, GBMs, and other types of popular supervised machine learning models more interpretable by enabling post-hoc explanations that are suitable for:

- Facilitating regulatory compliance.
- Understanding or debugging model mechanisms and predictions.
- Preventing or debugging accidental or intentional discrimination by models.
- Preventing or debugging the malicious hacking or adversarial attack of models.

Detailed discussions of the explanatory methods begin below by defining notation.

2 Notation

To facilitate technical descriptions of explanatory techniques, notation for input and output spaces, datasets, and models is defined.

2.1 Spaces

Input features come from the set X contained in a P-dimensional input space,
 X ⊂ ℝ^P.

Preprint. Work in progress.

^{*}H2O.ai

[†]H2O.ai and George Washington University

- Known labels corresponding to instances of \mathcal{X} come from the set \mathcal{Y} .
- Learned output responses come from the set \mathcal{Y} .
- The output responses come from a set \mathcal{Y} contained in a C-dimensional output space (i.e. $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^C$).

2.2 Datasets

- The input dataset X is composed of observed instances of the set X with a corresponding dataset of labels Y, observed instances of the set Y.
- Each *i*-th observation of \mathbf{X} is denoted as $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = [x_0^{(i)}, x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{P-1}^{(i)}]$, with corresponding *i*-th labels in $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{y}^{(i)}$, and corresponding predictions in $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)}$.
- \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} consist of N tuples of observations: $[(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}, \mathbf{y}^{(0)}), (\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}^{(1)}), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^{(N-1)}, \mathbf{y}^{(N-1)})].$
- ullet Each j-th input column vector of ${f X}$ is denoted as $X_j = [x_j^{(0)}, x_j^{(1)}, \dots, x_j^{(N-1)}]^T.$

2.3 Models

- A type of machine learning model g, selected from a hypothesis set H, is trained to represent
 an unknown signal-generating function f observed as X with labels Y using a training
 algorithm A:
- g generates learned output responses on the input dataset $g(\mathbf{X}) = \hat{\mathbf{Y}}$, and on the general input space $g(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{Y}$.
- The model to be explained is denoted as g.

3 Simulated Data Experiments

- 3.1 Global Analysis
- 3.1.1 Decision Tree Surrogate
- 3.1.2 Decision Boundary Plots
- 3.1.3 Comparison of Global Feature Importance Methods
- 3.1.4 Partial Dependence and ICE
- 3.2 Local Analysis: Comparison of Local Feature Importance Methods
- 4 Credit Card Data Use Case
- 4.1 Global Analysis
- 4.1.1 Decision Tree Surrogate
- 4.1.2 Decision Boundary Plots
- 4.1.3 Shapley Global Feature Importance
- 4.1.4 Partial Dependence and ICE
- 4.2 Local Analysis: Local Shapley Feature Importance
- 5 Conclusion

6 NIPS Style examples

Paragraphs There is also a \paragraph command available, which sets the heading in bold, flush left, and inline with the text, with the heading followed by 1 em of space.

6.1 Citations, figures, tables, references

These instructions apply to everyone.

6.2 Citations within the text

The natbib package will be loaded for you by default. Citations may be author/year or numeric, as long as you maintain internal consistency. As to the format of the references themselves, any style is acceptable as long as it is used consistently.

The documentation for natbib may be found at

```
http://mirrors.ctan.org/macros/latex/contrib/natbib/natnotes.pdf
```

Of note is the command \citet, which produces citations appropriate for use in inline text. For example,

```
\citet{hasselmo} investigated\dots
```

produces

```
Hasselmo, et al. (1995) investigated...
```

If you wish to load the natbib package with options, you may add the following before loading the nips_2018 package:

```
\PassOptionsToPackage{options}{natbib}
```

If natbib clashes with another package you load, you can add the optional argument nonatbib when loading the style file:

```
\usepackage[nonatbib] {nips_2018}
```

As submission is double blind, refer to your own published work in the third person. That is, use "In the previous work of Jones et al. [4]," not "In our previous work [4]." If you cite your other papers that are not widely available (e.g., a journal paper under review), use anonymous author names in the citation, e.g., an author of the form "A. Anonymous."

New preprint option for 2018 If you wish to post a preprint of your work online, e.g., on arXiv, using the NIPS style, please use the preprint option. This will create a nonanonymized version of your work with the text "Preprint. Work in progress." in the footer. This version may be distributed as you see fit. Please **do not** use the final option, which should **only** be used for papers accepted to NIPS.

At submission time, please omit the final and preprint options. This will anonymize your submission and add line numbers to aid review. Please do *not* refer to these line numbers in your paper as they will be removed during generation of camera-ready copies.

The file nips_2018.tex may be used as a "shell" for writing your paper. All you have to do is replace the author, title, abstract, and text of the paper with your own.

The formatting instructions contained in these style files are summarized in Sections ??, ??, and 6.1 below.

6.3 Footnotes

Footnotes should be used sparingly. If you do require a footnote, indicate footnotes with a number³ in the text. Place the footnotes at the bottom of the page on which they appear. Precede the footnote with a horizontal rule of 2 inches (12 picas).

Note that footnotes are properly typeset *after* punctuation marks.⁴

³Sample of the first footnote.

⁴As in this example.




Figure 1: Sample figure caption.

Table 1: Sample table title

	Part	
Name	Description	Size (μm)
Dendrite Axon Soma	Input terminal Output terminal Cell body	$\begin{array}{c} \sim \! 100 \\ \sim \! 10 \\ \text{up to } 10^6 \end{array}$

6.4 Figures

6.5 Tables

Place one line space before the table title, one line space after the table title, and one line space after the table. The table title must be lower case (except for first word and proper nouns); tables are numbered consecutively.

Note that publication-quality tables *do not contain vertical rules*. We strongly suggest the use of the booktabs package, which allows for typesetting high-quality, professional tables:

This package was used to typeset Table 1.

The \bbold package almost always uses bitmap fonts. You should use the equivalent AMS Fonts:

```
\usepackage{amsfonts}
```

followed by, e.g., \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} , or \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . You can also use the following workaround for reals, natural and complex:

Note that amsfonts is automatically loaded by the amssymb package.

Acknowledgments

The authors wishes to thank Sri Ambati, Arno Candel, Mark Chan, Doug Deloy, Lauren DiPerna, Mateusz Dymczyk, Megan and Michal Kurka, Lingyao Meng, Mattias Müller, Wen Phan and other makers past and present at H2O.ai who turned explainability into a software application and learned the lessons discussed in this text along with us.

References

[1] Finale Doshi-Velez and Been Kim. Towards a Rigorous Science of Interpretable Machine Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08608, 2017. URL: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1702.

- 08608.pdf.
- [2] Leilani H. Gilpin, David Bau, Ben Z. Yuan, Ayesha Bajwa, Michael Specter, and Lalana Kagal. Explaining Explanations: An Approach to Evaluating Interpretability of Machine Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.00069, 2018. URL: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.00069.pdf.
- [3] Riccardo Guidotti, Anna Monreale, Franco Turini, Dino Pedreschi, and Fosca Giannotti. A Survey of Methods for Explaining Black Box Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.01933*, 2018. URL: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.01933.pdf.
- [4] Zachary C. Lipton. The Mythos of Model Interpretability. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03490, 2016. URL: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.03490.pdf.